S2430-1

SEAL OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF ILLINOIS
AUG. 26, 1818

# ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
OF THE
# ILLINOIS COURTS

1983
ANNUAL REPORT
to the
SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS



SUPREME COURT BUILDING
Springfield, Illinois

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR ........ 7
- LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL FROM ROY O. GULLEY ........ 8
- THE ILLINOIS JUDICIAL SYSTEM ........ 9
- IN MEMORIAM ........ 10
- JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS ........ 11
- THE SUPREME COURT ........ 12
  - Jurisdiction ........ 12
  - Organization ........ 12
  - Administrative and Supervisory Authority ........ 12
  - 1983 Supreme Court Caseload Summary ........ 13
  - Clerk of the Supreme Court ........ 13
  - Supreme Court Marshal ........ 13
  - Reporter of Decisions ........ 13
  - Significant 1983 Illinois Supreme Court Opinions ........ 13
  - Supreme Court Allows Cameras in Reviewing Courts ........ 15
  - Supreme Court Rules Committee ........ 18
  - New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Supreme Court ........ 20
  - Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court ........ 21
  - Supreme Court Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service ........ 21
  - 1983 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly ........ 22
- THE APPELLATE COURT ........ 35
  - Jurisdiction ........ 35
  - Organization ........ 35
  - Supreme Court Assignment of Judges to the Appellate Court ........ 36
  - Annual Meeting of the Illinois Appellate Court ........ 36
  - Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court ........ 37
  - Appellate Court Clerks ........ 37
  - Appellate Court Research Departments ........ 37
  - 1983 Appellate Court Caseload Summary ........ 37
- THE CIRCUIT COURTS ........ 39
  - Jurisdiction ........ 39
  - Organization ........ 39
  - 1983 Circuit Court Caseload Summary ........ 39
    - Circuit Court of Cook County Caseload Summary (1973-1983) ........ 40
    - Circuit Court of Cook County Cases Pending At End of Year (1973-1983) ........ 40
    - Number of Law Jury Cases Pending in the Cook County Law Division at the End of Each Month from January 1971 through December 1983 ........ 41
    - Number of Law Jury Cases Pending in the Cook County Municipal Department at the End of Each Month from January 1971 through December 1983 ........ 42
    - Average Age of Law Jury Cases (In Months) Disposed Of Each Month from January 1971 through December 1983 (Law Division) ........ 43

Cases Filed and Reinstated, and Disposed Of In the
Circuit Courts (1973-1983) .......... 44
Administration of the Circuit Courts .......... 45
Conference of Chief Judges .......... 45
Age of Pending Cases Reports .......... 47
Assignments .......... 48
Rule 295 Assignments .......... 48
Organization Chart of the Circuit Court of
Cook County .......... 50
THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE .......... 51
1983 Annual Meeting of the Ilinois Judicial Conference .......... 52
1983 Associate Judge Seminar .......... 52
1983 New Judge Seminar .......... 52
1983 Regional Seminar Programs .......... 53
1983 Appellate Court Seminar .......... 54
1983 Supreme and Appellate Law Clerks Seminar .......... 54
1983 Study Committee Reports .......... 54
THE COURTS COMMISSION .......... 55
THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE .......... 57
Introduction .......... 57
Personnel .......... 57
Accounting Division .......... 57
Probation Division .......... 61
Judicial Management Information Systems .......... 64
Secretariat .......... 67
Development and Maintenance of Uniform Recordkeeping
Procedures .......... 68
Uniform Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts .......... 69
Administration of Supreme Court Rule 39 -
Appointment of Associate Judges .......... 70
Administration of Supreme Court Rule 68 -
Declarations of Economic Interest .......... 70
Administration of Supreme Court Rule 215(d) -
Impartial Medical Expert .......... 71
1983 Statistical Summary .......... 72
Cumulative Statistical Summary (1970-1983) .......... 73
Administration of Supreme Court Rule 711 -
Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students .......... 74
Law Schools .......... 74
Agencies Employing 711 Students .......... 75
Administration of Official Court Reporters .......... 75
Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports Pursuant to Ill.
Rev. Stat., Ch. 38, § 108A-1 Et Seq. .......... 76
Distribution of Illinois Supreme Court Opinion
Summaries .......... 77
Distribution of Legislative Summaries .......... 77
Organization of Judicial Visitations to Penal
Institutions .......... 77
Organization of Trial Court Administration Conference .......... 78
Public Information and Publications of the
Administrative Office .......... 79
Membership in Organizations .......... 79
Legislation Affecting The Courts 1983 .......... 80

1983 CASELOADS, STATISTICAL RECORDS AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS .......... 84
The Judicial Districts of Ilinois - Supreme and

Appellate Courts .......... 85

THE SUPREME COURT .......... 86

Justices of the Supreme Court of Illinois (December 31, 1983) .......... 86

Supreme Court of Illinois - Number of Cases Decided With Full Opinions (1958-1983) .......... 87

Supreme Court of Ilinois - Number of Petitions for Rehearing (1958-1983) .......... 88

Supreme Court of Illinois - Number of Petitions for Leave to Appeal (1958-1983) .......... 89

Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court During 1983 .......... 90

Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court After Allowance For Leave to Appeal, Motions for Direct Appeals, And Motions in Original Action Cases During 1983 .......... 91

Trend of All Cases Filed and Disposed of in the Supreme Court During 1983 .......... 91

THE APPELLATE COURT .......... 92

Judges of the Appellate Court of Illinois (December 31, 1983) .......... 92

Trend of Cases in the Appellate Court During 1983 .......... 93

Cases Disposed Of in the Appellate Court During 1983 .......... 94

Cases Disposed Of Without Opinion or Order Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23 During 1983 .......... 95

Time Lapse Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition for All Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1983 .......... 96

Time Lapse Between Date Briefs Filed and Date of Disposition for Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1983 .......... 97

Abstract Summary of Number of Opinions and Rule 23 Orders Written by Judges of the Appellate Court During 1983 .......... 98

THE CIRCUIT COURTS .......... 99

The Judicial Circuits of Illinois .......... 99

Circuit Court Judicial Officers of Illinois (December 31, 1983) .......... 100

Ratio of Filings Per Judge in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1983 .......... 112

Trend of All Cases in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1983 .......... 113

Summary Report on Law Jury Cases Disposed Of in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1983 .......... 156

Summary Report on Law Cases Terminated by Verdict .......... 156

Statistical Report on Law Jury Cases Disposed Of During 1983 .......... 157

Disposition in 1983 of Defendants Charged with a Felony .......... 160

Sentences Imposed on Defendants Charged and Convicted Of Felonies During 1983 .......... 164

Fiscal Year 1983 Total Financial Activity As Reported by the Clerks of the Circuit Courts .......... 170

Fiscal Year 1983 Fines, Add-on Penalties, Assessments, and Certain Fees Distributed by Clerks of the Circuit Courts .......... 173

1983 Select Characteristics of Illinois Probation
Departments (Adult & Juvenile) .......... 176
Statistical Reports on the Circuit Court of
Cook County, Illinois For 1983 .......... 188
Appendix A - Constitution of 1970 Article VI - The Judiciary .......... 248
Appendix B - Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts -
Historical Development .......... 251
Appendix C - Judicial Salary Structure .......... 251
Appendix D - Genealogy of Judges of the Illinois Supreme Court
(1818 to date) .......... 252

# REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR
## HON. ROY O. GULLEY

**Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts**

ROY O. GULLEY
DIRECTOR
SUPREME COURT BUILDING
SPRINGFIELD 62706
217/782-7770

30 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE
CHICAGO 60602
312/793-3250

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Justices of the Supreme Court:

I tender herewith the annual report of the Administrative Office for calendar year 1983.

The year 1983 brought with it many new developments. On a somber note, Justice Robert C. Underwood tendered his resignation effective the first Monday in December, 1984. Upon his retirement, Justice Underwood will have diligently served the people of the State of Illinois for nearly forty years — sixteen years as a county judge and twenty-two years as a Supreme Court justice, including more than six years as Chief Justice. Justice Underwood will always be remembered by his judicial colleagues as a thoughtful and prudent jurist, and as a chief justice who exercised vigorous leadership.

During 1982, Chief Justice Ryan and I, along with members of my staff, began meeting with each of the State's twenty-one chief judges to discuss with them their individual administrative needs and concerns. By mid-1983 these meetings were completed. Throughout this eighteen month period, the Administrative Office has continued to offer its assistance to several chief judges in the development and maintenance of programs designed to improve and enhance administrative efficiency within individual circuits. In some circuits the addition of one or two associate judgeships has improved the disposition of cases and greatly reduced the backlog of pending cases. In other circuits the institution of warrant calendars and "slaughter calls" has resulted in marked reductions in pending caseloads. The Administrative Office will continue to monitor the effectiveness of these programs and provide guidance where necessary.

On December 9, 1983 Governor James Thompson signed into law Public Act 83-982 which provides that the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is authorized to develop programs for the delivery of probation and court services in various counties in the State of Illinois. The staff of the Probation Division has developed a detailed plan for implementation of P.A. 83-982. Under the Act, local probation departments may now apply to the Administrative Office for funds to create adult and juvenile intensive supervision programs. Administrative Office personnel standards, which are in effect as of the effective date of this Act, are to govern the hiring, promotion and training of all current and future chief managing officers and other probation and court services officers. Chief managing officers and other probation officer candidates are to be chosen by the chief judge of the relevant circuit from lists of qualified applicants submitted to him by the Administrative Office. In accordance with P.A. 83-982, the Illinois Criminal Sentencing Commission will submit a report to the General Assembly by April 1, 1985 evaluating the Act's impact on the prison population and public safety.

On November 29, 1983 the Supreme Court entered an order in response to several petitions praying for relaxation of Supreme Court Rule 61 (c) (24) and for allowance of photographing, broadcasting, and televising of proceedings in Illinois courts. In *In re Photographing, Broadcasting, and Televising Proceedings in the Courts of Illinois* (MR 2634), the Court ordered that, on an experimental basis for one year, starting January 1, 1984, "extended coverage should be allowed . . . in the supreme and appellate courts, subject to conditions . . . and that extended coverage of trial court proceedings should not be authorized." The Court's order provides that Rule 61 (c) (24), to the extent it is inconsistent is controlled by its order. Accordingly, the extended coverage ban in Rule 61 (c) (24) is still in effect for trial court proceedings but is suspended under terms and conditions for oral argument in the Supreme and Appellate Courts. At the conclusion of the experimental period, the Court will evaluate the extended coverage provided in the reviewing courts. A more detailed overview of the Court's order is contained in this Report.

Respectfully submitted,

Roy O. Gulley

Roy O. Gulley
Director

ROG:mb

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

## The

## ILLINOIS JUDICIAL SYSTEM


SUPREME COURT
7 Justices
Chief Justice
Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts
Supreme Court Clerk
Courts Commission
Judicial Inquiry Board
Annual Judicial Conference
"to consider the business and the problems pertaining to the administration of justice in this State, and to make recommendations for its improvement."
Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission
Board of Law Examiners
Committee on Character and Fitness
APPELLATE COURT
(Five Judicial Districts)
18 Judges in 1st District
4 Judges each in Districts 2-5
Appellate Court Clerk (5)
Chief Judge (21)
CIRCUIT COURTS
(21)
Circuit Judges - Associate Judges
Circuit Court Clerk (102)
Legend
General route of appeal
Limited right of direct appeal
Route of administration

# IN MEMORIAM

## Supreme Court Justice

| | |
|---|---|
| Marvin Burt (Retired) | October 15, 1983 |

## Appellate Court Judge

| | |
|---|---|
| Melvin Abrahamson (Retired) | March 14, 1983 |

## Circuit Judges

| | |
|---|---|
| John S. Boyle (Retired), Cook County | November 28, 1983 |
| Daniel H. Dailey, 4th Circuit | February 6, 1983 |
| Henry Gentile, Cook County | October 21, 1983 |
| Peter Georges (Retired), Cook County | July 26, 1983 |
| Julius J. Hoffman* (Retired), Cook County | July 1, 1983 |
| Charles P. Horan (Retired), Cook County | December 17, 1983 |
| Win G. Knoch (Retired), 18th Circuit | May 23, 1983 |
| Albert McCallister, 2nd Circuit | March 3, 1983 |
| Birch E. Morgan (Retired), 6th Circuit | July 1, 1983 |

## Associate Judges

| | |
|---|---|
| George H. Bunge (Retired), 18th Circuit | June 9, 1983 |
| John J. Clinch, Jr. (Retired), 13th Circuit | February 22, 1983 |
| Richard S. Jemilo, Cook County | October 31, 1983 |
| Archibald T. LeCesne (Retired), Cook County | April 4, 1983 |
| William O'Connell, Cook County | February 6, 1983 |

*Served as Superior Court Judge, 1944-1947

# JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS

During 1983, a total of 28 Illinois judges left the judicial system. Most of these judges either retired for health reasons or to return to the practice of law. Ten associate judges failed in their bid for reappointment.

## Circuit Judges

Walter P. Dahl, Cook County
July 31, 1983

Keith Hubbard, 7th Circuit
January 10, 1983

Alvin H. Maeys, Jr., 20th Circuit
April 30, 1983

Robert L. Massey, Cook County
December 29, 1983

Robert K. McQueen, 19th Circuit
December 29, 1983

Theodore M. Swain, Cook County
March 1, 1983

## Associate Judges

James A. Condon, Cook County
July 1, 1983

Robert A. Coney, 10th Circuit
October 4, 1983

*David Costello, 20th Circuit
July 1, 1983

John W. Day, 3rd Circuit
July 1, 1983

*John J. Devine, Cook County
June 30, 1983

*+Bruce Falk, 12th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*+Conrad Floeter, 19th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*Warren Fox, 19th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*+Jonathan Isbell, 3rd Circuit
June 30, 1983

*Kenneth Juen, 20th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*Loren Kabbes, 5th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*Alan Lane, Cook County
June 30, 1983

*Joseph Lavorci, Cook County
June 30, 1983

Harold Madsen, 8th Circuit
June 30, 1983

Edwin Malone, 14th Circuit
June 30, 1983

Joseph C. Mooney, 3rd Circuit
June 30, 1983

*John A. Ouska, Cook County
June 30, 1983

*+Haskell Pitluck, 19th Circuit
June 30, 1983

Charles J. Ryan, 7th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*Roger Seaman, Cook County
June 30, 1983

*Robert J. Smart, 19th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*+John Verklan, 12th Circuit
June 30, 1983

*Failed in Retention
*+Subsequently Reappointed

# THE SUPREME COURT

## Jurisdiction

The Illinois Supreme Court is the highest court in the Illinois judicial system. It has original and exclusive jurisdiction in cases involving the redistricting of the General Assembly and in cases relating to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office. It may exercise original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus and as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. It has direct appellate jurisdiction in appeals from judgments of Circuit Courts imposing a sentence of death and as the Court may provide by rule in other cases. Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are a matter of right if a question under the Constitution of the United States or of this State arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court, or if a division of the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that the case should be decided by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court may also provide by rule for appeals from the Appellate Court in other cases. (Ill. Const., Art. VI, Secs. 4 and 9).

## Organization

The Supreme Court consists of seven Justices. Three are elected from the First Judicial District (Cook County) and one from each of the other four judicial districts. Four Justices constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. One of the Justices is selected as Chief Justice for a term of three years. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 31, seniority among the Justices is determined by length of continuous service. Supreme Court Justices are elected for terms of 10 years. (Art. VI, Secs. 2, 3, 4 and 10).

The Court holds five terms each year during the months of January, March, May, September and November. At each term, the Court issues opinions, holds conferences, hears oral arguments, rules on motions, considers modifications to Supreme Court rules and meets with the Administrative Director to consider administrative and budgetary matters.

When in session, the Justices reside in the Supreme Court Building in Springfield. In addition, the Court meets regularly in its Chicago quarters in the Richard J. Daley Center.

## Administrative and Supervisory Authority

General administrative and supervisory authority over the entire, unified Illinois judicial system is vested in the Supreme Court. This authority is exercised by the Chief Justice in accordance with the Court's rules. An Administrative Director and staff, appointed by the Supreme Court, are provided to assist the Chief Justice in his duties (Art. VI, Sec. 16). This unique, constitutional grant of administrative authority has served as the basis for transforming the Illinois judicial system from an unstructured and undisciplined system into an efficient mechanism for the administration of justice.

The administrative authority of the Supreme Court over the Illinois judicial system is unrestricted. However, in addition to conferring general administrative authority upon the Court, the Constitution identifies specific areas of judicial administration the Court shall or may act upon. These areas include:

(1) Prescribing the number of Appellate Divisions in each Judicial District;

(2) Assignment of judges to Appellate Divisions;

(3) Prescribing the time and place for Appellate Divisions to sit;

(4) Providing for the manner of appointing Associate Judges;

(5) Providing for matters assignable to Associate Judges;

(6) In the absence of a law, filling judicial vacancies by appointment;

(7) Prescribing rules of conduct for judges;

(8) Assignment of retired judges to judicial service;

(9) Appointment of an Administrative Director and staff;

(10) Temporary assignment of judges;

(11) Providing for an annual Judicial Conference and reporting thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly;

(12) Appointment of the Supreme Court Clerk and other non-judicial officers of the Court.

In addition, the Court has a number of other administrative functions pursuant to statute or which are inherent in the operation of the Court.

The Court approves, after preparation by the Administrative Director, the annual judicial budget; employs three law clerks for each Justice to assist in researching the law and preparing memoranda; selects a Marshal who attends each term of the Court; and appoints the Supreme Court Librarian who is in charge of keeping the library up-to-date and preserving all books and documents in the library. Also, the Court appoints the State Appellate Defender and two persons to the Appellate Defender Commission; a member of the Board of Commissioners of the Illinois Defender Project; a judicial member of the Criminal Sentencing Commission; judicial members of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority; and judicial members of the Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System. Also, from time to time, the Court appoints committees, as the need arises, to study and suggest amendments in substantive and procedural law, Supreme Court rules, and other matters affecting the administration of justice.

## 1983 Supreme Court Caseload Summary

During the 1983 terms, the Supreme Court sat for a total of 73 days. The seven justices handed down 257 full opinions and 20 supervisory orders; ruled on 77 petitions for rehearing; and ruled on 1,362 petitions for leave to appeal. Of the petitions for leave to appeal, 231 or 17% were allowed.

The Court received 1,783 new filings in 1983, compared to 1,758 in 1982, an increase of 1%.

In addition, the Court admitted 2,558 new lawyers to the practice of law in Illinois.

## Clerk of the Supreme Court

Article VI, Sec. 18 (a) of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides:

> "The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District."

Pursuant to this provision, the Supreme Court, on July 19, 1982, appointed Juleann Hornyak, Esq., as Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court. Prior to her appointment as Clerk of the Supreme Court, Ms. Hornyak served as Clerk of the Fourth District Appellate Court.

In general, the duties of the Clerk include the receipt of filings and the maintenance of dockets, records, files and statistics on the activities of the Supreme Court. During 1983, the staff of the Clerk's office consisted of 14 full-time employees and 1 part-time employee.

The offices of the Clerk are located in the Supreme Court Building in Springfield.

## The Supreme Court Marshal

Since February 8, 1976, the Supreme Court's Marshal has been Mr. Louie F. Dean. Mr. Dean is a former special agent for the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

The Marshal attends each term of the Court and performs such other duties, at the direction of the Court, which are usually performed by the county sheriff in the Circuit Courts.

Mr. Dean's office is located in the Supreme Court Building in Springfield.

## Reporter of Decisions

The Supreme Court appointed Stephen D. Porter to serve as Reporter of Decisions for the Supreme and Appellate Courts effective January 1, 1976. The Reporter's office is located in Bloomington and is responsible for the editing and printing of the official reports of Supreme and Appellate Court opinions. Each year the Reporter supervises the publication of 25 paperback advance sheets and approximately 12 to 14 hard-bound volumes of the official reports.

In 1983 the Reporter's office completed the phase-in of in-house photocomposition of the reports. Now, camera-ready pages for advance sheets and bound volumes are generated in the Reporter's office before being furnished to the official publisher for the printing of the books.

The net saving to the State from this new procedure and from the amending of Supreme Court Rule 23 to reduce the number of opinions published approximates $400,000 per year.

The Reporter's office also prepares the headnotes and index for the Supreme Court opinions, including the 10-volume indexes that appear in volumes 70 Ill. 2d, 80 Ill. 2d and 90 Ill. 2d. The headnotes and index to the Appellate Court Reports are prepared under the supervision of the editorial staff of the publisher of the official reports, Pantagraph Printing and Stationery Company.

## Significant 1983
## Illinois Supreme Court Opinions

By the very nature of the type of litigation which the Supreme Court hears, many of its opinions deal with issues which are particularly germane to Illinois; however, since Illinois is one of the leading jurisdictions in the United States, it is not uncommon that sister states and the federal courts cite Illinois Supreme Court opinions as authority in their jurisdictions. Some of the Court's most significant opinions in 1983 were as follows:

INTENTIONAL
BLANK

- *People v. Boykin*, 94 Ill. 2d 138, ruling that the statutory disposition of supervision can be properly imposed after a finding of guilt in minor criminal cases.

- *Balciunas v. Duff*, 94 Ill. 2d 176, holding that where a judge has entered discovery orders and a subsequent motion to review or modify those orders is presented to his successor, the successor-judge should exercise considerable restraint in reversing or modifying the orders, and should do so only if there is a change of circumstances or additional facts warranting such action.

- *Cockrum v. Baumgartner*, 95 Ill. 2d 193, concluding that the cost of rearing a healthy child, who was conceived and born because of negligently performed sterilization of his parent, is not a proper element of damages in a medical malpractice action brought by his parents.

- *Minonk State Bank v. Grassman*, 95 Ill. 2d 392, ruling that the "strawman" fiction is abolished and that a joint tenant can sever the joint tenancy by unilaterally conveying his interest to himself.

- *People v. Smith*, 95 Ill. 2d 412, adopting the automobile search exception announced in *U.S. v. Ross*, 102 S. Ct. 2157, and holding that the warrant requirements of the Illinois Constitution would not be construed differently than the construction given to the fourth amendment by the *Ross* court.

- *In re Marriage of Leopando*, 96 Ill. 2d 114, reasoning that in a dissolution of marriage action an order awarding permanent child custody but reserving other issues is not a final, appealable order but may be subject to discretionary review as an interlocutory order.

- *Knuepfer v. Fawell*, 96 Ill. 2d 284, holding that a chief circuit judge's inherent power to order a nonjudicial branch of government to comply with a statutory mandate requiring provision be made for courtrooms should be exercised sparingly and only in exigent circumstances.

- *Kaske v. City of Rockford*, 96 Ill. 2d 298, deciding that polygraph examination results are not admissible in an administrative disciplinary hearing and that refusal to submit to such an examination cannot be grounds for discipline.

- *In re Marriage of Olson*, 96 Ill. 2d 432, holding that in a dissolution of marriage action there must be evidence of sufficiently significant commingling and contribution concerning nonmarital and marital property to raise a presumption of a gift or transmutation.

- *Coney v. J.L.G. Industries, Inc.*, 97 Ill. 2d 104, deciding that comparative negligence applies to strict products liability actions and that joint and several liability is a viable rule in the context of comparative negligence.

- *Hammond v. North American Asbestos Corp.*, 97 Ill. 2d 195, holding that punitive damages cannot be awarded in loss of consortium suits.

- *In re Photographing, Broadcasting, and Televising Proceedings in the Courts of Illinois* (MR No. 2634, Nov. 29, 1983), 24 Official Reports Adv. Sheet *(11)* (Dec. 14, 1983), ordering that electronic media coverage, under specified terms and conditions, is permitted for one year, starting January 1, 1984, in the reviewing courts of Illinois, and that the ban on such coverage in the trial courts remains in force.

- *People v. Kaeding*, 98 Ill. 2d 237, upholding the constitutionality of the Illinois "guilty but mentally ill" statute.

- *Froud v. Celotex Corp.*, 98 Ill. 2d 324, deciding that a common law action for punitive damages abates upon the injured person's death and does not survive under the Survival Act.

- *Torres v. Walsh*, 98 Ill. 2d 338, determining that Illinois trial judges have authority to grant motions for intrastate *forum non conveniens*.

- *Jones v. Karraker*, 98 Ill. 2d 487, finding that in determining damages for wrongful death of an unborn but viable fetus, the presumption of pecuniary loss without evidence of the extent of loss is sufficient to support the jury's verdict for damages.

- *Rickey v. Chicago Transit Authority*, 98 Ill. 2d 546, adopting the "zone-of-physical-danger" rule as a substitute for the "contemporaneous injury/impact" rule in actions instituted by a bystander for emotional distress.

- *People v. Royse*, 99 Ill. 2d 163, holding that, whether defense counsel is court-appointed or retained, his representation is constitutionally deficient if his incompetence produces substantial prejudice to the defendant without which the result would probably be different.

- *People v. Frias*, 99 Ill. 2d 193, reaffirming that logically inconsistent verdicts can stand but legally inconsistent verdicts cannot.

## Supreme Court Allows Cameras in Reviewing Courts

On November 29, 1983, the Illinois Supreme Court entered an order in response to several petitions praying for relaxation of Supreme Court Rule 61(c)(24) and for allowance of photographing, broadcasting and televising (extended coverage) of proceedings in Illinois courts. (Rule 61(c)(24) bans extended coverage of court proceedings.) In *In re Photographing, Broadcasting, and Televising Proceedings in the Courts of Illinois* (MR No. 2634), 24 Official Reports Adv. Sheet *(11)* (Dec. 14, 1983), the Court ordered that, on an experimental basis for one year starting January 1, 1984, "extended coverage should be *allowed* * * * *in the supreme and appellate courts,* subject to conditions * * *, and that extended coverage of *trial court proceedings should not be authorized.*" Emphasis added.

The Court's order provides that Rule 61(c)(24), to the extent it is inconsistent, is controlled by its order. Accordingly, the extended coverage ban in Rule 61(c)(24) is still in effect for trial court proceedings but is suspended, under terms and conditions, for oral argument in the Supreme and Appellate Courts. The judicial officer presiding at the proceeding subject to extended coverage is responsible for the enforcement of the Court's order. That part of the order setting forth the rules for extended coverage is as follows:

(A) Applicability — Effective January 1, 1984, and terminating December 31, 1984, unless terminated earlier at the discretion of this court, this order governs photographing, broadcasting, and televising of proceedings in the supreme and appellate courts. To the extent our Rule 61(c)(24) is inconsistent herewith, this order shall control during its effective period.

(B) Definitions — As used in this order, unless the context otherwise requires:

(1) "Proceeding" means any public session of the full supreme court or a panel of the appellate court while sitting in open court.

(2) "Extended coverage" means any media recording or broadcasting of proceedings by the use of television, radio, photographic, or recording equipment.

(3) "Presiding judge" means the presiding judge of the Second, Third, Fourth, or Fifth Judicial District in which extended coverage of an appellate court proceeding is occurring or sought.

(4) "Executive committee" means the executive committee of the Appellate Court for the First Judicial District and the chairman thereof.

(5) "Chief justice" means the chief justice of the Supreme Court of Illinois.

(6) "Marshal" means the marshal of the Supreme Court of Illinois

(7) "Clerk of court" means the clerk of the court in which extended coverage of a proceeding is occurring or sought.

(8) "Media" means any recognized news-gathering or news-reporting agency and the individual persons involved, and includes newspapers, radio, television, radio and television networks, news services, magazines, trade papers, in-house publications, professional journals, or other news-reporting or news-gathering agency whose function it is to inform the public or some segment thereof.

(9) "Administrative director" means the director of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts.

(10) "Court days" means the days of a calendar week, excluding Saturday, Sunday, and court holidays.

(11) "Pooling arrangements" means media cooperative agreements to request extended coverage.

(C) General provisions and exclusions

(1) Nothing in this order is intended to alter, modify, or change any provisions of the Code of Professional Responsibility contained in article VIII of the supreme court rules or supreme court rules governing the conduct of judges, except as provided in this order.

(2) Nothing in this order is intended to limit or restrict the power of a presiding judicial officer to control the conduct of any proceeding, except as herein provided.

(3) No proceeding shall be commenced, delayed or continued to allow for extended coverage.

(4) A decision by a presiding judicial officer to deny, limit or terminate extended coverage is not appealable.

(5) Extended coverage shall be conducted so as not to be distracting and not to interfere with the solemnity, decorum, and dignity which must attend the making of decisions that affect the life, liberty, or property of citizens. The attire of media personnel shall not be inappropriate to the occasion.

(6) Unless otherwise ordered, extended coverage of appellate argument is permitted only in the supreme court courtrooms in Springfield and the Richard J. Daley Center; and in the appellate court courtrooms in the Richard J. Daley Center, and in Elgin, Ottawa, Springfield, and Mt. Vernon.

(7) No consent is required for extended coverage of appellate argument; however, the chief justice, presiding judge of the judicial district in which extended coverage is contemplated, or the chairman of the executive committee of the First District Appellate Court, as the case may be, may prohibit extended coverage of a par-

ticular appellate argument.

(8) During appellate argument proceedings, there shall be no extended coverage of bench conferences among the members of the supreme court, among the members of the appellate court panel, or among appellate counsel and his client or clients.

(9) The judicial officer presiding at the proceeding may, for good cause, terminate extended coverage at any time.

(D) Procedure for extended coverage.

(1) When extended coverage of an argument before the supreme court is sought, the media representative shall notify the marshal, in writing, not less than five court days prior to the date the appellate argument is scheduled. The marshal shall promptly advise the chief justice of such request. The notice shall contain:

(a) the title and docket number of the case to be argued, and the date and time, if available, the case is to be argued; and

(b) the name, address and telephone number of the media representative making the request, the representative's employer, and the kind of extended coverage to be used.

The marshal shall, as soon as practicable, acknowledge receipt of the notice. All arrangements for the extended coverage shall be coordinated through the marshal's office, including but not limited to kind and location of extended coverage equipment.

(2) Except in the First and Fourth Districts of the Appellate Court, when extended coverage of an appellate argument in the appellate court is sought, the media representative shall, not less than five court days prior to the date the appellate argument is scheduled, notify, in writing, the clerk of the appellate court, who shall promptly advise the presiding judge. The notice shall contain the information specified in preceding sections (1)(a) and (b), and the clerk shall perform the same functions assigned to the marshal in preceding section (1).

(3) In the Fourth District of the Appellate Court, the procedure specified in preceding section (2) shall be followed, except the supreme court marshal is substituted for the clerk. In the First District of the Appellate Court, the procedure specified in preceding section (2) shall be followed, except (a) the notice shall also contain the division of that court before which the case is to be argued, (b) the notice shall be directed to that court's administrative assistant, who shall promptly advise the chairman of the executive committee and presiding judge of the appropriate division, and (c) the administrative assistant is substituted for the clerk.

(E) Extended coverage media standards and "pooling" applicable.

(1) Equipment and personnel

(a) Equipment from only one television station or network (the pooling station or network) shall be permitted in a proceeding subject to extended coverage. The pooling station or network shall use only television cameras which are silent videotape electronic cameras or, in the absence of such equipment, silent 16mm sound on film (self-blimped) cameras. One television camera, operated by one camera person, shall be admitted to record a proceeding.

(b) Only one audio system for broadcast shall be permitted in a proceeding subject to extended coverage. Where possible, audio for all media shall be from any existing audio system present in the courtroom. If no technically suitable audio system exists, microphones, wiring, and recording equipment shall be furnished and temporarily installed by the extended-coverage media without public expense, shall be unobtrusive, shall not interfere with the sound quality of any existing courtroom audio system, shall be operated by one person, and shall be located in places designated in advance by the marshal, clerk of the appellate court, or the appellate court administrative assistant, as the case may be.

(c) Only one still photographer, using not more than two still cameras with not more than two lenses for each camera, shall be permitted in a proceeding subject to extended coverage.

(d) Sufficient video and audio tape capacities should be provided to obviate tape changes except during court recess.

(e) No equipment or clothing of any extended-coverage personnel shall bear any insignia or identification of the individual medium or network involved in extended coverage.

(f) No extended-coverage equipment or personnel shall impede pedestrian traffic movement in, to, or from the courthouse, including but not limited to courthouse corridors and courtroom entrances and exits.

(2) Sound and light criteria

(a) Artificial lighting devices shall not be used in connection with any equipment employed to cover proceedings. Only equipment that does not produce distracting sound or light shall be employed to cover proceedings. Specifically, video and audio equipment shall produce no greater sound than the equipment designated in schedule A (annexed hereto) when the same is in good working order; still camera equipment shall produce no greater sound than the camera equipment designated in schedule B (annexed hereto) when the same is in good working order. No motorized drives shall be permitted.

(b) It shall be the affirmative duty of extended-coverage personnel, when requested, to demonstrate to the marshal, clerk of the appellate court, or appellate court administrative assistant, as the case may be, adequately in advance of any proceeding, that the equipment sought to be used meets the established sound and light criteria.

(c) No light or signal visible or audible to participants in the appellate proceeding shall be used on any equipment during extended coverage to indicate whether it is operating.

(3) Position and movement during proceedings.

(a) Extended-coverage personnel and equipment shall be positioned so as to provide reasonable coverage in such location in the court facility as shall be designated by the marshal, clerk of the appellate court, or appellate court administrative assistant, as the case may be. Necessary equipment that is not a component part of a television camera, and video and sound recording equipment, shall be located outside the courthouse where practicable or, if not practicable, outside the courtroom, unless other arrangements are approved in advance by the marshal, clerk of the appellate court, or appellate court administrative assistant, as the case may be.

(b) Extended-coverage equipment shall not be placed in or removed from the courtroom except prior to or after proceedings each day, or during a recess. Such equipment shall not be stored in the courthouse.

(c) All extended-coverage-equipment operators shall act in a manner so as not to call undue attention to their presence or activities. Extended-coverage-equipment operators shall not be permitted to move about within the courtroom during the court session.

(4) Pooling

(a) If it is necessary to limit the number of media personnel or equipment in the courtroom in order to comply with this order, pooling arrangements shall be instituted by the media to insure that all media seeking extended coverage are provided with access to extended coverage. If the number of timely notices for a particular kind of extended coverage would, if permitted, exceed the number limitations allowed for extended coverage of a particular proceeding as set forth in preceding section (1), the marshal, clerk of the appellate court, or appellate court administrative assistant, as the case may be, shall promptly notify the appropriate media representatives.

(b) Pooling arrangements among members of the media shall be the sole responsibility of the media and no judicial officer or other court personnel shall mediate disputes. In the absence of agreement or in the event of unresolved disputes relating to pooling arrangements, the kind of extended coverage sought shall be prohibited and excluded from the proceeding.

(F) Reporting requirements

In proceedings for which extended coverage was sought, the marshal, clerk of court, or appellate court administrative assistant, as the case may be, shall file a semi-annual written report on July 1, 1984, and six months thereafter, with the administrative director, with a copy to the chief justice, presiding judge or chairman of the executive committee, as the case may be. The report shall contain the following information: the caption of the case, whether requested extended coverage did or did not take place, the kind of extended coverage, the date, time and place of the proceeding covered, a statement of any problems encountered, and any other information relevant to the extended coverage of the proceeding. All reports shall be confidential and may contain the reporting person's observations, comments, or recommendations concerning extended coverage. The report may be supplemented with the observations, comments, and recommendations of the chief justice, presiding judge, or chairman of the executive committee.

## Schedule A

| FILM CAMERAS | 16mm Sound on Film (self-blimped) | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Cinema Products | CP-16A-R | Sound Camera |
| 2. Arriflex | 16mm-16BL Model | Sound Camera |
| 3. Frezzolini | 16mm (LW16) | Sound on Film Camera |
| 4. Auricon | "Cini-Voice" | Sound Camera |
| 5. Auricon | "Pro-600" | Sound Camera |
| 6. General Camera | SS III | Sound Camera |
| 7. Eclair | Model ACL | Sound Camera |
| 8. General Camera | DGX | Sound Camera |
| 9. Wilcam Reflex | 16mm | Sound Camera |

VIDEO TAPE ELECTRONIC CAMERAS

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Ikegami | HL-77 HL-33 HL-35 HL-34 HL-5 |
| 2. RCA | TK 76 |
| 3. Sony | DXC-1600 Trinicon |
| 3a. ASACA | ACC-2006 |
| 4. Hitachi | SK80 SK90 |
| 5. Hitachi | FP-3030 |
| 6. Philips | LDK-25 |
| 7. Sony BVP-200 | ENG Camera |
| 8. Fornseh | Video Camera |
| 9. JVC-8800 u | ENG Camera |
| 10. AKAI | CVC-150 VTS-150 |
| 11. Panasonic | WV-3085 NV-3085 |
| 12. JVC | GC-4800u |

VIDEO TAPE RECORDERS/used with video cameras

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Ikegami | 3800 |
| 2. Sony | 3800 |
| 3. Sony | BVU-100 |
| 4. Ampex | Video Recorder |
| 5. Panasonic | 1-inch Video Recorder |
| 6. JVC | 4400 |
| 7. Sony | 3800H |

## Schedule B

**Rangefinder**
Leica M42
**Single Lens Reflex**
Nikon FM
Nikon FE
Canon A1
Canon AE1
Canon AT1
Minolta XD11
Pentax MX
Olympus OM-I

## Supreme Court Rules Committee

The Supreme Court has a standing committee on rules. This Committee was first organized in 1963 in anticipation of the increased responsibility of the Supreme Court in the area of rulemaking under the 1964 constitutional amendment. During calendar year 1983 the Committee consisted of the following persons:

Professor Jo Desha Lucas, University of Chicago School of Law, Chairman
Murray R. Conzelman, Esq., Waukegan
Lawrence Gunnels, Esq., Chicago
Hon. Harold L. Jensen, Circuit Judge, 6th Circuit, Urbana
William J. Jovan, Esq., Chicago
Watts C. Johnson, Esq., Princeton
Sidney Z. Karasik, Esq., Chicago
Fred Lambruschi, Esq., Chicago
Carl W. Lee, Esq., Belleville
Hon. Richard Mills, Justice, Appellate Court of Illinois, 4th District
Hon. William R. Quinlan, Circuit Judge, Cook County, Chicago
Hon. Dom Rizzi, Justice, Appellate Court of Illinois, 1st District
Peter M. Sfikas, Esq., Chicago
Robert L. Stern, Esq., Chicago
Hon. John E. Sype, Circuit Judge, 17th Circuit, Rockford

Justice Thomas J. Moran of the Supreme Court of Illinois was the Supreme Court's Liaison to the Rules Committee during calendar year 1983. The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts served as secretary to the Committee.

Except when extraordinary matters must be considered, the Supreme Court Rules Committee meets in Chicago on the last Friday of February, April, June, October and December each year. These staggered meeting dates are intended to facilitate attendance by the Supreme Court's Liaison Justice.

During 1983, the Committee met in January, February, March, April, June, October and December. A special meeting, held on February 4, 1983 was convened to consider a proposal submitted by the Illinois State Bar Association to amend the Rules of Professional Conduct to allow attorneys to invest all nominal or short-term funds held by them for clients in special, interest-bearing accounts, providing the interest earned on such accounts would be paid directly to the Lawyers' Trust Fund of Illinois to support the eleemosynary objectives of the Illinois Law Foundation. The Committee submitted its report on that proposal and, effective November 1, 1983, the Court promulgated amended Rule 9-102(d) to allow such investments. The program, known generically as IOLTA ("Interest on Lawyers' Trust Accounts"), is ultimately expected to account for millions of dollars in interest on trust accounts which previously earned no interest to help finance legal services for the poor, provide loans for needy law students and to finance other programs to benefit the people of Illinois.

During calendar year 1983 the Committee also considered many other proposals for changes in the Supreme Court Rules. These recommendations come from various sources. In some instances the Supreme Court agrees upon a rule in principle and refers the proposal to the Committee to be put into rule form. In other instances, proposals are prompted by court decisions, actions by Congress or the Illinois General Assembly, recommendations by the organized Bar, individual attorneys or even members of the public at large. Among the matters considered by the Committee during calendar year 1983 were the following:

(1) The Committee considered the possibility that rules of evidence announced by the Supreme Court in opinions could be codified, and suggested that if such a codification is to be undertaken, the Supreme Court should appoint a special committee for the purpose.

(2) The Committee suggested that Notices of Appeal which "Join a Prior Appeal," are a "Separate Appeal" or a "Cross Appeal" should be labeled as such and recommended that Rule 303(c) (1) (iii) be amended to do so. Rule 303 was so amended, effective October 1, 1983.

(3) The Committee recommended that Rule 306(c) be amended to make it clear what matters are to be included in the record on appeal and any supplemental record on appeal ( and who is to be responsible for supplying any supplemental record) on petitions for leave to

appeal. Rule 306 was so amended, effective October 1, 1983.

(4) The Committee recommended to the Supreme Court that, except for emergency matters, rule changes should become effective July 1st of each year.

(5) The Committee recommended that Rule 21 be amended to provide a procedure to enforce certain administrative orders of a chief circuit judge, (See *Knuepfer v. Fawell* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 284) and that Rule 302 should be amended to make trial court orders in such cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court. Those Rules were so amended, effective October 1, 1983.

(6) The Committee recommended to the Court that Rule 234 be amended to allow the trial judge to instruct prospective jurors concerning the general duties and responsibilities of a juror. An amendment to that effect was adopted, effective October 1, 1983.

(7) The Committee suggested that Rule 403 be amended to eliminate the provision that a person under 18 years of age not be allowed to waive indictment unless represented by counsel in open court, because, under current Illinois law, no such waiver is necessary. The Rule was so amended effective October 1, 1983

(8) The Committee recommended that Rule 604(b) should be amended to allow appeal to the Appellate Court from orders placing criminal defendants under supervision, even though such orders are not final orders. The Rule was so amended effective October 1, 1984.

(9) The Committee reviewed several proposed rule changes suggested by the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission. In most cases the review was simply for form and format. However, the Committee gave detailed and critical consideration to three substantive proposals that would:

(a) allow probation to be imposed as a form of attorney discipline in lieu of or in addition to suspension,

(b) allow the Commission to impose costs on a respondent-attorney who is disciplined by the Court after a hearing before the Commission, and

(c) allow the Court to temporarily suspend an attorney during the pendency of investigations concerning his or her alleged misconduct, if there was substantial evidence that the wrong-doing did take place and the conduct placed clients in danger of suffering great harm.

(8) The Committee recommended to the Court that Rule 236(b) be amended to make it perfectly clear that the rule does not bar the admission of medical records or police reports but merely keeps them from being introduced as business records. The Rule was so amended effective October 1, 1983.

(9) The Committee considered a proposal to recommend to the Supreme Court that the Court adopt a rule similar in effect to Rule 68 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts ("Offer of Judgment"). The proposal was placed under study pending the outcome of a similar Bill which was pending in the General Assembly.

(10) The Committee suggested certain formal revisions in Rule 303 to clarify the procedures and timing for filing appeals when post-trial motions are pending. The Rule was so amended effective October 1, 1983.

(11) The Committee suggested certain formal revisions in Rule 361 to clarify the procedures which must be followed when motions are filed in the Supreme Court, both when the Court is in session and when the Court is not in session. The Rule was so amended effective October 1, 1983.

(12) The Committee concluded work on a proposal to establish procedures to be followed when a litigant wishes to invoke the Supervisory Powers of the Supreme Court. A new Rule 383 was adopted by the Court, effective October 1, 1983.

(13) The Committee recommended to the Court that Rule 401 be amended to eliminate the requirement that a transcript of waiver of counsel hearings be *automatically* transcribed in every case, and that such transcripts be prepared only upon order of the trial court.

(14) The Committee recommended to the Court that it adopt a new Rule 292 to specify the form of the summons to be used to initiate circuit court review of an order of the Industrial Commission.

(15) The Committee recommended to the Court that Rule 291(b) be amended to allow service of summons by certified as well as registered mail to initiate proceedings under the Administrative Review Act.

(16) The Committee suggested to the Court that Rule 315(d) be amended to eliminate the provision that would allow "any party" to request that the record in the Appellate Court be sent to the Clerk of the Supreme Court when the Supreme Court is considering a petition for leave to appeal.

(17) The Committee recommended to the Court that Rule 335(d) be amended to require that the record on appeal from an administrative agency taken directly to the Appellate Court should be bound and numbered in the same way as records on appeal from the circuit court to the Appellate Court. (See Rule 324.)

(18) The Committee suggested that the Court decline to entertain certain amendments which had been recommended by members of the organized Bar and individual lawyers and judges:

(a) The rules should not be amended to require the circuit court to assign a new number to a pending case just because a new defendant is joined pursuant to the Contribution Act.

(b) The rules should not be amended to provide that subpoenas should remain in effect for at least 125 days.

(c) The rules should not be amended to require the automatic dismissal of a case, without prejudice, simply because summons is not served within 120 days of the date of filing the complaint.

(d) Rule 660 need not be amended to provide for bail pending the outcome of a juvenile's appeal from a trial court order permitting prosecution under the criminal laws, because such an order is a final order in the juvenile case, and the appellant would, therefore, be entitled to bail.

(e) The Committee felt that neither the Supreme Court nor the Appellate Court should be compelled by rule to tape record every oral argument and make it available to the parties upon request. Any person who wishes a verbatim record of his oral argument can petition the panel before whom the argument is being made to allow the tape recording of the argument. If such requests are denied, then the Committee might reconsider the proposed rule.

(f) The Commitee concluded that there was no need to amend Rule 381(a) to discourage the common law designation "People ex rel . . . . " in mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus actions originated in the Supreme Court, because there is no existing rule or statute which would allow the use of such a designation.

(g) The rules should not be amended to provide that an affidavit need not accompany an initial petition for a change of venue, nor should they be amended to require the consolidation of cases arising from the same incident and involving identical factual considerations. The Committee concluded that neither of these proposals was appropriate for the rules. Such changes, if made, should be made by amendment to the Code of Civil Procedure.

(h) The Committee took no action on a recurring recommendation that the Court adopt a rule allowing prior inconsistent statements to be used as substantive evidence of guilt in criminal cases, under certain carefully structured circumstances.

(i) The Committee refused to recommend to the Court that Rule 711 be amended to restrict licensed law students to offer services only for organizations which charge *no* fee for their services. However, the Committee did form a subcommittee to study all facets of Rule 711 and report back to the Committee in 1984.

(j) The Committee took no action with regard to a proposal that Rule 374 should be amended to allow the assessment of costs against governmental bodies, as well as private parties.

(k) The Committee took no action on a proposal that Rule 214 should be amended to provide specific legal authority for service on non-parties of a subpoena solely for the production of records and documents.

(l) The Committee declined a request to recommend to the Court that it adopt a rule shortening the time limits for processing appeals from criminal convictions when the defendant is sentenced to confinement for 364 days or less and is being held in custody pending appeal.

(m) The Committee would not suggest to the Court that the time limits for the preparation of a bystanders' record be lengthened in cases in which the attorney on appeal is not the same attorney who tried the case in the trial court.

(n) The Committee would not suggest to the Court that it adopt a rule requiring that motions under either §2-615 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Motions with respect to pleadings) or §2-619 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Involuntary dismissals based upon certain defects or defenses) be required to specify the section of the Code upon which they are relying for relief.

## New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Illinois Supreme Court

In the exercise of its inherent power to adopt rules governing practice and procedure, supplemented by constitutional directives to exercise that authority in specific areas (Art. VI, Secs. 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 16, and 17), the Illinois Supreme Court, during 1983, adopted or amended the following rules:

Effective October 1, 1983, Rule 21 (Court Rules and General Orders; Filing of Rules), Rule 108 (Explanation of Rights of Heirs and Legatees When Will Admitted or Denied Probate), Rule 234 (Voir Dire Examination of Jurors *and Cautionary Instructions*), Rule 236 (Admission of Business Records in Evidence), Rule 302 (Direct Appeals to the Supreme Court), Rule 303 (Appeals from Final Judgments of the Circuit Courts in Civil Cases), Rule 306 (Appeals from Orders of the Circuit Court Granting New Trials and Granting or Denying Certain Motions), Rule 361, (Motions in Reviewing Court), Rule 403 (Pleas and Waivers by Persons Under 18), and Rule 604 (Appeals from Certain Judgments and Orders) were amended.

Effective October 1, 1983 Rule 20 (Certification of Questions of State Law From Certain Federal Courts) and Rule 383 (Motions for Supervisory Orders) were adopted.

Effective November 1, 1983, Rule 9-102 (Preserving Identity of Funds and Property of a Client) was amended.

The amendment or adoption of Rule 9-102 (Preserving Identity of Funds and Property of a Client), Rule 20 (Certification of Questions of State Law From Certain Federal Courts), Rule 302 (Direct Appeals to the Supreme Court), Rule 303 (Appeals from Final Judgments of the Circuit Courts in Civil Cases), Rule 306 (Appeals From Orders of the Circuit Court Granting New Trials and Granting or

Denying Certain Motions), Rule 403 (Pleas and Waivers by Persons Under 18), and Rule 604 (Appeals from Certain Judgments and Orders) are of particular significance and are summarized below:

Rule 9-102. Preserving Identity of Funds and Property of a Client.

Pursuant to this amendment lawyers or law firms are allowed to deposit nominal or short-term client funds in pooled, interest-bearing trust accounts with the interest payable to a single recipient, the Lawyers Trust Fund of Illinois, a new non-profit charitable organization. The Lawyers Trust Fund of Illinois shall, as provided by its bylaws, use the funds it receives:

(a) to ensure the provision of legal assistance to the poor throughout this State;

(b) to further the administration of justice in Illinois;

(c) to provide loans to law students on the basis of need and merit; and

(d) for such other programs for the benefit of the public as are specifically approved from time to time by the Illinois Supreme Court for exclusively public purposes.

(New) Rule 20. Certification of Questions of State Law from Certain Federal Courts.

This rule permits the Supreme Court of the United States or the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit to certify a question of Illinois law to the Supreme Court of Illinois, which question may be controlling in an action pending before said court and upon which no controlling Illinois authority exists.

Rule 302. Direct Appeals to the Supreme Court.

The amendment to Rule 302 provides for the appealability of administrative orders entered by the Chief Judge.

Rule 303. Appeals from Final Judgments of the Circuit Courts in Civil Cases.

This amendment extends the time for filing a notice of appeal to thirty days after the entry of the order disposing of the last pending post-trial motion.

Rule 306. Appeals From Orders of the Circuit Court Granting New Trials and Granting or Denying Certain Motions.

Pursuant to this amendment, a party litigant is given the right to appeal a circuit court order allowing or denying a motion to transfer a case to another county within Illinois on the grounds of *forum non conveniens.*

Rule 403. Pleas and Waivers by Person Under 18.

With this amendment, the Supreme Court eliminated as unnecessary the requirement that an individual under the age of 18 be represented by counsel in open court in order to waive indictment.

Rule 604. Appeals from Certain Judgments and Orders.

Under this amendment, supervision is a final appealable order.

## Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court

Article VI, Sec. 12 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides that, in the absence of a law providing for the filling of vacancies in the office of the Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge, such vacancies may be filled by appointment of the Supreme Court. Exercising this authority, the Supreme Court, during 1983, made the following appointments of attorneys and sitting judges (an asterisk (*) after a judge's name indicates that he or she was a sitting judge who was elevated to higher judicial office):

Robert Cook, 8th Circuit
Effective March 1, 1983

Glynn Elliott, Cook County
Effective August 1, 1983

John Gannon*, Cook County
Effective August 31, 1983

L. Michael Getty, Cook County
Effective July 7, 1983

Terrence J. Hopkins, 2nd Circuit
Effective June 1, 1983

Thomas G. Roady, 7th Circuit
Effective February 1, 1983

Joseph Salerno*, Cook County
Effective February 1, 1983

Raymond Terrell, 7th Circuit
Effective February 15, 1983

Alfred B. Teton, Cook County
Effective March 18, 1983

Rolland F. Tipsword, 4th Circuit
Effective June 1, 1983

## Supreme Court Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service

Article VI, Sec. 15(a) of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides in pertinent part; ". . . . Any retired Judge or Associate Judge, with his consent, may be assigned by the Supreme Court to judicial service for which he shall receive the applicable compensation in lieu of retirement benefits. A retired Associate Judge may be assigned only as an Associate Judge."

During 1983, the following retired judges were assigned to judical service:

### Appellate Court

| | |
|---|---|
| Mayer Goldberg | First District (all year) |
| John M. O'Connor, Jr. | First District (all year) |
| Lloyd A. Van Deusen* | Second District (all year) |
| Albert G. Webber, III* | Fourth District (all year) |

*Retired Circuit Judge

### Circuit Court

| | |
|---|---|
| Victor N. Cardosi | 12th Circuit (June 1-July 1, 1983) |
| Blair Varnes | 18th Circuit (October 17-December 16, 1983) retired associate judge |
| Norman Eiger | Cook County (all year) |
| Philip Fleischman | Cook County (all year) |
| James A. Geroulis | Cook County (all year) |
| Benjamin Nelson | Cook County (all year) |
| Harry S. Stark | Cook County (all year) |
| Raymond Trafelet | Cook County (all year) |
| Eugene L. Wachowski | Cook County (all year) |

## 1983 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly

Article VI, Sec. 17 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides:

> "The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31."

The Chief Justice, on behalf of the Supreme Court, submitted the 1983 report on January 31, 1984. The text of the report is set forth below:

---

SUPREME COURT
State of Illinois

CHIEF JUSTICE HOWARD C. RYAN
111 East Jefferson St.
Ottawa, Illinois 61350

January 31, 1984

Honorable Philip J. Rock, President
Senate of the State of Illinois
Capitol Building
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Honorable Michael J. Madigan, Speaker
House of Representatives
State of Illinois
Capitol Building
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Gentlemen:

The following report is submitted in accordance with section 17 of article VI of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 which provides: "The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31."

In making the suggestions contained in this and in prior reports, the Supreme Court is fully cognizant of the respective roles of the General Assembly and the courts, and does not intend to intrude upon the prerogatives of the General Assembly in determining what legislation should be enacted. It is gratifying, however, to note that the General Assembly over the years, particularly last year, has acted to implement many of the suggestions made by the Court. I respectfully submit that the attached suggestions merit the consideration of the General Assembly.

Respectfully,

Howard C. Ryan
Chief Justice

cc: Members of the General Assembly

## Consideration Should Be Given To Reducing The Number Of Peremptory Challenges In Criminal Jury Trials

In a series of recent decisions our Court decided whether the State in criminal prosecutions exercised its peremptory challenges to exclude from the jury members of discrete groups in such a way that the defendant's constitutional rights, as articulated by the United States Supreme Court, were violated. (See *People v. Payne* (1983), 99 Ill. 2d 135, *People v. Yates* (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 502, *People v. Williams* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 252, and *People v. Davis* (1983), 95 Ill. 2d 1.) We noted in our opinions that *Swain v. Alabama* (1965), 380 U.S. 202, held that "only a systematic and purposeful exclusion of blacks from the jury, in case after case, raises a constitutional question [citations], and [the] defendant has the burden of producing evidence establishing a systematic exclusion [citation]"(*People v. Yates* (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 502, 518), and that *Taylor v. Louisiana* (1975), 419 U.S. 522, in addressing the issue of the "systematic exclusion of a group from the jury system, not from any particular jury," held that "it is fundamental to the sixth amendment right to a jury trial that the selection of a petit jury be from a representative cross section of the community" (*People v. Williams* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 252, 278).

We further noted in *People v. Yates* (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 502, 517-18, that the "overwhelming majority of courts" continue to regard *Swain* as the controlling authority and that *Taylor* did not retreat from the *Swain* holding. In our most recent opinion (*People v. Payne* (1983), 99 Ill. 2d 135), we referred to *People v. Williams* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 252, and said:

> "We pointed out [in *Williams*] *Swain's* emphasis upon the importance of peremptory challenges to the proces of selecting an impartial jury, and that court's conclusion that the use of such challenges against group members solely because of such membership was justified in particular cases. Because *Taylor's* concern had been with a sixth amendment right to a 'fair cross section of the community on venires, panels, or lists from which petit jurors are drawn' [citation], we concluded that *Taylor* had not diminished *Swain's* precedential value. We noted, too, the *Swain* caveat 'that the systematic exclusion of blacks by peremptory challenges in case after case regardless of the particular circumstances involved would raise a constitutional issue.' [Citation.] *** We made clear [in *Williams*] our agreement with the *Swain* principle that an essential part of our jury system is the right of both sides in particular cases to exercise peremptory challenges as they deem advisable, and our belief that this principle was unaffected by *Taylor's* announcement of a sixth amendment right to 'a fair cross section of the community' on sources from which petit jurors are drawn." (99 Ill. 2d 135, 138-39.)

Nevertheless, we believe it important to reiterate what should be clear from our decisions: "[N]o one *** would disagree with the premise that the systematic exclusion of blacks for jury duty is unconstitutional and should be condemned. *** The systematic exclusion of any group based on sex or ethnicity is equally repugnant, but the most effective way to prevent this may be the drastic reduction of peremptory challenges." *People v. Payne* (1983), 99 Ill. 2d 135, 139, 140 (Clark, J., specially concurring).

The number of cases coming before our Court and the Illinois Appellate Court in which it is alleged that the State is using peremptory challenges to exclude minorities from juries that convict occurs with some frequency. (See *People v. Payne* (1983), 99 Ill. 2d 135, 152-53 (Simon, J., dissenting). ) As noted above, a reduction in the number of peremptory challenges may be an effective tool to prevent systematic exclusion of minorities from juries, and "[s]uch a reform could well be considered by the legislature as the answer to an enormously complex problem." *People v. Payne* (1983), 99 Ill. 2d 135, 140 (Clark, J., specially concurring).

In Illinois the General Assembly has determined that in criminal jury trials the State and the defendant shall each be allowed peremptory challenges as follows: 20 in a capital case, 10 in a case where penalty may be imprisonment in the penitentiary, and 5 in all other cases, and in a single trial involving multiple defendants, for each defendant and the State as to each defendant: 12 in a capital case, 6 in a case where the penalty may be imprisonment in the penitentiary, and 3 in all other cases. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 38, par. 115-4 (e).) However, in civil jury trials each side is entitled to 5 peremptory challenges, and if there is more than one party on any side, each party on any side may be allowed not more than 3 peremptory challenges "on account of each additional party on the side having the greatest number of parties" but each side shall be allowed an equal number of such challenges. Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 110, par. 2-1106.

In the American Bar Association's Standards Relating to Juror Use and Management, it is recommended that in a criminal jury trial the number of peremptory challenges for each side should not exceed 10 in a capital case, 5 in a case where the penalty may be more than six months' imprisonment, and 3 in a case where the penalty may be six or less months' incarceration or no incarceration, and in a multi-defendant trial, one additional peremptory challenge for each defendant. (ABA Jud. Adm. Div. Committee on Jury Standards, Standards Relating to Juror Use and Management, Standard 9 (Tent. Draft July, 1982) . ) The commentary to Standard 9 explains that "limiting the number of peremptory challenges allowed to both sides is a practical means of safeguarding the representativeness guarantee without unduly curtailing the legitimate role of the peremptory challenge or encroaching upon its peremptory nature. Such a limitation would reduce the possibility that peremptory challenges may be used to exclude systematically the members of a minority group from a jury. ***." ABA Standards Relating to Juror Use and Management, Standard 9, Commentary (Tent. Draft July, 1982).

The Supreme Court invites the General Assembly to consider reducing the number of peremptory challenges allowed in criminal jury trials.

## Section 5-6-4 (h) Of The Unified Code Of Corrections Should Be Amended To Prohibit Automatic Crediting Of Time On Probation

Section 5-6-4 (h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) states that where a defendant is resentenced after revocation of his probation, conditional discharge or supervision, the "[t]ime served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall be credited by the court against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment unless the court orders otherwise." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4 (h). ) In *People v. Hollingsworth* (1982), 89 Ill. 2d 466, defendant's probation was revoked, and he was sentenced to a term of imprisonment. The sentencing judge's order was ambiguous in that the order credited against defendant's sentence of imprisonment, the time he served in custody after his arrest for the probation violation, but did not expressly say anything about time served on probation. Relying on *People v. Hills* (1980), 78 Ill. 2d 500, we held that "[i]f the court decides to deny credit for probation time, it should say so; the point should not be left to inference or interpretation. If the court does not expressly deny credit, the defendant is entitled to it under section 5-6-4 (h) of the Unified Code of Corrections [citation], which contemplates that credit will usually be allowed." (89 Ill. 2d 466, 468.) Thus, if the order revoking probation, conditional discharge or supervision and sentencing defendant to imprisonment or periodic imprisonment is silent or ambiguous concerning unconfined probation time credit (see *People v. Scheib* (1979), 76 Ill. 2d 244), the time served while on probation will be automatically credited against the sentence of imprisonment.

This Court believes the "automatic credit" provision of the Code would better serve the administration of justice if it were amended. As section 5-6-4 (h) now stands, if, upon revoking defendant's probation, the judge sentences the defendant to short-term imprisonment, for example, and the sentencing order does not expressly say anything about probation time credit, or ambiguously says it, probation time credit will be given, even though such credit could make the sentence of imprisonment meaningless. Such an anomaly would defeat the purpose of the judge's sentence. Indeed, such a fact situation has recently been considered by the Illinois Appellate Court. (See *People v. Austin* (1983), 116 Ill. App. 3d 95, where, after revoking the defendant's probation, the trial judge resentenced her to 120 days in jail which was rendered meaningless because the time she had already spent on probation exceeded 120 days.) The Supreme Court, therefore, again recommends the General Assembly consider amending section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4 (h)) to provide that, unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, time served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall *not* be credited against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment.

## The Eavesdropping Statute Should Be Reexamined

Article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 provides that a State's Attorney may secure approval from a "circuit judge" for an order authorizing or approving the use of an eavesdropping device. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 38, par. 108A-1.) However, experience has shown that on occasion no circuit judge will be available to rule on an application for use of such devices. For example, all of the circuit judges might be attending the constitutionally mandated annual meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference. (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 17.) In such situations, a hardship is worked on the State's Attorney who, it would seem, must wait for the return of a circuit judge in order to secure approval for the use of an eavesdrop.

To be noted, though, are pertinent provisions of the 1970 Illinois Constitution. Section 9 of article VI provides in part that "Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters ***." (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 9.) The judges, who sit in the circuit court and possess and exercise its original jurisdiction, are of course the circuit judges and associate judges. Section 8 of article VI, however, provides that the Supreme Court "shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 8.) Our Rule 295 permits a chief judge to assign an associate judge to preside in any matters except the trial of felony cases. The rule then provides: "Upon a showing of need presented to the supreme court by the chief judge of a circuit, the supreme court may authorize the chief judge to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year." Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 110A, par. 295.

Considering the constitutional grant to the circuit courts of "original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters" which is exercised by both circuit and associate judges, the constitutional authority placed in this Court to determine matters assignable to associate judges, and our Rule 295, the Supreme Court suggests the General Assembly consider re-examining article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*).

## Illinois Commerce Commission, Not Circuit Court, Should Determine Rates Charged By Municipal Utility To Consumers Outside Of Municipality

Should the circuit court, in absence of agreement between the parties, fix and determine the rates to be charged to consumers outside of a municipality's corporate limits for water pumped to them by a municipally owned and operated water utility? The Illinois Appellate Court thought not (see *Inland Real Estate Corp. v. Village of Palatine* (1982), 107 Ill. App. 3d 279, 284), and this Court agrees.

Two statutory provisions are implicated: section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Code) and section 10.3 of the Public Utilities Act (Act). Section 11-117-4 of the Code provides in part that a municipality may sell water to consumers or users outside its corporate limits from a water plant owned and operated by the municipality, and for that purpose it may lay water mains, construct and operate pumping stations, etc., in which case, to allow the municipality a fair return to cover financing, construction, etc., the municipality and the party representing the consumers may enter into a contract for water rates to be charged; however, if the rates cannot be agreed upon, then "such rates shall be fixed and determined by the circuit court of the county in which the municipality which has financed, constructed, operated and maintained the improved [water] facilities is located." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4.) Section 10.3 of the Act defines "public utility" and specifically excludes from the definition "public utilities that are owned and operated by any *** municipal corporation of this State ***." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 111 2/3, par. 10.3.) The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), of course, has general supervision over all public utilities, unless otherwise provided, including rate-making. See, generally, Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 111 2/3, par. 8.

In *Inland Real Estate Corp.*, *supra*, the Appellate Court ruled that section 10.3 of the Act eliminates from the Illinois Commerce Commission's jurisdiction and review municipally owned public utilities, and that no other language of the Act "manifests an intention of the legislature to provide otherwise or *** distinguishes municipal ownership of a utility within its corporate limits from ownership beyond its territorial boundaries." (107 Ill. App. 3d 279, 282.) The court said that section 10.3 is plain and unambiguous, and "[i]f the General Assembly had intended to create an exception for utilities owned by a municipality but located and serving customers outside its corporate limits, it has not so stated ***. Although we believe that such utilities should come within the authority of the ICC, we are of the opinion that any expansion of its jurisdiction to include municipally owned utilities beyond their corporate limits must come through the legislative process." 107 Ill. App. 3d 279, 284.

The Supreme Court concurs with the Appellate Court, and we add that the fixing and determination of utility rates, as provided in section 11-117-4 of the Code, is a responsibility better reposed in an executive or legislative agency which possesses special expertise, such as the Illinois Commerce Commission, rather than in the circuit court. The Court urges the General Assembly to continue its deliberations (see e.g., House Bills 1865 and 1900, both pending on the interim study calendar of the House Public Utilities Committee) for removing from section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4) the nonjudicial function that the circuit court shall fix and determine water utility rates, and, to the extent necessary, amending section 11-117-4 of the Code and section 10.3 of the Public Utilities Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 111 2/3, par. 10.3) by placing such function in the Illinois Commerce Commission.

## The Statute Which Continues To Allow A Court To Grant A Preliminary Injunction Without Previous Notice To All Parties Should Be Re-Examined

Prior to 1967 "An Act to revise the law in relation to injunction" (Injunction Act) provided for injunctive relief with prior notice to the defendant, and without prior notice where the plaintiff's rights would be "unduly prejudiced" if the injunction were not "issued immediately." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1965, ch. 69, par. 3.) In 1967 the Injunction Act was amended by the addition of a new section providing for temporary restraining orders (TRO) without notice (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1967, ch. 69, par. 3-1) and by some language modifications in section 3, including denomination of the relief therein as a preliminary injunction (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1967, ch. 69, par. 3). However, section 3 retained the verbiage concerning the granting of injunctive relief both with and without notice. The amended sections were subsequently incorporated into the Code of Civil Procedure, former section 3-1 of the Injunction Act (TROs) being designated as section 11-101 of the Code and former section 3 (preliminary injunctions) being designated as section 11-102. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1982 Supp., ch. 110, pars. 11-101, 11-102.) Accordingly, under the present statutory scheme, upon a showing that "immediate and irreparable injury, loss or damage will result to the applicant," either a TRO without notice or a preliminary injunction without notice may issue. Ill. Rev. Stat. 1982 Supp., ch. 110, pars. 11-101, 11-102.

This dual system of allowing injunctive relief in essentially the same circumstances has caused some confusion among the bench and bar. The Illinois Appellate Court has recently stated, in an effort to clarify the statutory scheme for injunctive relief, that:

> "We believe the structure contemplated by [sections 11-101 and 11-102] is the three-tiered system of injunctive relief similar to that practiced in the Federal court which consists of [TROs], preliminary injunctions and permanent injunctions. [Citations.] The first proceeding is the [TRO]. A [TRO] is a drastic, emergency remedy which may issue only in exceptional circumstances and for a brief duration. [Citations.] The purpose of a [TRO] is to maintain the status quo until a hearing can be had on an application for a preliminary injunction. ***." (*Jurco v. Stuart* (1982), 110 Ill. App. 3d 405, 408.)

Too, one commentator has expressed the view, which is shared by many, that "in the drafting of the 1967 [TRO] amendment, the previous statutory provision for obtaining a preliminary injunction without notice was not removed from the Injunction Act. This resulted in the Injunction Act providing for the obtaining of a [TRO] or a preliminary injunction without notice — an illogical and inharmonious situation. *** [Section 11-102] is in need of correction to bring harmony out of chaos and to make the distinction between a [TRO] and a preliminary injunction meaningful." Fins, *Guide to Illinois Code of Civil Procedure* (1981), pp. 320, 321.

The Supreme Court suggests that the General Assembly consider clarifying the preliminary injunction statute (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1982 Supp., ch. 110, par. 11-102) by eliminating that part of it which allows a court to grant a preliminary injunction without notice so that there will be a clearer understanding by the bench and bar of those meaningful distinctions between TROs and preliminary injunctions as intended by the General Assembly.

## Statutory Guidelines Are Needed To Assist Trial Courts In Deciding Petitions For Name Change

"An Act to revise the law in relation to names" (Act) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 96, par. 1 *et seq.*) permits a person who wishes to assume another name to file a petition in the circuit court praying for such relief. Section 1 of the Act provides that where there is "no reason why the prayer should not be granted," the court may grant the relief requested, and that the petitioner in his prayer may include, with their consent, his spouse and adult unmarried children, and "his minor children where it appears to the court that the same is for their best interests." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 96, par. 1.) Except for some *pro forma* allegations (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 96, par. 2), the Act is silent regarding the allegations that are to be contained in the petition and in the character of the evidence that is to be offered to the court. Too, until recently, there has been a paucity of Illinois decisional law that might fill this statutory voidance. See *In re Marriage of Omelson* (1983), 112 Ill. App. 3d 725, where in a case "of first impression in Illinois" the Appellate Court comprehensively reviewed cases from other jurisdictions to glean guidance as to the factors which might be considered in determining a child's best interest in the context of whether or not to grant a change of name; see also *In re Marriage of Presson* (1983), 116 Ill. App. 3d 458.

Our Court has been advised by trial judges that the lack of statutory guidelines in the Act is troublesome, and this is particularly true where a parent or guardian petitions to change the name of a minor child. As noted in *In re Marriage of Omelson* (1983), 112 Ill. App. 3d 725, 729, the typical circumstances culminating in filing a petition for the change of a minor child's name arise "with some frequency" where the divorced parents have remarried and established separate households in which other children are present. Accordingly, the Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending "An Act to revise the law in relation to names" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 96, par. 1 *et seq.*) to provide guidelines setting forth what must be alleged in the petition and what must be proved.

## The Election Code Provisions Governing Modification Of Boundaries Of Election Precincts By County Boards Should Be Clarified

The proper scope of authority granted to certain county boards for modifying the number and size of election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 46, pars. 11-1, 11-2) has been the subject of confusion and debate for a number of years. Our Court suggests that the General Assembly review sections 11-1 and 11-2 and provide clarification of legislative intent, especially as to the permissible modification of election precinct boundaries and population by county boards.

The interrelationship between section 11-1 and section 11-2 of the Election Code has allowed for conflict of interpretation for many years. The issue of whether those two statutes allow for the county board to consolidate precincts has been the subject of at least two conflicting formal opinions of the Attorney General's office. (See 1976 Ill. Att'y Gen. Op. 139 and 1979 Ill. Att'y Gen. Op. 60.) Too, the Illinois Appellate Court has been called upon to interpret the consolidation issue of precincts under sections 11-1 and 11-2. (See *Town of Naples v. County of Scott* (1982), 111 Ill. App. 3d 186.) In concluding that the county board does not have the power to consolidate precincts under the Election Code, the majority opinion stated that the legislature should "reevaluate the relevant statutes for purposes of clarification and possible amendment, authorizing consolidation of election precincts ***" (111 Ill. App. 3d 186, 192), and the specially concurring opinion said, "The statutory language is confusing and *** urgently requires legislative clarification" (111 Ill. App. 3d 186, 194 (Green, J., specially concurring)).

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to review and, where necessary, to clarify the authority given to certain county boards to modify election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code.

## Statutory Provisions Relating To The Selection Of Jurors Should Be Uniform

As a result of this Court's decision in *People v. Jackson* (1977), 69 Ill. 2d 252, the General Assembly amended section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Code). That section now reads: "After examination by the court the jurors may be examined, passed upon, accepted and tendered by opposing counsel as provided by Supreme Court rules." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 38, par. 115-4(f).) The Supreme Court has adopted Rule 434 which provides: "In criminal cases the parties shall pass upon and accept the jury in panels of four, commencing with the State, unless the court, in its discretion, directs otherwise."

However, similar and related sections in "An Act concerning jurors ***" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 78, pars. 21, 23) were not amended and, accordingly, do not appear to be in complete harmony with section 115-4(f) of the Code and Supreme Court Rule 434. Section 21 of the "Jurors Act" provides for the examination of prospective jurors and for their selection in panels of four. Section 23 makes the provisions of section 21 applicable to "both civil and criminal cases." Thus, there appears to exist a conflict between sections 21 and 23 of the "Jurors Act" and section 115-4(f) of the Code.

In addition, the procedure for jury selection in criminal cases, as provided in section 115-4(f) and Rule 434, is sound and consideration should be given to adopting that procedure in civil cases.

The Supreme Court is aware of the General Assembly's deliberations concerning jury selection (see, e.g., Senate Bill 861 and House Bill 165, both assigned to the Senate Judiciary I Committee), and the Court urges the General Assembly to continue to give consideration to amending sections 21 and 23 of the "Jurors Act" to conform with section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and to make the jury selection procedure in civil cases "as provided by Supreme Court rules."

## The General Assembly Should Consider Amending Section 9 Of The Paternity Act To Allow An Award Of Attorney Fees In Modification Proceedings

Section 9 of the Paternity Act provides that if the trial court enters judgment that the defendant is the father of the child in question, "the court shall take evidence upon the requirements of the child for its support, maintenance, education and welfare, and upon the expenses of the mother during pregnancy, confinement and recovery, and for reasonable attorney's fees and shall enter an order with respect thereto." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 40, par. 1359.) Without further reference to the subject of attorney fees, section 9 then provides that the court may make new or supplementary orders "for the support, maintenance, education and welfare" of the child as from time to time the court deems necessary. Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 40, par. 1359.

Recently our Appellate Court in *Fink v. Roller* (1983), 113 Ill. App. 3d 1084, considered whether a defendant may be assessed attorney fees incurred by the plaintiff with respect to a petition for modification of child support payments. The court found that section 9 of the Paternity Act was not ambiguous in regard to attorney fees in modification proceedings, and since there was no statutory provision allowing assessment of attorney fees against the defendant, such fees could not be imposed. (113 Ill. App. 3d 1084, 1090-91.) The court then went on:

> "We are quite aware that to construe the Paternity Act in this restrictive manner tends to defeat the primary purpose of the Paternity Act at the expense of the welfare of the child. Nevertheless, the award of attorney fees in modification proceedings instituted under the Paternity Act is a matter for legislative enactment and not judicial fiat." 113 Ill. App. 3d 1084, 1091.

Accordingly, the Supreme Court suggests that the General Assembly consider amending section 9 of the Paternity Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 40, par. 1359) to allow an award of attorney fees in modification proceedings.

## Statuory Guidance To Courts Is Needed In Adjudicating Public Aid Liens

The Illinois Public Aid Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 23, par. 11-22) (Code) provides in relevant part that the Illinois Department of Public Aid (Department) "shall have a charge [lien] upon all claims, demands and causes of action for injuries to an applicant for, or recipient of, financial aid under Articles III, IV, V and VII [Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 23, pars. 3-1 *et seq.*, 4-1 *et seq.*, 5-1 *et seq.* and 7-1 *et seq.* ] for the total amount of medical assistance ***." Section 11-22 of the Code also allows a lien in the Department's favor where aid is provided to the injured applicant or recipient who "was employable." The Code further provides that on petition filed by the Department, the court may adjudicate the rights of the parties and enforce the lien, and the court may approve "the settlement of any claim, demand or cause of action ***." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 23, par. 11-22.) Section 11-22 of the Code then states: "The court may determine what portion of the recovery shall be paid to the injured person and what portion shall be paid to the Illinois Department *** having a charge [lien] against the recovery." In determining the apportionment of the lien where the Department contests a lien reduction, the court conducts an evidentiary hearing "to inquire into the proposed grounds for reduction where the basis for the lien reduction is contested by the Department and does not appear clearly on the face of the record." (*Jackson v. Thatcher* (1980), 80 Ill. App. 3d 876, 880.) It is the type of evidence to be considered by the trial judge in the exercise of his discretion in these hearings for lien reduction which our Court believes requires legislative attention.

In *Jackson v. Thatcher* (1980), 80 Ill. App. 3d 876, our Appellate Court pinpointed the problem. The court said at page 882:

> "In the absence of explicit statutory guidance, we can only speculate as to the type of evidence the legislature anticipated would influence the adjudication of Department [liens]. We are also concerned that without more definitive guidance, the adjudications may be too harsh or too lenient and may not reflect the intent of the legislature. Although evidentiary factors which have been held relevant to other adjudications may be pieced together from the limited case law on Department liens, we would prefer express statutory guidance."

The Supreme Court agrees with the Appellate Court's stated concerns about evidentiary factors the trial judge should consider in adjudicating Department of Public Aid liens under section 11-22 of the Illinois Public Aid Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 23, par. 11-22), and the Court again urges the General Assembly to consider providing statutory guidance in this matter.

## The Amount Of Damages Recoverable By The Next Of Kin In A Wrongful Death Action Where The Decedent Was A Viable But Unborn Fetus, Infant Or Very Young Child Should Be Studied By The General Assembly

Section 2 of the Wrongful Death Act provides in relevant part that damages recovered in a wrongful death action "shall be for the exclusive benefit of the *** next of kin" of the decedent and that "the jury may give such damages as they shall deem a fair and just compensation with reference to the pecuniary injuries resulting from [the] death, to the *** next of kin" of the decedent. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 70, par. 2.) Too, in reference to "fair and just compensation," it has been long and well established in cases of wrongful death of an unborn, viable fetus, or infant or other very young child that, because of the extreme difficulty of proving pecuniary damages, a rebuttable presumption of pecuniary loss exists. See *Jones v. Karraker* (1982), 109 Ill. App. 3d 363, *aff'd* (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 487, and cases cited therein.

In *Jones v. Karraker* (1982), 109 Ill. App. 3d 363, *aff'd* (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 487, the Appellate Court considered whether a jury's assessment of damages awarded to a plaintiff for the wrongful death of her unborn, viable fetus was excessive and the product of "passion and prejudice." No evidence was offered of the baby's characteristics and the only evidence before the jury on the question of damages was that the fetus was viable, and that, absent the defendant's negligence, the plaintiff would have had a normal, healthy baby. The defendant understandably offered no evidence on the question of pecuniary damages. The jury returned a verdict for $125,000, based essentially upon the presumption of pecuniary loss. The Appellate Court affirmed the judgment and in doing so discussed the difficulty of adducing satisfactory evidence regarding the issues of expected earning power and probable contribution to the parents in the case of an infant or young child:

> "The more usual considerations, physical and mental characteristics and habits of industry, are largely unavailable in the case of the death of an unborn but viable fetus. *** That an infant or young child was a healthy, well-behaved and industrious child, with a long life ahead of him, provides little in the way of specific direction or guidance to the jury, faced with the question of pecuniary loss to the parent or parents." 109 Ill. App. 3d 363, 370, 371.

Our Court, in a split decision, affirmed the Appellate Court, and we reaffirmed our earlier holding in *City of Chicago v. Major* (1857), 18 Ill. 349, that there need be no evidence in addition to the presumption to sustain a verdict for the benefit of the next of kin. (98 Ill. 2d 487, 489-90.) We suggested, however, that the question of damages where the only evidence presented is that a fetus, but for the defendant's negligence, would have been healthy, may be a question of law, rather than a question of fact. And we noted the General Assembly's past imposition of a limitation as to the amount of damages recoverable in wrongful death cases, and the subsequent removal of the limitation (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 70, par. 2). (98 Ill. 2d 487, 491.) We then concluded that "placing a limit on the maximum or minimum amount of an award in a case such as this is a legislative prerogative." 98 Ill. 2d 487, 492.

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to study whether the Wrongful Death Act (Ill. Rev. Stat.

1981, ch. 70, par. 1 *et seq.*) should delimit the amount of damages recoverable thereunder where the decedent was a viable but unborn fetus, infant or very young child.

## The Relationship Between The Workers' Compensation Act's Lien Provision And The Wrongful Death Act Should Be Examined

Recently our Appellate Court decided whether the legislature intended under section 5(b) of the Workers' Compensation Act that an employer's subrogated workers' compensation insurer should have a lien on proceeds paid to the surviving spouse and next of kin in settlement of a wrongful death action against a third-party wrongdoer. (*Esin v. Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.* (1981), 99 Ill. App. 3d 75.) Section 5(b) of the Workers' Compensation Act provides in pertinent part that legal proceedings may be brought by an injured employee or his personal representative against a person, not the employer, who is liable for damages caused to the employee, notwithstanding the employer's liability to pay workers' compensation benefits, and then section 5(b) states, "In such a case, however, if the action against such other person is brought by the injured employee or his personal representative and judgment is not obtained and paid, or settlement is made ***, then from the amount received by such employee or personal representative there shall be paid to the employer the amount of compensation paid or to be paid by him to such employee or personal representative ***." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 138.5(b).) Section 2 of the Wrongful Death Act provides in relevant part the amounts recovered in actions under the act "shall be for the exclusive benefit of the surviving spouse and next of kin" of the decedent. Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 70, par. 2.

The Appellate Court in *Esin, supra*, determined that because the original enactment of the Workers' Compensation Act in 1911 (section 5(b) having been passed in 1913) was subsequent to the enactment of the original Wrongful Death Act in 1853, the legislature must have been cognizant of the provisions, particularly the "exclusive benefit" language, of section 2 of the Wrongful Death Act at the time section 5(b) of the Workers' Compensation Act was enacted. Given the chronology of the two acts and considering the broad language of section 5(b) — "the amount received by such employee or personal representative" — the *Esin* court believed there was "some indication that the legislature may have intended" to permit a section 5(b) lien to be placed upon proceeds "of all third-party actions, including a wrongful death suit" (99 Ill. App. 3d 75, 79). Accordingly, the court ruled the section 5(b) lien took precedence. However, the court stated also its concern that the public policy considerations behind section 5(b) of the Workers' Compensation Act and section 2 of the Wrongful Death Act were closely balanced. (99 Ill. App. 3d 75, 78-80.) See also Recent Decisions, 70 Ill.B.J. 780 (1982), where the author comments at page 782 that the *Esin* decision "correctly allows employers a lien against the proceeds of all third-party litigation brought to redress work-related injuries, including wrongful death actions."

The Supreme Court again suggests to the General Assembly for whatever action it deems necessary the relationship between section 5(b) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 138.5(b)) and section 2 of the Wrongful Death Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 70, par. 2), in light of the *Esin* decision.

## Legislative Guidelines Are Needed For Rehabilitation Programs Ordered Under The Workers' Compensation Act

In several cases that have come before our Court, we have considered the rehabilitation provision of section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act). (See, e.g., *Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 91 Ill. 2d 278, *Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 86 Ill. 2d 489 and *Kropp Forge Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1981), 85 Ill. 2d 226.) In pertinent part section 8(a) requires that the employer pay for a work-related injured-employee's necessary medical, surgical and hospital expenses, and further requires that the "employer shall also pay for treatment, instruction and training necessary for the physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation of the employee, including all maintenance costs and expenses incidental thereto. If, as a result of the injury, the employee is unable to be self-sufficient the employer shall further pay for such maintenance or institutional care as shall be required." Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a).

In *Hunter, supra*, the Industrial Commission, without taking evidence, ordered under section 8(a) of the Act the employer to provide all necessary medical expenses, treatment, instruction, and training necessary for the injured employee's physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation, including all maintenance costs and expenses, and necessary tuition costs and expenses to attend a university. This Court pointed out that, unlike workers' compensation statutes in other States, section 8(a) of the Illinois Act does not set forth a detailed scheme on the question of vocational rehabilitation but rather only states that the employer "shall also pay" for rehabilitative efforts when "necessary." The Court continued that States, such as Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New Hampshire, have established procedures under which the injured employee is examined and evaluated by a public or local rehabilitation agency or by trained medical personnel of the State's compensation board, which then makes a recommendation as to whether rehabilitation assistance is necessary, and, if so, what it should be. We then stated that the "value of such a procedure is obvious. A court, rather than being compelled to gauge the necessity and value of a proposed rehabilitation program itself, is able to receive recommendations from trained rehabilitation personnel, which it can review." (86 Ill. 2d 489, 498.) We further stated that since Illinois does not have such a procedure, the nature and form of rehabilitation requested appears to be based on the claimant's wish unless, of course, he has received rehabilitation counseling through a public or private agency. To the same effect is our observation in *Zenith, supra*, where in paraphrasing *Hunter*, we said section 8(a) does not provide for any "statutory procedures to govern proposed rehabilitation programs." 91 Ill. 2d 278, 287.

The Supreme Court believes that the lack of legislative procedures to assist the courts and Commission in determining the extent of necessary vocational rehabilitation is a continuing concern. Cases in which the issue is raised continue to be appealed to our Court. (See, e.g., *National Tea Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 424, *C.D. Turner & Sons, Inc. v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 231, and *McLean Trucking Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 213.) In *National Tea Co.* we said, after quoting section 8(a): "The legislature has failed to set forth any procedures or standards to aid the Commission in determining the extent to which rehabilitation is 'necessary.' In view of the frequency with which this issue arises, it seems evident that some flexible guidelines should be established." (97 Ill. 2d 424, 431.) We then observed that the Commission has by rule taken a step in that direction but that the rule appeared to be applicable in limited situations. (97 Ill. 2d 424, 431; see also Gianforte, Industrial Rehabilitation In Illinois — An Evolving Process, 71 Ill.B.J. 668 (1983).) And we noted, as we did in *Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 91 Ill. 2d 278, that in *Hunter Corp v. Industrial Com.* (1981), 86 Ill. 2d 489, we observed that other States by statute " require employees seeking rehabilitation to be evaluated by State medical personnel or by a rehabilitation agency. The examiner then recommends whether, and what form of, rehabilitation assistance is necessary. [Citation.] This procedure *** could prove invaluable in assessing the feasibility of a program in which the claimant wishes to participate. It will also alleviate the concerns that rehabilitation costs will be 'routinely' awarded [citation], or based solely upon the claimant's wishes. [Citation.]" 97 Ill. 2d 424, 432.

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly examine whether rehabilitation counseling and procedures through public or private agencies should be provided for to assist the Industrial Commission and the courts where rehabilitation is contemplated under section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a)).

## Attorney Affidavit Should Satisfy Proof Of Payment Requirement Where Review Of A Decision Of The Industrial Commission Is Sought In The Circuit Court

Section 19(f) (1) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 138.19 (f) (1) and its corresponding part, also section 19(f) (1), in the Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 172.54(f) (1)) (the Acts), in relevant part virtually identical, provide that when a review of the Industrial Commission's decision is sought in the circuit court such review "shall be commenced within 20 days of the receipt of notice of the decision of the Commission," and that:

> "In its decision on review the Commission shall determine in each particular case the amount of the probable cost of the record to be filed as a return to the writ of certiorari in that case and no praecipe for a writ of certiorari may be filed and no writ of certiorari shall issue unless the party seeking to review the decision of the Commission shall exhibit to the clerk of the Circuit Court a receipt showing payment of the sums so determined to the [Commission]."

(Both Acts were amended, effective September 14, 1983, by substituting a "written request" for the praecipe and "summons" for the writ of *certiorari*. See Public Acts 83-360 and 83-361, to be codified at Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (1), 172.54(f) (1).)

Strict compliance with section 19(f) (1) of both Acts imposes a serious hardship upon attorneys whose offices are located at a distance from the office of the Industrial Commission. Quite often delays in mail service make it difficult for an attorney to mail his check to the Industrial Commission and receive a receipt back in time to file a praecipe for *certiorari* (now a request for a summons) within 20 days. (See *Arrington v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 505; see also *Bemis Co., Inc. v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 237, and *American Steel Foundries v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 513.) In *Arrington* we said the "clear language of the statute does not permit this court to ignore its mandate," and we "strongly suggest[ed] *** that the General Assembly seriously consider amending section 19 (f) (1) to permit proof of payment to the Commission to be made by affidavit of the attorney or in some other suitable manner." 96 Ill. 2d 505, 511-12.

The Supreme Court suggests that the General Assembly consider a modification of both statutes (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (1), 172.54(f) (1)) to permit proof of payment to the Commission to be made by affidavit of the attorney or in some other suitable manner.

## Penalty Provisions Of The Workers' Compensation Act Are In Need Of Clarification

In *Board of Education v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 1, and *Board of Education v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, a majority of the Court in each decision ruled that the Industrial Commission's penalty awards to the injured employee for unreasonable delay in payment of compensation by the employer under section 19(k) and 19(*l*) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act) were not contrary to the manifest weight of the evidence. However, as pointed out in the dissenting opinion in each decision, the penalty provisions of the Act, sections 19(k) and 19(*l*), should be re-examined. See dissenting opinion in *Board of Education v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 1, 14 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting, joined by Underwood and Moran, JJ.), and in *Board of Education v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, 26 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting).

Section 19(k) of the Act states in relevant part that "where there has been any unreasonable or vexatious delay of payment *** of compensation ***, then the Commission may award compensation additional to that otherwise payable under this Act equal to 50% of the amount payable at the time of such award. Failure to pay compensation in accordance with [section 8(b)] shall be considered unreasonable delay." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 138.19 (k).) Section 19(*l*) of the Act provides in pertinent part that where "the employer *** shall without good and just cause fail, neglect, refuse or unreasonably delay the payment of weekly compensation benefits *** during the period of temporary total disability *** the Commission shall allow to the employee additional compensation in the sum of $10 per day for each day that a weekly compensation payment has been so withheld or refused, provided that such additional compensation shall not exceed the sum of $2,500." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48. par. 138.19(*l*).) In the dissenting opinion in each *Board of Education* decision, it was observed that it appeared the penalties for failure to pay compensation for temporary total disability were assessed under both section 19(k) and section 19(*l*) for the same alleged delay or default of the employer (93 Ill. 2d 1, 15, 93 Ill. 2d 20, 26), and in *Board of Education v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, 28, it was noted the Industrial Commission has with increasing frequency been awarding penalties under sections 19(k) and 19(*l*). (See also, e.g., *Continental Distributing Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 407.) In the dissenting opinions, it was further observed that sectins 19(k) and 19(*l*) of the Act "appear to be overlapping and confusing, and are in need of clarification by the General Assembly" (93 Ill. 2d 1, 14), and that "it is imperative that the legislature reconsider the various penalty provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act and clarify their applicability" (93 Ill. 2d 20, 27).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to re-examine sections 19(k) and 19(*l*) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(k), 138.19(*l*)) and clarify when penalties may be assessed thereunder.

## Section 7(a) Of The Workers' Compensation Act Should Be Reconsidered

In *Interlake, Inc. v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 95 Ill. 2d 181, this Court considered whether the surviving spouse of a fatally injured employee, who, with her minor children, received compensation benefits under section 7(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act), should continue to receive the benefits notwithstanding her remarriage. Section 7(a) of the Act provides in part that the surviving spouse of a fatally injured employee shall be paid workers' compensation benefits during her lifetime and if there is any surviving child (children), the benefits are payable "until the death of the [surviving spouse] or until the youngest child shall reach the age of 18, whichever shall come later." But section 7(a) goes on that should the surviving spouse remarry and if the deceased employee "did not leave surviving any child or children, who, at the time of such remarriage, are entitled to compensation benefits under this Act, the surviving spouse shall be paid a lump sum equal to 2 years compensation and all further rights of such [surviving spouse] shall be extinguished," and that if the deceased employee leaves a surviving child (children) under 18 years of age who at the time of the employee's death is entitled to section 7(a) benefits, "the weekly compensation payments herein provided for such child or children shall in any event continue for a period of not less than 6 years." Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 48, par. 138.7(a).

In *Interlake, supra*, the deceased employee left surviving his wife and eight children, two of whom were under 18 years of age at the time of their father's death. The Industrial Commission awarded the surviving spouse lifetime benefits for her use and for the care of her two minor children. Thereafter, the surviving spouse remarried, at which time the two children were still minors and entitled to section 7(a) benefits. This Court held that the surviving spouse did not forfeit her "share" by remarriage and her "share" did not pass to her minor children, who could have collected compensation until they attained 18 years of age. We ruled that the plain language of section 7(a) of the Act "provides for the payment of death benefits until the [surviving spouse] dies, or until the children reach 18, whichever is *later*. If, however, the [surviving spouse] remarries when *none* of the [surviving] children [is] entitled to compensation, she is to receive a lump sum *** and then her rights are extinguished. Under the language of the section, [the surviving spouse] is entitled to benefits until she dies, because she did not remarry at a time when none of the [surviving] children [was] entitled to support. There simply is no provision in the statute for terminating a [surviving spouse's] benefits upon remarriage when there remain minor children entitled to support." (95 Ill. 2d 181, 191.) We further stated that the language of section 7(a) is clear and that the "legislature could have included a provision terminating a [surviving spouse's] benefits in a case where she remarries with children entitled to support, but it did not." 95 Ill. 2d 181, 193.

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to reconsider section 7(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, par. 138.7(a)) as it applies to continuation of the surviving spouse's compensation benefits where at the time of remarriage the surviving spouse has minor children entitled to support.

## The General Assembly Should Establish A Method Of Evaluating And Proposing Changes In Judicial And Other State Salaries Through A Compensation Commission

It is unnecessary for those who have served in State government for any period of time to recount the complex and extraneous factors which make up the frenzied pageantry of redressing the salary inadequacies for elected and appointed members of Illinois government. The citizens of Illinois and the dedicated men and women who serve the public deserve better.

The Supreme Court and the other members of the judicial branch of government join with the leaders of the legislative and executive branches in recommending a careful study and establishment of a well-conceived salary commission system that would allow for a regularly scheduled and orderly analysis of salary levels and adjustment recommendations. The value of the services rendered to the public, the demands of time and skill for the successful performance of the tasks involved, and the comparison with salary levels in the private sector should be considered by a representative commission on a regular basis.

All have been concerned over the loss of good people to the private sector solely for financial reasons, and have been disheartened by the crisis management approach to setting salaries, which is equally disturbing to the general public and those of us who have a duty and responsibility to assure that an effective system of State government exists. The public trust is served by creating an environment of realistic values for services required and services performed. The delicate balance between the interests of the general public in sound fiscal management and the fair compensation of the public servant deserves a formalized commitment and a continued vigilance rather than the heretofore patchwork approach that has generally characterized major salary adjustments.

The Illinois Constitution vests the primary authority and responsibility in the General Assembly for the establishment of the salary structure for our State public servants. The time is now for all of State government, along with the leaders of the private sector, to support and work with the General Assembly in developing for Illinois a compensation commission system which will assure a sensible and reasoned approach to salaries for elected and appointed officials.

## Judges' Pension Benefits Need Re-Examination

The Supreme Court believes that in two respects article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code, commonly called the Judges Pension System, needs to be re-examined: the method of computing a judge's annuity and the absence of a provision allowing a "cost of living" increase for a judge's spouse who is receiving a widow's or survivor's annuity.

Section 18-125(d) of the Code, as amended by Public Act 82-768 (effective January 1, 1983), provides in relevant part that as of July 1, 1982 "the salary base to be used for the computation of a retirement annuity for any [judge] in service on or after [July 1, 1982] shall be the *average salary for the final year of service* as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1982 Supp., ch. 108½, par. 18-125(d).) Immediately prior to the enactment of that amendatory act, section 18-125(d) provided in relevant part that the annuity was based upon a judge's salary "*on the last day of employment* as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 108½, par. 18-125(d).) The Supreme Court believes that the above-quoted part of Public Act 82-768 is in need of reconsideration, and the Court suggests that consideration be given to returning section 18-125(d) to its former state that a judge's retirement annuity be based upon his salary "on the last day of employment as a judge."

Under the existing statutes a widow of a judge who contributed to the widow's annuity benefit is entitled to an annuity in an amount scheduled by law. (See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 108½, pars. 18-123, 18-128, 18-134.) If the judge-annuitant at the time of his death was receiving the "cost of living" allowance (automatic increase in retirement annuity) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1982 Supp., ch. 108½, par. 18-125.1), then the widow's annuity will be based upon the annuity that the judge-annuitant "was receiving *immediately prior to his death inclusive of annual increases* in the retirement annuity to the date of death" (emphasis added) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 108½, par. 18-128 (2) (b) (1)), but there is no provision in the statutes for future "cost of living" increases in the widow's annuity. (In cases of a widow of a sitting judge the widow's annuity is solely based on the judge's salary on the last day or the annuity the judge would have been entitled to on the date of death. See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 108½, par. 18-128 (2) (b) (3).) The ravage of inflation is common knowledge, and its devastating effect on persons on fixed-incomes is well known. The survivors of a judge who had faithfully served in public office at a financial sacrifice should not have to wholly suffer the adverse economic consequences of inflationary spirals by seeing their static annuity being diminished for reasons beyond their control. The General Assembly has provided a one-time "cost of living" allowance in the survivor's annuity provided by other State retirement systems (see, e.g., Ill. Rev. Stat. 1982 Supp., ch. 108½, par. 16-141 (2) (h)), and we note that the General Assembly is considering legislation to create a "cost of living" allowance for a judge's spouse who is receiving a widow's or survivor's annuity (see Senate Bill 718, pending in the Senate Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities Committee). Our Court urges that continued consideration be given to establishing such a "cost of living" allowance.

The Supreme Court invites the General Assembly to re-examine article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 108½, par. 18-101 *et seq.*) and consider providing therein that a judge's annuity shall be based upon his salary on the last day of judicial service and that the widow's annuity be increased by a "cost of living" allowance.

## Chief Judge's Administrative Powers Are Subject Only To Supreme Court's Powers

Under section 7(c) of article VI of the Illinois Constitution, the chief judge of each circuit court has general administrative authority over his court, subject only to the Supreme Court. Provisions of law which require a chief judge to obtain the consent of his fellow circuit judges before exercising administrative powers are constitutionally suspect.

A case in point is section 4 of the Court Reporters Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 37, par. 654). That section provides in pertinent part:

> "The chief judge may appoint with the advice and consent of all circuit judges in the circuit all or any of the number of court reporters authorized by certification of the Supreme Court. The court reporters so appointed shall serve at the pleasure of the chief judge and may be removed by the chief judge with the advice and consent of the circuit judges of the circuit."

The appointment and removal of official court reporters is an administrative responsibility. The statute purports to limit the chief judges' authority to appoint or remove court reporters by requiring that the chief judges first obtain the advice and consent of their fellow circuit judges. Such provisions tend to create an impression that the administration of the circuit courts is a collective responsibility when, in fact, the constitution clearly provides that the chief judge will administer each circuit subject only to the authority of the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to consider clarifying the chief judges' authority in the Court Reporters Act and in other acts which impose similar conditions on the chief judges' discharge of their administrative responsibilities.

## Clerks Of The Circuit Courts Should Be Appointed

The clerks of the circuit courts of Illinois are not county officials, but are nonjudicial members of the judicial branch of State government (*Drury v. County of McLean* (1982), 89 Ill. 2d 417), and, like the clerks of the Supreme and Appellate Courts, they should be appointed.

The Supreme Court Committee on Clerks of Court in its final report to this Court recommended that clerks of the circuit courts be appointed by the circuit court judges.

> "While circuit clerks perform myriad duties requiring intelligence, discretion, good judgment and management talents, they are not responsible for formulating policy. Their principal responsibility is to faithfully execute policies set forth in statutes, rules, or orders of court — regardless of the reaction of the local electorate, not in response to it. The idea that a clerk could frustrate the policy objectives of the court he serves on the grounds that he is elected, and therefore 'responsible to the people,' is intolerable. Our Constitution vests general administrative authority over the circuit courts in the Chief Judge, subject only to the general administrative and supervisory power of the Supreme Court. The clerk is an integral part of the judicial team, as are court reporters, for example, and that he should be elected rather than appointed is a historical and political anomaly having little, if anything, to do with promoting the efficiency or effectiveness of his office. The committee, therefore, recommends that circuit clerks become appointed non-judicial officers of the state court system."

The Supreme Court recognizes that the power to provide for either the election or the appointment of clerks of the circuit court is a matter within the exclusive jurisdiction of the General Assembly (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 18 (b)). (Too, we observe that the constitution provides that the General Assembly shall determine how the circuit court clerks' offices shall be funded (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 18 (c)), and we note with favor the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 54 by the General Assembly, which creates a broad-based circuit court finance and budget committee to study and recommend "ways of [adequately] financing the office of Circuit Clerk in each county of the State.") Nevertheless, the Supreme Court concurs with its committee's recommendation that clerks of the circuit courts should be appointed by the circuit judges of the respective circuits and urges the General Assembly to consider changing the law in that respect.

## The State Should Pay The Expenses Of Operating The Chief Circuit Judges' Office In Multi-County Circuits

The Illinois Constitution of 1970 places broad administrative authority in the chief circuit judge. To properly execute that authority, the chief judge needs personnel, office equipment, supplies and other items traditionally associated with management. In some multi-county circuits, the county boards contribute to a common fund to defray those expenses; in others they do not. In those circuits in which all counties do not contribute, an individual county board is reluctant to assume the full responsibility for paying the expenses of a chief judge's office which serves the management needs of counties within the circuit other than the chief judge's county of residence. Understandably, the county boards believe they cannot justify spending their county's taxpayers' funds for the expenses of the office of a chief judge who has circuit-wide management responsibilities. Most chief judges in multi-county circuits estimate the cost of operating their office to be modest.

The General Assembly pays the salary and travel expenses of each chief judge's administrative secretary (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 37, pars. 72.4-1, 72.4-2), but none of the other expenses associated with the chief judge's office is borne by the State. The Supreme Court believes that the expenses of the office of the chief judge in multi-county circuits should be paid out of State appropriations.

Many multi-county circuits present complex problems of administration which cannot be met with the scarce resources presently available to most chief circuit judges. Some of the larger counties (including the two single-county circuits — Cook County and DuPage County) do provide some administrative support over and above the administrative secretary who is paid by the State, but by-and-large the chief judges must get along in an increasingly hostile economic environment with only the meager tools offered by the State.

The Supreme Court is cognizant that the General Assembly is considering State-funding of the chief judge's office (see, e.g., House Bill 2253, pending on the interim study calendar of the House Judiciary Committee), and the Court urges adoption of a trial court administration program under which selected multi-county circuits, designated by the Supreme Court, could receive essential, State-supported administrative personnel, equipment and supplies to assist the chief judge to fulfill his constitutional mandate to exercise "general administrative authority over his court ***" (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)).

# THE APPELLATE COURT

## Jurisdiction

The Appellate Court is the intermediate court of review in the Illinois judicial system. Appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court may be taken as a matter of right to the Appellate Court, except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court. There is no appeal from a judgment of acquittal in a criminal case. The Appellate Court may exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review, and it may also review administrative actions, as may be provided by law, (Art. VI, Sec. 6). Pursuant to the constitutional provision concerning review of administrative actions, the legislature has enacted two such statutes: (1) the Environmental Protection Act, Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 111½, § 1041, effective July 1, 1970, provides that "final orders or determinations" of the Pollution Control Board may be appealed directly to the Appellate Court; and (2) the Election Code, Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 46, § 9-22, effective October 1, 1974, provides that "judgments" of the State Board of Elections concerning disclosure of campaign contributions and expenditures may be appealed directly to the Appellate Court.

In general, Articles III and VI of the Supreme Court Rules govern the mechanics of appellate procedure in civil and criminal cases. Of particular note, is Rule 335 which controls direct appeals from administrative actions to the Appellate Court.

It is interesting to observe that Illinois is one of only a few states that provides for appeal as a matter of constitutional right in the intermediate court of review. Furthermore, the Constitution in Article VI, Section 16 directs that the Supreme Court implement the right of appeal by promulgating rules "for expeditious and inexpensive appeals" to the Supreme and Appellate Courts. Thus, it may be fairly stated that an aggrieved litigant, who disagrees with the decision of the Circuit Court, can appeal the judgment to the Appellate Court. This right of appeal applies equally to the defendant who is adjudged guilty of violating a traffic ordinance, as well as to the party who has lost a $1,000,000 personal injury lawsuit. In addition, a litigant has a right to appeal from a decision of the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court if the Appellate Court issues a certificate of importance or a question arises under the Federal or State Constitution for the first time as a result of the action of the Appellate Court.

## Organization

The Constitution, Art. VI, Sec. 5, provides: (1) the number of Appellate Judges to be selected from each judicial district shall be provided by law; (2) the Supreme Court shall prescribe by rule the number of appellate divisions in each judicial district; (3) each appellate division shall have at least three judges; (4) assignments of judges to divisions shall be made by the Supreme Court; (5) a majority of a division constitutes a quorum and the concurrence of a majority of the division is necessary for a decision; (6) there shall be at least one division in each judicial district; and (7) each division shall sit at times and places prescribed by rules of the Supreme Court. Appellate Court judges, like Supreme Court judges, are elected for 10 year terms. (Art. VI, Sec. 10).

The General Assembly has provided for the election of 18 Appellate Judges from the First District and 4 from each of the other four districts. The fourth judgeship in each of the four downstate appellate districts was established effective October 1, 1973 (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, §25). These new judgeships were filled at the November, 1974 general election.

Pursuant to Section 5 of Article VI, the Supreme Court has adopted Rule 22 which establishes the organization of the Appellate Court. The rule (as amended effective October 15, 1979), provides as follows:

### "Rule 22. Appellate Court Organization"

**(a) Divisions—Appellate Districts.** Each district of the Appellate Court shall consist of one division unless the Supreme Court provides otherwise by order. The First District shall sit in the city of Chicago. The Second District shall sit in the city of Elgin. The Third District shall sit in the city of Ottawa. The Fourth District shall sit in the city of Springfield. The Fifth District shall sit in the city of Mount Vernon. With the approval of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, a division may sit at any place in the State. The Appellate Court in each district shall be in session throughout the year, and each division shall sit periodically as its judicial business requires. Each division shall sit in panels of three judges as hereinafter provided.

**(b) Assignment to Divisions—Designation of Panels.** The Supreme Court shall assign judges to the various divisions. The presiding judge of a division shall designate judges serving in that division to sit in panels of three. Such a three-judge panel shall constitute the division for purposes of rendering a decision in a case. The Executive Committee of the First District, upon request of a division of that district, may designate any Appellate Court judge of that district to sit in the place of a judge of the requesting division for such case or cases as may be designated in the request.

**(c) Decisions.** Three judges must participate in the decision of every case and the concurrence of two shall be necessary to a decision. Motions of course may be decided by one judge.

**(d) Divisions—Presiding Judge.** The judges of each division shall select one of their number to serve as presiding judge of that division for a term of one year.

**(e) Executive Committee of the Appellate Court of Illinois.** The presiding judges of the Second, Third, Fourth, and Fifth Districts and the members of the Executive Committee of the First District shall constitute the Executive Committee of the Appellate Court of Illinois. Meetings of the Executive Committee may be called by any three of its members, and meetings of the Appellate Court may be called by the Executive Committee.

**(f) Executive Committee of the Appellate Court in the First Appellate District.** There shall be an Executive Committee of the First District composed of one member of each division, which committee shall exercise general administrative authority. The Executive Committee shall select one of its members as chairman."

## Supreme Court Assignment Of Judges To The Appellate Court

Article VI, Sec. 16 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 gives the Supreme Court the authority to assign Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges temporarily to any court and an Associate Judge to any Circuit Court. Also, article VI, Sec. 15 gives the Supreme Court the authority to assign a retired Judge, with his consent, to judicial service (a retired Associate Judge may only be assigned as an Associate Judge).

During 1983, five Circuit Judges served in the Appellate Court by assignment. In addition, two retired Appellate Court Judges and two retired Circuit Court Judges were assigned to the Appellate Court.

Assignments (other than to hear specific cases) were as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| First District— | Hon. Mayer Goldberg, retired Appellate Court Judge (all year) |
| | Hon. Mel R. Jiganti, Cook County Circuit Judge (all year) |
| | Hon. John M. O'Connor, retired (all year), assigned as a retired Appellate Court Judge |
| Second District— | Hon. William V. Hopf, 18th Circuit Judge (all year or until further order of the Supreme Court) |
| | Hon. William R. Nash, 17th Circuit Judge (all year or until further order of the Supreme Court) |
| | Hon. Lloyd A. Van Deusen, retired 19th Circuit Judge, assigned to judicial service in the Second Judicial District (all year or until further order of the Supreme Court; by another order, Judge Van Deusen has been assigned until further order from the Third District to the Second District of the Appellate Court) |
| Third District— | Hon. Albert Scott, 9th Circuit Judge (all year) |
| Fourth District— | Hon. Albert G. Webber, III, retired 6th Circuit Judge (all year) |
| Fifth District— | Hon. George W. Kasserman, Jr., 4th Circuit Judge (all year) |

## Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Appellate Court

Supreme Court Rule 22(e) creates an executive committee of the Appellate Court and provides for meetings of all judges of that court. Traditionally, the Appellate Court holds an annual meeting during the latter part of the year.

On December 8, 1983, the Appellate Court held its annual meeting with Judge John J. Sullivan (1st District), meetings chairman, presiding. In attendance were 33 appellate judges, Chief Justice Howard C. Ryan, and Governor James R. Thompson who briefly addressed the attendees.

Chief Justice Ryan delivered remarks, noting that the judges of the Appellate Court continue to achieve a high rate of disposition of appeals for which they deserve congratulations. He also observed that in the near future the Supreme Court would amend its rules and thereby alter the course of workers' compensation appeals. Appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission would go to the Appellate Court rather than directly to the Supreme Court, and would be heard by a 5-judge appellate panel sitting as the Industrial Commission division in each district of the Appellate Court.

Other matters considered at the meeting included:

(1) A report on judicial pensions by Judge Robert J. Downing (1st District), chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System of Illinois.

(2) Appointment of Appellate Court members to the Illinois Courts Commission. Appointed as members were Judges Francis S. Lorenz (1st District) and Charles E. Jones (5th District), and as alternates

Judges Kenneth E. Wilson (1st District) and Allan Stouder (3rd District).

(3) A discussion of the merits of a prehearing conference program for appeals.

Judge Allan Stouder (3rd District) was selected as the next meetings chairman of the Illinois Appellate Court.

## Administrative Committee Of The Illinois Appellate Court

For many years the Appellate Court has had a standing committee, created by order of the Supreme Court, to study and recommend methods by which the Appellate Court might improve processing of appeals. The Administrative Office has served as secretary to the committee, except for the period from December 1980 to mid-1983 when the Appellate Court Coordinator assumed that function. However, in 1983, the Administrative Office was directed by the Supreme Court to resume as secretary to the committee.

As of December 31, 1983, the members of the Appellate Court Administrative Committee were:

Hon. Glenn K. Seidenfeld (2nd District), Chairman
Hon. Tobias Barry (3rd District)
Hon. Calvin C. Campbell (1st District)
Hon. Robert J. Downing (1st District)
Hon. Frederick S. Green (4th District)
Hon. Charles E. Jones (5th District)
Hon. Daniel J. McNamara (1st District)
Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh (Supreme Court liaison)

During 1983 the Administrative Committee held two meetings and considered these matters:

(1) Reviewed the 1982 caseload statistics for the Appellate Court.

(2) Recommended that the Supreme Court, if it deems it advisable to amend its Rule 23 to provide for summary disposition of appeals, consider two proposals discussed by the committee: a summary affirmance order, and a brief record affirmance order.

(3) Discussed a Michigan appellate rule which allows the appellee to move for affirmance on the ground that the questions to be reviewed are unsubstantial, and concluded such a rule in Illinois would increase rather than reduce the work of the court and counsel.

(4) Recommended that Supreme Court Rule 34(a) be amended by reducing the page limitation of briefs from 75 to 50 pages.

(5) Noted that Supreme Court Rule 311, which establishes a permissive accelerated docket in the Appellate Court, has rarely been invoked by the parties on appeal.

(6) Recommended that the docketing statement provided in Supreme Court Rules 303(g) and 606(g) be amended to provide that the court reporter certify or acknowledge that the transcript has been ordered.

(7) Discussed implementation of the Supreme Court's administrative order on record retention and destruction in the Appellate Court.

(8) Requested the Supreme Court to reconsider its policy prohibiting the use of law school students as extern law clerks in the Appellate Court.

## Appellate Court Clerks

Article VI, Sec. 18(a) of the Illinois Constitution provides:

> "(a) The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District."

As of December 31, 1983, the appointed Appellate Court Clerks were: First District, Gilbert S. Marchman; Second District, Loren J. Strotz; Third District, Joseph Fennessey; Fourth District, Darryl Pratscher; Fifth District, Walter T. Simmons.

## Appellate Court Research Departments

Supreme Court Rule 24, adopted effective October 15, 1979, established a research department in each Appellate Court district. The rule provides that each department will be staffed by a director of research and such number of staff attorneys as the Supreme Court may from time to time determine. The research departments shall perform such duties as may be assigned to them by the Presiding Judge of the district or, in the First District, by the Executive Committee. They are to coordinate their activities, exchange information and publish and maintain a manual of procedures for the research staff. The Supreme Court has assigned an assistant to coordinate the activities of the research departments. All research staff attorneys must be graduates of law schools approved by the American Bar Association.

Rule 24 is based on the successful operation of various research projects in the Appellate Court districts over the past several years. They are now given official standing, under the rule, and are included in the Supreme Court's annual appropriation request to the General Assembly.

## 1983 Appellate Court Caseload Summary

There were 6,815 new filings in 1983, compared with 6,687 in 1982, an increase of 2%. There were 5,501 cases pending at the end of 1983, compared with 5,938 in 1982, a decrease of 7%.

1,523 cases were disposed of with opinions in 1983, compared with 1,446 in 1982, an increase of 5%.

During 1983, Appellate Court Judges disposed of 3,341 cases by Rule 23 orders, compared with 3,105 in 1982, an increase of 8%.

**APPELLATE COURT CASELOAD COMPARISON — 1978 THROUGH 1983**

| YEAR | CASES FILED | CASES DISPOSED OF | CASES PENDING ON DECEMBER 31 | CASES DISPOSED OF |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  | BY OPINION | BY RULE 23 ORDER |
| 1978 | 4,337 | 4,472 | 3,852 | 2,087 | 1,237 |
| 1979 | 5,651* | 4,660 | 4,924 | 2,092 | 1,464 |
| 1980 | 6,479 | 6,153 | 5,374 | 2,523 | 1,760 |
| 1981 | 6,516 | 6,333 | 5,635 | 2,116 | 2,523 |
| 1982 | 6,687 | 6,500 | 5,938 | 1,446 | 3,105 |
| 1983 | 6,815 | 7,396 | 5,501 | 1,523 | 3,341 |

*Of this number — 1,095 were docketed since October 15, 1979, upon the filing of the notice of appeal.

# THE CIRCUIT COURTS

## Jurisdiction

The court of general jurisdiction or trial level court, in Illinois, is known as the Circuit Court. It has original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters, except: (1) in matters relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office; (2) where the Supreme Court exercises its discretionary original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus; and (3) by statute, the review of orders of the Pollution Control Board and certain orders of the State Board of Elections. There are no courts of special or limited jurisdiction in Illinois. (Ill. Const. Art. VI, Sec. 9).

## Organization

The State is divided into 21 judicial circuits by statute (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, § 72.1). Two circuits, Cook County and the 18th Circuit, consist of a single county. The other 19 judicial circuits are composed of two or more contiguous counties as provided by law. Each judicial circuit has but one, unified Circuit Court.

There are two categories of judges in the Circuit Courts: (1) Circuit Judges, and (2) Associate Judges. Both categories of judges have the full constitutional jurisdiction of the Circuit Court; however, pursuant to Art. VI, Section 8, the Supreme Court provides by rule for the matters to be assigned to Associate Judges. Until May 28, 1975 Supreme Court Rule 295 provided that the Chief Judge of a circuit could assign Associate Judges to hear any matters except the trial of criminal cases in which the defendant was charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. Effective May 28, 1975, Rule 295 was amended to provide:

> "Upon a showing of need presented to the Supreme Court by the chief judge of a circuit, the Supreme Court may authorize the chief judge to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year."

Circuit Judges are initially elected, either on a circuit-wide basis or from the county where they reside (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, §§ 72.2; 72.42-1). In the Cook County Circuit, Circuit Judges are elected from the City of Chicago, from the entire county or from the area outside of Chicago (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, § 72.42).

Associate Judges are appointed on a merit basis by the Circuit Judges in their respective circuits. Supreme Court Rule 39 establishes the procedure for nominating and appointing attorneys who have applied for the position of Associate Judge.

Circuit Judges are elected for six-year terms and Associate Judges are appointed for four-year terms (Art. VI, Sec. 10). All judges must be licensed attorneys (Art. VI, Sec. 11).

The Circuit Judges in each Circuit select by secret ballot a Chief Judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court the Chief Judge has general administrative authority over his court, including authority to provide for divisions, general or specialized, and for appropriate times and places of holding court (Art. VI, Sec. 7).

Appeals from the Circuit Court are to the Appellate Court or to the Supreme Court, depending upon the nature of the case (Art. VI, Secs. 4 and 5). No judge of the Circuit Court has the power to review the decision of another and there are no trials *de novo*. Appeals are based on the trial court record, except where the reviewing court may exercise its original jurisdiction as may be necessary for the complete determination of the case on review (Art. VI, Secs. 4 and 5).

## 1983 Circuit Court Caseload Summary

The number of cases filed in the Circuit Courts of Illinois during 1983 was 3,847,299, compared with 4,027,360 in 1982, a decrease of 5%.

The number of cases disposed of in the Circuit Courts was 4,026,196 in 1983, compared with 4,009,392 in 1982, an increase of 1%. These numbers do not include the Circuit Court of Cook County, First Municipal District, "hang-on" tickets.

There were 739,068 cases pending at the end of 1983, compared with 824,552 in 1982, a decrease of 10%. At the end of 1982, 52% were over 12 months old, whereas at the end of 1983, 53% were over 12 months old.

In addition, when further comparing 1983 with 1982 and removing traffic cases from the above totals, all other filings decreased by 11% and 1983 dispositions for all other categories decreased by 5% over 1982.

And when, considering just traffic, there were increases of 11% in filings and 13% in dispositions in 1983 over 1982.

## Circuit Court Of Cook County
## Caseload Summary
## 1973-1983

The number of filings, reinstatements, and cases disposed of, beginning with the year 1973, are set forth below.

The decrease in filings and reinstatements in 1983, over 1982, was 148,953 and the decrease in dispositions was 14,520.

| Year | Cases Added-Filings/ Reinstatements | Cases Disposed Of |
|---|---|---|
| 1973 | 2,043,994 | 1,907,152 |
| 1974 | 2,043,914 | 1,945,142 |
| 1975 | 2,238,642 | 2,116,443 |
| 1976 | 2,269,085 | 2,092,699 |
| 1977 | 2,328,654 | 2,200,254 |
| 1978 | 2,466,246 | 2,338,370 |
| 1979 | 2,426,276 | 2,322,992 |
| 1980 | 2,514,253 | 2,470,916 |
| 1981 | 2,636,783 | 2,492,885 |
| 1982 | 2,552,174 | 2,516,026 |
| 1983 | 2,403,221 | 2,501,506 |

Note: These figures do not include "hang-ons", parking tickets filed in the 1st District.

## Circuit Court Of Cook County
## Cases Pending At End Of Year
## 1973-1983

The following chart indicates the number of cases pending, at the end of each year since 1973, and the percentage of increase or decrease over the preceding year.

| Year | Cases Pending at End of Period | Percentage Change Over Preceding Year |
|---|---|---|
| 1973 | 191,175 | +38.74% |
| 1974 | 218,701 | +14.40% |
| 1975 | 242,441 | +10.86% |
| 1976 | 288,374 | +18.95% |
| 1977 | 317,339 | +10.04% |
| 1978 | 357,643 | +12.70% |
| 1979 | 460,701 | +28.82% |
| 1980 | 462,317 | + 0.35% |
| 1981 | 503,108 | + 8.82% |
| 1982 | 537,590 | + 6.85% |
| 1983 | 467,791 | -12.98% |

Note: All divisions and districts are reporting pending figures with the exception of traffic cases.



NUMBER OF LAW JURY CASES PENDING IN THE COOK COUNTY LAW DIVISION
AT THE END OF EACH MONTH
FROM JANUARY 1971 THROUGH DECEMBER 1983

## NUMBER OF LAW JURY CASES PENDING IN THE COOK COUNTY MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT AT THE END OF EACH MONTH FROM JANUARY 1971 THROUGH DECEMBER 1983



## AVERAGE AGE OF LAW JURY CASES (IN MONTHS) DISPOSED OF EACH MONTH FROM JANUARY 1971 THROUGH DECEMBER 1983 (LAW DIVISION)

Top line: Disposed of by verdict
Lower line: Disposed of by any means



## Cases Filed & Reinstated and Disposed of in the Circuit Courts*
1973-1983



*Excludes Cook County, 1st Municipal District — "Hang-on" tickets.

Filed & Reinstated ----------

Disposed Of ——————

# ADMINISTRATION OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

## Conference of Chief Circuit Judges

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, a Conference of Chief Circuit Judges meets regularly to consider problems relating to the administration of the circuit courts and such other matters as may, from time to time, be referred to the Conference by the Supreme Court. As of December 31, 1983, the chief circuit judges were:

1st Circuit — Hon. William A. Lewis
2nd Circuit — Hon. Henry Lewis
3rd Circuit — Hon. A. Andreas Matoesian
4th Circuit — Hon. Paul M. Hickman
5th Circuit — Hon. Ralph Pearman
6th Circuit — Hon. Rodney A. Scott
7th Circuit — Hon. Gordon D. Seator
8th Circuit — Hon. Edward B. Dittmeyer
9th Circuit — Hon Max B. Stewart
10th Circuit — Hon. Stephen J. Covey
11th Circuit — Hon. John T. McCullough (Chairman)
12th Circuit — Hon. Charles P. Connor
13th Circuit — Hon. Alexander T. Bower
14th Circuit — Hon. David DeDoncker
15th Circuit — Hon. John W. Rapp, Jr.
16th Circuit — Hon. John A. Krause
17th Circuit — Hon Harris H. Agnew
18th Circuit — Hon. Bruce R. Fawell
19th Circuit — Hon. Jack Hoogasian
20th Circuit — Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham, Jr.
Cook County — Hon. Harry G. Comerford

Hon. Thomas J. Moran was the liaison justice from the Supreme Court during calendar year 1983. In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 42, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is the secretary of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges.

The Conference met in January, February, March, April, May, June, September, October, and December of 1983. Among the matters considered by the Conference during the calendar year 1983 were the following:

(1) The Secretary of State requested that the Chief Judges encourage trial judges to comply with the statutory requirement that they seize motor vehicle operator's licenses when defendants are convicted of offenses for which the suspension or revocation of such licenses is mandatory.

(2) The chief judges discussed with representatives of the Secretary of State's office methods of disposing of cases in which a defendant is either convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs or placed on supervision after a hearing on such charges, and the required reports which must be submitted to the Secretary's office.

(3) The Illinois State Bar Association outlined for the Conference its intention to make its polling capabilities available to any circuit that wished to use them for reviewing lawyer attitudes regarding sitting associate judges, in anticipation of the associate judge retention election which was held in 1983.

(4) The Conference reviewed and approved the Report of the Study Committee on Contempt of Court and forwarded to the Supreme Court its recommendation that the Court adopt the rules proposed in that Report.

(5) The Conference rejected a proposal that would require a hearing to set bail pending appeal to be held in the trial court after a defendant filed his notice of appeal from a criminal conviction. Apparently such hearings are routinely held, unless the defendant has already been placed in the Department of Corrections. Making such hearings mandatory could (a) result in having to hold a defendant in the county jail until he decided to file his motion or (b) requiring the return of the defendant to the trial court for such a hearing even though he had already been placed in the Department of Corrections.

(6) The Conference considered the impact of new Supreme Court Rule 21(c) which provides for the enforcement of administrative orders entered by chief judges on persons outside of the judicial branch of government. The Conference considered drafts of the rule and commented thereon before the Court adopted the rule, as well as making recommendations for procedures to be followed in the trial court to carry out the intent of the new rule.

(7) The Conference instructed its chairman, Judge McCullough to write to the director of the Driver Improvement Program in Peoria (and certain other cities) and advise him that he should desist from making any representations that his Driver Improvement Program has any official status with the state judiciary or the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges.

(8) The Conference considered the possible ramifications of requiring, as a condition of supervision or probation, that defendants be required to attend proprietary educational programs, attitude adjustment seminars, driver training programs, marriage counsellors, etc. which are profit-making ventures for the operators. It was agreed that great care should be taken to avoid any appearance of impropriety in relationship to orders requiring litigants to use the services of such organizations.

(9) The Conference discussed the practice in some circuits of allowing the removal of files from the clerk's office. Generally speaking, it was agreed that it is the better practice to prohibit anyone from removing files from the courthouse, but it is allowable if the clerk makes a "security record" of the file.

(10) The Conference discussed various matters relating to jury administration:

(a) Is there any prohibition against giving attorneys the list containing jurors' names, before the jurors are called for *voir dire*? It was decided that there was not, but that the earlier the attorneys know the jurors' names, etc. the easier it will be for them to engage in working up psychological profiles, etc.

(b) The law should be amended to recognize that juror selection and summoning is now done by computer in many counties. The law is antiquated.

(c) Some circuits feel that every effort should be made to combine the driver's license lists with the voter registration list to increase the pool of persons who might be called for jury duty.

(d) Some counties feed jurors only during the course of deliberations, but others feed jurors from the time they go "on trial" until the conclusion of deliberations. There was a question as to whether the chief judge can order individual trial judges to desist from ordering the county to pay for jurors' meals at any time other than when the jurors are actually in deliberations.

(11) The chief judges unanimously support a change in legislation which would require that surcharges assessed "to defray the cost of the judicial system" *actually* be dedicated to that purpose, rather than being diverted to other purposes.

(12) Judge Gulley turned aside a suggestion that the identification cards issued by the Administrative Office be revised to include a current photograph of the judge. Judge Gulley explained that he "avoids a fancy ID card" so that officers and employees of the judicial branch will not be tempted to flash them too often.

(13) Hon. Michael Lane, Director of the Department of Corrections, together with Lawrence X. Pusateri, Esq., Counsel to the Department, and Mr. Earl Huch, Special Asst. to the Director, attended a meeting of the Conference to explain the rationale for the controversial "forced release" of prisoners program which had been adopted by Director Lane. Mr. Lane explained that the Department was not releasing prisoners under the "forced release" program because the Department wanted to; it was releasing them because it simply has no room to hold all of the prisoners sent to it and it must make room for the more serious offenders.

(14) Wayne Anderson, Esq., Asst. Sec. of State, and Mr. Gary March, Drivers' License Division, attended a meeting of the chief judges and discussed with them the policies and procedures followed by the Secretary of State in processing requests to issue restricted driving permits in cases in which a license has been suspended. Also discussed was the possibility of imposing as a condition of probation or supervision a restriction against driving at certain times or to certain places, and the fact that such restrictions would not show up on the driver's computerized history kept by the Secretary of State.

(15) Hon. Dennis Potthast, Circuit Clerk of Bond County, and President of the Circuit Clerks' Association, attended a meeting of the chief judges and discussed the serious funding problems faced by the circuit clerks' offices around the State. Several alternatives were discussed: (a) the financial responsibility for the circuit clerks' offices could be transferred to the State; (b) a circuit taxing district could be created by law, to be administered by a Circuit Judiciary Board similar to, for example, the County Health Governing Board or Mosquito Abatement District, etc.

(16) A subcommittee of the Conference submitted a report analyzing the goods and services provided to court reporters by the individual counties. It appears that there is a wide variance between the circuits and between counties within a circuit. Some counties provide typewriters, ribbons, paper, free parking, filing cabinets, stenotype machines, stenotype paper, notebooks, etc. and others provide nothing. Some circuits have set, written policies governing such things as holidays, vacations, sick leave, maternity leave, etc. and others have traditional positions on such matters. Others either play such matters by ear, or have no policy at all.

(17) The Conference established an ad hoc committee, under the Chairmanship of Chief Judge Joseph F. Cunningham, Jr., to review and make recommendations concerning implementation of P.A. 83-385 which causes Illinois to join the Non-resident Violators' Compact. The committee met in Springfield and forwarded to the Conference wide-ranging recommendations for amendments to Article V of the Supreme Court Rules and modifications to the Uniform Citation and Complaint Form. After review and approval the Conference sent its recommendations to the Supreme Court.

(18) The Conference considered the meaning of the phrase "judges of the circuit court," as it is used in certain statutes. Can the phrase be construed to include associate judges of the circuit court? The chief judges concluded that the phrase should be construed to mean only circuit judges, not associate judges, unless the statutory context is perfectly clear that the General Assembly intended to include associate judges.

(19) The chief judges agreed that a judge should not appoint a panel of laypersons to carry out the responsibility to conduct the review of the status of foster children, as required by Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 37, §705-8(2).

(20) The Conference considered the impact that passage of H.B. 97 (State funding of probation services) would have on the operation of the circuit courts. Generally speaking, the chief judges were content to allow the administration of probation services to be controlled

more directly than they presently are by the Probation Division of the Administrative Office, if the State assumes the financial responsibility for the operation of probation services. However, the chief judges unanimously oppose any suggestion that probation services should be administered by the Department of Corrections or any other executive Department.

(21) The Conference considered matters brought to its attention by the Circuit Clerks' Association:

(a) What is the proper procedure for handling bail deposits when the depositor is never formally charged with an offense? The Conference concluded that the money should be returned within a set time; some felt a court order was appropriate, others felt a general order telling the clerks to do so was sufficient.

(b) Can the trial court order the prosecutor to consolidate multiple traffic tickets into one case, and upon conviction impose only one fine and one bill of costs? The Conference concluded that the court could do so in some cases, but probably not all.

(c) Can judges "waive" costs in criminal cases, if the statute does not make the imposition of costs discretionary? No.

(d) Judges are to insure that the Certificate of Dissolution or Invalidity required by Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 40, par. 707 is submitted before the dissolution order is signed. Some are not. The Chief Judges will encourage their fellow judges to comply with the statute.

(e) Lawyers are filing papers directly with the judge in some cases. Some of those papers never make it into the clerk's hands. Such activities should be monitored more carefully.

(22) The Conference concluded that the trial court probably could not prohibit a city prosecutor from prosecuting an offender under a municipal ordinance rather than under the State DUI statute, but it was noted that the statute provides for an enhancement of the penalty even if the prosecution is under a municipal ordinance, if the driver's record is bad.

(23) Judge Fawell reported that 2 major procedural changes in processing traffic cases are being tried in DuPage County in an effort to equalize the workload each day in each courtroom and to cut down the number of court appearances:

(a) the clerk reschedules all traffic ticket appearances as soon as he receives the paper-work from the police department. This is intended to avoid tremendous variations in the number of cases heard in each courtroom from one day to the next.

(b) they are working out a procedure to allow drivers charged with minor offenses to obtain supervision on a plea of guilty by mail, unless the prosecutor objects and presents evidence of previous offenses within the past 12 months.

(24) The Conference considered the proliferation of surcharges, additional fines, filing fee add-ons, etc. which are intended to fund special projects, such as police training, abused-women shelters, etc. Most of these have been enacted into law in the last year or so. The Conference recommends that the General Assembly desist from enacting any such surcharges, etc. which do not directly relate to the operation of court programs, such as the law library fees and the fee "to help support the circuit court in the county." This last fee should be restricted to use for court programs only, and not be allowed to be used for general county responsibilities.

(25) The Conference considered the question of a judge's personal liability for damages under §1983 of the U.S. Code for actions taken by him in his administrative, as distinguished from his judicial capacity. Several judges in Illinois have been sued for damages arising from such actions as firing probation officers or firing a trial court administrator, etc. Judge Gulley advised the Conference that the ABA would shortly be recommending an insurance policy which would cover any judgments which may be rendered against any judge for such alleged wrongdoing.

(26) The Conference discussed the implementation of the new statute that authorizes jurors to take notes during trials and requires the sheriff to distribute notebooks and pencils for that purpose. Several different approaches were suggested, and it appears that each circuit, perhaps each trial judge, will handle the matter in slightly different ways.

## Age of Pending Cases Reports

In early 1979 the Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office, instituted an age of pending cases reporting procedure.

Effective June 30, 1979, the Chief Circuit Judges, individual trial judges and the circuit clerks are required to submit the following reports, semi-annually:

*Chief Judges* — Summary age of pending cases report for each county, which includes: (1) number of untried felony cases pending; (2) number of untried felony cases more than 180 days old (over 5 years old in Cook County); (3) steps taken or to be taken to insure the prompt disposition of such cases; (4) number of cases dismissed under the "speedy trial statute," Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, § 103-5; (5) number of untried law jury cases (over $15,000) pending; (6) number of untried law jury cases (over $15,000) more than 2 years old (over 7 years old in Cook County); (7) a report on any category of cases in which there is unusual delay noted; and (8) number of complaints from attorneys or citizens concerning delay in processing cases.

*Trial Judges* — (1) Individual reports on untried felony cases pending over 180 days (over 5 years old in Cook County); and (2) Individual reports on untried law jury cases (over $15,000) pending over 2 years (over 7 years old in Cook County).

*Clerks* — Composite age of pending cases report for the following categories:

- Law Jury (over $15,000)
- Law Jury ($15,000 and under)
- Chancery
- Miscellaneous Remedy
- Eminent Domain
- Tax
- Municipal Corporations
- Mental Health
- Dissolution of Marriage
- Family
- Juvenile
- Felony
- Misdemeanor
- Small Claims
- Probate

## Assignments

During 1983, the Administrative Director of the Illinois Courts, on behalf of the Supreme Court, assigned 272 circuit and associate judges, temporarily, to the Circuit Court of Cook County for a total of 419 judge-weeks.

In the downstate circuits, the Director assigned 24 circuit judges and 18 associate judges, temporarily, to circuits other than their home circuit.

In addition 8 retired circuit judges and 1 retired associate judge were recalled and assigned to judicial service in the 12th Circuit, the 18th Circuit and Cook County for the entire year.

## Rule 295 Assignments

Article VI, Sec. 8, of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides for the establishment of the Office of Associate Judge. Among other things, Sec. 8 states:

"The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges."

Pursuant to this provision, the Supreme Court provided in Rule 295, that Associate Judges could be assigned to hear any matter except the trial of criminal cases punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. On May 28, 1975, Rule 295 was amended to provide that, upon a showing of need presented to the Supreme Court by the Chief Judge of a Circuit, the Court may authorize the Chief Judge to make temporary assignments of individual Associate Judges to conduct such trials.

The number of Associate Judges so authorized and their respective circuits, duing 1983, are set forth below. In some instances the same Associate Judge was assigned more than once.

*Cook County* — 177 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

*Downstate*

1st Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for one month)
6 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

2nd Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for 2 months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for five months)
5 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

3rd Circuit — 2 Associate Judges (each assigned for one month)
4 Associate Judges (each assigned for three months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for 3½ months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for four months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for 4½ months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for 5½ months)
5 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

4th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for four months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for five months)
8 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

5th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for two months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for six months)

7th Circuit — 2 Associate Judges (each assigned for three months)
3 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

9th Circuit — 3 Associate Judges (each assigned for two months)
2 Associate Judges (each assigned for four months)
3 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

10th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for three months)
12 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

11th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for five months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for ten months)

12th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for six months)

13th Circuit — 3 Associate Judges (each assigned for three months)
3 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

14th Circuit — 2 Associate Judges (each assigned for one week)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for two weeks)

15th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for two months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for four months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for six months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for seven months)

16th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for one month)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for five months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for six months)

17th Circuit — 4 Associate Judges (each assigned for two months)
4 Associate Judges (each assigned for four months)
4 Associate Judges (each assigned for five months)

18th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for two months)
2 Associate Judges (each assigned for four months)
2 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

19th Circuit — 1 Associate Judge (assigned for two months)
7 Associate Judges (each assigned for three months)
3 Associate Judges (each assigned for four months)
10 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)
1 Associate Judge (assigned for eight months)

20th Circuit — 16 Associate Judges (each assigned for six months)

# CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY


CHIEF JUDGE
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
SURETY SECTION
COUNTY DEPARTMENT
MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT
LAW DIVISION
CHANCERY DIVISION
DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION
PROBATE DIVISION
COUNTY DIVISION
JUVENILE DIVISION
CRIMINAL DIVISION
SUPPORT DIVISION
Presiding Judge
General Chancery Section
Mechanics Lien Section
Assignment Section
Pretrial Section
Pretrial Mediation Section
Motion Section
Jury Section
Progress Call
Tax Section
Extraordinary Remedies Section
Assignment Section
Pre-judgment Motion Section
Trial Section
Post Judgment Motion Section
FIRST MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
SECOND MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
THIRD MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
FOURTH MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
FIFTH MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
SIXTH MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
Presiding Judge
Civil Calls
Criminal Calls
Traffic Calls
Ordinance Violations
Conservation Violations

# THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

The Illinois Constitution provides, in Section 17 of Article VI, that there shall be "an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice." Supreme Court Rule 41 implements Section 17 by establishing membership in the Conference, creating an executive committee to assist the Court in conducting the Conference, and appointing the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts as secretary of the Conference. The text of the rule is as follows:

> "Rule 41. (a) *Duties.* There shall be a Judicial Conference to consider the business and the problems pertaining to the administration of justice in this State, and to make recommendations for its improvement.
>
> (b) *Membership.* The judges of the Supreme Court, the judges of the Appellate Court, and the judges of the circuit courts shall be members of the conference.
>
> (c) *Executive Committee.* The Supreme Court shall appoint an executive committee to assist it in conducting the Judicial Conference.
>
> (1) The committee shall consist of six judges from Cook County, the First Judicial District, and six judges from the other judicial districts outside Cook County. A designated Justice of the Supreme Court shall be an ex officio member of the committee. Members shall be appointed for a term of three years.
>
> (2) Each year the Supreme Court shall designate one of the members of the committee to act as chairperson.
>
> (3) The committee shall meet at such time and such place as may be necessary, or at the call of the Supreme Court.
>
> (4) The committee shall recommend to the Supreme Court the appointment of such other committees as are necessary to further the objectives of the conference.
>
> (5) At least 60 days prior to the date on which the Judicial Conference is to be held the committee shall submit to the Supreme Court a suggested agenda for the annual meeting.
>
> (d) *Meetings of Conference.* The conference shall meet at least once each year at a place and on a date to be designated by the Supreme Court.
>
> (e) *Secretary.* The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts shall be secretary of the conference."

The Judicial Conference membership includes the Supreme Court Justices, Appellate Court Judges and all Circuit Court Judges. The Supreme Court appoints six judges from Cook County and six judges from outside Cook County to serve three year terms on the Executive Committee.

In 1983, the Executive Committee members were:

Hon. Joseph Schneider, Chairman
Hon. Helen C. Kinney, Vice-Chairperson
Hon. Michael C. Close
Hon. Brian L. Crowe
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham
Hon. Charles J. Durham
Hon. Thomas A. McGloon
Hon. Philip Romiti
Hon. Harry D. Strouse, Jr.
Hon. Wayne C. Townley, Jr.
Hon. Frank X. Yackley
Hon. Ivan L. Yontz
Hon. Robert C. Underwood, Liaison

The Executive Committee meets monthly to plan and supervise the organization of the annual meeting of the Conference, annual Associate Judge Seminar, regional seminars and the activities of the various Judicial Conference study committees. In addition, the Executive Committee considers recommendations relating to the improvement of the administration of justice which arise as a result of the Conference, seminars and committee activities. Those recommendations, if approved, are submitted to the Supreme Court for its consideration.

During 1983 the Executive Committee activities included:

(1) Selected the site, topics, and faculty for the 1983 annual program of the Illinois Judicial Conference.

(2) Monitored the work of the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee in planning the annual Associate Judge Seminar.

(3) Upon the successful completion of assigned tasks, discharged the Study Committee on Contempt.

(4) Considered and transmitted to the Supreme Court with approval the Comprehensive Judicial Education Program prepared by the Subcommittee on Judicial Education.

(5) Created the Study Committee on Right to Trial by Jury to explore the constitutional limitations of trial by jury in Illinois.

(6) Appointed the Study Committee on Dispute Resolution to consider the feasibility of a court-annexed system of arbitration or mediation in minor civil disputes.

(7) Approved the Subcommittee on Judicial Education recommendations for the topics and faculty for the 1983-84 Regional Seminar Series to commence in October.

(8) Surveyed and reviewed the efforts of the bar and bench throughout Illinois to present educational materials and programs for the public on the function and role of the judicial system.

(9) Considered the Report of the Study Committee on the Right to Trial by Jury and submitted the report with approval to the Supreme Court.

(10) Reviewed on a continuing basis out-of-state education programs for authorization of assistance funding to Illinois Judges.

## 1983 Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Judicial Conference

The 30th Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference was conducted on Wednesday-Friday, October 5-7, 1983 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. Four hundred and ten of the four hundred and thirty-four judges of the circuit and reviewing courts were present.

Attorney General Neil F. Hartigan addressed the opening session of the Conference. Chief Justice Howard C. Ryan presented a "state of the judiciary" address at the dinner session on the opening evening. In his remarks the Chief Justice stressed the importance of better educating the public on the operation of the judicial system and reported on his individual meetings with the chief judges of every circuit to discuss their individual administrative needs and concerns.

Six 2½ hour elective seminar topics were offered on the second and third day of the program. The topics were:

Civil Law
Criminal Law
Defamation
Environmental Law
Evidence
Property Division

Each of the elective topics were presented by committees comprised of judges assisted by professor-reporters from Illinois law schools.

## 1983 Associate Judge Seminar

The annual Associate Judge Seminar program is prepared by a twelve-member committee appointed by the Executive Committee with the approval of the Supreme Court. The Coordinating Committee for the 1983 program was comprised of the following judges:

Hon. John J. Hogan, Chairman
Hon. Jeanne E. Scott, Vice-Chairperson
Hon. Francis Barth
Hon. Robert L. Carter
Hon. Harry E. Clem
Hon. Thomas P. Durkin
Hon. Edward C. Ferguson
Hon. John W. Gustafson
Hon. Rosemary Duschene LaPorta
Hon. Blanche M. Manning
Hon. Michael R. Morrison
Hon. Richard E. Scott
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham, Liaison

The Associate Judge Seminar was presented at the Marriott Hotel in Chicago on Wednesday-Friday, March 23-25, 1983. Three hundred of the three hundred and fifteen associate judges in Illinois were present. Supreme Court Justice Robert C. Underwood addressed the attendants at the Wednesday dinner. Judge Mel R. Jiganti of the First District Appellate Court spoke at the Thursday luncheon program.

Each seminar attendant elected to attend three of the following four elective topics prepared by judges and law professors:

Hearsay Evidence in the Electronic Age
Violation of Probation, Conditional Discharge and Orders of Supervision
Property Valuation and Apportionment
General Outline of Sentencing

At the opening session program, the attendants were allowed to choose one of two elective topics; Supervision of Discovery in Civil Cases or the Illinois Domestic Violence Act.

## 1983 New Judge Seminar

Under the direction of Justice Seymour Simon, the Supreme Court liaison to the new judge educational programs, the Subcommittee on Judicial Education prepared and presented the New Judge Seminar on August 17-19, 1983 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. The entire program was presented by judicial faculty.

Sixty-three of the sixty-four judges who assumed judicial office since the date of the last New Judge Seminar (December 1982) attended the program. Circuit as well as associate judges were in attendance.

At the opening session program Justice Simon offered introductory remarks and Judge Joseph Schneider, chairman of the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference, described the general operation of the continuing education programs of the Conference. Judge Gulley gave an overview of the evolution and structure of the Illinois judicial system.

At the luncheon on the first day of the program, Chief Justice Howard Ryan reflected on some of the common concerns of a new judge. A panel of experienced judges then presented an hour and a half session on judicial ethics, followed by a panel presentation on the law of contempt. Judge Robert S. Hill chief judge of the 2nd Circuit, spoke at the dinner session. Following dinner Justice Dom Rizzi of the First District Appellate Court summarized the evolution of and the scope of the Illinois Supreme Court Rules.

On the second day of the program three hours were dedicated to a session on trial practice at which videotape materials prepared by the faculty members were used to create actual courtroom situations. The faculty members for the session were:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson
Hon. Robert J. Steigmann
Hon. Lawrence D. Inglis
Hon. Donald P. O'Connell

Judge John A. Nordberg of the United States District Court for Northern Illinois spoke to the attendants on his experiences as a new judge both in the state courts and the federal judiciary and offered many helpful suggestions on possible reference resources.

Sessions on motion practice, judgments and orders, instructions, and criminal law were offered for the remainder of the second day and the morning of the third day. Judge Allen Hartman of the First District Appellate Court summarized the law and procedure governing motion practice. Judge Charles E. Jones of the Fifth District Appellate Court presented the session on judgments and orders. Judges Thomas R. Fitzgerald of Cook County and Carl F. Henninger of the 18th Circuit discussed instructions, including an enactment of an actual conference on instructions in a criminal case. The criminal law session was presented by Judge Brian L. Crowe (Cook County), Judge Harold L. Jensen (6th Circuit), and Judge Philip G. Reinhard of the Second Appellate District.

## 1983 Regional Seminar Programs

In 1983 the Judicial Conference conducted six programs on the 2½ day format instituted in 1976. The regional programs were selected, planned, and monitored by the Subcommittee on Judicial Education comprised of:

Hon. Harry D. Strouse, Chairman
Hon. Robert Carter
Hon. Brian L. Crowe
Hon. Allen Hartman
Hon. Charles E. Jones
Hon. George W. Unverzagt
Hon. Warren D. Wolfson

The sites, topics, and attendance for the five programs offered during 1983 were:

| DATE | TOPIC | SITE | ATTENDANCE |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2/17-19 | Evidence | Springfield | 62 |
| 3/10-13 | Criminal Law | Springfield | 36 |
| 4/21-23 | Evidence | St. Charles | 58 |
| 5/5-7 | Domestic Rel. | Naperville | 49 |
| 10/27-29 | Damages | Collinsville | 18 |
| 11/3-5 | Evidence | Rockford | 63 |

The Criminal Law session in Springfield was a repeat performance of the highly acclaimed program offered in previous years. A single criminal case scenario is used to trace the legal issues from the time of the offense through sentencing. The scenario contains over 300 case citations prepared by Judge Warren D. Wolfson. The format provides both a practical and comprehensive teaching format for criminal law. The faculty for the seminar was:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson
Hon. Robert J. Steigmann
Prof. James P. Carey

The Domestic Relations Seminar in Naperville was presented by the following faculty:

Hon. Robert C. Buckley
Hon. Carl A. Lund
Hon. Anthony M. Peccarelli
Prof. James M. Forkins
Prof. Vincent F. Vitullo

The issues of child custody, division of marital property, transmutation problems, and use of bifurcation proceedings were discussed. In addition, special attention was given to tax considerations related to property settlements. The Illinois Domestic Violence Act was the subject of the Saturday morning session.

The Seminar on Damages conducted in Collinsville was presented by:

Hon. Mel R. Jiganti
Hon. Myron T. Gomberg
Hon. Robert S. Hill
Hon. John A. Krause
Prof. Michael L. Closen
Prof. Michael J. Pollele
Prof. Dean J. Sodaro

The law of damages and restitution was compared and contrasted. Specific concern was given to damages in contract cases as compared to damages in tort cases. Punitive damages and fraud received specific treatment.

The evidence programs presented in the spring of 1983 covered the subjects of hearsay, cross-examination, and evidentiary issues at trial. For the portion of the program concerning evidentiary issues at trial, videotape was used to create actual courtroom settings in which the issues were raised. The tape was stopped after key issues requiring the judges in attendance to offer and debate their repsective rulings. The programs were presented by:

Hon. Allen Hartman
Hon. Bill F. Green
Prof. Michael H. Graham
Prof. Charles R. Purcell

The fall evidence seminar conducted in Rockford was presented by:

Hon. Allen Hartman

Hon. Charles E. Jones
Hon. Prentice Marshall
Prof. Charles R. Purcell

The sessions of the seminar dealt with judicial notice, expert opinion, independent judicial knowledge of facts in controversy, discoverability (privilege and work product), and the Illinois judicial reaction to the Federal Rules of Evidence.

## 1983 Appellate Court Seminar

The Supreme Court convened the Fifth Appellate Court Seminar at the Hamilton Hotel in Itasca on June 23-24, 1983

Forty-eight of the forty-nine members of the reviewing courts of Illinois were in attendance. The seminar program was prepared by a planning committee comprised of:

Hon. William S. White, Chairman
Hon. Moses W. Harrison
Hon. James D. Heiple
Hon. David Linn
Hon. James J. Mejda
Hon. Glenn K. Seidenfeld
Hon. Albert G. Webber

The Supreme Court again participated in an open forum in which the problems and comments of the appellate court judges were openly raised and freely discussed. Mandates, expediting emergency matters, sanctions against dilatory practice, and taxing of costs were discussed. As a major addition to the seminar agenda, Judge Heiple had successfully arranged for the attendance of leading members of the reviewing courts from neighboring jurisdictions to participate in a panel discussion of their procedures and practices. The panel was comprised of:

Hon. James D. Heiple, Moderator
Hon. Robert J. Danhof, Michigan
Hon. Carl F. Gaertner, Missouri
Hon. John P. Hayes, Kentucky
Hon. Wesley W. Ratcliffe, Jr., Indiana

Sessions on the leading recent decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court, the status of the automation activities in the Appellate Court, and a presentation by Judge Richard Mills on his recent article on the caseload explosion were also presented during the two day seminar.

## 1983 Supreme And Appellate Law Clerks Seminar

The Supreme Court convened the third annual meeting of the Supreme and Appellate Law Clerks on October 27-28, 1983 at the Ambassador West Hotel in Chicago. The seminar program was prepared by a planning committee comprised of:

Hon. Tobias Barry, Chairman
Hon. Calvin Campbell
Hon. Frederick S. Green
Hon. Charles E. Jones
Hon. George W. Lindberg
Hon. James J. Mejda
Hon. Kenneth E. Wilson
Hon. Seymour Simon, Liaison

The seminar began with an opening address by Chief Justice Howard C. Ryan, followed by a discussion of the Illinois Appellate Jurisdiction and the Supreme Court Rules presented by Judges Dom Rizzi and Charles Jones. Effective Writing and Legal Writing Problems was the topic of discussion at the afternoon session which was presented by Mr. Jack Fuller, Editorial Page Director of the Chicago Tribune, Ms. Juleann Hornyak, Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court, Mr. George Cenar, Research Director of the First District Appellate Court and Mr. Stephen D. Porter, Reporter of Decisions. Justice Richard Neely, of the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, addressed the attendants at the dinner session.

On the morning of the second day, Judges Allen Hartman and John Stamos discussed the topic of Professional Responsibility and the Law Clerk. During the afternoon session, a panel of present and former Illinois reviewing court law clerks engaged in a round table discussion of various subjects pertinent to clerking. At the conclusion of their presentations, the panelists entertained questions from the audience.

## 1983 Study Committee Reports

The Executive Committee received, discussed, and tendered to the Supreme Court with approval, the recommendations of the Study Committee on the Right to Trial by Jury. The report concluded that case precedent would not prevent the Supreme Court from considering possible limitations on the right to trial by jury in minor civil matters. It was, however, the sound recommendation of the study committee that any efforts at restricting the right to trial by jury as presently enjoyed in Illinois was most appropriately undertaken as a matter of public policy in the General Assembly. Though the committee was sensitive to the use of jury demands as a dilatory practice, it was concluded that it would be more appropriate for the General Assembly to consider modification in the availability of jury trials than for the judiciary to engage in any attempt to remedy delay problems by dealing with the constitutional right to a jury trial.

(The Illinois Judicial Conference is summarized in greater detail under separate cover in the biennial report. Specific information on the various Conference programs is offered in greater detail in those reports.)

# THE COURTS COMMISSION

In prior annual reports to the Supreme Court, particularly the *1975 Annual Report*, the history and course of judicial discipline in Illinois were extensively related and will not, therefore, be repeated here. (See also Prefatory Note in 1 Ill. Cts. Com., pages ix-xxii.) Since July 1, 1971, disciplinary proceedings against judicial officers have been bifurcated: the Judicial Inquiry Board, composed of nine members, which includes four lay-persons and three lawyers appointed by the Governor, and two Circuit Judges appointed by the Supreme Court, conducts investigations against judges, files formal voted complaints against judges with the Courts Commission, and prosecutes the voted complaints before the Courts Commission. The Courts Commission, composed of five judges, is limited to hearing the complaints filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board, to make findings, and to entering dispositive orders of dismissal or of imposition of sanctions. Upon a finding against a respondent-judicial officer, the Courts Commission, after notice and public hearing, may "remove from office, suspend without pay, censure or reprimand a Judge or Associate Judge for willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or . . . suspend, with or without pay, or retire a Judge or Associate Judge who is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties." Ill. Const. art. VI,§15(e).

The judicial officers who have been appointed as members of the judicial disciplinary entities are, as of December 31, 1983:

*Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Judicial Inquiry Board:*

Circuit Judge Philip B. Benefiel, Second Judicial Circuit
Circuit Judge Edward H. Marsalek, Cook County

*Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Courts Commission:*

*Supreme Court Judge William G. Clark (chairman)
*Circuit Judge James C. Murray, Cook County
*Circuit Judge Rodney A. Scott, Sixth Judicial Circuit
Circuit Judge Arthur L. Dunne, Cook County (alternate)
Circuit Judge John E. Sype, Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (alternate)

*Appointed by the Appellate Court to the Courts Commission:*

*Appellate Court Judge Francis S. Lorenz, First Judicial District
*Appellate Court Judge Charles E. Jones, Fifth Judicial District
Appellate Court Judge Kenneth E. Wilson, First Judicial District (alternate)
Appellate Court Judge Allan L. Stouder, Third Judicial District (alternate)

*Present members of the Courts Commission.

Pursuant to rule of the Commission, the Administrative Director, Roy O. Gulley, is the Commission secretary.

During 1983, no formal complaints were filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board with the Courts Commission; however, two complaints filed in 1982 were decided in 1983, and in another complaint decided in 1982 the Commission, in 1983, ruled on a motion for reconsideration. The Commission, upon a finding against a respondent-judge and after a public hearing, may discipline the judge by removal from office, suspension with or without pay, retirement, censure or reprimand.

Before reciting the activities of the Courts Commission for 1983, two matters should be noted. The Commission in 1983 authorized publication of a second volume of its Official Reports. The volume, 2 Ill. Cts. Com., is a pamphlet containing the five cases decided by the Commission since publication of 1 Ill. Cts. Com. in 1980. With publication of the pamphlet, all Courts Commission decisions have now been published in the Official Illinois Courts Commission Reports. Also in 1983, the Commission entered an order making a technical amendment to Rule 11 of its Rules of Procedure to coordinate the rules with the newly enacted Code of Civil Procedure. See 2 Ill. Cts. Com. R. 11.

The 1983 activities of the Illinois Courts Commission were:

(1) Complaint 80-CC-4 was adjudicated by the Commission in 1982, and in 1983 the respondent filed a motion for reconsideration. On February 25, 1983, the Commission denied the motion. See *In re Karns* (1982), 2 Ill. Cts. Com. 28.

(2) Complaint 82-CC-1, as amended, charged an Associate Judge of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that he failed to devote full-time to his judicial duties during a one month period in 1979 when he was employed as a construction laborer; he received compensation for such employment, compensation for such nonjudicial service not being permitted; he filed false and misleading written reports of his judicial activity during the period in question; he filed a statement of economic interests with the Secretary of State, as required by law, but which was false because he failed to list the income received from his laborer's job; and he was found in contempt of court for willful failure to comply with a valid court order requiring him to make child support and alimony payments.

The complaint alleged the respondent violated Supreme Court Rules 61(b), 61(c) (4) and (5), 61(c)(21), and 65, and section 13(b), article VI, of the Illinois Constitution.

On August 3, 1983, the Commission found that all of the allegations were sustained by clear and convincing evidence, and ordered the respondent suspended for two months without pay. See *In re Daley* (1983), 2 Ill. Cts. Com. 38.

(3) Complaint 82-CC-2, as amended, charged a Circuit Judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that, during about a five year period, 1975-1981, he made remarks to juveniles and a criminal defendant in four judicial hearings which were "intemperate and injudicious," and "vile, obscene, insulting and demeaning." The alleged remarks made to the three juveniles occurred during *in camera* proceedings. The complaint alleged the respondent violated Supreme Court Rules 61(b), 61(c) (4) and (5), and 61(c) (8).

On August 3, 1983, the Commission held that, while it did not approve of the respondent's language, "[v]iewed in the context in which the language was used and the purpose for which it was used, we cannot find that the respondent violated any Supreme Court rules." Because the allegations were not proved by clear and convincing evidence, the Commission dismissed the complaint. The Judicial Inquiry Board then filed a motion for reconsideration, which was denied by the Commission on September 20, 1983. See *In re Teschner* (1983), 2 Ill. Cts. Com. 43.

During the period July 1, 1971 through December 31, 1983, the Judicial Inquiry Board had filed 34 formal complaints with the Courts Commission. The dispositions of the complaints by the Commission were as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Respondents removed from office | - 3 |
| Respondents suspended without pay | - 7 |
| Respondents censured | - 3 |
| Respondents reprimanded | - 6 |
| Complaints dismissed | -14 |
| Commission order expunged by Supreme Court | - 1 |
| Complaints pending | - 0 |

In the several annual and supplemental reports of the Judicial Inquiry Board, it is noted that the overwhelming number of complaints received about judges is unmeritorious. The reports further state that each communication complaining about a judge's conduct is carefully examined; however, "relatively few of the communications justify further action by the Board" because persons "who have had a disappointing experience in the courts or have lost a case . . . are sometimes inclined to an exaggerated idea of the power of the Board to rectify what they regard as a miscarriage of justice."

Nevertheless, the power of the Board and the application of that power has caused some concern, particularly among the judiciary. That concern has been expressed by Justice Robert C. Underwood in a law review article, 47 Notre Dame Lawyer 247:

> "While the creation of the Judicial Inquiry Board was opposed by the members of the Supreme Court as unnecessary, and as creating a potential threat to the independence of the judicial branch of government, I am sure that the members to be appointed will be selected with care and will be sincere, conscientious individuals, aware of the seriousness of their responsibilities. It is their constitutional obligation to maintain the confidentiality of all complaints until such time as a formal charge, if warranted, is filed against a judge. A working knowledge of the judicial process will be imperative for the Board members if they are to distinguish between improper judicial conduct as opposed to mere dissatisfaction with a judicial ruling or opinion. While a potential threat to judicial independence has been created, I trust that will never become a reality. That independence can, in fact, be enhanced if the Board performs its duties in a responsible, impartial and nonsensational manner."

What the future holds for the judges of Illinois relating to the regulation of the judiciary is difficult to perceive. The overwhelming majority of judicial officers are men and women of high integrity, honesty, virtue and self-discipline for hard work and devotion to their judicial duties. Judges are human beings with the same virtues and failings of other professional people; but because they are public servants, they are rightly held to a high degree of trust and confidence.

# THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

## Introduction

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (see Appendix B for historical development) is established pursuant to Article VI, Section 16 of the Constitution of 1970, to assist the Chief Justice to carry out his duties in exercising the administrative and supervisory authority of the Supreme Court over all the courts.

The functions of the Administrative Office cannot be exhaustively delineated, for the Supreme Court's administrative authority encompasses every aspect of the judicial system. However, these functions can be generally described as including personnel, fiscal management, continuing judicial education, records and statistics, secretariat, liaison with the legislative and executive branches, management of court facilities and equipment, research and planning. Within each of these categories fall the specific functions of the Administrative Office which are reported in greater detail in this report. It is interesting to note that the functions of the Administrative Office, as they have developed since 1959, correspond very closely to those established in the 1974 A.B.A. Standards Relating to Court Organization (Standard 1.41) for state court administrative offices:

"(1) Preparation of standards and procedures for the recruitment, evaluation, promotion, in-service training and discipline of all personnel in the court system, other than judges and judicial officers.

(2) Financial administration of the system, including budget preparation and administration, accounting and auditing.

(3) Management of the court system's continuing education programs for judges, judicial officers, and non-judicial personnel.

(4) Promulgation and administration of uniform requirements concerning records and information systems and statistical compilations and controls.

(5) Secretariat, including acting as secretary to the judicial council and judicial conference and their committees, arranging meetings of the judiciary, disseminating reports, bulletins, and other official information, and rendering annual and other periodic reports on behalf of the court system.

(6) Liaison for the court system as a whole with the legislature and the chief executive, and with the bar, the news media, and the general public.

(7) Supervision of construction of major physical facilities and establishment of standards and procedures for acquisition of equipment, incidental facilities, and purchased services.

(8) Research for planning for future needs.

(9) Management of the staff of the central administrative office."

The Administrative Office is also responsible for the administration of several programs pursuant to specific Supreme Court rules: (1) temporary licensing of senior law students (Rule 711); (2) impartial medical expert program (Rule 215); (3) teller of elections of Associate Judges (Rule 39); (4) secretary to the Judicial Conference (Rule 41) and Conference of Chief Circuit Judges (Rule 42); (5) custodian of judicial statements of economic interest (Rule 68); and (6) repository of Appellate and Circuit Court rules (Rule 21).

In addition, the Supreme Court has designated the Administrative Office as secretary to the Supreme Court Rules Committee, and the Courts Commission has designated the Administrative Office as secretary in all proceedings before the Commission. The Administrative Office also serves as secretary to the Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court.

In 1978, a Probation Division was established in the Administrative Office to implement the probation officer salary subsidy and other responsibilities provided for in P.A. 80-1483.

In 1981, the Supreme Court approved the addition of Judicial Management information staff to the Administrative Office. This staff is responsible for planning and coordinating the installation of an automated recordkeeping system which meet the Supreme Court's published Standards and Guidelines.

## Personnel

The Administrative Office maintains two offices — the headquarters in Springfield and a second office in Chicago.

During 1983 the staff of the Administrative Office totaled fifty-three. In addition to the Director, the staff includes: one Deputy Director, five Assistant Directors, one Supervisor of Accounting, one Supervisor of Probation, two Administrative Assistants, three Assistant Supervisors, sixteen Information System Specialists, one Trainer, one Statistician, thirteen Accountants, seven Secretaries, one File Clerk, and one Messenger

## Accounting Division

Immediately upon the appointment of Jeanne Meeks on October 1, 1963, to the newly-created Illinois Office of the Court Administrator, she began making plans to establish an accounting division.

By January 1, 1964, ledgers were established, accounting procedures were developed, forms designed, internal controls were implemented, and the first judicial payroll was computed under the new court structure. Prior to the passage of the Judicial Article, judicial salaries were paid in part by the counties and state. In looking back, it is easy to recall, with still a great deal of enthusiasm, the first payroll for payment of services rendered from January 1-31, 1964. This particular payroll was indeed indicative of some of the important changes in the judicial system brought about by the new unified court system.

Because of the impending transfer of certain funds from the Auditor of Public Accounts to the Supreme Court, occasioned by the enactment of the Judicial Article, travel and commercial vouchers had accumulated since July 1, 1963. The travel vouchers consisted of travel expenses for downstate judges and for all court reporters as well as transcription fees. Obligatory expenditures for the operational costs of the Administrative Office were processed and judicial and related payrolls were calculated and documented for issuance of warrants.

There was much activity in the Seventy-Third General Assembly on the enactment of legislation to implement the new Judicial Article. Included in the many statutory changes during this period was the repeal of the Court Administrator Act and an administrator was appointed pursuant to the new Judicial Article. Additionally, judicial salaries were set and state funds were appropriated to the Supreme Court to provide for judicial salaries, operational costs of both offices of the Administrative Office, travel for judges and court reporters and transcription fees. At the end of the first biennium on June 30, 1965, the total expenditure of the foregoing accounts was $14.7 million.

Periodically through the first 18 months of establishing the accounting division, temporary help was obtained through the use of Manpower. This arrangement was not ideal but did contribute, however minutely, to getting the program off the ground. On May 1, 1964, one full-time employee was hired who, incidentally, is still a member of the current staff.

On November 3, 1964, judges of the appellate court were, for the first time, elected to that office. Prior to the adoption of the Judicial Article, circuit judges were assigned to the appellate court by the Supreme Court. Quite appropriately then, the General Assembly appropriated to the Supreme Court general revenue to cover salaries for the appellate judges as well as monies for the operation of the five appellate district offices to become effective July 1, 1965. Fiscal matters attendant to these districts are administered by the accounting division.

It was the 74th General Assembly that legislated the transfer of court reporters' salaries from the counties to the responsibility of the Supreme Court. On January 1, 1966, all formerly county-paid court reporters were combined with the judicial payrolls at the salary certified by the County Treasurer.

By this time, the accounting division was operating at full speed. The financial structure of the judicial system was well established and the accounting procedures were operating efficiently.

The Supreme Court decreed that on July 1, 1967, its own appropriation as well as the funds allocated for the operation of the Judicial Conference be transferred to the accounting division. Understandably, all appropriations which are the responsibility of the Supreme Court should be contained within the accounting division.

When the 76th General Assembly (July 1, 1969—June 30, 1970) opened its session, it did so effecting an important change in state government, that of changing state financing from the biennium to an annual basis.

Subsequently, the Supreme Court appointed the Committee on Criminal Justice who received grant awards from the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. During 1971, all vouchers for those grants were processed, records maintained and reports furnished ILEC on a monthly basis under the auspices of the accounting division.

In that same year, the State of Illinois launched its initial insurance program for all state employees and dependents. This represented another sizable increase in the responsibilities of the accounting division, as well as a mandate to provide reports to the Insurance Commission, additional payroll deductions, etc.

The 1970 Constitution mandated that the Clerks of the Supreme and Appellate Courts be appointed by the Supreme and Appellate Judges. Effective July 1, 1974, those appropriated funds phased into the stream of procedures, records, and general accounting functions of this division.

An entirely new Division of Probation within the Administrative Office was created on July 1, 1978. Within the new legislation are three line items which had an impact upon the accounting division, namely: funds for the operational costs, training probation officers, and finally, subsidy payments to the respective county treasurers. An appropriation of $3,594,440 was allocated to the Administrative Office by the General Assembly and another $1,594,432 was transferred to the Administrative Office from the Office of the Comptroller for subsidy payments on January 1, 1979. These accounts have now become a part of the accounting format.

The statutory authority requiring the Comptroller of the State of Illinois to develop a reporting system with generally accepted accounting principles promulgated a new set of recordkeeping devices within state government. The first report referred to as "GAAP" was due in October of '81. Implementation of this new requisite required an extraordinary amount of detailed compilation by this office. These papers led to issuance on June 30, 1982, of State of Illinois financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for state governments.

The General Assembly appropriated funds to the Supreme Court for the installation of an automated system for recording certain judicial data within the courts. As the Judicial Management Information System became a new initiative of the Administrative Office, the accounting division was given additional responsibilities as well. A start-up of $679,093 was provided for staff and operating costs on July 1, 1981.

In addition to these accounts previously mentioned, there are other miscellaneous accounts that have been added through the years for which the accounting division has the responsibility of fiscal accountability. There are perpetual statutory changes affecting the Comptroller, Department of Insurance and other CUSAS fiscal requisites that fall within the purview of the fiscal division. The foregoing then is but a concise abstract of the accounting division's responsibilities.

The accounting division is the monitor of funds appropriated to the Supreme Court and is also responsible for the preparation of the budget for the court system. It is not feasible to delineate the numerous steps as well as computations involved in preparing budgets and completing forms for presentation to the Bureau of the Budget and both the Republican and Democratic sides of the General Assembly.

After much scrutiny by aides to the Appropriation Committees as to the necessity of increases in requests, the appropriate legislation is prepared and a sponsor of the appropriation bill is designated. The Appropriation Committees of the General Assembly hear state budgetary matters each spring. The projected budget for the forthcoming fiscal year covers funds for the period of July 1st thrugh June 30th of any given year.

The Supervisor appears with the Director before the Appropriation Committees of the General Assembly to give whatever testimony is required while substantiating the budgetary requests contained in the bill for the Supreme Court and allied appropriations.

July 1st of each year begins a new fiscal year with a fresh approach to all ledgers, internal controls and to the general accounting documentations. While June 30th is the cut-off date for expending funds, statutorily a three month period is provided to conclude the outstanding obligations and to complete all fiscal reports. Summarily then, there are three months when two sets of records are running simultaneously each fiscal year.

All appropriation expenditures coupled with the balances to date in each division are prepared in report form on a monthly basis and are submitted to members of the Supreme Court and division heads. These reports reflect the expenditures of funds for salaries, travel expenses for judges and court reporters, transcription fees, Judicial Conference, Impartial Medical, and general operational costs of the Supreme Court and Supreme Court Clerk, Administrative Office, and all five Appellate Court Districts — Judges and Clerks.

Earlier in this section, we stated that on June 30, 1965, the first total biennial expenditure was $14.7 million. A point of interest is that on June 30, 1983, the closure date of Fiscal Year '83, the total cost for operating the judicial system was $79,758,991 and .6 of one percent of the total budget for the State of Illinois.

October 1, 1983, marked twenty-one years since the establishment of the accounting division. Many audits have been performed under the auspices of the Auditor General. To date, there have been no recommendations for changes or citations conducted by the outside auditors. This state of efficiency and good operating record of the accounting division can only be attributable to the hardworking staff who have through the years demonstrated untold interest, expended their efforts and loyalty, and have focused their accounting abilities on the steady growth and the many legislative changes which affect this division.

## FISCAL NOTE
## JUDICIAL AND RELATED PERSONNEL
### July 1, 1963 through June 30, 1983

| Period | Appropriation (in millions of dollars) | Expended (in millions of dollars) |
|---|---|---|
| July 1, 1963—June 30, 1965 73rd Biennium | $16.3 | $14.7 |
| July 1, 1965—June 30, 1967 74th Biennium | $27.4 | $24.5 |
| July 1, 1967—June 30, 1969 75th Biennium | $35.0 | $32.7 |
| July 1, 1969—June 30, 1970 76th G.A.—1st Half | $23.1 | $20.1 |
| July 1, 1970—June 30, 1971 76th G.A.—2nd Half | $23.4 | $21.0 |
| July 1, 1971—June 30, 1972 77th G.A.—1st Half | $27.6 | $23.3 |
| July 1, 1972—June 30, 1973 77th G.A.—2nd Half | $27.8 | $26.0 |
| July 1, 1973—June 30, 1974 78th G.A.—1st Half | $29.2 | $27.8 |
| July 1, 1974—June 30, 1975 78th G.A.—2nd Half | $39.6* | $31.1 |
| July 1, 1975—June 30, 1976 79th G.A.—1st Half | $41.7 | $39.2 |
| July 1, 1976—June 30, 1977 79th G.A.—2nd Half | $44.0 | $40.7 |
| July 1, 1977—June 30, 1978 80th G.A.—1st Half | $49.3 | $44.8 |
| July 1, 1978—June 30, 1979 80th G.A.—2nd Half | $53.0 | $52.6 |
| July 1, 1979—June 30, 1980 81st G.A.—1st Half | $67.5 | $63.4 |
| July 1, 1980—June 30, 1981 81st G.A.—2nd Half | $72.2 | $66.8 |
| July 1, 1981—June 30, 1982 82nd G.A.—1st Half | $74.0 | $70.0 |
| July 1, 1982—June 30, 1983 82nd G.A.—2nd Half | $90.7 | $79.1 |

*Includes Supreme and Appellate Court Clerks' budgets beginning July 1, 1974.

## STATE OF ILLINOIS

**Appropriated funds for Fiscal Year 1983 — in billions of dollars 14.7.**



***The cost of administering the Judicial System is .6 of 1 per cent of the Total State Budget for Fiscal Year 1983.**

**Prepared by Jeanne Meeks**

## Probation Division

### (Background)

A substantial step toward establishing a system of professional probation services in Illinois was taken in 1978. "An Act in relation to subsidy for probation officers", (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 706-7; ch. 38, pars. 204-6, 204-7), places within the Administrative Office certain responsibilities and authority to improve probation services. The provisions of the Act are consistent with recommendations developed by the Committee on Probation and approved by the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference in 1974. The Act authorized the Administrative Office to:

1. Establish and monitor hiring and promotional standards for state subsidized adult and juvenile probation officers.
2. Provide up to $400 per month state salary subsidy for qualified probation officers.
3. Establish a uniform recordkeeping system and forms.
4. Establish a system of collecting uniform statistical information on probation services.
5. Establish a system of training to improve the quality of probation services throughout the state.
6. Seek the cooperation of local and state government and private agencies to improve the quality of probation services.

To implement the Act, a Probation Division was established within the Administrative Office. The Division is based in Springfield and is staffed by one Supervisor, two Assistant Supervisors and three Secretaries.

## New Probation Legislation

On December 9, 1983, Governor James R. Thompson signed into law Public Act 83-982. This legislation was passed by the Illinois General Assembly during the fall veto session. This Act represents a significant second step toward improving the quality and quantity of probation services in Illinois and build on the legislation enacted in 1978.

Public Act 83-982 provides that:

1. The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is authorized to develop programs for the delivery of probation and court services in various counties.
2. Local probation departments may apply to the Administrative Office for funds to create adult and juvenile intensive supervision programs.
3. Administrative Office personnel standards, in effect as of the effective date of this Act, are to govern the hiring, promotion and training of all current and future chief managing officers, and other probation and court services officers. Chief managing officers and other probation officer candidates are to be chosen by the Chief Judge of the relevant circuit from lists of qualified applicants submitted to him by the Administrative Office.
4. Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts will reimburse 100% of all *approved* costs for probation personnel, support personnel and services and travel costs relating to new or expanded programs.

   Counties remain responsible for office space, equipment, supplies and their non-personnel items. These counties must meet standards for these responsibilities developed by the Administrative Office.

   Costs are reimbursed monthly based upon a budget and plan submitted by the counties and approved by the Administrative Office which will develop criteria for approved costs.
5. Local departments must apply for reimbursement to the Administrative Office and meet the following conditions:

   —File an annual plan with the Administrative Office providing plan requirements.

   —Plan must seek to reduce commitments to the Department of Corrections and is to coordinate with other state agencies when possible to avoid duplication of effort and services.

   —Compliance with Administrative Office hiring, promotion, training and workload requirements.
6. In order to obtain full reimbursement each county must continue to expend for probation and court services an amount at least equal to the amount budgeted during "the fiscal year which includes October 1, 1983". This amount is designated as the "base amount" and is computed according to a formula which excludes certain designated monies from the computations.
7. Adult and juvenile probation salary subsidies are increased in the month following the approval of the annual plan from $400 to $500 per month if each officer is receiving an annualized salary of $14,000 or more.

8. Procedures for reimbursement are to be established by the Administrative Office.
9. Beginning April 1, 1984, but contingent on the approval of the annual plan, all adult/juvenile probation and court services personnel who have been designated chief managing officers by the Chief Judge of each circuit shall have their salaries fully reimbursed by the state. Chief managing officers shall remain county employees.
10. In counties which do not now have full time probation services, the Chief Judge may appoint a Chief Managing Officer for such counties in accordance with qualifications and criteria established by the Adminstrative Office. Such counties may be organized into multi-county departments.
11. Probation officers shall be considered peace officers in the exercise of these official duties.
12. The Illinois Criminal Sentencing Commission is to evaluate the new probation system and determine its impact on the prison population and public safety. The Commission is to report to the General Assembly by April 1, 1985.

    The staff of the Probation Division has developed a detailed plan for implementation of Public Act 83-982.

## (Standards)

The staff of the Probation Division continued to review and refine a set of operational standards for Illinois Probation and Court Services Departments, in anticipation of new, comprehensive probation legislation.

The Division continued to work with the Standards Committee of the Illinois Probation and Court Services Association to identify which of the one hundred and seven advisory standards could be made mandatory and which should be optional or eliminated.

## (Subsidy Reimbursement)

During 1983, the number of counties receiving subsidy remained constant at 94. Eight small rural Illinois counties still do not participate in the state probation subsidy program because they have so few probationers that they employ probation officers on a part-time basis and are, therefore, not eligible for reimbursement.

Probation subsidy was made to Illinois counties as reimbursement for 1,341 probation officers in January 1983. The number increased to 1,360 by December 1983. Since the probation subsidy began in January 1979, the number of probation and court services personnel receiving subsidy has increased from 1,170 to 1,360. This is an increase of 190 or 16%.

Probation subsidy reimbursement to Illinois counties totaled $6,461,574.50 for calendar 1983, an average of $538,464 per month.

## (Statistics)

In June 1983, the Division published and distributed to probation departments, Chief Judges, and interested state and private agencies, a seventy-five page comprehensive statistical report on Illinois Probation and Court Services for calendar year 1982.

This report revealed that there were 1,208 professional probation staff persons and 439 clerical personnel employed in Illinois probation offices during county fiscal year 1982-83. Illinois Juvenile Detention, excluding Cook County, employed an additional 251 persons.

Probation and court services budgets, excluding juvenile detention and child care, totaled $35,650,983 for county fiscal year 1982-83, an increase of $2,620,696 over the previous year.

Illinois probation officers completed 13,860 adult presentence investigations and 4,106 other investigations during 1982, in addition to 15,318 juvenile social history investigations and 5,703 other juvenile related investigations.

The adult probation caseload in Illinois totaled 64,745 on December 31, 1982. The caseload was comprised of 31,413 felons, 25,260 misdemeanants, 4,991 traffic offenders, 2,898 interstate compact cases and 183 supervised pretrial cases.

The juvenile caseload totaled 12,267 on December 31, 1982, including 682 informal supervision cases.

Adult probation violation statistics indicated that 8,884 violations were reported by probation departments to state's attorneys of which 5,241 were technical violations and 3,643 new offense violations. A finding of probation violation was reported on 3,768 cases.

Juvenile probation violations were reported in 2,606 cases and a finding of violation entered in 1,594 cases.

Findings of violation of probation as a percent of probationers supervised during 1982 were 3.54% for adults and 5.88% for juveniles.

All probation violation statistics exclude Cook County.

Illinois probation and court services departments reported restitution collections of $3,129,272 for 1982. Adult probation collections amounted to $2,674,607 while juvenile collections totaled $253,912. Illinois courts ordered restitution payments as a condition of probation on 10,638 offenders during 1982.

### (Training)

The Probation Division provided professional training through contractual arrangements during calendar year 1983 as it has done since its inception. Two contractors were engaged to provide professional training to Illinois probation and court services personnel. The Probation Division provided some training to chief managing officers.

The major contractor with the Probation Division is Sangamon State University. Contractual provisions call for the University to provide residential training for all Illinois probation and court service departments outside of Cook County. During 1983, Sangamon State University conducted seven basic training and twenty advanced training programs throughout the state. Seven hundred forty-three probation officers attended these programs for a total of 17,240 participant training hours. The total cost for this training was $294,682. Professional training for probation and court services personnel in Cook County is provided through a contract with the Court Personnel Training and Development Section of the Cook County Department of Personnel. Most of this training is non-residential, resulting in far less expense. During 1983, the Court Personnel Training and Development Section conducted thirty-one programs of various lengths for 544 registrants at a cost of $101,780 through October, 1983.

During the year, the Probation Division conducted two advanced training programs for forty-three chief managing officers for 860 participant training hours. Three chief managing officers attended basic training. The total cost of all Division sponsored training was $13,907.

For the year 1983, training costs for Illinois probation and court services personnel totaled $410,369. These costs supported sixty training programs for 1,334 participants.

### (Interstate Compact)

Since July 1, 1979, the Probation Division has been responsible for the administration of the adult probation portion of the Interstate Compact for the supervision of parolees and probationers. (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 1003-3-11 et seq.)

Between January 1, 1983, and December 31, 1983, the Division received and processed 17,559 requests for information and/or assistance as provided by the Interstate Compact Agreement.

As of December 31, 1983, there were 1,583 Illinois probationers being supervised in other states and 2,364 out-of-state probationers being supervised in Illinois.

### (Monitoring)

In order to insure total compliance with the statutory and regulatory requirements for receiving probation subsidy, the Division has continued to maintain personnel and training records on all probation and court services personnel receiving subsidy and monitoring new hirings, promotions and terminations on a daily basis.

The monitoring function includes field visits to probation departments to examine personnel records and insure compliance with subsidy requirements.

### (Technical Assistance)

During 1983, the Division continued to assist state and local government in improving the quality of probation services in Illinois and other states by providing technical assistance to state and private agencies and county probation departments.

Division staff conducted indepth management studies of two county probation departments at the request of Chief Judges during the period from January 1, 1983, through December 31, 1983.

In addition, the Division provided short term technical assistance focusing on specific problem areas in 18 county probation departments. This technical assistance addressed a wide range of problems including: personnel practices, staff development, restitution programs, community service, disposal of records, classification, case management, employment programs, and public relations.

At the request of the National Institute of Corrections the Division also provided technical assistance to the federal government in the areas of training and statistics and to the states of Arkansas and Indiana.

The staff of the Probation Division served on the following advisory boards and committees during 1983:

— Advisory Board-Treatment Alternatives to Street Crime.

— Governor's Planning Council on Developmental Disabilities-Committee on the Developmentally Disabled Offender.

— Lutheran Child and Family Services of Sangamon County

— Citizens Advisory Committee on Juvenile Court Services of Sangamon County

— Illinois Department of Children and Family Services-Round Table

### (Public Information And Education)

The staff of the Division are frequently asked to address civic groups, legislative commissions, professional associations and public forums. Organizations addressed during 1983 include:

- Illinois Correctional Association
- Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- Urban Counties Council of Illinois
- League of Women Voters of Illinois
- Governor's Task Force on Prison Crowding

### (Probation Division Staff Organizational Memberships)

- American Judicature Society
- American Correctional Association
- National Council on Crime and Delinquency
- American Probation and Parole Association
- National Association of Interstate Compact Administration
- Illinois Correctional Association
- Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- Central States
- American Association of Correctional Training
- National Association of Probation Executives

## Judicial Management Information Systems

Processing more cases more efficiently has become an absolute necessity in the Illinois court system. Although the court system is constantly improving its case processing procedures and the level of judicial effort, new solutions to case recordkeeping and management need to be developed. In 1975, under the direction of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Director, the court system began to detail realistic plans for the future management and automation of court records.

Experiences from other states and within Illinois have indicated that the best way to approach court automation is to allow the people who will use the system—judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators and agencies receiving information from the courts—and the people who will finance the system—legislators and county board members—to design the system through their individual input regarding ongoing activities, needs and problems. Comprehensive input of this nature can be translated into the technological specifications required for equipment procurement, system design and management recommendations for operational procedures. The Supreme Court adopted this participatory format as the foundation for building a judicial management information system in Illinois by, in 1978, creating a Judicial Management Advisory Committee to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic management and automation approach.

Membership in the Judicial Management Advisory Committee is determined through appointments made by the Chief Judge of each Judicial Circuit. The Chief Judges, in making appointments, have been careful to insure that divergent views and different groups integral to the functioning of the court system are represented. As a consequence, committee membership includes judges, court administrators, circuit court clerks, administrative assistants, directors of court services, data processing managers and state's attorneys. The composition of the committee has helped foster communication, understanding and consensus on issues related to judicial management information systems.

In February, 1980, after almost five years of studying automated systems in Illinois and other states, the Administrative Office supplemented the input provided by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee regarding the development of a state judicial information system plan by approving the contractual retainer of Arthur Young & Company to perform a Judicial Management Information System Study.

The Judicial Management Information System Study again followed a participatory format. In the course of the study, Arthur Young & Company interviewed 1,500 persons during visits to all of the twenty-one Judicial Circuits, the five Appellate Districts, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, the Supreme Court of Illinois and related state agencies. Reviewed by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee at every stage of development, the product of this statewide collaboration and subsequent distillation of several alternative approaches was the Judicial Management Information System Plan.

The activities proposed in the Judicial Management Information System Plan were presented to the Supreme Court by the Administrative Director in the form of a four-year planning and implementation schedule which included equipment procurement, software development, studies and staff expansion. The plan included the recommendation that a unified Judicial Management Information System should begin with the Supreme and Appellate Courts, with subsequent implementation of a similar network at the circuit court level.

In December, 1980, the Supreme Court approved the development of an information services component of the Administrative Office.

## Judicial Management Information Services

Between January and March 1981, five people were hired—one management analyst, three data processing specialists and one secretary/trainer — to assist the project director and management analyst already on the staff of the Supreme Court Committee on Criminal Justice Programs. Another secretary/trainer was hired in May. Under the supervision of the Project Director, the staff was split into two teams.

The technical team, based in Springfield, assisted in developing a Request for Proposal (RFP). The RFP, issued on February 17, 1981, specified for vendors the scope of the Appellate Information System. Installation of prototype case recordkeeping and management systems in the First and Fourth Appellate Court Districts was specified as the first stage for system development. Case management was defined as including docket information on case records and events, operational lists and notices, management reports and statistics, financial accounts and administrative reports and transmittals.

Interested vendors were informed that a software package (PROMIS) developed by the Institute for Law and Social Research (INSLAW) has been selected to accomplish the case recordkeeping and management function. Vendors also were informed that the case recordkeeping and management system, after being tested in the First and Fourth Districts, would be expanded to the remaining three appellate districts. Additional functions were enumerated as possibilities for system development, including word processing, issues indexing, electronic mail, photocomposition, Illinois legal research and national legal research.

By March 19, 1981, participating vendors submitted proposals for addressing the various functions. The technical team, in conjunction with a technical review committee, evaluated each proposal and submitted findings to an Appellate Review and Evaluation Committee. On April 2, 1981, the Supreme Court Committee announced that, contingent upon successful contract negotiations, the award had been made to International Business Machines (IBM).

Contract negotiations were conducted during the months of April and May, resulting in a signed master contract on June 15, 1981. The IBM hardware that would support operations in the Fourth District was installed in Springfield at 840 South Spring Street on July 30. Less than two weeks later, the IBM hardware for the First District was installed in the Daley Center in Chicago.

Actual system implementation has resulted in the anticipated expansion of equipment and staff. By the end of 1983, Judicial Management Information Services maintained twenty-four staff positions. The court network contains two processing centers controlled by different operating systems, one being remotely operated by the other. The statewide communication network supports terminal-to-terminal, terminal-to-computer, and computer-to-computer traffic involving more than ninety terminal, printer, and stand-alone word processor devices.

## Appellate Information System

During the computer selection and installation period, the management team, based in Chicago, had been given the task of identifying Appellate Court procedures, documents and information requirements, specifically in the areas of records processing and maintenance, operational and statistical reports, and finance. While only operations in the First and Fourth Disricts were to be automated in the first phase, the team had to verify that the proposed system for those two districts would be compatible with existing manual systems in the remaining districts. The verification was necessary to assure that, if approval were given, expansion of the projects into the other districts would be possible.

The study was divided into two parts. In the offices of the First and Fourth District Appellate Court Clerks, the team conducted an intensive review and documentation of procedures and paperflow. In the Second, Third and Fifth District offices, the team reviewed the procedures and paperflow to verify that projected expansion of the project was practicable. By June 30th, the team had spoken with virtually every employee in each of the five offices of the Appellate Court and had documented every event affecting a case from point of filing to disposition, except for the adjudicative process itself. By the conclusion of this exhaustive review, the team had confirmed that procedural variations among the districts were minor and, in no instance, did they present an obstacle in designing a single automated system for use by all the districts.

In September, 1981, the management and technical teams jointly began the process of tailoring the PROMIS software package to conform with design specifications. An initial system was designed and, in December, representatives from all five districts of the Appellate Court met in Chicago to participate in a one-day system demonstration. Suggestions made during the demonstration subsequently were incorporated into system revisions.

Staff began training clerk personnel in the First and Fourth Districts during February, 1982. The training expanded into the Second, Third and Fifth Districts in September 1982, November 1982 and February 1983, respectively. Training has been conducted on an individual basis, beginning with keyboard instruction and continuing with the entry and retrieval of docket information.

Since the automated system was designed to parallel the existing manual system, staff members had only to learn a new way of recording information rather than relearning their jobs. Within a short time of beginning training, staff members themselves were recommending minor system changes to be held temporarily in suspension. In March, 1982, clerk personnel from the First and Fourth Districts began entering assigned cases onto the operational system as part of their training and practice exercises. The Second District began the same process in November, followed by the Third District in December, 1982, and the Fifth District in April, 1983. Staff remained present in each office to assist in answering questions and to perform a quality review of information entered into the system.

The First and Fourth Districts now have an automated docket record for each 1982 case, and all districts have automated dockets for 1983 cases. All districts will maintain both manual and automated systems until such time as the Judges and Clerks are satisfied that the automated system functions properly and that the people operating the system are comfortable with it. Following this test period, no further information will be posted manually; all cases will be recorded solely on the automated system. The Fourth District reached this critical point and discontinued creating new manual dockets beginning with the first Notice of Appeal filed in 1983. The Fifth stopped creating new manual docket sheets in September, 1983.

Since 1982, Appellate Court staff have been submitting suggestions for system change. Some suggestions have been withdrawn and some proposals have been implemented. Recommendations requiring significant system change were deferred until such time as all districts had retired their manual dockets and operated in a completely automated environment. In preparation for the planned system revision, representatives from each district met together in June to jointly review progress and proposed system developments as the first step in the iterative process necessary to identify uniform changes which will meet the combined needs of all five districts. Although the major system change is tentatively planned for the fall of 1984, preparatory alterations to both the equipment and application software system will be made during early 1984.

## Supreme Court Information System

The implementation of the Supreme Court Information System began in September, 1983, with the installation of stand-alone word processing equipment in the offices of each Supreme Court justice, the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. Personnel in each office received individual training in the operation of the word processor. After the personnel became comfortable with word processing, installations and training were expanded to include dial-up capabilities linking each location together with point-to-point electronic mail. By the end of 1983, opinions and memoranda created and revised with the aid of word processing were being transferred electronically from one office to another.

Simultaneously with installing the word processing/electronic mail equipment, staff began the detailed documentation and analysis of the activities performed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court. Based on the information gathered, an automated docket system for the Supreme Court should be designed during the spring of 1984. The word processing equipment previously installed will function as terminal devices for dial-up docket inquiry.

## Circuit Information System Project

Over the last twenty years, partially through the use of grant funds, more than twenty counties established various automated data processing systems or applications to support court operations. Predictably, each of these systems developed along a separate path, using different consultants, equipment and programs. In view of these developments, the Supreme Court, on March 28, 1978, adopted the Judicial Management Information System Standards. These Standards are premised on the same considerations, such as uniformity, accuracy and reliability in recordkeeping and reporting, that prompted the development and adoption of the Supreme Court Administrative Order on Recordkeeping.

The Standards provide that any circuit plans for initiating or significantly modifying a judicial management information system must be approved by the Administrative Office. This provision was included to insure compliance with the Standards and establish a mechanism which can determine whether existing or proposed systems meet the information requirements of the circuit and the Administrative Office. The expectations of the Administrative Office are reflected in the Circuit Court Coding and Procedures Manuals and the disposition reporting project.

The Circuit Court Coding Manual will provide codes, definitions and formats necessary to data administration. Initially, the Supreme Court Committee used grant funds to contract with SEARCH Group, Inc. for the development of the Coding Manual. The criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Coding Manual was completed in 1980 and the civil segment (excluding juvenile) was finished in 1981. To ensure that the Coding Manual will be comprehensive, realistic and valid, each item contained in it has been reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee. Modifications have been and will continue to be added as changes occur in statute, rule, or practice—or in instances where alterations would enhance the entire system.

Regularization of information gathering and dissemination procedures in the circuit courts, whether in manual or automated environments, is a necessary step toward the eventual goal of developing a comprehensive judicial management information system. Although standard codes and forms are critical for recordkeeping uniformity, the design of a statewide judicial management information system requires detail documentation of each step taken to record and process all official court events. A procedures manual, written in detail, would provide data processing technicians with the information necessary to begin automation of court applications while furnishing circuit clerks with a document useful in staff training or office operation.

The Circuit Court Procedures Manual is being developed by Administrative Office staff in cooperation with selected counties and circuits throughout the State of Illinois. In 1981, staff began visiting cooperating counties in order to learn about existing procedures and practices. Procedures and forms were evaluated for effectiveness and footnoted with relevant statutory and Supreme Court Rule references. Documentation linking each procedure and form with the data elements contained in the Coding Manual was developed, as was a glossary of terms. Drafts of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual have been reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee and a combined Procedures/Coding Manual for criminal case processing was made available for statewide review in April, 1983. In September, 1983, staff began to work on the civil segment, a project which will take approximately two years to complete.

The adoption of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Procedures/Coding Manual forms the basis for the reporting of case dispositions by Circuit Clerks to the Department of Law Enforcement, the Secretary of State and the Department of Corrections using the information system capabilities of the Administrative Office. During 1983, the Secretary of State and the Director of the Department of Law Enforcement signed agreements with the Administrative Office indicating their willingness to establish automated disposition reporting. In October, the Nineteenth Circuit produced the first test tape for processing by the Administrative Office for transmission to the State Police. Staff members have been working closely with each of the automated circuits so that once the formats and procedures have been established, implementation can proceed with other jurisdictions and agencies.

## Judicial Management Advisory Committee

Established by the adoption of the Judicial Management Information System Standards, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee has been working since 1978 to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic information management and automation approach.

The committee met in Cook, Jefferson, Kane, Lake and Sangamon Counties during the 1983 calendar year. At these meetings, the committee concentrated on a detailed review and supervision of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual project. In addition, the committee continued to explore some of the technological, financial, educational, interface and auditing questions which will be involved in the development of a statewide judicial management information system. Topics explored included case flow management, budget administration, jury management, pro se litigation, domestic violence legislation, exhibit management, record destruction, micrographics, small computers, appeal record preparation, and the legal liabilities of court recordkeeping. In this process, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee involved state agencies and local officials which use court information or support court operation.

## Secretariat

The Administrative Office serves as secretary to the Judicial Conference and many other committees and judicial endeavors. In addition to arranging meetings, recording minutes and keeping records, the office acts as a fact finding body, does research, conducts surveys and apprises judges of recent developments in procedural and substantive law. Some of the committees served by the Administrative Office in a secretariat capacity during 1983 included:

(1) The Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference. Supreme Court Rule 41 designates the Administrative Office as secretary to the Conference. The office handles all details for the regular monthly meetings of the Executive Committee, including research, drafting of minutes, preparing agendas, arranging meetings and assisting the chairperson with his or her correspondence. The office implements plans for the annual Conference, the annual Associate Judge Seminar and the regional seminars. The office also acts as secretary to the study and seminar committees.

(2) Conference of Chief Judges. Supreme Court Rule 42 designates the Administrative Office as secretary. The office prepares agendas, arranges the monthly meetings, and maintains close liaison with the chairperson.

(3) Courts Commission. The Director of the Administrative Office, pursuant to Rule 2 of Rules of Procedure of the Commission, is the secretary in all proceedings before the Commission. He performs the duties ordinarily performed by Circuit Court clerks, preserves the records, and prepares subpoenas returnable before the Commission.

(4) Supreme Court Rules Committee. This committee originates and considers the proposals of others for the adoption or amendment of rules of the Supreme Court and reports its recommendations thereon to the Supreme Court.

(5) Subcommittee on Judicial Education. As a standing committee of the Judicial Conference, this committee is primarily responsible for planning the annual regional seminar series of five or six programs.

(6) Appellate Court Seminar Planning Committee. The office works with the seven member committee of appellate judges in planning and presenting the two day seminar at which the judges of the Supreme and Appellate Courts discuss their common concerns.

(7) Study Committee on Rules of Evidence in Small Claims Court. Procedures for making the small claims court more efficient and effective through uniform evidentiary standards are being reviewed.

(8) New Judge Seminar Planning Committee, comprised primarily of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education working with Justice Seymour Simon to prepare a 2½ day program for judges assuming office in past year.

(9) Law Clerks Seminar. Seven judges of the Appellate Court and Justice Seymour Simon plan the two day program in Chicago for the law clerks of the reviewing court judges.

(10) Study Committee on the Right to Trial by Jury. Established at the suggestion of the Chief Justice to report on the constitutional scope of the right to trial by jury under the Illinois Constitution.

(11) Study Committee on Dispute Resolution. A study on the feasibility of creating a court-annexed program of arbitration or mediation for minor civil disputes.

(12) Judicial Management Advisory Committee. The office serves as staff and members on the multi-discipline committee which considers the modernization and automation of the clerk's offices and judicial system in Illinois.

## Development and Maintenance of Uniform Recordkeeping Procedures

Using standard forms and methods prescribed by the Director of the Administrative Office pursuant to the provisions of the Supreme Court's General Administrative Order on Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts, the clerks of the trial courts in seventy-seven counties have implemented the uniform procedures for maintaining, either manually or automated, the case files and records of their respective courts. The remaining twenty-five counties in the State fall into two categories: Several more populous counties which have not yet been ordered to implement the Recordkeeping Order have established in varied degrees some automated data processing incorporating therein some of the provisions, standards and procedures prescribed in the Supreme Court's Administrative Order on Recordkeeping. Those counties are: Champaign, Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, Madison, McHenry, Peoria, Rock Island, St. Clair, Sangamon, Vermilion, Whiteside, Will and Winnebago. The remaining ten counties which have not yet been ordered to implement the Recordkeeping System are: DeWitt, Douglas, Henry, Iroquois, Kankakee, Marshall, Mercer, Moultrie, Piatt, and Tazewell. Some of these counties have already adopted certain procedures and forms prescribed by the Manual on Recordkeeping on their own initiative. Iroquois County is preparing to fully implement the uniform procedures prescribed by the Court's Administrative Order beginning January 1, 1984.

## Uniform Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts


JO DAVIESS
STEPHENSON
WINNEBAGO
BOONE
McHENRY
LAKE
17th
19th
CARROLL
OGLE
15th
DE KALB
KANE
COOK
16th
DU PAGE
18th
WHITESIDE
LEE
KENDALL
WILL
HENRY
BUREAU
LA SALLE
13th
GRUNDY
ROCK ISLAND
14th
MERCER
PUTNAM
KANKAKEE
12th
KNOX
STARK
MARSHALL
LIVINGSTON
WARREN
IROQUOIS
PEORIA
WOODFORD
9th
10th
HENDERSON
McLEAN
FULTON
HANCOCK
McDONOUGH
TAZEWELL
11th
FORD
VERMILION
MASON
LOGAN
CHAMPAIGN
SCHUYLER
DE WITT
ADAMS
MENARD
PIATT
BROWN
CASS
MACON
8th
6th
SANGAMON
DOUGLAS
EDGAR
PIKE
MORGAN
SCOTT
7th
MOULTRIE
CHRISTIAN
COLES
5th
SHELBY
GREENE
MACOUPIN
CLARK
CUMBERLAND
MONTGOMERY
JERSEY
FAYETTE
EFFINGHAM
JASPER
4th
MADISON
BOND
3rd
CRAWFORD
CLAY
RICHLAND
MARION
CLINTON
ST CLAIR
WAYNE
WASHINGTON
JEFFERSON
2nd
20th
MONROE
RANDOLPH
PERRY
HAMILTON
WHITE
FRANKLIN
JACKSON
WILLIAMSON
SALINE
GALLATIN
1st
UNION
JOHNSON
POPE
HARDIN
ALEXANDER
PULASKI
MASSAC

▨ Recordkeeping system provided by Administrative Order of The Supreme Court in effect as of December 31, 1983

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Administration of Supreme Court Rule 39 — Appointment of Associate Judges

Supreme Court Rule 39 provides that a vacancy in the office of Associate Judge shall be filled by an elective process among the Circuit Judges. In general, the number of Associate Judges each circuit may have is determined by population (one associate judge for every 35,000 inhabitants in the circuit or fraction thereof) and by need. In the latter instance, the Chief Judge files wih the Director of the Administrative Office a statement supporting the circuit's need for an additional Associate Judge, and the Director then makes a recommendation to the Supreme Court which may allocate an additional Associate Judge to the circuit. The "permissive" Associate judgeships are in addition to those authorized under the population formula, and the Supreme Court can authorize new Associate judgeships in those circuits where litigation is particularly heavy.

Once a vacancy exists in the ranks of Associate Judge, whether by death, resignation or authorization of additional Associate Judges, the Chief Judge notifies the bar of the circuit that a vacancy exists and that it will be filled by the Circuit Judges. Any Illinois licensed attorney may apply for the position by completing an application and filing it with the Chief Judge and the Director. In circuits having a population of more than 500,000, a nominating committee selects, from the applicants, twice as many names of qualified candidates as there are vacancies to be filled. The names of the applicants are certified by the Director, who then places the names on a ballot which is mailed to the Circuit Judges. The director tabulates the ballots and certifies the results to the Chief Judge, maintaining the secrecy of the ballots. In circuits having a population of more than 500,000 the candidates receiving the most votes are declared to be appointed to fill the vacancies. In circuits of less than 500,000 population, candidates receiving a majority of the votes cast are declared to be appointed to fill the vacancies.

During 1983, the Director certified that the following attorneys were appointed as Associate Judges:

| Circuit | Associate Judge |
|---|---|
| 3rd | Eldon Wendell Durr |
| | Jonathan Isbell |
| | Charles V. Romani, Jr. |
| 4th | Michael R. Weber |
| 5th | Ashton Waller |
| 6th | Donald R. Parkinson |
| 7th | Thomas G. Russell |
| | Stuart Shiffman |
| 8th | Arthur Strong |
| 10th | Charles Covey |
| 12th | John F. Cirricione |
| | Bruce Falk |
| | William Penn |
| | John Verklan |
| 14th | Thomas C. Berglund |
| | Ronald Taber |
| 16th | Donald T. Anderson |
| | James M. Wilson |
| 19th | Conrad F. Floeter |
| | John R. Goshgarian |
| | Haskell M. Pitluck |
| | Henry C. Tonigan, III |
| 20th | Robert L. Craig |
| | James K. Donovan |
| | Roger M. Scrivner |
| Cook | John A. Ahern |
| | John J. Beatty |
| | Michael B. Bolan |
| | Robert P. Cahill |
| | George Z. Chrones |
| | Mary Conrad |
| | Ronald S. Davis |
| | Barbara J. Disko |
| | Nello P. Gamberdino |
| | Jerome Garoon |
| | Francis A. Gembala |
| | Frank Glazer |
| | Earl B. Hoffenberg |
| | Dennis M. Horan |
| | Michael J. Howlett, Jr. |
| | Evelyn F. Johnson |
| | Richard A. Kavitt |
| | Herman Knell |
| | Clarence S. Lipnick |
| | Joseph M. Macellaio |
| | John E. Morrissey |
| | John T. O'Donnell |
| | Wayne Rhine |
| | Arthur Rosenblum |
| | George W. Rothschild |
| | Norman Sands |
| | John M. Sorrentino |
| | Mary Jane Wendt Theis |
| | William S. Wood |

## Administration of Supreme Court Rule 68 — Declarations of Economic Interest

Supreme Court 68 provides that the Administrative Director shall be the custodian of certain statements of economic interest which must be filed annually by Illinois judges. The rule provides that judges must file annually with the Director: "(1) a sealed, verified, written statement of economic interests and relationships of himself and members of his immediate family and (2) an unsealed, verified, written list of the names of the corporations and other businesses in which he or members of his immediate family have a financial interest."

The sealed statements shall be opened only by the Supreme Court or by the Illinois Courts Commission when specifically authorized by the Supreme Court for use in proceedings of the Commission. As to the unsealed statements, within 30 days after an order has been entered in any case, any party may request information concerning whether the most recent unsealed list of the judge entering that order contains the name of any specific person, corporation or other business which is a party to the case or which has an interest in its outcome as described in Rule 66.

## Administration of Supreme Court Rule 215(d)— Impartial Medical Expert

The Administrative Director is charged with the responsibility of administering Supreme Court Rule 215(d), which provides as follows:

"(d) Impartial Medical Experts.

(1) *Examination Before Trial.* At a reasonable time in advance of the trial, the court may on its own motion, or that of any party, order an impartial physical or mental examination of a party whose mental or physical condition is in issue, when in the court's discretion it appears that such an examination will materially aid in the just determination of the case. The examination shall be made by a member or members of a panel of physicians chosen for their special qualifications by the Illinois State Medical Society.

(2) *Examination During Trial.* Should the court at any time during the trial find that compelling considerations make it advisable to have an examination and report at that time, the court may in its discretion so order.

(3) *Copies of Report.* A copy of the report of examination shall be given to the court and to the attorneys for the parties.

(4) *Testimony of Examining Physician.* Either party or the court may call the examining physician or physicians to testify. Any physician so called shall be subject to cross-examination.

(5) *Costs and Compensation of Physician.* The examination shall be made, and the physician or physicians, if called, shall testify without cost to the parties. The court shall determine the compensation of the physician or physicians.

(6) *Administration of Rule.* The Administrative Director and the Deputy Administrative Director are charged with the administration of the rule."

The statistical summaries on the following pages provide a profile of the use of Rule 215(d) in the Circuit Courts, since its inception.

It should be explained again this year that the statistical breakdown is divided, necessarily, into the categories of "orders," "examinations" and "costs", which refer to those entered, performed or charged in the current year.

# IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERTS — SUPREME COURT RULE 215(d)
## 1983 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

| SUBJECT | STATISTICAL BREAKDOWN | | | | | | | Totals |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ORDERS | | | | | | | | |
| Orders Entered During 1983 | Downstate 3 | | | Cook County 22 | | | | 25 |
| ACTION | Personal Injury 11 | | | Dissolution of Marriage Child Custody 14 | | | | 25 |
| Specialties Required | Pulmonary Medicine 1 | Orthopedics 4 | Pedodontic 1 | Otolaryngology 1 | Urology 1 | Neuro-Surgery 3 | Psychiatry 15 | 26 |
| | *In 1 case 2 specialties were required | | | | | | | |
| Frequency of Use of Rule 215(d) by Judges | 7 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 1 Case | | 3 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 2 Cases | | 2 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 6 Cases | | | 12 Judges Ordererd 215(d) Exams in a Total of 25 Cases |
| Disposition of Orders Entered During 1983 | All Examinations in the Case Cancelled 2 | | Order for Examinations Vacated 1 | | Some or All Examinations Ordered in the Case were Performed 22 | | | 25 |
| EXAMINATIONS | | | | | | | | |
| IME Examinations Scheduled in 1983 | Vacated By Order 1 | | Examinations Cancelled For Other Reasons 2 | | Examinations Actually Performed (Downstate 1) (Cook County 41) | | | 45 |
| Specialties Required Exams Actually Performed | Neurology 3 | Urology 1 | Orthopedics 4 | Otolaryngology 1 | Psychiatry 33 | | | 42 |
| Number of Exams Performed By Individual IME — Frequency of Use Of Panelists | 9 I.M. Experts Performed 1 Exam | 9 I.M. Experts Performed 2 Exams | 1 I.M. Expert Performed 3 Exams | 2 I.M. Experts Performed 6 Exams | | | | 21 I.M. Experts Performed a Total of 42 Exams |
| COST | | | | | | | | |
| Average Cost Per 1983 Case | Downstate $100.00 | | | Cook County $280.94 | | | | Statewide $272.72 |
| Average Cost Per 1983 Exam | Downstate $100.00 | | | Cook County $143.90 | | | | Statewide $142.85 |
| Total Cost For 1983 Cases | Downstate $100.00 | | | Cook County $5,899.75 | | | | State Total $5,999.75 |

# CUMULATIVE STATISTICAL SUMMARY
## January 1970 - December 1983

<table>
<tr><td>SUBJECT</td><td colspan="17"></td><td>Totals</td></tr>
<tr><td>ORDERS</td><td colspan="17"></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Total Orders Entered</td><td colspan="4">Downstate<br>95</td><td colspan="4">Attorney Registration<br>4</td><td colspan="5">Judges Retirement System<br>2</td><td colspan="4">Cook County<br>594</td><td>695</td></tr>
<tr><td>ACTION</td><td colspan="2">Mental Health<br>4</td><td colspan="2">Probate<br>3</td><td colspan="2">Juvenile<br>2</td><td colspan="3">Adoption<br>4</td><td colspan="2">Criminal<br>29</td><td colspan="2">Civil Personal Injury<br>192</td><td colspan="2">Divorce Child Custody<br>460</td><td colspan="2">Paternity<br>1</td><td>695</td></tr>
<tr><td>Testimony Required At Trial</td><td colspan="17"></td><td>51</td></tr>
<tr><td>EXAMINATIONS</td><td colspan="17"></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>IME Examinations Scheduled</td><td colspan="6">Cases Settled Before Trial<br>34</td><td colspan="5">Cancelled Examinations<br>110</td><td colspan="6">Examinations Actually Performed<br>1,307</td><td>1,451</td></tr>
<tr><td>Specialties Required Examinations Actually Performed</td><td>Hematology<br>1</td><td>Rheumatology<br>1</td><td>Cardiology<br>4</td><td>General Practice<br>8</td><td>Geriatrics<br>1</td><td>Plastic Surgery<br>1</td><td>Pediatrics<br>3</td><td>Radiology<br>1</td><td>Urology<br>3</td><td>Ophthalmology<br>10</td><td>Internal Medicine<br>30</td><td>Neurology<br>55</td><td>Orthopedics<br>78</td><td>Allergies<br>1</td><td>Obstetrics<br>2</td><td>Psychiatry<br>1,055</td><td>Otolaryngology<br>7</td><td>1,261</td></tr>
<tr><td>COST</td><td colspan="17"></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Average Cost Per Exam Actually Performed</td><td colspan="17">Including Ancillary Cost & Testimony</td><td>$129.55</td></tr>
</table>

## Administration of Supreme Court Rule 711— Representation By Supervised Senior Law Students

During 1983, 551 temporary licenses were issued. Since the rule's inception in May, 1969, a total of 6,895 senior law students have participated in this legal internship program.

The comparative chart below indicates the use of Rule 711 in the last five years.



Illinois Supreme Court Rule 711 provides for the temporary licensing of law students who are certified by their dean as having received credit for work representing at least two thirds of the total hourly credits required for graduation from the law school. The student must be in good academic standing and be eligible under the school's criteria to undertake the activities authorized by the rule.

The services authorized by the rule may only be carried on in the course of the student's work with one or more of the following:

"(1) A legal aid bureau, legal assistance program, organization, or clinic chartered by the State of Illinois or approved by a law school located in Illinois;

(2) The office of the public defender;

(3) A law office of the State or any of its subdivisions."

Under the supervision of a member of the bar of this State, and with the written consent of the person on whose behalf he is acting, an eligible law student may render the following services:

"(1) He may counsel with clients, negotiate in the settlement of claims, and engage in the preparation and drafting of legal instruments.

(2) He may appear in the trial courts and administrative tribunals of this State, subject to the following qualifications:

(i) Appearances, pleadings, motions, and other documents to be filed with the court may be prepared by the student and may be signed by him with the accompanying designation Senior Law Student but must also be signed by the supervising member of the bar.

(ii) In criminal cases, in which the penalty may be imprisonment, in proceedings challenging sentences of imprisonment, and in civil or criminal contempt proceedings, the student may participate in pretrial, trial, and post-trial proceedings as an assistant of the supervising member of the bar, who shall be present and responsible for the conduct of the proceedings.

(iii) In all other civil and criminal cases the student may conduct all pretrial, trial and post-trial proceedings, and the supervising member of the bar need not be present.

(3) He may prepare briefs, excerpts from record, abstracts, and other documents filed in courts of review of the State, which may set forth the name of the student with the accompanying designation Senior Law Student but must be filed in the name of the supervising member of the bar."

### Law Schools

The number of temporarily licensed law students and their schools for 1983 are as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| California Western | 1 |
| Creighton University | 1 |
| DePaul University | 100 |
| Drake University | 2 |
| Georgetown University | 1 |
| Hamline University | 1 |
| IIT Chicago-Kent | 75 |
| Indiana University | 3 |
| John Marshall | 73 |
| Loyola University | 57 |
| Northern Illinois University | 35 |
| Northwestern University | 43 |
| Notre Dame | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Ohio State University | 1 |
| Pepperdine University | 2 |
| Southern Illinois University | 57 |
| St. Louis University | 7 |
| Tulane University | 1 |
| University of Chicago | 32 |
| University of Dayton | 1 |
| University of Denver | 1 |
| University of Illinois | 42 |
| University of Iowa | 1 |
| University of Kansas | 1 |
| University of Missouri | 1 |
| University of Tulsa | 1 |
| Wake Forest University | 1 |
| Washington University | 9 |
| | 551 |

## Agencies Employing 711 Students

The agencies with which temporarily licensed law students were associated during 1983 are as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission | 1 |
| Cabrini-Green Legal Aid Clinic | 1 |
| Chicago Bar Association Defense of Prisoners Committee | 5 |
| Chicago Volunteer Legal Services Foundation | 3 |
| City Attorney of Carbondale | 3 |
| City Attorney of Champaign | 2 |
| City of Chicago Corporation Counsel | 12 |
| City Attorney of DeKalb | 1 |
| City Attorney of Evanston | 4 |
| City Attorney of Mendota | 1 |
| City Attorney of Pekin | 1 |
| City Attorney of Rockford | 2 |
| City Attorney of Urbana | 3 |
| Cook County Legal Assistance Foundation | 2 |
| Cook County Public Administrator | 1 |
| Department of Mental Health | 1 |
| DePaul University Legal Clinic | 31 |
| DuPage County Public Guardian/Administrator | 1 |
| Federal Defender Program | 2 |
| Guardianship and Advocacy Commission | 3 |
| IIT Chicago-Kent Legal Clinic | 42 |
| Illinois Attorney General | 42 |
| Illinois Office of Collective Bargaining | 1 |
| Illinois Secretary of State | 2 |
| Indigent Defendants' Representation | 1 |
| Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance Foundation | 13 |
| Legal Aid Bureau | 2 |
| Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago | 19 |
| Legal Services for the Elderly | 7 |
| Loyola University Legal Clinic | 16 |
| Mandel Legal Aid Clinic | 34 |
| Northern Illinois University Legal Clinic | 2 |
| Northwestern University Legal Clinic | 39 |
| Office of Public Defender | 44 |
| Office of State's Attorney | 178 |
| People's Law Office | 1 |
| Prairie State Legal Services | 1 |
| Prison Legal Aid | 8 |
| South Chicago Legal Clinic | 1 |
| Southern Illinois University Legal Clinic | 6 |
| State Appellate Defender | 4 |
| United States Attorney | 2 |
| University of Illinois Legal Clinic | 4 |
| Village of Downers Grove | 1 |
| Will County Legal Assistance Program | 1 |
| | 551 |

## Administration of Official Court Reporters

As required by statute, the Administrative Office several times each year administers an Official Court Reporters' Proficiency Examination to determine the qualifications of applicants for the position of official court reporter. To date 1,799 persons have attempted to qualify for appointment as official court reporters or for advancement to a higher pay level within the official court reporter ranks.

The Official Court Reporter Proficiency Examination has two parts, A and B. Part A requires the greatest proficiency while Part B is less demanding. Each examination consists of two voice question and answer (Q & A) sections and a legal opinion section. Each test is dictated by professional readers.

Candidates who pass any part of the Proficiency Examination may, if a vacancy exists, be appointed to the post of official court reporter by any chief judge of any circuit court outside of Cook County. In order to be eligible to be hired as an official court reporter in Cook County, a court reporter must have passed Part A of the examination.

By statute, the Supreme Court determines the number of official court reporters that each circuit may appoint. The Court may increase or decrease the number of court reporters in any circuit after considering various factors provided for in the statute (Ill. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par. 653). As of December 31, 1983 there were 608 official court reporters in Illinois, 17 of whom were part-time. During 1983 three official court reporter proficiency examinations were offered, one in Chicago and two in Normal. Of 161 applicants, 122 actually sat for the test, 39 failed to appear, and 73 passed Part A.

Each year the Administrative Office organizes and presents an Official Court Reporter Development Seminar to which all official court reporters are invited. The seminar is designed to provide educational experiences for the court reporters which are useful to them in the discharge of their official reporting responsibilities. The 1983 Court Reporter Development Seminar was held at the Hyatt Regency O'Hare Hotel on Friday and Saturday, June 17 & 18, 1983. The topics considered by the reporters were:

A Question and Answer Session with Hon. Roy O. Gulley, Director, Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts,
Surgical Procedures and Instrumentation,
Techniques for Resolving Conflicts in Machine Shorthand and a Secret Formula for Increasing Speed,
Brain Functions and the Learning Process (a Short Course in Brain Physiology),
A Gregg Workshop,
The Art of Dictating and New Dictating Equipment,
An English Workshop, Human Factors Engineering and The Law,
Professional Demeanor of the Court Reporter in the Courtroom and In and Around the Courthouse, and
A Panel of Official Reporters answering questions submitted by the reporters.

The Administrative Office is continuing its efforts to upgrade and improve the reporting systems in the State of Illinois and to encourage official court reporters to use all of the most modern technology available to improve both the quality of transcripts and the timeliness with which they are provided. Each year for the past five years the Administrative Office has offered reporters an opportunity to have a "hands-on" experience in working with various computer-aided transcription equipment in conjunction with the Annual Court Reporter Development Seminar. We have considered various inducements to make official reporters invest the time, effort and money necessary to become computer proficient. In the coming calendar year we plan to submit to the Supreme Court specific recommendations to achieve that goal.

## Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports Pursuant to Ill. Rev. Stat. ch. 38, § 108-A-1 Et Seq.

With the passage of Illinois' eavesdropping statute (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, § 108A-1 et seq.) an added responsibility was placed upon the Administrative Office. Within 30 days after the expiration of an order authorizing the use of an eavesdropping device, or within 30 days after the denial of an application, the issuing or denying judge must report certain information to the Administrative Office. Also in January of each year, the State's Attorney of each county must report certain detailed information to the Administrative Office concerning the use of such eavesdropping devices. Thereafter, in April of each year, the Director of the Administrative Office must transmit to the General Assembly a report summarizing the information he has received during the preceding calendar year. The section of the statute creating these responsibilities is as follows:

"§108A-11. Reports Concerning Use of Eavesdropping Devices. (a) Within 30 days after the expiration of an order and each extension thereof authorizing the use of an eavesdropping device, or within 30 days after the denial of an application or disapproval of an application subsequent to any alleged emergency situation, the issuing or denying judge shall report to the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts the following:

(1) the fact that such an order, extension, or subsequent approval of an emergency was applied for;

(2) the kind of order or extension applied for;

(3) a statement as to whether the order or extension was granted as applied for was modified, or was denied;

(4) the period authorized by the order or extensions in which an eavesdropping device could be used;

(5) the felony specified in the order, extension or denied application;

(6) the identity of the applying investigative or law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the State's Attorney authorizing the application; and

(7) the nature of the facilities from which, or the place where, the eavesdropping device was to be used.

(b) In January of each year the State's Attorney of each county in which eavesdropping devices were used pursuant to the provisions of this Article shall report to the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts the following:

(1) the information required by subsections (a)(1) through (a)(7) of this Section with respect to each application for an order or extension made during the preceding calendar year;

(2) a general description of the uses of eavesdropping devices actually made under such order to overhear or record conversations, including: (a) the approximate nature and frequency of incriminating conversations overheard, (b) the approximate nature and frequency of other conversations overheard, (c) the approximate number of persons whose conversations were overheard, and (d) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used pursuant to the authorization to use an eavesdropping device;

(3) the number of arrests resulting from authorized uses of eavesdropping devices and the offenses for which arrests were made;

(4) the number of trials resulting from such uses of eavesdropping devices;

(5) the number of motions to supress made with respect to such uses, and the number granted or denied; and

(6) the number of convictions resulting from such uses and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the convictions.

(c) In April of each year, the Director of the Administrative Office of Illinois Courts shall transmit to the General Assembly a report including information on the number of applications for orders authorizing the use of eavesdropping devices, the number of orders and extensions granted or denied during the preceding calendar year, the convictions arising out of such uses, and a summary of the information required by subsections (a) and (b) of this Section."

During 1983, notices of 316 orders authorizing eavesdropping were filed with the Administrative Office by State's Attorneys and judges. Of the 316 orders, 274 were original and 42 were extensions or modifications.

In the 316 cases in which eavesdropping was ordered, 212 persons were arrested, of which number 55 individuals in downstate counties were convicted of an offense in 1983.

Some examples of the most common types of offenses for which authorized eavesdropping was used in 1983 are: murder, arson, bribery, unlawful delivery and possession of a controlled substance, official misconduct, felony theft, pandering, aggravated kidnaping, and armed robbery. Private homes and various business premises were the most common places where authorized eavesdropping was used, in addition to agents carrying eavesdropping devices on their persons.

## Distribution of Illinois Supreme Court Opinion Summaries

Since April of 1975, the Administrative Office has regularly prepared and distributed synopses of select opinions filed by the Illinois Supreme Court. Each opinion is carefully studied, and those having "impact" characteristics are summarized and distributed to each of the State's nearly 800 judicial officers. From the date of each filing of opinions during 1983, this process took an average of less than 7 days. Thus, judges received the opinion summaries many weeks prior to publication of the opinions in the advance sheets.

During 1983, 44 Supreme Court opinions were summarized.

## Distribution of Legislative Summaries

The Administrative Office has developed a sound working relationship with the General Assembly and the Governor's Office. In addition to appearing before the appropriation committees of the legislature to testify concerning the State judicial budget, the Director is frequently called upon to appear before the judiciary committees to advise on proposed legislation affecting the courts.

During 1983, numerous bills affecting civil and criminal law and procedure, domestic relations, probate practice, juvenile justice, the operation of the court system and court personnel were passed by the General Assembly.

A synopsis of selected bills affecting the courts is prepared by the Administrative Office each year. The progress of the bills is carefully followed and the synopsis is continuously updated. At the end of the legislative session the Governor's action on each bill is also noted, and the synopsis is mailed to all Illinois judges.

## Organization of Judicial Visitations to Penal Institutions

Frequent turmoil in some penal institutions has placed the condition of the national and state prisons in the forefront of public concern. Indeed, probing questions have been raised by the general public and governmental officials as the objectives and purposes of incarceration. Too, the wave of serious "street crime" has been portrayed by the news media, penologists, prosecutors and police agencies as a national nightmare. The result has been billions of dollars poured into "people programs" and hardware to combat crime. Predictably, penologists and other "experts" on crime and the criminal justice process have proposed a variety of plans, invariably known as "criminal justice" or "correctional models," which suggest that "flat sentencing" or "decriminalizing" victimless offenses is the answer to reducing criminal activity. Today, the emphasis clearly is on protecting society by incarcerating convicted defendants rather than on rehabilitation.

Illinois' answer to the apparent dissatisfaction with indeterminate sentencing and the parole system is a sweeping revision of the Unified Code of Corrections. In late December of 1977, the governor signed into law P.A. 80-1099, effective February 1, 1978. (See generally, Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 1003-1-2 *et seq.*) In substance, the Act provides for determinate sentences of incarceration, to be reduced by one day for each day of good conduct credit; provides for mandatory life sentences in certain instances; provides for enhanced sentences or imprisonment upon conviction of certain offenses; and abolishes the Parole and Pardon Board. In addition, laws, amending the Unified Code of Corrections and other penal statutes, have been enacted since 1978 which increase the severity of sentences. See, generally, Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 1005-5-1 *et seq.*

To accommodate the increase in prison population, the legislature appropriated funds to construct new penitentiaries, to convert other State institutions (e.g., underutilized mental health facilities) into prisons, and to expand existing penal facilities. However, these additional prison facilities cannot accommodate the increasing number of convicted defendants being sentenced to imprisonment. As a consequence, the Illinois Department of Corrections instituted a "forced release" program which releases certain inmates into the community before they would be otherwise eligible; however, in *Lane v. Sklodowski* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 311, the Supreme Court determined that the Department lacked statutory authority to institute such a program and "forced releases" were halted.

These developments suggest a shift in the public policy regarding the treatment of convicted defendants; yet, it is still true that no person has a greater responsibility and burden of determining whether a convicted defendant will lose, in most instances, his freedom by imprisonment than the sentencing judge. In making that decision the judge considers many factors including the feasibility of rehabilitation, reintegration of the defendant into society, protection of the public and the best forum to accomplish these objectives.

Recognizing that judges must be familiar with the State's penal system and programs, the Director of the Administrative Office and the Director of the Illinois Department of Corrections formulated plans for organized visits by judges to the various correctional facilities. During the period 1971-1978 and in 1981 (no formal organized programs were sponsored during 1979, 1980, and 1982), fourteen programs were held in which a total of 488 Illinois judges participated.

The visitation program was resumed in 1983 when, on June 3, judges visited the Graham Correctional Center near Hillsboro. Including the 21 judges who attended this visit, a total of 509 judges has participated in the organized tours. The program ran for a full day, and the judges had access to institutional buildings, including the hospital, academic and vocational buildings, segregation unit, gym, and housing units. As well, the judges had opportunities, albeit limited, to randomly converse with inmates.

Graham is a medium/minimum facility located about 250 miles southwest of Chicago, and was opened in August 1980. Its rated capacity is 750 inmates; it cost $28 million to build, has an annual budget of $11.6 million, and employs 295 correctional officers. The judges were told that the annual cost to house an inmate at Graham is $15,000; that educational and vocational programs are emphasized; that all inmates have work assignments; that Graham is a "trouble free institution" and no gang activity is permitted; that a large number of inmates were convicted of serious felony offenses, e.g., Class X and Class 1 felonies; and that only inmates with less than five years remaining on their sentences are assigned to Graham. The Director of the Department of Corrections stated that Graham and its twin at Centralia are the "most modern and plush" penal institutions in Illinois, having the ambiance of a college campus, and no more like them will be built, and that Graham is a program oriented facility which provides an environment for inmate learning. He noted no misbehavior is tolerated and if it occurs the inmate is transferred to another facility. The Director also discussed the crisis in prison population, budget constraints, the "forced release" program, and how convictions for non-probationable offenses have increased the inmate population.

The judges also participated in a panel discussion ("rap session") with inmates and prison administrators in which there were lively and candid exchanges of opinions regarding the philosophy and practices of the criminal justice system in Illinois.

## Organization of Trial Court Administration Conference

Ill Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.4-1, provides that the chief judge of each circuit may appoint an administrative secretary to assist him in carrying out his administrative duties in the circuit. Each circuit in the state, except Cook County, has filled this position. Since 1973, the Administrative Office has sponsored and conducted a Trial Court Administration Conference for the purpose of assisting administrative secretaries to the chief circuit judges and other administrative personnel of the trial courts to develop a more thorough understanding of the judicial system and to provide them with the opportunity to discuss mutual problems. The value of this program has been apparent and, with the consent of the Supreme Court, the Administrative Office has conducted such a conference on an annual basis. Seventeen administrative secretaries to the chief circuit judges attended the 1983 Trial Court Administration Conference. Four downstate trial court administrators and seventeen administrative personnel from the Circuit Court of Cook County attended the seminar. The topics considered at the 1983 seminar included: Stress Management, presented by Professor Eugene A. Brodland, Southern Illinois University Medical School, Department of Psychiatry; The Legislative Process in Illinois, presented by Senator Philip J. Rock and Representative Lee Daniels; Public Image of the Illinois Judiciary, panel presentation by media representatives Cheryl Frank, Mike Lawrence, Jim Loughman and Joseph Tybor; and a presentation on the Role and Responsibilities of Illinois Trial Court Administrative Personnel. The program lasted for 1½ days and was held at the Nordic Hills Conference Center in Itasca, Illinois.

## Public Information and Publications of the Administrative Office

The Director and staff are frequently asked to address civic groups, Bar Associations, legislative commissions and court reform groups concerning court administration and the structure and operation of Illinois' unified court system.

Citizens, judges, lawyers, court administrators from other states, and persons from foreign nations visit the Administrative Office and the Illinois courts. An important function of the Administrative Office is to explain the Illinois court system to the visitors and arrange visits to courthouses and with judges.

The Administrative Office also publishes and/or distributes several books or pamphlets which are available to the public. These publications can be obtained by contacting the Springfield or Chicago office:

(1) A Short History of the Illinois Judicial System;

(2) Manual on Recordkeeping;

(3) Annual Report of the Administrative Office;

(4) Annual Report of the Judicial Conference;

(5) Article V of the Supreme Court Rules (relating to trial court proceedings in traffic cases);

(6) Handbooks for jurors in grand jury proceedings, and in criminal and civil cases;

(7) A pamphlet on the history of the Supreme Court Building in Springfield;

(8) Illinois Supreme Court Rules;

(9) Interim Report: Experimental Video-taping of Courtroom Proceedings;

(10) Rules of Procedure of the Illinois Courts Commission;

(11) Chief Circuit Judge's Manual on Guidelines for the Administration of Circuit Courts (draft form only);

(12) Benchbook (Criminal Cases) for Illinois Judges;

(13) Reading and Reference Materials used at seminars and conferences sponsored by the Judicial Conference;

(14) Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Video-taping Court Proceedings;

(15) Administrative Regulations Governing Court Reporters in the Illinois Courts;

(16) Illinois Courtrooms, Bohn, William G., Supreme Court Committee on Criminal Justice Programs (1972);

(17) Benchbook for Use in Juvenile Proceedings;

(18) Administrative Regulations Governing Minimum Qualifications for Illinois Probation Personnel;

(19) Administrative Policy Statements Governing Eligibility of Illinois Probation Personnel for State Subsidy and Related Matters;

(20) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase One Summary Report;

(21) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase Two Summary Report;

(22) Report of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures of the Illinois Judicial Conference (1978);

(23) Judicial Management Information System Standards;

(24) Supplemental Report of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures (1980).

## Membership in Organizations

The Director, Deputy Director, and Assistant Directors are members of a number of organizations concerned with improving the administration of justice. Current memberships include:

(1) Conference of State Court Administrators (The Director has served as Chairman of the Conference's Executive Committee and is currently a member of its National Court Statistics Project Committee.)

(2) The American Judicature Society (The Director was a member of the Board of Directors until August 1981.)

(3) Illinois State Bar Association (and various committees and sections.)

(4) American Bar Association

(5) Chicago Bar Association

(6) Chicago Council of Lawyers

(7) Illinois Defender Project (Board of Commissioners.)

(8) Council of State Governments

(9) National Association of Trial Court Administrators

(10) Institute of Judicial Administration

(11) Women's Bar Association of Illinois

# LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS

## 1983

During 1983, numerous bills, affecting civil and criminal law and procedure, domestic relations, juvenile justice, the operation of the court system and court personnel were passed by the General Assembly. Summaries of the more significant bills enacted into law are set forth below. References are to Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. . . . . . . . . . . . ., par. . . . . . .

### Clerks Of Court

P.A. 83-294 amends ch. 37, par. 27 and ch. 53, par. 28.1 EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Provides that expenses of operating offices of clerks of supreme and appellate courts, including salaries, shall be determined by Supreme Court.

### Code Of Civil Procedure

P.A. 83-901 amends par. 2-102 and adds new pars. 2-205.1, 2-209.1 to ch. 110 (Code of Civil Procedure). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Provides in new par. 2-209.1 that voluntary unincorporated associations may sue and be sued in their own name and in new par. 2-205.1 provides for service on such associations. Establishes in par. 2-102 residence of such associations, for venue purposes, when they are sued. Defines voluntary unincorporated association.

P.A. 83-909 amends ch. 13, par. 11, ch. 32, pars. 415, 415-16, and adds new par. 2-416 to ch. 110. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Allows in new par. 2-416 of ch. 110 (Code of Civil Procedure) corporation to appear in small claims action as plaintiff or defendant through "any officer, director, manager, department manager or supervisor of the corporation" but no corporation may appear "as assignee or subrogee;" defines "officer" and "small claims proceeding." Amends ch. 13, par. 11 and ch. 32, pars. 415, 415-16 to allow corporation to appear in small claims action as provided in new par. 2-416 of ch. 110.

### Criminal Law & Procedure, And Sentencing

P.A. 83-19 amends par. 1005-8-6(b) of ch. 38. EFFECTIVE JULY 14, 1983. Provides that convicted defendants sentenced to less than one year imprisonment must be committed to county jail and deletes that such defendants sentenced to 60 or more days imprisonment may be committed to State Dept. of Corrections.

P.A. 82-233 amends ch. 38, par. 119-5. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 8, 1983. Substitutes lethal injection for electrocution for execution of death sentence, and provides in saving clause that if lethal injection is found to be invalid, then execution shall be by electrocution.

P.A. 83-288 amends par. 3-2 and 6-2 of ch. 38. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Provides in par. 3-2(b) that if affirmative defense of insanity is raised, defendant has burden of proving by preponderance of evidence his insanity at time of offense; adds new par. 6-2(e) that if insanity defense presented at trial, defendant has burden of proof by preponderance of evidence that he is not guilty by reason of insanity but burden of proof beyond reasonable doubt remains on State as to each element of charged offense, and that in jury trial where insanity defense presented jury to be instructed not to consider whether defendant has met burden of proving he is not guilty by reason of insanity until and unless jury has first determined State has proved beyond reasonable doubt that defendant guilty of charged offense.

P.A. 83-367 adds new par. 115-12 to ch. 38. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Provides for substantive admissibility of prior identification: "A statement is not rendered inadmissible by the hearsay rule if (a) the declarant testifies at the trial or hearing, and (b) the declarant is subject to cross-examination concerning the statement, and (c) the statement is one of identification of a person made after perceiving him."

P.A. 83-419 amends pars. 1003-10-7 and 1005-8-6 of ch. 38. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. In par. 1005-8-6(c) makes clear that juvenile sentenced to imprisonment in Dept. of Corrections, Juvenile Division, must be under 17 years of age "when" sentenced, and in same par. requires Dept. to petition court to hold a transfer hearing under new par. 1003-10-7(c) when juvenile reaches 17 years of age. New par. 1003-10-7(c) sets forth factors court is to consider at hearing to determine whether 17 year old juvenile should be transferred from Juvenile Division to Adult Division of Dept., and if transfer ordered, juvenile court judge to issue statement of reasons which is to accompany order.

P.A. 83-423 adds new par. 12-4(b)(11) to ch. 38. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Adds to class of persons who can be victims of aggravated battery a judge whom offender knows to be a judge and whom offender intended to harm as a result of judge's performance of his official judicial duties.

P.A. 83-644 amends ch. 38, pars. 109-3, 111-2 and 114-1, and adds new par. 109-3.1. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984.

Implements Illinois constitutional right to a prompt probable cause hearing in felony cases. Provides in pars. 109-3(a) and 111-2(a) that those pars. are subject to provisions in new par. 109-3.1. Par. 109-3.1 provides that procedures therein applicable to person charged with felony committed on or after January 1, 1984, and that if person in custody for felony, he is to receive a par. 109-3 hearing or indictment within 30 days after taken into custody, or if person on bail or recognizance for felony, he is to receive a par. 109-3 hearing or indictment within 60 days from arrest; provides exceptions and tolling of time periods where delay occasioned by defendant. Adds in new par. 114-1(a)(11) that failure to comply with par. 109-3.1 is subject to motion to dismiss but amends par. 114-1(e) to provide that if motion to dismiss granted under par. 114-1(a)(11), it shall not prevent new indictment or filing of new charge.

P.A. 83-1042 adds new par 115-10.1 to ch. 38. EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1984. Provides in criminal trials that witness' prior inconsistent statement is not made inadmissible by hearsay rule provided that specified conditions are met, *e.g.*, statement inconsistent with trial or hearing testimony, witness subject to cross-examination regarding statement, statement made under oath, etc. Impeachment by prior inconsistent statement not affected by new par.

P.A. 83-1047 amends ch. 38, par. 1005-6-3. EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1984. Adds as mandatory conditions of probation that probationer not leave State without court's consent (some exceptions in which case probation officer to approve leave) and that probation officer is permitted to visit probationer at any place to extent necessary to discharge his duties (was discretionary condition).

## Drug Abuse

P.A. 83-969 adds new pars. 6301-6344 to ch. 111½, and amends or repeals numerous other statutes. EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1984. This 80 section Act creates the Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Act, establishes new Dept. of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse, and amends various statutes. Repeals Dangerous Drug Abuse Act (ch. 91½, pars. 120.1-120.29), Alcoholism and Intoxication Treatment Act (ch. 91½, pars. 501-521), and Substance Abuse Treatment and Prevention Act (ch. 91½, pars. 1001-1005), but provides in section 78 that P.A. 83-969 "is not intended to impair or disturb the body of case law interpreting the provisions of the Dangerous Drug Abuse Act or the Alcoholism Intoxication and Treatment Act, which are repealed, but reenacted, under the various provisions of this Act." Provides that new Dept. of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse shall assume duties and functions of abolished Illinois Dangerous Drug Commission and those duties and functions relating to alcoholism services within Dept. of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Creates Illinois Advisory Council on Alcoholism and Substance Abuse, of which one member shall be a judge designated by the Chief Justice. Amends various statutes by, generally, substituting "Dept. of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse" for "Dept. of Mental Health" or "Dangerous Drug Commission:" Juvenile Court Act (ch. 37, pars. 702-3.1, 703-6, 705-2), Unified Code of Corrections (ch. 38, pars. 1003-8-5, 1003-8-6, 1003-10-2, 1003-10-5, 1003-10-6), Cannabis Control Act (ch. 56½, pars. 703, 711, 715), Controlled Substances Act (ch. 56½, pars. 1102, 1201, 1203, 1205, 1207-1211, 1213, 1302, 1304, 1313, 1410, 1501, 1507, 1507.1, 1508).

## Election Code

P.A. 83-995 amends ch. 46, par. 10-9 and ch. 139, par. 59a. EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 13, 1983. In ch. 46, par. 10-9 eliminates judges from serving on various electoral boards; abolishes State division electoral board and provides State Board of Elections to hear and pass upon objections previously heard by State division electoral board including objections to nominations of candidates for "judicial offices of districts or circuits situated in more than one county;" provides for certain nonjudicial officers to serve in place of judges on various electoral boards; provides that any vacancy on an electoral board not otherwise filled pursuant to par. 10-9 shall be filled by public member appointed by appropriate chief judge and in certain instances, where board member ineligible to serve as chairman of an electoral board, chief judge to designate chairman. In ch. 139, par. 59a provides that no judge shall serve as township caucus judge of election.

## Family Law

P.A. 83-226 amends par. 209(a) of ch. 40. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 7, 1983. Allows Cook County Clerk to solemnize marriages.

P.A. 83-247 amends par. 401(3) of ch. 40. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 9, 1983. Provides court "shall" (was "may") not enter dissolution of marriage judgment unless it has considered, approved, reserved, etc. matters relating to custody, support, property disposition, etc.; court may enter judgment while reserving such matters upon parties' agreement or on motion of party and finding by court that appropriate circumstances exist; death of party after judgment of dissolution but before judgment on reserved issues does not abate proceedings. Substantially rewrites par. 401(3).

## Judges Pension

P.A. 83-974 amends ch. 108½, par. 22A-110. EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 6, 1983. Provides that State Board of Investment, on which the chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System sits, may provide for indemnification of its members, directors, employees, etc. in any manner consistent with ch. 108½, pars. 1-107 or 1-108.

## Judicial Personnel

P.A. 83-985 amends ch. 37, pars. 23.1, 658. EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 12, 1983. In par. 23.1 increases number of law clerks for each Supreme Court judge from 2 to 3; in par. 658 increases maximum salary of full time official court reporters as follows: $31,250 (eff. 7/1/84) and $33,250 (eff. 7/1/85).

## Judges

P.A. 83-259 amends par. 9-1.3 of ch. 46. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Adds to definition of candidate in par. 9-1.3 person who seeks "retention" in public office. Also, makes changes in pars. 9-9, 9-10, and 9-21 regarding campaign literature, filing of reports of campaign contributions, and complaints filed with State Board of Elections about an elected public official and a candidate's campaign contributions and expenditures, including candidates for retention.

P.A. 83-382 amends par. 18-112(d) of ch. 108½. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Raises from 4 to 8 years of service as state's attorney that may be credited by judge to his judicial pension.

P.A. 83-669 amends many Acts but principally amends and adds to ch. 48, par. 850.01 *et seq.* EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1983. This is a lengthy, complex Act which seems to provide funds to the Ill. Development Finance Authority so that it can expend or invest the funds to improve the Illinois economy. The Act provides for "special appropriations for the credit of the State public employee retirement funds," including the Judges Retirement System, in tens of millions of dollars over several fiscal years, which appropriations are in addition to "State contributions prescribed by the Illinois Pension Code to meet the costs of maintaining such pension systems." See new pars. 850.07c and 850.07v. It *appears* that pension funds and/or credit which can be generated by pension funds will be utilized to provide funds to the Authority.

## Jurors

P.A. 83-234 amends ch. 38, par. 115-4 and ch. 78, par. 36. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Entitles ("shall be entitled") jurors in civil and criminal trials to take notes; sheriff to supply writing materials for note-taking; notes to be confidential and destroyed by sheriff.

P.A. 83-461 amends ch. 38, pars. 115-4(d) and *(l)*, ch. 78, par. 2, and ch. 110, par. 8-1402 and adds new par. 2-1105.1. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Provides in ch. 38, par. 115-4(d) and ch. 110, new par. 2-1105.1 that court in considering challenge for cause shall consider "prospective juror's ability to perceive and appreciate the evidence" where juror has physical impairment, and specifically adds to par. 2-1105.1 that each party may challenge jurors for cause. Provides in ch. 38, par. 115-4*(l)* that when jury deliberates and if juror is deaf, jury "may be accompanied by and may communicate with a court-appointed interpreter;" and provides in ch. 110, par. 8-1402 that court to appoint interpreter if a juror is deaf and that interpreter shall be available throughout actual trial and may accompany and communicate with deaf juror when jury sequestered or deliberating. Repeals sentence in ch. 78, par. 2 that jurors must be in possession of their natural faculties and not infirm or decrepit.

## Traffic Law

P.A. 83-204 amends ch. 38, par. 1005-5-3 and ch. 95½, par. 11-501. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Adds new subpar. to par. 1005-5-3 of ch. 38 requiring court to sentence defendant to not less than 48 consecutive hours in jail or 10 days community service where defendant convicted of a second or subsequent violation committed within 5 years of a previous violation of ch. 95½, par. 11-501 or similar ordinance. Amends ch. 95½ par. 11-501(c) by adding to penalty for DWI conviction that for second or subsequent conviction under par. 11-501 or similar ordinance within 5 years of previous DWI conviction defendant "shall be mandatorily sentenced" to at least 48 consecutive hours in jail or to 10 days community service, and neither sentence shall be subject to suspension nor shall "the person be eligible for probation in order to reduce the sentence."

P.A. 83-385 adds new pars. 6-306.2 and 6-800 - 6-810, and repeals par. 6-306 of ch. 95½. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1984. Repeals par. 6-306 allowing traffic violator to deposit his driver's license in lieu of cash bail deposit. Enacts Nonresident Violator Compact (pars. 6-800-6-810): nonresident traffic violator with valid drivers' license from Compact jurisdiction not to be required to post collateral to secure appearance if he gives arresting officer his personal recognizance to comply with citation, provided personal recognizance not prohibited by law, and if mandatory appearance required, "it must take place according to law, following issuance of the citation;" provides procedures where violator fails to comply with citation; provides for procedures to administer Compact. New par. 6-306.2 provides traffic violator, whether resident or nonresident of Compact jurisdiction, charged with violation of Ill. Vehicle Code or similar ordinance, has option of being taken without unnecessary delay to court or executing written promise to comply with citation by signing traffic ticket (some exceptions), and if nonresident from non-Compact jurisdiction, Supreme

Court bail rules apply, however, violator who violates specified sections of Ill. Vehicle Code or similar ordinances (serious traffic offenses) is governed by Supreme Court preset bail rules when unpractical to take violator before a judge to have bail set or to avoid undue delay because of hour or circumstances; provides court procedures where violator does not execute written promise, provides court clerk to notify secretary of state of court's order where violator fails to appear, and provides procedures to be followed by secretary of state in such situations.

## Probation

P.A. 83-982 adds par. 204-8 and amends pars. 1005-10-1, 1005-10-2 in ch. 38. EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 9, 1983. New par. 204-8 relates to probation departments and essentially provides: chief judge shall appoint chief management officer and all probation officers "from lists of qualified applicants supplied by Administrative Office of Illinois Courts;" persons designated by chief judge as chief managing officers as of April 1, 1984 shall have their county salaries fully reimbursed by State; probation officers are considered peace officers and have power to arrest probation violator who shall be taken before court for further order; each chief judge must provide probation services for all counties in circuit; if probation or court services department submits annual plan to Administrative Office for continuing, improved and new probation services satisfying Administrative Office standards and it is approved by Administrative Office, State will reimburse county "100% of all approved costs for probation personnel, necessary support personnel and travel costs reasonably related to the delivery of new or expanded programs" for "adult and juvenile intensive supervision" and for "employment of new personnel necessary to comply" with Administrative Office workload standards, and following approval of plan county to be reimbursed $500 per month for each eligible adult and juvenile probation officer's salary if annual salary is at least $14,000; other conditions in annual plan specified for "full reimbursement of all approved costs." In pars. 1005-10-1, 1005-10-2 Criminal Sentencing Commission somewhat reorganized and Commission empowered to monitor and evaluate probation services and their effect on sentencing practices, and to report thereon to legislature.

# 1983

# CASE LOADS

# AND

# STATISTICAL RECORDS

---

# JUDICIAL OFFICERS

# OF THE

# STATE OF ILLINOIS


JO DAVIESS
STEPHENSON
WINNEBAGO
BOONE
McHENRY
LAKE
CARROLL
OGLE
2
DE KALB
KANE
COOK
DU PAGE
1
WHITESIDE
LEE
KENDALL
WILL
HENRY
BUREAU
LA SALLE
GRUNDY
ROCK ISLAND
MERCER
3
PUTNAM
KANKAKEE
KNOX
STARK
MARSHALL
LIVINGSTON
WARREN
PEORIA
WOODFORD
IROQUOIS
HENDER-
SON
FULTON
McLEAN
HANCOCK
McDONOUGH
TAZEWELL
FORD
VERMILION
MASON
CHAMPAIGN
SCHUYLER
LOGAN
DE WITT
ADAMS
4
BROWN
CASS
MENARD
PIATT
MACON
PIKE
MORGAN
SANGAMON
DOUGLAS
EDGAR
SCOTT
MOULTRIE
CHRISTIAN
COLES
SHELBY
GREENE
MACOUPIN
CLARK
CAL-
HOUN
MONTGOMERY
CUMBERLAND
JERSEY
FAYETTE
EFFINGHAM
JASPER
MADISON
BOND
CLAY
CRAWFORD
RICHLAND
LAWRENCE
MARION
5
CLINTON
ST CLAIR
WAYNE
WABASH
EDWARDS
WASHINGTON
JEFFERSON
MONROE
HAMILTON
WHITE
RANDOLPH
PERRY
FRANKLIN
JACKSON
WILLIAMSON
SALINE
GALLATIN
UNION
JOHNSON
POPE
HARDIN
ALEX-
ANDER
PULASKI
MASSAC

THE JUDICIAL DISTRICTS OF ILLINOIS
SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURTS

## JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

**(December 31, 1983)**

FIRST DISTRICT

Daniel P. Ward
Chicago
William G. Clark
Chicago
Seymour Simon
Chicago

SECOND DISTRICT

Thomas J. Moran
Waukegan

THIRD DISTRICT

Howard C. Ryan*
Tonica

FOURTH DISTRICT

Robert C. Underwood
Bloomington

FIFTH DISTRICT

Joseph H. Goldenhersh
Belleville

*Chief Justice (effective January 1, 1982)

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS
NUMBER OF CASES DECIDED WITH FULL OPINIONS
1958—1983



# SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS
# NUMBER OF PETITIONS FOR REHEARING
# 1958—1983



# SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS
# NUMBER OF PETITIONS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL
# 1958—1983



# TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1983

| Type of Case | | Pending at Start | Filed | Disposed Of | Pending at End | Inventory Increase(+) Decrease(-) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Petitions for Leave to Appeal | Civil | 106 | 730 | 658* | 178 | + 72 |
| | People | 122 | 761 | 704* | 179 | + 57 |
| Public Interest (Rule 302(b) Motions) | Civil | 3 | 25 | 22* | 6 | + 3 |
| | People | 1 | 1 | 2* | 0 | - 1 |
| Original Actions (Including Rule 381 Motions)*** | Civil | 4** | 45 | 47* | 2 | - 2 |
| | People | 1 | 25 | 25* | 1 | — |
| Statute Found Unconstitutional (Rules 302(a)(1), 603) | Civil | 5** | 15 | 5 | 15 | + 10 |
| | People | 4 | 16 | 5 | 15 | + 11 |
| Certificate of Importance (Rule 316) | Civil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — |
| | People | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — |
| Workers' Compensation (Rule 302(a)(2)) | Civil | 76 | 94 | 83 | 87 | + 11 |
| | People | — | — | — | — | — |
| Attorney Discipline | Civil | — | — | — | — | — |
| | People | 16 | 14 | 15 | 15 | - 1 |
| Death Penalty (Rule 603) | Civil | — | — | — | — | — |
| | People | 47 | 21 | 16 | 52 | + 5 |
| Miscellaneous | Civil | 10** | 15 | 25* | 0 | - 10 |
| | People | 3 | 21 | 23* | 1 | - 2 |
| Total | Civil | 204** | 924 | 840 | 288 | + 84 |
| | People | 194 | 859 | 790 | 263 | + 69 |
| GRAND TOTAL | | 398 | 1,783 | 1,630 | 551 | +153 |

*Includes orders granting petitions for leave to appeal, motions for direct appeal, and motions in original action cases.
**Inventory adjustment made as a result of a physical count of pending cases on January 1, 1983.
***Includes Rule 20 and Rule 383 cases.

## TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT AFTER ALLOWANCE OF PETITIONS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL, MOTIONS FOR DIRECT APPEALS, & MOTIONS IN ORIGINAL ACTION CASES DURING 1983

| Type of Case | | Pending at Start | Appeals & Motions Allowed | Disposed Of | Pending at End | Inventory Increase(+) Decrease(–) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Leave to Appeal Allowed | Civil ........... | 51 | 128 | 76 | 103 | + 52 |
| | People ......... | 19 | 103 | 37 | 85 | + 66 |
| Motion in Public Interest Case Allowed (Rule 302 (b)) | Civil ........... | 4* | 2 | 3 | 3 | – 1 |
| | People ......... | 4* | 0 | 4 | 0 | – 4 |
| Motion to File Original Action Allowed (Including Rule 381 Motions)** | Civil ........... | 5* | 0 | 5 | 0 | – 5 |
| | People ......... | 3* | 1 | 3 | 1 | – 2 |
| Miscellaneous | Civil ........... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — |
| | People ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — |
| Total ......................... | Civil ........... | 60* | 130 | 84 | 106 | + 46 |
| | People ......... | 26* | 104 | 44 | 86 | + 60 |
| GRAND TOTAL ................................. | | 86 | 234 | 128 | 192 | +106 |

*Inventory adjustment made as a result of a physical count of pending cases on January 1, 1983.

**Includes Rule 20 and Rule 383 cases.

## TREND OF ALL CASES FILED & DISPOSED OF IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1983

| Type of Case | | Pending at Start | Filed | Appeals & Motions Allowed | Disposed Of | Pending at End | Inventory Increase(+) Decrease(–) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total ............ | Civil ............ | 264 | 924 | 130 | 924 | 394 | +130 |
| | People .......... | 220* | 859 | 104 | 834 | 349 | +129 |
| GRAND TOTAL ........................ | | 484* | 1,783 | 234 | 1,758 | 743 | +259 |

*Inventory adjustment made as a result of a physical count of pending cases on January 1, 1983.

# JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1983)

## FIRST DISTRICT

**First Division**

Robert C. Buckley
Calvin C. Campbell
Mayer Goldberg*
Thomas A. McGloon

**Second Division**

Robert J. Downing
Allen Hartman
Maurice Perlin
John J. Stamos

**Third Division**

Helen F. McGillicuddy
Daniel J. McNamara
Dom J. Rizzi
William S. White

**Fourth Division**

Mel R. Jiganti*
Glenn T. Johnson
David Linn
Philip Romiti

**Fifth Division**

Francis S. Lorenz
James J. Mejda
John J. Sullivan
Kenneth E. Wilson

**Assigned to All Divisions**

John M. O'Connor, Jr.*

## SECOND DISTRICT

William V. Hopf*
George W. Lindberg
William R. Nash*
Philip G. Reinhard
Glenn K. Seidenfeld
George W. Unverzagt
Lloyd A. Van Deusen*

## THIRD DISTRICT

Jay J. Alloy
Tobias Barry
James D. Heiple
Albert Scott*
Allan Stouder

## FOURTH DISTRICT

Frederick S. Green
Ben K. Miller
Richard H. Mills
Harold F. Trapp
Albert G. Webber, III*

## FIFTH DISTRICT

Moses W. Harrison, II
Charles E. Jones
John M. Karns, Jr.
George W. Kasserman, Jr.*
Thomas M. Welch

*Serving By Assignment

## TREND OF CASES IN THE APPELLATE COURT
## DURING 1983

| Appellate District | Type Of Case | Pending At Start | Filed | Reinstated | Disposed Of | Disposed Of By Opinion | Disposed Of By Rule 23 Order | Pending at End | Inventory Increase(+) Decrease(-) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| First .......... | Civil ....... | 1459 | 1639 | 29 | 1818 | 444 | 523 | 1309 | -150 |
| | Criminal ... | 1794 | 1461 | 93 | 1794 | 168 | 1156 | 1554 | -240 |
| Second ....... | Civil ....... | 452 | 745 | 9 | 681 | 148 | 241 | 525 | + 73 |
| | Criminal ... | 412 | 399 | 10 | 433 | 113 | 230 | 388 | - 24 |
| Third ......... | Civil ....... | 365 | 527 | 0 | 563 | 194 | 187 | 329 | - 36 |
| | Criminal ... | 259 | 310 | 0 | 320 | 68 | 171 | 249 | - 10 |
| Fourth ........ | Civil ....... | 252 | 504 | 1 | 516 | 138 | 189 | 241 | - 11 |
| | Criminal ... | 235 | 355 | 1 | 403 | 84 | 254 | 188 | - 47 |
| Fifth .......... | Civil ....... | 320 | 572 | 0 | 516 | 115 | 167 | 376 | + 56 |
| | Criminal ... | 390 | 303 | 1 | 352 | 51 | 223 | 342 | - 48 |
| Total ......... | Civil ....... | 2848 | 3987 | 39 | 4094 | 1039 | 1307 | 2780 | - 68 |
| | Criminal ... | 3090 | 2828 | 105 | 3302 | 484 | 2034 | 2721 | -369 |
| GRAND TOTAL ............. | | 5938 | 6815 | 144 | 7396 | 1523 | 3341 | 5501 | -437 |

## CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE APPELLATE COURT
## DURING 1983

| Appellate District | Type of Case | METHOD OF DISPOSITION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTALS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Affirmed | | Reversed | | Affirmed in Part and/or Reversed in Part | | Reversed and Remanded | | Modified | | Remanded | | Dismissed | | Disposed of Without Opinion Or Order | |
| | | By Opinion | By Order* | By Opinion | By Order* | By Opinion | By Order* | By Opinion | By Order* | By Opinion | By Order* | By Opinion | By Order* | By Opinion | By Order* | | |
| First . . . . . | Civil . . . . . | 262 | 312 | 30 | 41 | 60 | 29 | 77 | 93 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 851 | 1,818 |
| | Criminal . . . | 81 | 891 | 9 | 23 | 33 | 122 | 38 | 92 | 7 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 470 | 1,794 |
| Second . . . | Civil . . . . . | 66 | 125 | 21 | 16 | 14 | 32 | 41 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 23 | 292 | 681 |
| | Criminal . . . | 53 | 182 | 4 | 8 | 24 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 90 | 433 |
| Third . . . . | Civil . . . . . | 124 | 134 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 36 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 182 | 563 |
| | Criminal. . | 39 | 138 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 81 | 320 |
| Fourth . . . | Civil . . . . . | 77 | 139 | 11 | 9 | 26 | 9 | 21 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 189 | 516 |
| | Criminal . . . | 47 | 202 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 28 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 65 | 403 |
| Fifth . . . . . | Civil . . . . . | 51 | 111 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 12 | 19 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 234 | 516 |
| | Criminal . . . | 29 | 168 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 19 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 78 | 352 |
| Total . . . . | Civil . . . . . | 580 | 821 | 98 | 96 | 130 | 91 | 194 | 191 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 82 | 1,748 | 4,094 |
| | Criminal . . . | 249 | 1,581 | 29 | 42 | 82 | 201 | 106 | 146 | 7 | 30 | 7 | 20 | 4 | 14 | 784 | 3,302 |
| GRAND TOTAL . . . . . . | | 829 | 2,402 | 127 | 138 | 212 | 292 | 300 | 337 | 18 | 44 | 14 | 32 | 23 | 96 | 2,532 | 7,396 |

*Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23, as amended, effective July 1, 1975.

# CASES DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION OR ORDER PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE 23
# DURING 1983

| Appellate District | Type of Case | Method of Disposition Without Opinion Or Order | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Dismissed | | | Dismissed on Court's Own Motion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Motion of Appellant | Motion of Appellee | Stipulation of Parties | For Want of Prose-cution/ No Docu-ments Filed | Failure to Comply With Rules | Lack of Juris-diction/ No Final Appeal-able Order | Failure to Comply With Court's Order | Other | Leave[a] to Appeal Denied | Motion[b] for Leave to File Late Notice of Appeal Denied | Summary Reversal | Reversed and Remanded | Remanded With Direction For Further Proceeding | Summary Reduction or Modifi-cation of Sentence | Bail Order Entered | Confession of Error | Trans-ferred to Proper Court | Other Disposi-tions | Totals |
| First ...... | Civil ....... | 131 | 124 | 78 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 851 |
| | Criminal.... | 49 | 20 | 0 | 343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 470 |
| Second ... | Civil ....... | 63 | 33 | 35 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 109 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 292 |
| | Criminal.... | 16 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 90 |
| Third ..... | Civil ....... | 63 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 48 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 182 |
| | Criminal.... | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 81 |
| Fourth .... | Civil ....... | 55 | 22 | 21 | 0 | 43 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 189 |
| | Criminal.... | 19 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 65 |
| Fifth ...... | Civil ....... | 56 | 22 | 21 | 42 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 3 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 234 |
| | Criminal.... | 40 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 78 |
| Total...... | Civil ....... | 368 | 220 | 174 | 454 | 74 | 45 | 160 | 57 | 126 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 1,748 |
| | Criminal.... | 158 | 29 | 3 | 360 | 35 | 9 | 81 | 28 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 784 |
| GRAND TOTAL .......... | | 526 | 249 | 177 | 814 | 109 | 54 | 241 | 85 | 126 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 16 | 56 | 2,532 |

[a] Includes Denial of Permissive Interlocutory

[b] Includes Denial of Motion to File Late Record

# TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR ALL CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1983

| Appellate District | Type of Case | Time Elapsed | | | | | | Totals |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Under 6 Months | 6-12 Months | 1-1½ Years | 1½-2 Years | 2-3 Years | Over 3 Years | |
| First . . . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 182 | 665 | 835 | 78 | 57 | 1 | 1,818 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 29 | 407 | 695 | 478 | 172 | 13 | 1,794 |
| Second . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 269 | 335 | 70 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 681 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 71 | 148 | 141 | 68 | 3 | 2 | 433 |
| Third . . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 286 | 258 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 159 | 141 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 320 |
| Fourth . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 186 | 303 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 516 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 101 | 274 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| Fifth . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 211 | 186 | 100 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 516 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 54 | 87 | 130 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 352 |
| Total . . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,134 | 1,747 | 1,042 | 109 | 59 | 3 | 4,094 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 414 | 1,057 | 1,008 | 625 | 183 | 15 | 3,302 |
| GRAND TOTAL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | | 1,548 | 2,804 | 2,050 | 734 | 242 | 18 | 7,396 |

# TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE BRIEFS WERE FILED AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1983

| Appellate District | Type of Case | Time Elapsed | | | | | | Totals |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Under 6 Months | 6-12 Months | 1-1½ Years | 1½-2 Years | 2-3 Years | Over 3 Years | |
| First . . . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 638 | 270 | 39 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 967* |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 1,179 | 131 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,324* |
| Second . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 332 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 261 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| Third . . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 293 | 83 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 184 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| Fourth . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 320 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 357 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 324 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 343 |
| Fifth . . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 257 | 79 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 338 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 198 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| Total . . . . . . . . | Civil . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,840 | 539 | 46 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2,446 |
| | Criminal . . . . . . . | 2,146 | 257 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,420 |
| GRAND TOTAL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | | 3,986 | 796 | 63 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 4,866 |

*Includes cases disposed of by opinion or Rule 23 Order only.

# ABSTRACT SUMMARY OF THE NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND RULE 23 ORDERS WRITTEN BY JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1983

| Appellate District | Type of Opinion | | | | | | Rule 23 Orders |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Majority | Per Curiam | Specially Concurring | Dissenting | Supplemental | Total | |
| First . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 566 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 594 | 1,582 |
| Second . . . . . . . . . . . | 229 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 241 | 450 |
| Third . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 205 | 0 | 14 | 34 | 16 | 269 | 338 |
| Fourth. . . . . . . . . . . . | 203 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 225 | 412 |
| Fifth . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 158 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 179 | 368 |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,361 | 0 | 27 | 99 | 21 | 1,508 | 3,150 |


JO DAVIESS
STEPHENSON
WINNEBAGO
BOONE
McHENRY
LAKE
17th
19th
CARROLL
OGLE
15th
DE KALB
KANE
COOK
16th
DU PAGE
18th
WHITESIDE
LEE
KENDALL
WILL
HENRY
BUREAU
LA SALLE
13th
GRUNDY
ROCK ISLAND
14th
MERCER
PUTNAM
KANKAKEE
12th
KNOX
STARK
MARSHALL
LIVINGSTON
WARREN
IROQUOIS
PEORIA
WOODFORD
9th
10th
HENDER-SON
McLEAN
FULTON
HANCOCK
McDONOUGH
TAZEWELL
11th
FORD
VERMILION
CHAMPAIGN
MASON
SCHUYLER
LOGAN
DE WITT
ADAMS
PIATT
MENARD
BROWN
CASS
MACON
6th
8th
MORGAN
SANGAMON
DOUGLAS
EDGAR
PIKE
SCOTT
7th
MOULTRIE
COLES
5th
CHRISTIAN
SHELBY
GREENE
MACOUPIN
CLARK
CAL-HOUN
CUMBERLAND
MONTGOMERY
FAYETTE
EFFINGHAM
JASPER
JERSEY
4th
MADISON
BOND
CRAWFORD
3rd
CLAY
RICHLAND
LAWRENCE
MARION
CLINTON
ST CLAIR
WAYNE
WABASH
EDWARDS
WASHINGTON
JEFFERSON
2nd
20th
MONROE
HAMILTON
WHITE
RANDOLPH
PERRY
FRANKLIN
JACKSON
WILLIAMSON
SALINE
GALLATIN
1st
UNION
JOHNSON
POPE
HARDIN
ALEXANDER
PULASKI
MASSAC

THE JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF ILLINOIS

# CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL OFFICERS OF ILLINOIS
(December 31, 1983)

## COOK COUNTY

### Circuit Judges

Harry G. Comerford, Chief Judge

Earl Arkiss
James M. Bailey
Peter Bakakos
Ronald J. P. Banks
Frank W. Barbaro
Vincent Bentivenga
Christy S. Berkos
Edwin M. Berman
Walter B. Bieschke
Anthony J. Bosco
John M. Breen, Jr.
Martin F. Brodkin
Clarence Bryant
Jerome T. Burke
Marion E. Burks
Philip J. Carey
Thomas R. Casey, Jr.
Thomas P. Cawley
David Cerda
Arthur J. Cieslik
Michael C. Close
Irwin Cohen
Robert J. Collins
William Cousins, Jr.
Ronald J. Crane
John W. Crilly
Brian L. Crowe
John J. Crowley
John J. Crown
Richard L. Curry
Robert E. Cusack
Michael F. Czaja
Russell R. DeBow
Robert J. Dempsey
Cornelius F. Dore, Jr.
Brian B. Duff
Arthur L. Dunne
Charles J. Durham
Norman N. Eiger (retired recalled)
Morton C. Elden
Glynn J. Elliott, Jr.
Paul F. Elward
Richard J. Fitzgerald
Thomas R. Fitzgerald
Philip A. Fleischman (retired recalled)
Lester D. Foreman
Allen A. Freeman
Charles E. Freeman
John Gannon
Marion W. Garnett
Lawrence I. Genesen
James A. Geocaris
James A. Geroulis (retired recalled)
Paul F. Gerrity
L. Michael Getty
Louis J. Giliberto
Kenneth L. Gillis
Francis Glowacki
Myron T. Gomberg
Leonard R. Grazian
Albert Green
Charles J. Grupp
Sophia H. Hall
Arthur N. Hamilton
John F. Hechinger
Jacques F. Heilingoetter
Thomas A. Hett
James J. Heyda
Lawrence P. Hickey
George A. Higgins
Edward C. Hofert
Reginald J. Holzer
Mary H. Hooton
John N. Hourihane
Louis J. Hyde
Thomas J. Janczy
Mel R. Jiganti (assigned to Appellate Court — 1st District)
Eddie C. Johnson
Richard H. Jorzak
Donald E. Joyce
Peter N. Kamberos

William B. Kane
Aubrey F. Kaplan
Roger J. Kiley, Jr.
Marilyn R. Komosa
Walter J. Kowalski
Franklin I. Kral
Rosemary D. LaPorta
Willard J. Lassers
Richard F. LeFevour
Jerome Lerner
Robert G. Mackey
Benjamin S. Mackoff
Francis J. Mahon
Thomas J. Maloney
George M. Marovich
Edward H. Marsalek
John H. McCollom
Lester D. McCurrie
John J. McDonnell
John A. McElligott
John P. McGury
Mary Ann G. McMorrow
Jill K. McNulty
Howard M. Miller
Anthony S. Montelione
Don A. Moore
James E. Murphy
James C. Murray
Benjamin Nelson (retired recalled)
Odas Nicholson
Irving R. Norman
Benjamin Novoselsky
Thomas J. O'Brien
Donald P. O'Connell
Wayne W. Olson
Paul A. O'Malley
Frank Orlando
Romie J. Palmer
Lawrence A. Passarella
William E. Peterson
Richard J. Petrarca
Frank R. Petrone
R. Eugene Pincham
Albert S. Porter
Kenneth C. Prince
James S. Quinlan, Jr.
William R. Quinlan
Thomas R. Rakowski
John F. Reynolds
Monica D. Reynolds
John W. Rogers
Allen F. Rosin
Daniel J. Ryan
Frank V. Salerno
Joseph A. Salerno
Richard L. Samuels
Gerald L. Sbarbaro
Stephen A. Schiller
Joseph Schneider
Anthony J. Scotillo
David J. Shields
Harold A. Siegan
Frank M. Siracusa
Robert L. Sklodowski
Raymond C. Sodini
Pasquale A. Sorrentino
Harry S. Stark (retired recalled)
Jack G. Stein
Adam N. Stillo
Earl E. Strayhorn
James E. Strunck
Frank G. Sulewski
Harold W. Sullivan
James E. Sullivan
Robert J. Sulski
Fred G. Suria, Jr.
Alfred B. Teton
Lucia T. Thomas
Vincent W. Tondryk, Jr.
Raymond E. Trafelet (retired recalled)
James Traina
John V. Virgilio
Eugene L. Wachowski (retired recalled)
Alfred T. Walsh
Thomas M. Walsh
James M. Walton
Louis A. Wexler
Claude E. Whitaker
Daniel J. White
Willie M. Whiting
Bernard B. Wolfe
Warren D. Wolfson
Joseph M. Wosik
James A. Zafiratos
Arthur V. Zelezinski
George J. Zimmerman
Michael F. Zlatnik

## Associate Judges

John J. Ahern
Charles A. Alfano
Harry B. Aron
Charles I. Barish
Francis Barth
John J. Beatty
Samuel S. Berger
Michael B. Bolan
Lester A. Bonaguro
John E. Bowe
Everette A. Braden
Henry A. Budzinski
Francis P. Butler
Robert P. Cahill
Eugene Campion
Joseph N. Casciato
James J. Chrastka
George Z. Chrones
Kenneth J. Cohen
Mary M. Conrad
Peter F. Costa
Rosaland M. Crandell
Ronald S. Davis
Henry X. Dietch
Barbara J. Disko
John J. Divane
Gino L. DiVito
Russell J. Dolce
James G. Donegan
Richard E. Dowdle
Robert J. Downey
Thomas P. Durkin
Ben Edelstein
Arthur A. Ellis
Robert D. Ericsson
Chauncey Eskridge
Edward M. Fiala, Jr.
Howard L. Fink
William F. Fitzpatrick
John M. Flaherty
Glenn C. Fowlkes
Nello P. Gamberdino
Jerome Garoon
Marvin E. Gavin
Francis A. Gembala
Will E. Gierach
Frank Glazer
Daniel P. Glecier
Rene Goier
Meyer H. Goldstein
Francis X. Golniewicz
John W. Gustafson
Joseph W. Handy
James L. Harris
Robert M. Hoenig
Earl B. Hoffenberg
John J. Hogan
Martin F. Hogan, Jr.
Dennis M. Horan
Cornelius J. Houtsma, Jr.
Michael J. Howlett, Jr.
Evelyn F. Johnson
Michael S. Jordan
Themis N. Karnezis
Howard R. Kaufman
Richard A. Kavitt
John T. Keleher
William A. Kelly
Herman Knell
Thaddeus L. Kowalski
Richard A. LaCien
John G. Laurie
Charles C. Leary
Mitchell Leikin
Clarence S. Lipnick
Charles M. Loverde
Martin G. Luken
Joseph M. Macellaio
Edward S. Macie
Ronald E. Magnes
Francis J. Maher
Blanche M. Manning
Erwin L. Martay
Carl McCormick
James P. McCourt
Martin E. McDonough
William J. McGah, Jr.
Dwight McKay
Michael E. McNulty
James J. Meehan
Frank W. Meekins
Joseph W. Mioduski
Angelo D. Mistretta
Matthew J. Moran
Alan E. Morrill
John E. Morrissey
Gerald S. Murphy
John M. Murphy

Robert F. Nix
Daniel J. O'Brien
John T. O'Donnell
Ronald W. Olson
Donald D. Panarese
Saul A. Perdomo
Arthur C. Perivolidis
Bernard A. Polikoff
Nicholas T. Pomaro
Simon S. Porter
William P. Prendergast
Paul P. Preston
Francis J. Reilly
Wayne D. Rhine
Emanuel A. Rissman
Gerald T. Rohrer
Arthur Rosenblum
George W. Rothschild
Susan S. Ruffolo
John R. Ryan
Norman Sands
William B. Saracco
James M. Schreier
Harry A. Schrier
Joseph R. Schwaba
Ann O'Laughlin Scott
Thomas W. Sherard
Philip M. Sheridan
John M. Sorrentino
Stewart D. Spitzer
Marjan P. Staniec
Robert A. Sweeney
Bruno J. Tassone
Mary Jane Wendt Theis
Michael P. Toomin
Morris Topol
Joseph J. Urso
Anton J. Valukas
Eugene R. Ward
Jack A. Welfeld
Gene Wilens
Gerald T. Winiecki
William S. Wood
Robert R. Woolridge
Thomas J. Wynn
Stephen R. Yates
Nicholas S. Zagone

## FIRST CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

William A. Lewis, Chief Judge

Donnie D. Bigler
Bill F. Green
Thomas W. Haney
Mike Henshaw
Louis G. Horman
Snyder Howell
Robert H. Howerton
Donald Lowery
George M. Oros
Richard E. Richman
William H. South
Stephen L. Spomer
James Williamson

### Associate Judges

Arlie O. Boswell, Jr.
Terry J. Foster
Brocton D. Lockwood
David W. Watt, Jr.

## SECOND CIRCUIT
### Circuit Judges

Henry Lewis, Chief Judge

Laurence L. Arnold
Larry O. Baker
Philip B. Benefiel
Don A. Foster
Donald E. Garrison
Robert S. Hill
Terrence J. Hopkins
A. Hanby Jones
Robert M. Keenan, Jr.
Lehman Krause
Loren P. Lewis
Wilburn Bruce Saxe
David Lee Underwood
Robert W. Whitmer

### Associate Judges

Roland J. DeMarco
Leo T. Desmond
Bruce D. Irish

## THIRD CIRCUIT
### Circuit Judges

A. Andreas Matoesian, Chief Judge

Horace L. Calvo
Charles W. Chapman
John L. DeLaurenti
William E. Johnson
George J. Moran, Jr.
Paul J. O'Neill
Philip J. Rarick

### Associate Judges

Nicholas G. Byron
E. Wendell Durr
Edward C. Ferguson
George Filcoff, Jr.
Thomas E. Hildebrand, Jr.
Jonathan Isbell
Norman H. Kinder, Jr.
Lola P. Maddox
Charles V. Romani, Jr.
Clayton R. Williams

## FOURTH CIRCUIT
### Circuit Judges

Paul Hickman, Chief Judge

Joseph L. Fribley
Arthur G. Henken
Dennis M. Huber
George W. Kasserman, Jr.
(assigned to Appellate Court—
5th District)
William D. Kelly
Jack M. Michaelree
Ronald A. Niemann
Vernon L. Plummer, II
Frank G. Schniederjon
Rolland F. Tipsword
W. R. Todd

## Associate Judges

Don E. Beane, Jr.
Dennis L. Berkbigler
Richard G. Hodson
Mark M. Joy
Michael Ross Weber

## FIFTH CIRCUIT

## Circuit Judges

Ralph S. Pearman, Chief Judge

Caslon K. Bennett
Paul C. Komada
Carl A. Lund
John P. Meyer
James Kent Robinson
Joseph R. Spitz
William J. Sunderman
James R. Watson
Paul M. Wright

## Associate Judges

Lawrence T. Allen, Jr.
Rita M. Garman
Matthew Andrew Jurczak
Richard F. Scott
Ashton C. Waller

## SIXTH CIRCUIT

## Circuit Judges

Rodney A. Scott, Chief Judge

William C. Calvin
John L. Davis
Harold L. Jensen
Worthy B. Kranz
George S. Miller
Donald W. Morthland
Jerry L. Patton
James N. Sherrick
John P. Shonkwiler
Robert J. Steigmann
Creed D. Tucker

## Associate Judges

Harry E. Clem
John R. DeLaMar
Scott B. Diamond
Paul M. Francis
James A. Hendrian
Arthur D. Nicol
Donald R. Parkinson
Arthur F. Powers, Jr.
Warren A. Sappington
John G. Townsend

## SEVENTH CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

Gordon D. Seator, Chief Judge

Richard J. Cadagin
C. Joseph Cavanagh
Simon L. Friedman
Joseph P. Koval
Richard E. Mann
Jerry S. Rhodes
Thomas G. Roady, Jr.
John W. Russell
Raymond L. Terrell
Howard Lee White

### Associate Judges

J. David Bone
John B. Crain
Eugene O. Duban
James P. Fox
Thomas G. Russell
Dennis L. Schwartz
Jeanne E. Scott
Stuart H. Shiffman

## EIGHTH CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

Edward B. Dittmeyer, Chief Judge

Cecil J. Burrows
Dennis K. Cashman
Robert W. Cook
Carson D. Klitz
Lyle E. Lipe
Alfred L. Pezman
Fred W. Reither
David K. Slocum
Robert Welch
Howard S. White

### Associate Judges

Paul A. Kolodziej
Arthur R. Strong
Virgil W. Timpe
John C. Wooleyhan

## NINTH CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

Max B. Stewart, Chief Judge

U.S. Collins
Stephen G. Evans
Scott I. Klukos
Stephen C. Mathers
Francis P. Murphy
William L. Randolph
Daniel J. Roberts
Albert Scott (assigned to
Appellate Court — 3rd District)

### Associate Judges

Kenneth L. Bath
Harry C. Bulkeley
William D. Henderson
Lewis D. Murphy
Richard A. Porter
Richard C. Ripple
Charles H. Wilhelm

## TENTH CIRCUIT
### Circuit Judges

Stephen J. Covey, Chief Judge

James M. Bumgarner
Donald C. Courson
Richard E. Eagleton
John A. Gorman
Robert E. Manning, Jr.
Peter J. Paolucci
Calvin R. Stone
Charles M. Wilson
Ivan L. Yontz

### Associate Judges

C. Brett Bode
Charles A. Covey
Thomas G. Ebel
Arthur H. Gross
Joe Billy McDade
Jackson P. Newlin
Charles J. Perrin
William John Reardon
John D. Sullivan
John A. Whitney
William H. Young

## ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
### Circuit Judges

John T. McCullough, Chief Judge

Richard M. Baner
William T. Caisley
Keith E. Campbell
Luther H. Dearborn
Charles E. Glennon
James A. Knecht
William M. Roberts
Wayne C. Townley, Jr.

### Associate Judges

William D. DeCardy
Charles H. Frank
John P. Freese
Ivan Dean johnson
Joseph H. Kelley
Robert Leo Thornton
W. Charles Witte

## TWELFTH CIRCUIT
### Circuit Judges

Charles P. Connor, Chief Judge

Robert R. Buchar
Patrick M. Burns
Robert L. Dannehl
Wayne P. Dyer
Herman S. Haase
John F. Michela
Michael A. Orenic
Angelo F. Pistilli

## Associate Judges

Roger A. Benson
Vincent J. Cerri
John F. Cirricione
Thomas M. Ewert
Bruce Falk
Thomas P. Faulkner
Louis K. Fontenot
Daniel W. Gould
Edwin B. Grabiec
Michael H. Lyons
Dwight W. McGrew
Edward A. McIntire
William R. Penn
Edward D. Smith
John Verklan
Thomas W. Vinson

## THIRTEENTH CIRCUIT
## Circuit Judges

Alexander T. Bower, Chief Judge

William P. Denny
Thomas R. Flood
Leonard Hoffman
C. Howard Wampler
Robert G. Wren
Frank X. Yackley

## Associate Judges

Robert L. Carter
Donald E. Norton
Fred P. Wagner
Richard R. Wilder
James J. Wimbiscus
John D. Zwanzig

## FOURTEENTH CIRCUIT
## Circuit Judges

David DeDoncker, Chief Judge

Clarke C. Barnes
Robert Castendyck
L. E. Ellison
Susan B. Gende
Wilbur S. Johnson
Edward Keefe
Gene McWhorter
Jeffrey W. O'Connor
John Donald O'Shea
Conway L. Spanton
John M. Telleen

## Associate Judges

Thomas C. Berglund
Michael P. Brinn
John B. Cunningham
Dennis A. DePorter
Ivan Lovaas
William K. O'Connor
Frederick P. Patton
Timothy J. Slavin
Ronald C. Taber

## FIFTEENTH CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

John W. Rapp, Jr., Chief Judge

James E. Bales
Thomas E. Hornsby
F. Lawrence Lenz
Francis X. Mahoney
John L. Moore
Harold D. Nagel
Lawrence A. Smith, Jr.

### Associate Judges

Alan W. Cargerman
Eric S. DeMar
Richard E. DeMoss
Martin D. Hill
Dexter A. Knowlton

## SIXTEENTH CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

John A. Krause, Chief Judge

Wilson D. Burnell
Marvin D. Dunn
John A. Leifheit
Neil E. Mahoney
Joseph M. McCarthy
Rex F. Meilinger
John L. Nickels
James F. Quetsch
Paul W. Schnake
Richard Weiler

### Associate Judges

Donald T. Anderson
James W. Cadwell
Patrick J. Dixon
Melvin E. Dunn
William H. Ellsworth
Thomas E. Hogan
Richard D. Larson
James K. Marshall
Michael F. O'Brien
John L. Petersen
Barry E. Puklin
James M. Wilson

## SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

Harris H. Agnew, Chief Judge

David A. Englund
Robert C. Gill
John C. Layng
William R. Nash (assigned to
  Appellate Court — 2nd District)
David F. Smith
John E. Sype

## Associate Judges

Robert J. French
Frederick J. Kapala
Paul A. Logli
Galyn W. Moehring
Michael R. Morrison
John W. Nielsen
Alford R. Penniman
K. Craig Peterson
Bradner C. Riggs
Richard W. Vidal

## EIGHTEEN CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

Bruce R. Fawell, Chief Judge

John J. Bowman
Edwin L. Douglas
Carl F. Henninger
William V. Hopf (assigned to Appellate Court — 2nd District)
Helen C. Kinney
Robert A. Nolan
Charles R. Norgle
Anthony M. Peccarelli
John S. Teschner

### Associate Judges

Edmund P. Bart
William E. Black
Kevin P. Connelly
Patrick M. Coolahan
Robert A. Cox
Philip J. R. Equi
Charles Andrew Hayton
Fredrick Henzi
James W. Jerz
Edward W. Kowal
S. Keith Lewis
Richard A. Lucas
Robert D. McLaren
Lewis V. Morgan, Jr.
John J. Nelligan
Charles E. Ruth
S. Bruce Scidmore
Charles W. Spencer
Blair Varnes (retired recalled)
Duane G. Walter

## NINETEENTH CIRCUIT

### Circuit Judges

Jack Hoogasian, Chief Judge

William D. Block
Leonard Brody
Henry L. Cowlin
Roland A. Herrmann
John L. Hughes
Lawrence D. Inglis
John J. Kaufman (on temporary total disability)
Harry D. Strouse, Jr.

## Associate Judges

Terrence J. Brady
Richard C. Christian
Bernard E. Drew, Jr.
Conrad F. Floeter
Fred A. Geiger
John R. Goshgarian
Harry D. Hartel, Jr.
William F. Homer
Susan F. Hutchinson
E. Thomas Lang
George W. Pease
Haskell M. Pitluck
Emilio V. Santi
Charles F. Scott
Alvin I. Singer
Michael J. Sullivan
Henry C. Tonigan, III
Jane D. Waller
Alphonse F. Witt

## TWENTIETH CIRCUIT
## Circuit Judges

Joseph F. Cunningham, Jr., Chief Judge

Robert Bastien
Carl H. Becker
Patrick J. Fleming
Richard P. Goldenhersh
John J. Hoban
Stephen M. Kernan
Francis E. Maxwell
Thomas P. O'Donnell
William Starnes

## Associate Judges

Robert L. Craig
Thomas M. Daley
James K. Donovan
Jan V. Fiss
Jerry D. Flynn
Richard A. Hudlin, IV
Dennis J. Jacobson
Robert J. Saunders
Roger M. Scrivner
C. Glenn Stevens
Milton Wharton

# RATIO OF FILINGS PER JUDGE IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1983

| Circuit | Number of Counties | Population 1980 Census (Official Count) | Land Area (Square Miles) | Total Number of Cases Filed During 1983 | Number of Judges* | | | Number of Cases Filed Per Judge |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Circuit | Associate | Total | |
| 1st | 9 | 212,393 | 3,242 | 45,341 | 14 | 4 | 18 | 2,519 |
| 2nd | 12 | 215,509 | 4,796 | 44,138 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 2,452 |
| 3rd | 2 | 263,695 | 1,114 | 60,960 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 3,387 |
| 4th | 9 | 247,907 | 5,425 | 52,351 | 12 | 5 | 17 | 3,079 |
| 5th | 5 | 197,914 | 2,885 | 45,642 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 3,043 |
| 6th | 6 | 368,776 | 3,178 | 76,915 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 3,496 |
| 7th | 6 | 306,316 | 3,485 | 70,264 | 11 | 8 | 19 | 3,698 |
| 8th | 8 | 156,437 | 3,918 | 27,785 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 1,852 |
| 9th | 6 | 197,464 | 3,904 | 32,729 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 2,046 |
| 10th | 5 | 360,497 | 2,129 | 80,177 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 3,818 |
| 11th | 5 | 240,917 | 3,863 | 60,416 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 3,776 |
| 12th | 3 | 460,362 | 2,647 | 115,892 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 4,636 |
| 13th | 3 | 178,835 | 2,453 | 39,570 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 3,044 |
| 14th | 4 | 309,192 | 2,492 | 68,683 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 3,271 |
| 15th | 5 | 174,501 | 3,136 | 36,870 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 2,836 |
| 16th | 3 | 390,231 | 1,472 | 111,715 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 4,857 |
| 17th | 2 | 279,514 | 803 | 82,423 | 7 | 10 | 17 | 4,848 |
| 18th | 1 | 658,177 | 331 | 172,260 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 5,742 |
| 19th | 2 | 588,096 | 1,068 | 178,731 | 9 | 19 | 28 | 6,383 |
| 20th | 5 | 358,338 | 2,652 | 77,269 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 3,679 |
| Downstate Total | 101 | 6,165,271 | 54,993 | 1,480,131 | 204 | 182 | 386 | 3,835 |
| Cook County | 1 | 5,253,190 | 954 | 2,367,168** | 179 | 145 | 324 | 7,306 |
| State Total | 102 | 11,418,461 | 55,947 | 3,847,299** | 383 | 327 | 710 | 5,419 |

*Count taken on December 31, 1983.

**Does not include Circuit Court of Cook County—"hang-on" (parking) tickets.

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1983

| Circuit | Pending at Start* | Filed | Reinstated | Total Added | Disposed Of | Pending at End* | Inventory Increase(+) Decrease(-) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1st | 8,092 | 45,341 | 374 | 45,715 | 46,658 | 7,075 | - 1,017 |
| 2nd | 11,897 | 44,138 | 102 | 44,240 | 44,654 | 11,712 | - 185 |
| 3rd | 16,871 | 60,960 | 402 | 61,362 | 59,848 | 17,637 | + 766 |
| 4th | 12,368 | 52,351 | 614 | 52,965 | 52,320 | 12,710 | + 342 |
| 5th | 12,912 | 45,642 | 908 | 46,550 | 47,483 | 12,142 | - 770 |
| 6th | 19,215 | 76,915 | 364 | 77,279 | 79,013 | 17,785 | - 1,430 |
| 7th | 17,371 | 70,264 | 1,260 | 71,524 | 69,735 | 15,870 | - 1,501 |
| 8th | 5,219 | 27,785 | 91 | 27,876 | 27,600 | 5,235 | + 16 |
| 9th | 6,487 | 32,729 | 427 | 33,156 | 33,015 | 6,545 | + 58 |
| 10th | 21,113 | 80,177 | 328 | 80,505 | 84,509 | 19,179 | - 1,934 |
| 11th | 8,353 | 60,416 | 1,270 | 61,686 | 59,971 | 8,532 | + 179 |
| 12th | 20,075 | 115,892 | 2,175 | 118,067 | 119,188 | 17,733 | - 2,342 |
| 13th | 6,851 | 39,570 | 377 | 39,947 | 41,675 | 6,342 | - 509 |
| 14th | 16,498 | 68,683 | 1,169 | 69,852 | 69,947 | 15,637 | - 861 |
| 15th | 6,684 | 36,870 | 386 | 37,256 | 37,084 | 6,061 | - 623 |
| 16th | 18,685 | 111,715 | 2,905 | 114,620 | 117,835 | 17,506 | - 1,179 |
| 17th | 17,776 | 82,423 | 1,192 | 83,615 | 82,229 | 18,852 | + 1,076 |
| 18th | 19,866 | 172,260 | 1,021 | 173,281 | 176,615 | 18,292 | - 1,574 |
| 19th | 16,699 | 178,731 | 2,541 | 181,272 | 193,879 | 14,786 | - 1,913 |
| 20th | 23,930 | 77,269 | 2,073 | 79,342 | 81,432 | 21,646 | - 2,284 |
| Downstate Total | 286,962 | 1,480,131 | 19,979 | 1,500,110 | 1,524,690 | 271,277 | -15,685 |
| Cook County | 537,590 | 6,430,085** | 36,053 | 6,466,138** | 4,364,304** | 467,791 | -69,799 |
| State Total | 824,552 | 7,910,216** | 56,032 | 7,966,248** | 5,888,994** | 739,068 | -85,484 |

FOOTNOTES: *Includes all case categories with the exception of pending counts for Ordinance Violations, Conservation Violations, and Traffic Violations.

**Includes "hang-on" (parking) tickets in Cook County.

NOTE: PENDING AT END FIGURES ADJUSTED BY REASON OF A PHYSICAL INVENTORY IN AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO THE AMOUNT BY WHICH THE NUMBER REPORTED PENDING AT END DIFFERS FROM THE AMOUNT REPORTED PENDING AT START + OR – INTERVENING TRANSACTIONS.

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 1st | Alexander | Pending at Start | 15 | 15 | 4 | 60 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 34 |
| | | Filed | 17 | 13 | 0 | 64 | 16 | 26 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 17 | 13 | 0 | 64 | 16 | 26 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| | | Disposed of | 11 | 14 | 2 | 71 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 90 |
| | | Pending at End | 21 | 14 | 2 | 53 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 29% | 43% | 100% | 31% | 26% | 24% | 0 | 70% | 0 | 0 | 35% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +6 | -1 | -2 | -7 | +4 | +1 | 0 | +5 | -1 | -1 | +5 |
| 1st | Jackson | Pending at Start | 94 | 56 | 20 | 74 | 73 | 31 | 10 | 68 | 0 | 1 | 136 |
| | | Filed | 72 | 45 | 6 | 141 | 56 | 48 | 7 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +4 | -4 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 76 | 45 | 7 | 140 | 56 | 48 | 7 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| | | Disposed of | 59 | 57 | 12 | 131 | 62 | 57 | 4 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 331 |
| | | Pending at End | 111 | 44 | 15 | 83 | 67 | 22 | 13 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 160 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 41% | 41% | 62% | 8% | 38% | 26% | 69% | 68% | 0 | 0 | 38% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +17 | -12 | -5 | +9 | -6 | -9 | +3 | -25 | 0 | 0 | +24 |
| 1st | Johnson | Pending at Start | 12 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| | | Filed | 5 | 14 | 1 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +4 | -4 | +3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 9 | 10 | 4 | 32 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | | Disposed of | 11 | 9 | 1 | 31 | 9 | 22 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 56 |
| | | Pending at End | 10 | 12 | 3 | 16 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 40% | 33% | 100% | 19% | 33% | 25% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -2 | +1 | +3 | +1 | +2 | -1 | -1 | -7 | -1 | 0 | +3 |
| 1st | Massac | Pending at Start | 23 | 12 | 2 | 19 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| | | Filed | 17 | 22 | 2 | 29 | 19 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 111 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 19 | 21 | 2 | 32 | 21 | 24 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 112 |
| | | Disposed of | 20 | 10 | 1 | 23 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 114 |
| | | Pending at End | 22 | 23 | 3 | 28 | 25 | 17 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 31 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 36% | 13% | 33% | 32% | 36% | 53% | 0 | 73% | 100% | 0 | 13% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -1 | +11 | +1 | +9 | +14 | +7 | 0 | +2 | +1 | 0 | -2 |
| 1st | Pope | Pending at Start | 4 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | | Filed | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | | Disposed of | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | | Pending at End | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 100% | 0 | 100% | 100% | 60% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | 0 | -2 | -5 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -1 | +3 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 1st | Pulaski | Pending at Start | 10 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| | | Filed | 8 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 8 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| | | Disposed of | 7 | 5 | 3 | 24 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 56 |
| | | Pending at End | 11 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 46% | 33% | 0 | 11% | 57% | 25% | 100% | 67% | 100% | 0 | 37% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +1 | -1 | -2 | -4 | -4 | +4 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 | -3 |

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 62 | 20 | 34 | 73 | 85 | 233 | - | - | - | 679 | ... Pending at Start | ....... Alexander | ... 1st |
| 33 | 51 | 148 | 214 | 118 | 48 | 0 | 1,742 | 118 | 2,710 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -20 | +20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 33 | 51 | 128 | 234 | 118 | 48 | 0 | 1,742 | 118 | 2,710 | ........Net Added | | |
| 64 | 48 | 125 | 227 | 145 | 82 | 1 | 1,593 | 106 | 2,620 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 31 | 23 | 42* | 80 | 58 | 199 | - | - | - | 614 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 35% | 18% | 18% | 29% | 0 | 79% | - | - | - | 44% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| -31 | +3 | +8 | +7 | -27 | -34 | - | - | - | -65 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 177 | 36 | 208 | 178 | 139 | 312 | - | - | - | 1,613 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Jackson | ... 1st |
| 101 | 65 | 309 | 438 | 1,085 | 120 | 1,589 | 7,939 | 108 | 12,512 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 101 | 65 | 309 | 438 | 1,085 | 120 | 1,589 | 7,939 | 108 | 12,516 | ........Net Added | | |
| 83 | 63 | 325 | 390 | 1,072 | 169 | 1,727 | 7,776 | 123 | 12,494 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 195 | 38 | 207* | 226 | 152 | 263 | - | - | - | 1,640 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 68% | 37% | 10% | 16% | 0 | 68% | - | - | - | 36% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +18 | +2 | -1 | +48 | +13 | -49 | - | - | - | +27 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 22 | 18 | 25 | 58 | 22 | 68 | - | - | - | 289 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Johnson | ... 1st |
| 25 | 7 | 93 | 143 | 87 | 19 | 1 | 2,867 | 22 | 3,415 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -26 | +26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 25 | 7 | 67 | 169 | 87 | 19 | 1 | 2,867 | 22 | 3,415 | ........Net Added | | |
| 38 | 22 | 73 | 188 | 93 | 23 | 1 | 2,725 | 12 | 3,328 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 9 | 3 | 35* | 39 | 16 | 64 | - | - | - | 240 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 11% | 33% | 5% | 36% | 19% | 75% | - | - | - | 38% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| -13 | -15 | +10 | -19 | -6 | -4 | - | - | - | -49 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 49 | 32 | 36 | 88 | 53 | 229 | - | - | - | 611 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Massac | ... 1st |
| 37 | 28 | 72 | 120 | 106 | 56 | 24 | 2,474 | 17 | 3,166 | ............. Filed | | |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -18 | +18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 38 | 28 | 57 | 142 | 106 | 57 | 24 | 2,475 | 17 | 3,186 | ........Net Added | | |
| 58 | 38 | 59 | 197 | 84 | 119 | 25 | 2,440 | 25 | 3,245 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 29 | 22 | 34 | 33 | 75 | 167 | - | - | - | 526 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 62% | 50% | 6% | 18% | 52% | 71% | - | - | - | 47% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| -20 | -10 | -2 | -55 | +22 | -62 | - | - | - | -85 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 3 | 3 | 7 | 28 | 5 | 27 | - | - | - | 112 | ... Pending at Start | ............ Pope | ... 1st |
| 15 | 9 | 57 | 94 | 28 | 21 | 0 | 372 | 19 | 663 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -25 | +25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 15 | 9 | 32 | 119 | 29 | 21 | 0 | 372 | 19 | 664 | ........Net Added | | |
| 14 | 6 | 21 | 125 | 27 | 11 | 0 | 359 | 26 | 653 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 4 | 6 | 22* | 22 | 7 | 37 | - | - | - | 121 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4% | 0 | 54% | - | - | - | 28% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +1 | +3 | +15 | -6 | +2 | +10 | - | - | - | +9 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 40 | 6 | 58 | 44 | 25 | 77 | - | - | - | 328 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Pulaski | ... 1st |
| 40 | 21 | 122 | 137 | 72 | 35 | 17 | 2,977 | 22 | 3,565 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -39 | +39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 40 | 21 | 88 | 177 | 72 | 35 | 17 | 2,977 | 22 | 3,571 | ........Net Added | | |
| 59 | 24 | 127 | 196 | 70 | 13 | 9 | 2,882 | 21 | 3,536 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 21 | 3 | 19 | 25 | 27 | 99 | - | - | - | 259 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 48% | 0 | 21% | 20% | 44% | 68% | - | - | - | 48% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| -19 | -3 | -39 | -19 | +2 | +22 | - | - | - | -69 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 1st . . . | Saline . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 62 | 40 | 8 | 72 | 46 | 17 | 1 | 41 | 2 | 5 | 58 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 32 | 27 | 1 | 106 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 2 | 253 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | +2 | –2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 32 | 33 | 3 | 106 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 2 | 253 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 57 | 24 | 5 | 75 | 37 | 10 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 2 | 239 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 37 | 49 | 6 | 103 | 51 | 24 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 5 | 72 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 46% | 38% | 67% | 41% | 51% | 58% | 0 | 13% | 0 | 80% | 25% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -25 | +9 | -2 | +31 | +5 | +7 | 0 | +5 | -2 | 0 | +14 |
| 1st . . . | Union . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 33 | 10 | 6 | 32 | 35 | 13 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 33 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 16 | 9 | 4 | 35 | 12 | 38 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 441 | 115 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 16 | 12 | 4 | 35 | 12 | 38 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 441 | 115 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 23 | 15 | 5 | 40 | 10 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 444 | 119 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 26 | 7 | 5 | 27 | 37 | 27 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 7 | 29 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 62% | 57% | 40% | 41% | 78% | 26% | 100% | 56% | 0 | 0 | 38% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -7 | -3 | -1 | -5 | +2 | +14 | 0 | +7 | 0 | -3 | -4 |
| 1st . . . | Williamson . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 166 | 70 | 9 | 263 | 132 | 63 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 145 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 85 | 119 | 8 | 126 | 80 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 427 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +19 | -19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 104 | 119 | 8 | 126 | 80 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 427 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 136 | 77 | 4 | 256 | 122 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 421 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 134 | 112 | 13 | 133 | 90 | 36 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 151 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 37% | 39% | 69% | 35% | 39% | 28% | 100% | 67% | 60% | 50% | 12% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | –32 | +42 | +4 | -130 | –42 | -27 | –1 | +1 | +1 | 0 | +6 |
| 1st . . . | Circuit Total . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 419 | 221 | 58 | 552 | 344 | 161 | 19 | 172 | 11 | 18 | 480 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 252 | 255 | 22 | 558 | 243 | 275 | 8 | 108 | 6 | 447 | 1,495 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 33 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +31 | -31 | +7 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 283 | 257 | 29 | 556 | 245 | 277 | 8 | 109 | 7 | 447 | 1,496 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 326 | 213 | 38 | 655 | 273 | 285 | 8 | 122 | 10 | 450 | 1,454 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 376 | 265 | 49 | 453 | 316 | 153 | 19 | 159 | 8 | 15 | 522 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 41% | 37% | 66% | 30% | 45% | 34% | 74% | 50% | 75% | 33% | 26% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -43 | +44 | -9 | -99 | –28 | -8 | 0 | –13 | -3 | -3 | +42 |
| 2nd . . | Crawford . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 34 | 39 | 13 | 156 | 119 | 33 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 14 | 27 | 0 | 97 | 70 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 176 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +1 | -1 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 15 | 26 | 0 | 98 | 70 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 176 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 13 | 19 | 3 | 95 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 36 | 46 | 10 | 159 | 151 | 40 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 64% | 63% | 100% | 74% | 66% | 70% | 0 | 89% | 0 | 0 | 66% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +2 | +7 | -3 | +3 | +32 | +7 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +16 |
| 2nd . . | Edwards . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 6 | 16 | 1 | 49 | 49 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 33 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1 | 19 | 0 | 35 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 1 | 19 | 0 | 35 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 2 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 5 | 27 | 1 | 68 | 56 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 36 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 80% | 52% | 100% | 65% | 73% | 78% | 100% | 67% | 100% | 0 | 58% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -1 | +11 | 0 | +19 | +7 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +3 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 70 | 56 | 62 | 90 | 536 | 153 | - | - | - | 1,319 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Saline | ... 1st |
| 52 | 60 | 185 | 190 | 770 | 109 | 551 | 2,845 | 23 | 5,307 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -52 | +52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 52 | 60 | 133 | 242 | 770 | 125 | 551 | 2,845 | 23 | 5,331 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 35 | 60 | 163 | 265 | 1,191 | 84 | 591 | 2,763 | 32 | 5,672 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 87 | 56 | 75* | 67 | 115 | 194 | - | - | - | 988 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 60% | 29% | 4% | 30% | 23% | 54% | - | - | - | 38% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +17 | 0 | +13 | -23 | –421 | +41 | - | - | - | –331 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 23 | 3 | 46 | 49 | 65 | 278 | - | - | - | 656 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Union | .. 1st |
| 27 | 26 | 59 | 157 | 144 | 116 | 10 | 1,930 | 20 | 3,172 | ............. Filed | | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -11 | +11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 29 | 26 | 48 | 168 | 144 | 116 | 10 | 1,930 | 20 | 3,178 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 27 | 12 | 61 | 145 | 123 | 43 | 10 | 1,854 | 22 | 2,984 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 25 | 17 | 46* | 72 | 86 | 351 | - | - | - | 789 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 36% | 6% | 22% | 14% | 51% | 71% | - | - | - | 53% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +2 | +14 | 0 | +23 | +21 | +73 | - | - | - | +133 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 98 | 32 | 215 | 475 | 383 | 423 | - | - | - | 2,485 | ... Pending at Start | ...... Williamson | ... 1st |
| 126 | 83 | 373 | 820 | 857 | 175 | 85 | 7,304 | 93 | 10,831 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 107 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | –43 | +43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 126 | 83 | 437 | 1,050 | 857 | 175 | 85 | 7,304 | 93 | 11,144 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 82 | 82 | 492 | 1,393 | 946 | 144 | 103 | 7,648 | 124 | 12,126 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 142 | 33 | 162* | 132 | 294 | 454 | - | - | - | 1,898 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 48% | 7% | 10% | 11% | 3% | 71% | - | - | - | 34% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +44 | +1 | -53 | –343 | –89 | +31 | - | - | - | –587 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 544 | 206 | 691 | 1,083 | 1,313 | 1,800 | - | - | - | 8,092 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | ... 1st |
| 456 | 350 | 1,418 | 2,313 | 3,267 | 699 | 2,277 | 30,450 | 442 | 45,341 | ............. Filed | | |
| 3 | 0 | 115 | 192 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 374 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -234 | +234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 459 | 350 | 1,299 | 2,739 | 3,268 | 716 | 2,277 | 30,451 | 442 | 45,715 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 460 | 355 | 1,446 | 3,126 | 3,751 | 688 | 2,467 | 30,040 | 491 | 46,658 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 543 | 201 | 642* | 696 | 830 | 1,828 | - | - | - | 7,075 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 56% | 31% | 10% | 19% | 16% | 69% | - | - | - | 40% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| -1 | -5 | –49 | -387 | –483 | +28 | - | - | - | -1,017 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 64 | 17 | 20 | 101 | 289 | 546 | - | - | - | 1,568 | ... Pending at Start | ........ Crawford | .. 2nd |
| 44 | 37 | 62 | 201 | 616 | 127 | 157 | 1,762 | 18 | 3,434 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | –4 | +4 | –1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 44 | 37 | 58 | 205 | 615 | 136 | 157 | 1,762 | 18 | 3,443 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 35 | 26 | 55 | 203 | 624 | 178 | 161 | 1,652 | 15 | 3,295 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 73 | 28 | 28* | 103 | 280 | 504 | - | - | - | 1,612 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 77% | 36% | 4% | 40% | 37% | 79% | - | - | - | 64% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +9 | +11 | +8 | +2 | -9 | –42 | - | - | - | +44 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 39 | 52 | 12 | 60 | 105 | 144 | - | - | - | 591 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Edwards | .. 2nd |
| 11 | 26 | 42 | 148 | 106 | 43 | 6 | 1,158 | 2 | 1,687 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -6 | +6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 11 | 26 | 36 | 154 | 106 | 43 | 6 | 1,158 | 2 | 1,687 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 30 | 57 | 31 | 148 | 92 | 40 | 4 | 1,107 | 8 | 1,622 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 20 | 21 | 17 | 66 | 119 | 147 | - | - | - | 609 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 80% | 86% | 29% | 41% | 73% | 77% | - | - - | - | 68% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| –19 | –31 | +5 | +6 | +14 | +3 | - | - | - | +18 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 2nd .. | Franklin | Pending at Start | 109 | 36 | 6 | 191 | 100 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 155 |
| | | Filed | 78 | 58 | 13 | 107 | 68 | 34 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 331 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred | +2 | -2 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 80 | 57 | 14 | 109 | 68 | 34 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 332 |
| | | Disposed of | 61 | 42 | 9 | 202 | 83 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 361 |
| | | Pending at End | 128 | 51 | 11 | 98 | 85 | 17 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 126 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 47% | 40% | 60% | 42% | 40% | 31% | 0 | 64% | 0 | 0 | 23% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +19 | +15 | +5 | -93 | -15 | -3 | 0 | +5 | +3 | +2 | -29 |
| 2nd .. | Gallatin | Pending at Start | 22 | 8 | 3 | 35 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 33 |
| | | Filed | 7 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 7 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| | | Disposed of | 4 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| | | Pending at End | 25 | 7 | 3 | 45 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 37 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 76% | 100% | 100% | 73% | 64% | 0 | 0 | 62% | 0 | 100% | 70% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +3 | -1 | 0 | +10 | +10 | +2 | +1 | +7 | 0 | 0 | +4 |
| 2nd .. | Hamilton | Pending at Start | 9 | 19 | 3 | 10 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Filed | 9 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 9 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | | Disposed of | 4 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | | Pending at End | 14 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 36% | 78% | 50% | 43% | 54% | 62% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +5 | -1 | +1 | +4 | -17 | +3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2nd .. | Hardin | Pending at Start | 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| | | Filed | 3 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 3 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| | | Disposed of | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| | | Pending at End | 9 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 67% | 25% | 100% | 22% | 76% | 82% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +2 | +4 | 0 | +5 | +1 | +2 | 0 | +10 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 2nd .. | Jefferson | Pending at Start | 73 | 53 | 14 | 224 | 93 | 26 | 9 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 166 |
| | | Filed | 47 | 77 | 14 | 262 | 60 | 39 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 308 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +4 | -4 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 51 | 73 | 23 | 261 | 60 | 39 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 308 |
| | | Disposed of | 48 | 53 | 16 | 295 | 86 | 45 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 351 |
| | | Pending at End | 76 | 73 | 21 | 190 | 67 | 20 | 14 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 123 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 44% | 30% | 78% | 30% | 39% | 35% | 100% | 93% | 100% | 0 | 59% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +3 | +20 | +7 | -34 | -26 | -6 | +5 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -43 |
| 2nd .. | Lawrence | Pending at Start | 15 | 27 | 5 | 127 | 47 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| | | Filed | 9 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 115 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 13 | 7 | 1 | 30 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 115 |
| | | Disposed of | 7 | 4 | 3 | 128 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 178 |
| | | Pending at End | 21 | 30 | 3 | 29 | 71 | 14 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 48% | 80% | 100% | 48% | 62% | 50% | 100% | 56% | 0 | 0 | 38% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +6 | +3 | -2 | -98 | +24 | -2 | 0 | +8 | 0 | 0 | -63 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 123 | 56 | 66 | 125 | 161 | 363 | — | — | — | 1,522 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Franklin | .. 2nd |
| 158 | 55 | 146 | 579 | 511 | 163 | 44 | 4,804 | 58 | 7,219 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -14 | +14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 158 | 55 | 136 | 603 | 511 | 172 | 44 | 4,804 | 58 | 7,248 | ........Net Added | | |
| 132 | 67 | 124 | 598 | 519 | 110 | 66 | 5,213 | 76 | 7,703 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 149 | 44 | 82* | 130 | 153 | 425 | — | — | — | 1,520 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 42% | 20% | 9% | 1% | 6% | 70% | — | — | — | 40% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +26 | -12 | +16 | +5 | -8 | +62 | — | — | — | -2 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 46 | 15 | 30 | 99 | 36 | 248 | — | — | — | 602 | ... Pending at Start | ..........Gallatin | .. 2nd |
| 17 | 18 | 64 | 153 | 90 | 37 | 143 | 1,096 | 10 | 1,713 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -13 | +13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 17 | 18 | 58 | 168 | 90 | 37 | 143 | 1,096 | 10 | 1,725 | ........Net Added | | |
| 18 | 21 | 54 | 135 | 113 | 39 | 144 | 995 | 9 | 1,580 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 45 | 12 | 43* | 132 | 13 | 246 | — | — | — | 655 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 78% | 0 | 33% | 54% | 15% | 90% | — | — | — | 70% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| -1 | -3 | +13 | +33 | -23 | -2 | — | — | — | +53 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 7 | 13 | 9 | 18 | 42 | 107 | — | — | — | 300 | ... Pending at Start | ........ Hamilton | .. 2nd |
| 15 | 8 | 35 | 98 | 149 | 42 | 2 | 1,009 | 56 | 1,529 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -4 | +4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 15 | 8 | 31 | 102 | 149 | 42 | 2 | 1,009 | 56 | 1,529 | ........Net Added | | |
| 15 | 11 | 25 | 94 | 139 | 82 | 2 | 945 | 48 | 1,481 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 7 | 10 | 19* | 26 | 52 | 67 | — | — | — | 280 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 0 | 40% | 0 | 12% | 27% | 52% | — | — | — | 38% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| 0 | -3 | +10 | +8 | +10 | -40 | — | — | — | -20 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 10 | 21 | 20 | 30 | 46 | 43 | — | — | — | 238 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Hardin | .. 2nd |
| 13 | 20 | 20 | 45 | 22 | 12 | 9 | 178 | 6 | 409 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -2 | +2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 13 | 20 | 18 | 47 | 22 | 12 | 9 | 178 | 6 | 409 | ........Net Added | | |
| 10 | 12 | 25 | 41 | 25 | 20 | 7 | 207 | 8 | 416 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 13 | 29 | 15* | 36 | 43 | 35 | — | — | — | 262 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 54% | 48% | 60% | 25% | 81% | 69% | — | — | — | 55% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +3 | +8 | -5 | +6 | -3 | -8 | — | — | — | +24 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 94 | 58 | 151 | 99 | 523 | 561 | — | — | — | 2,191 | ... Pending at Start | .........Jefferson | .. 2nd |
| 83 | 91 | 364 | 271 | 585 | 128 | 315 | 4,456 | 67 | 7,186 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -62 | +62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 83 | 91 | 310 | 333 | 585 | 128 | 315 | 4,456 | 67 | 7,209 | ........Net Added | | |
| 81 | 82 | 329 | 279 | 615 | 150 | 296 | 4,362 | 58 | 7,170 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 96 | 67 | 132 | 153 | 493 | 539 | — | — | — | 2,108 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 80% | 48% | 13% | 40% | 67% | 81% | — | — | — | 58% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +2 | +9 | -19 | +54 | -30 | -22 | — | — | — | -83 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 56 | 46 | 23 | 132 | 311 | 455 | — | — | — | 1,397 | ... Pending at Start | ........ Lawrence | .. 2nd |
| 49 | 20 | 45 | 260 | 261 | 85 | 116 | 2,026 | 49 | 3,127 | ............. Filed | | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -10 | +10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 50 | 20 | 35 | 270 | 261 | 85 | 116 | 2,026 | 49 | 3,129 | ........Net Added | | |
| 48 | 50 | 38 | 324 | 485 | 49 | 120 | 2,147 | 47 | 3,649 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 58 | 16 | 23* | 78 | 87 | 491 | — | — | — | 1,003 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 78% | 44% | 17% | 50% | 33% | 85% | — | — | — | 68% | Pending More ... Than 12 Months | | |
| +2 | -30 | 0 | -54 | -224 | +36 | — | — | — | -394 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 2nd . . . | Richland . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 33 | 44 | 7 | 132 | 61 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 53 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10 | 48 | 1 | 122 | 31 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +3 | -3 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 13 | 45 | 3 | 120 | 31 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 19 | 29 | 3 | 98 | 33 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 133 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 27 | 60 | 7 | 154 | 59 | 21 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 43 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 59% | 37% | 71% | 53% | 56% | 43% | 0 | 33% | 100% | 0 | 21% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | –6 | +16 | 0 | +22 | –2 | +3 | 0 | +4 | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| 2nd . . . | Wabash . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 14 | 21 | 0 | 29 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 61 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4 | 12 | 0 | 42 | 15 | 29 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 97 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 4 | 12 | 0 | 42 | 15 | 31 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 103 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 8 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 95 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 10 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 20 | 27 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 69 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 80% | 58% | 0 | 38% | 65% | 67% | 0 | 0 | 50% | 0 | 67% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | –4 | +3 | 0 | -5 | 0 | +11 | 0 | +4 | +2 | 0 | +8 |
| 2nd . . . | Wayne . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 18 | 25 | 6 | 50 | 45 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 19 | 42 | 3 | 78 | 42 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 121 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 19 | 42 | 5 | 78 | 42 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 121 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 13 | 23 | 6 | 61 | 36 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 114 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 24 | 44 | 5 | 67 | 51 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 38% | 36% | 20% | 45% | 45% | 57% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +6 | +19 | –1 | +17 | +6 | –2 | +1 | 0 | +1 | 0 | +7 |
| 2nd . . . | White . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 28 | 29 | 7 | 72 | 47 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 13 | 20 | 0 | 46 | 25 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 129 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | +4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 13 | 20 | 4 | 42 | 25 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 129 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 16 | 18 | 6 | 42 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 142 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 25 | 31 | 5 | 72 | 50 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 28 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 56% | 74% | 60% | 67% | 64% | 40% | 100% | 92% | 33% | 0 | 18% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | –3 | +2 | –2 | 0 | +3 | +4 | -1 | –3 | +2 | 0 | –13 |
| 2nd . . . | Circuit Total . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 368 | 321 | 66 | 1,079 | 652 | 166 | 15 | 132 | 26 | 1 | 850 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 214 | 328 | 36 | 861 | 393 | 214 | 12 | 70 | 13 | 8 | 1,589 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +14 | -14 | +11 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 228 | 316 | 54 | 857 | 396 | 216 | 17 | 70 | 16 | 8 | 1,596 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 196 | 218 | 49 | 1,007 | 373 | 196 | 11 | 37 | 8 | 6 | 1,717 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 400 | 419 | 71 | 929 | 675 | 186 | 21 | 165 | 34 | 3 | 729 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 52% | 49% | 73% | 52% | 57% | 56% | 90% | 65% | 74% | 33% | 47% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +32 | +98 | +5 | -150 | +23 | +20 | +6 | +33 | +8 | +2 | –121 |
| 3rd . . . | Bond . . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 24 | 13 | 4 | 21 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 25 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10 | 18 | 1 | 30 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 63 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +8 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 18 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 63 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 11 | 12 | 4 | 26 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 4 | 64 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 26* | 19* | 1 | 25 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 24 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 42% | 63% | 0 | 52% | 60% | 0 | 0 | 88% | 100% | 0 | 46% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +2 | +6 | -3 | +4 | –10 | –4 | 0 | 0 | –1 | 0 | -1 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | C[illegible]nce [illegible]ations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 41 | 16 | 67 | 234 | 257 | 328 | — | — | — | 1,303 | ... Pending at Start | .........Richland | .. 2nd |
| 35 | 20 | 83 | 513 | 369 | 91 | 109 | 3,222 | 24 | 4,831 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -20 | +20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 35 | 20 | 63 | 533 | 369 | 91 | 109 | 3,222 | 24 | 4,831 | ........Net Added | | |
| 31 | 9 | 83 | 537 | 459 | 95 | 108 | 3,328 | 18 | 5,006 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 45 | 27 | 50* | 230 | 167 | 324 | — | — | — | 1,230 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 67% | 52% | 30% | 24% | 38% | 78% | — | — | — | 50% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +4 | +11 | -17 | -4 | -90 | -4 | — | — | — | -73 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 57 | 53 | 28 | 69 | 32 | 141 | — | — | — | 549 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Wabash | .. 2nd |
| 31 | 50 | 82 | 363 | 155 | 70 | 68 | 1,894 | 10 | 2,935 | ............. Filed | | |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -22 | +22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 32 | 50 | 63 | 385 | 155 | 71 | 68 | 1,894 | 10 | 2,948 | ........Net Added | | |
| 29 | 29 | 79 | 330 | 135 | 38 | 50 | 1,713 | 12 | 2,616 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 60 | 74 | 32* | 124 | 52 | 174 | — | — | — | 704 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 73% | 62% | 3% | 68% | 23% | 60% | — | — | — | 57% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +3 | +21 | +4 | +55 | +20 | +33 | — | — | — | +155 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 29 | 33 | 41 | 80 | 247 | 120 | — | — | — | 731 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Wayne | .. 2nd |
| 69 | 59 | 80 | 224 | 383 | 96 | 37 | 2,944 | 50 | 4,272 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -13 | +13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 69 | 59 | 67 | 237 | 383 | 96 | 37 | 2,944 | 50 | 4,274 | ........Net Added | | |
| 69 | 75 | 73 | 237 | 308 | 98 | 41 | 2,958 | 37 | 4,174 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 29 | 17 | 38* | 80 | 322 | 118 | — | — | — | 839 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 62% | 53% | 13% | 30% | 65% | 42% | — | — | — | 48% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| 0 | –16 | -3 | 0 | +75 | -2 | — | — | — | +108 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 44 | 35 | 51 | 43 | 141 | 342 | — | — | — | 905 | ... Pending at Start | ........... White | .. 2nd |
| 22 | 21 | 93 | 264 | 442 | 94 | 137 | 4,439 | 35 | 5,796 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -11 | +11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 22 | 21 | 84 | 280 | 446 | 95 | 137 | 4,439 | 35 | 5,808 | ........Net Added | | |
| 34 | 23 | 94 | 278 | 438 | 88 | 128 | 4,566 | 33 | 5,942 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 32 | 33 | 44* | 45 | 149 | 349 | — | — | — | 890 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 81% | 67% | 11% | 4% | 91% | 81% | — | — | — | 56% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| –12 | –2 | -7 | +2 | +8 | +7 | — | — | — | –15 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 610 | 415 | 518 | 1,090 | 2,190 | 3,398 | — | — | — | 11,897 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | .. 2nd |
| 547 | 425 | 1,116 | 3,119 | 3,689 | 988 | 1,143 | 28,988 | 385 | 44,138 | ............. Filed | | |
| 2 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -181 | +181 | –1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 549 | 425 | 959 | 3,317 | 3,692 | 1,008 | 1,143 | 28,988 | 385 | 44,240 | ........Net Added | | |
| 532 | 462 | 1,010 | 3,204 | 3,952 | 987 | 1,127 | 29,193 | 369 | 44,654 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 627 | 378 | 523* | 1,203 | 1,930 | 3,419 | — | — | — | 11,712 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 66% | 49% | 14% | 35% | 53% | 77% | — | — | — | 56% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +17 | -37 | +5 | +113 | –260 | +21 | — | — | — | –185 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 48 | 16 | 39 | 62 | 220 | 125 | — | — | — | 672 | ... Pending at Start | ............Bond | .. 3rd |
| 40 | 17 | 54 | 184 | 387 | 59 | 26 | 2,007 | 8 | 2,948 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -3 | +3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 40 | 17 | 53 | 192 | 387 | 59 | 26 | 2,007 | 8 | 2,961 | ........Net Added | | |
| 42 | 23 | 71 | 177 | 331 | 60 | 23 | 1,996 | 3 | 2,908 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 46 | 10 | 21 | 77 | 276 | 124 | — | — | — | 709 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 25% | 50% | 19% | 40% | 54% | 66% | — | — | — | 56% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| –2 | –6 | –18 | +15 | +56 | -1 | — | — | — | +37 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 3rd ... | Madison ......... | Pending at Start .... | 1,981 | 425 | 484 | 1,017 | 463 | 434 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1,043 |
| | | Filed .............. | 547 | 537 | 55 | 472 | 330 | 395 | 40 | 1,317 | 0 | 399 | 1,678 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 23 | 8 | 18 | 17 | 32 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +282 | -282 | +95 | -95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 852 | 263 | 168 | 394 | 362 | 407 | 42 | 1,317 | 0 | 399 | 1,679 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 905 | 213 | 259 | 710 | 339 | 373 | 21 | 1,317 | 0 | 394 | 1,781 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 1,928 | 475 | 393 | 701 | 486 | 468 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 941 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 66% | 46% | 75% | 62% | 70% | 61% | 58% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -53 | +50 | -91 | -316 | +23 | +34 | +21 | 0 | 0 | +5 | -102 |
| 3rd ... | Circuit Total...... | Pending at Start .... | 2,005 | 438 | 488 | 1,038 | 488 | 439 | 41 | 42 | 3 | 7 | 1,068 |
| | | Filed .............. | 557 | 555 | 56 | 502 | 348 | 403 | 40 | 1,331 | 0 | 403 | 1,741 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 23 | 8 | 18 | 17 | 32 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +290 | -290 | +95 | -95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 870 | 273 | 169 | 424 | 380 | 415 | 42 | 1,337 | 0 | 403 | 1,742 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 916 | 225 | 263 | 736 | 367 | 385 | 21 | 1,337 | 1 | 398 | 1,845 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 1,954* | 494* | 394 | 726 | 501 | 469 | 62 | 42 | 2 | 12 | 965 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 66% | 47% | 75% | 62% | 70% | 61% | 58% | 88% | 100% | 0 | 55% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -51 | +56 | -94 | -312 | +13 | +30 | +21 | 0 | -1 | +5 | -103 |
| 4th ... | Christian......... | Pending at Start .... | 29 | 41 | 2 | 149 | 100 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 21 | 114 |
| | | Filed .............. | 30 | 20 | 2 | 82 | 52 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 218 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 30 | 20 | 2 | 82 | 52 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 218 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 17 | 26 | 4 | 74 | 43 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 42 | 35 | 0 | 157 | 109 | 22 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 25 | 154 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 50% | 55% | 75% | 68% | 60% | 74% | 86% | 89% | 100% | 90% | 51% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +13 | -6 | -2 | +8 | +9 | +1 | +1 | +1 | 0 | +4 | +40 |
| 4th ... | Clay............. | Pending at Start .... | 19 | 14 | 4 | 57 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| | | Filed .............. | 9 | 31 | 1 | 49 | 42 | 14 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 10 | 30 | 1 | 49 | 42 | 14 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 11 | 23 | 3 | 45 | 31 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 18 | 21 | 2 | 61 | 65 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 61% | 28% | 50% | 70% | 52% | 56% | 0 | 17% | 0 | 0 | 48% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -1 | +7 | -2 | +4 | +11 | +4 | +1 | +15 | 0 | 0 | +13 |
| 4th ... | Clinton .......... | Pending at Start .... | 43 | 39 | 14 | 118 | 37 | 6 | 2 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 110 |
| | | Filed .............. | 37 | 30 | 2 | 62 | 26 | 48 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 112 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 40 | 30 | 8 | 62 | 26 | 48 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 112 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 36 | 38 | 16 | 108 | 23 | 43 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 91 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 47 | 31 | 6 | 72 | 40 | 11 | 1 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 131 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 38% | 58% | 50% | 56% | 62% | 36% | 100% | 77% | 100% | 0 | 63% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +4 | -8 | -8 | -46 | +3 | +5 | -1 | -3 | 0 | 0 | +21 |
| 4th ... | Effingham........ | Pending at Start .... | 42 | 29 | 7 | 60 | 33 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 75 |
| | | Filed .............. | 26 | 27 | 3 | 65 | 21 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 26 | 27 | 3 | 65 | 21 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 28 | 21 | 5 | 52 | 24 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 122 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 40 | 35 | 5 | 73 | 30 | 17 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 92 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 40% | 57% | 40% | 52% | 63% | 18% | 100% | 64% | 100% | 0 | 46% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -2 | +6 | -2 | +13 | -3 | +10 | 0 | +4 | -1 | 0 | +17 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1,765 | 253 | 282 | 2,646 | 2,364 | 2,994 | — | — | — | 16,199 | ... Pending at Start | .........Madison | .. 3rd |
| 1,297 | 563 | 834 | 4,147 | 5,507 | 786 | 4,692 | 34,281 | 135 | 58,012 | ............. Filed | | |
| 2 | 0 | 172 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 389 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -120 | +120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,299 | 563 | 886 | 4,363 | 5,507 | 787 | 4,692 | 34,281 | 140 | 58,401 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,059 | 568 | 854 | 3,858 | 5,288 | 620 | 4,625 | 33,607 | 149 | 56,940 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 2,005 | 248 | 314 | 3,151 | 2,583 | 3,161 | — | — | — | 16,928 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 68% | 26% | 7% | 43% | 41% | 80% | — | — | — | 58% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +240 | -5 | +32 | +505 | +219 | +167 | — | — | — | +729 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,813 | 269 | 321 | 2,708 | 2,584 | 3,119 | — | — | — | 16,871 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | .. 3rd |
| 1,337 | 580 | 888 | 4,331 | 5,894 | 845 | 4,718 | 36,288 | 143 | 60,960 | ............. Filed | | |
| 2 | 0 | 174 | 101 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 402 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -123 | +123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,339 | 580 | 939 | 4,555 | 5,894 | 846 | 4,718 | 36,288 | 148 | 61,362 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,101 | 591 | 925 | 4,035 | 5,619 | 680 | 4,648 | 35,603 | 152 | 59,848 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 2,051 | 258 | 335 | 3,228 | 2,859 | 3,285 | — | — | — | 17,637 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 67% | 27% | 8% | 43% | 42% | 79% | — | — | — | 58% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +238 | -11 | +14 | +520 | +275 | +166 | — | — | — | +766 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 86 | 44 | 32 | 64 | 127 | 33 | — | — | — | 881 | ... Pending at Start | .........Christian | .. 4th |
| 45 | 42 | 94 | 362 | 415 | 166 | 12 | 5,273 | 259 | 7,088 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 517 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -37 | +37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 45 | 42 | 57 | 400 | 415 | 683 | 12 | 5,273 | 259 | 7,606 | ........Net Added | | |
| 37 | 53 | 58 | 385 | 391 | 178 | 19 | 6,036 | 211 | 7,719 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 94 | 33 | 34* | 79 | 151 | 538 | — | — | — | 1,493 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 77% | 45% | 35% | 29% | 44% | 75% | — | — | — | 63% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +8 | -11 | +2 | +15 | +24 | +505 | — | — | — | +612 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 16 | 11 | 52 | 98 | 159 | 225 | — | — | — | 763 | ... Pending at Start | .............Clay | .. 4th |
| 14 | 5 | 80 | 224 | 320 | 97 | 9 | 1,067 | 15 | 2,116 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -14 | +14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 14 | 5 | 66 | 238 | 320 | 122 | 9 | 1,067 | 15 | 2,141 | ........Net Added | | |
| 14 | 6 | 81 | 277 | 255 | 85 | 8 | 1,042 | 19 | 2,020 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 16 | 10 | 37 | 59 | 224 | 262 | — | — | — | 862 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 56% | 70% | 34% | 8% | 62% | 72% | — | — | — | 57% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| 0 | -1 | -15 | -39 | +65 | +37 | — | — | — | +99 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 73 | 24 | 39 | 137 | 392 | 629 | — | — | — | 1,700 | ... Pending at Start | ..........Clinton | .. 4th |
| 61 | 37 | 69 | 511 | 264 | 192 | 10 | 5,142 | 154 | 6,769 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -22 | +22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 61 | 37 | 50 | 534 | 264 | 192 | 10 | 5,142 | 154 | 6,782 | ........Net Added | | |
| 34 | 52 | 60 | 531 | 220 | 114 | 9 | 4,983 | 152 | 6,526 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 100 | 9 | 27* | 140 | 436 | 707 | — | — | — | 1,792 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 61% | 22% | 37% | 64% | 84% | 78% | — | — | — | 72% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +27 | -15 | -12 | +3 | +44 | +78 | — | — | — | +92 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 57 | 32 | 64 | 210 | 398 | 400 | — | — | — | 1,425 | ... Pending at Start | ........Effingham | .. 4th |
| 128 | 40 | 87 | 449 | 534 | 144 | 11 | 4,843 | 37 | 6,600 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -20 | +20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 128 | 40 | 68 | 469 | 534 | 144 | 11 | 4,843 | 37 | 6,601 | ........Net Added | | |
| 95 | 34 | 95 | 473 | 663 | 140 | 10 | 4,761 | 46 | 6,602 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 90 | 38 | 37 | 206 | 269 | 404 | — | — | — | 1,350 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 34% | 55% | 40% | 57% | 35% | 73% | — | — | — | 54% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +33 | +6 | -27 | -4 | -129 | +4 | — | — | — | -75 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 4th ... | Fayette .......... | Pending at Start .... | 25 | 32 | 8 | 126 | 83 | 44 | 1 | 29 | 5 | 2 | 112 |
| | | Filed .............. | 7 | 28 | 3 | 52 | 45 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 126 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +2 | -2 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 9 | 28 | 5 | 52 | 45 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 126 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 9 | 21 | 5 | 56 | 21 | 29 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 132 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 25 | 39 | 8 | 122 | 107 | 54 | 1 | 31 | 5 | 3 | 106 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 68% | 51% | 62% | 81% | 66% | 67% | 100% | 87% | 80% | 67% | 60% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | 0 | +7 | 0 | -4 | +24 | +10 | 0 | +2 | 0 | +1 | -6 |
| 4th ... | Jasper ........... | Pending at Start .... | 16 | 11 | 2 | 36 | 73 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | | Filed .............. | 8 | 17 | 0 | 40 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 8 | 17 | 2 | 38 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 3 | 13 | 3 | 32 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 21 | 15 | 1 | 42 | 57 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 73% | 38% | 100% | 55% | 67% | 30% | 0 | 90% | 0 | 0 | 60% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +5 | +4 | -1 | +6 | -16 | +2 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +20 |
| 4th ... | Marion .......... | Pending at Start .... | 152 | 53 | 9 | 87 | 73 | 15 | 2 | 99 | 3 | 0 | 114 |
| | | Filed .............. | 67 | 53 | 10 | 108 | 52 | 46 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 261 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +11 | -11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 78 | 52 | 16 | 108 | 52 | 46 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 261 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 96 | 32 | 10 | 88 | 36 | 27 | 4 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 238 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 134 | 73 | 15 | 107 | 89 | 34 | 3 | 82 | 4 | 0 | 137 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 49% | 34% | 87% | 58% | 64% | 32% | 33% | 92% | 0 | 0 | 36% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -18 | +20 | +6 | +20 | +16 | +19 | +1 | -17 | +1 | 0 | +23 |
| 4th ... | Montgomery ..... | Pending at Start .... | 58 | 84 | 1 | 183 | 69 | 75 | 2 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| | | Filed .............. | 23 | 36 | 13 | 70 | 35 | 79 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 9 | 163 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 23 | 38 | 13 | 70 | 35 | 79 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 9 | 163 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 28 | 66 | 14 | 177 | 75 | 133 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 240 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 53 | 56 | 0 | 76 | 29 | 21 | 1 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 54% | 53% | 60% | 41% | 45% | 25% | 0 | 99% | 0 | 0 | 12% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -5 | -28 | -1 | -107 | -40 | -54 | -1 | +15 | 0 | 0 | -77 |
| 4th ... | Shelby........... | Pending at Start .... | 16 | 23 | 2 | 35 | 46 | 39 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 28 |
| | | Filed .............. | 16 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 86 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 22 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 86 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 15 | 11 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 69 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 23 | 22 | 1 | 46 | 55 | 69 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 45 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 43% | 68% | 100% | 59% | 78% | 52% | 100% | 78% | 80% | 0 | 31% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +7 | -1 | -1 | +11 | +9 | +30 | 0 | +2 | +1 | 0 | +17 |
| 4th ... | Circuit Total...... | Pending at Start .... | 400 | 326 | 49 | 851 | 568 | 219 | 19 | 668 | 24 | 23 | 776 |
| | | Filed .............. | 223 | 252 | 34 | 558 | 312 | 345 | 8 | 84 | 10 | 17 | 1,279 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 9 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +14 | -14 | +6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 246 | 252 | 50 | 556 | 312 | 345 | 8 | 94 | 10 | 17 | 1,279 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 243 | 251 | 61 | 651 | 299 | 318 | 7 | 74 | 9 | 12 | 1,211 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 403 | 327 | 38 | 756 | 581 | 246 | 20 | 688 | 25 | 28 | 844 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 50% | 48% | 68% | 62% | 63% | 48% | 75% | 93% | 76% | 88% | 47% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +3 | +1 | -11 | -95 | +13 | +27 | +1 | +20 | +1 | +5 | +68 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 70 | 8 | 54 | 73 | 193 | 352 | — | — | — | 1,217 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Fayette | .. 4th |
| 67 | 60 | 61 | 200 | 484 | 141 | 69 | 3,649 | 102 | 5,142 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -21 | +21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 67 | 60 | 40 | 221 | 484 | 141 | 69 | 3,649 | 102 | 5,146 | ........Net Added | | |
| 75 | 57 | 78 | 215 | 408 | 135 | 62 | 3,440 | 93 | 4,842 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 62 | 11 | 21* | 79 | 269 | 358 | — | — | — | 1,301 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 64% | 9% | 5% | 43% | 49% | 71% | — | — | — | 62% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -8 | +3 | -33 | +6 | +76 | +6 | — | — | — | +84 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 17 | 13 | 18 | 63 | 108 | 142 | — | — | — | 541 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Jasper | .. 4th |
| 19 | 28 | 35 | 159 | 204 | 56 | 49 | 1,634 | 46 | 2,392 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -5 | +5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 19 | 28 | 30 | 164 | 204 | 56 | 49 | 1,634 | 46 | 2,392 | ........Net Added | | |
| 11 | 22 | 39 | 154 | 211 | 94 | 43 | 1,642 | 49 | 2,406 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 25 | 19 | 10* | 73 | 101 | 104 | — | — | — | 533 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 69% | 71% | 50% | 46% | 64% | 70% | — | — | — | 63% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +8 | +6 | -8 | +10 | -7 | -38 | — | — | — | -8 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 281 | 90 | 110 | 224 | 73 | 1,103 | — | — | — | 2,488 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Marion | .. 4th |
| 102 | 115 | 182 | 427 | 704 | 166 | 89 | 8,781 | 76 | 11,253 | ............. Filed | | |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -26 | +26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 121 | 115 | 156 | 453 | 704 | 166 | 89 | 8,781 | 76 | 11,288 | ........Net Added | | |
| 89 | 126 | 155 | 481 | 598 | 160 | 102 | 8,039 | 73 | 10,379 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 313 | 79 | 114* | 196 | 179 | 1,109 | — | — | — | 2,668 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 82% | 57% | 48% | 55% | 6% | 88% | — | — | — | 68% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +32 | -11 | +4 | -28 | +106 | +6 | — | — | — | +180 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 118 | 89 | 55 | 328 | 168 | 629 | — | — | — | 2,479 | ... Pending at Start | .....Montgomery | .. 4th |
| 67 | 41 | 74 | 228 | 461 | 150 | 26 | 6,666 | 59 | 8,227 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -8 | +8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 67 | 41 | 66 | 236 | 461 | 150 | 26 | 6,666 | 59 | 8,239 | ........Net Added | | |
| 144 | 106 | 68 | 376 | 582 | 193 | 26 | 6,815 | 55 | 9,130 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 41 | 24 | 62* | 188 | 47 | 586 | — | — | — | 1,742 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 39% | 5% | 0 | 54% | 18% | 75% | | | | 68% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -77 | -65 | +7 | -140 | -121 | -43 | — | — | — | -737 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 66 | 20 | 28 | 56 | 122 | 372 | — | — | — | 874 | ... Pending at Start | ...........Shelby | .. 4th |
| 18 | 31 | 40 | 186 | 341 | 116 | 7 | 1,673 | 128 | 2,764 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -13 | +13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 18 | 31 | 27 | 199 | 341 | 116 | 7 | 1,673 | 128 | 2,770 | ........Net Added | | |
| 14 | 10 | 46 | 209 | 334 | 102 | 4 | 1,707 | 115 | 2,696 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 70 | 41 | 12* | 46 | 129 | 386 | — | — | — | 969 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 84% | 39% | 0 | 39% | 46% | 75% | — | — | — | 63% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +4 | +21 | -16 | -10 | +7 | +14 | — | — | — | +95 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 784 | 331 | 452 | 1,253 | 1,740 | 3,885 | — | — | — | 12,368 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | .. 4th |
| 521 | 399 | 722 | 2,746 | 3,727 | 1,228 | 282 | 38,728 | 876 | 52,351 | ............. Filed | | |
| 19 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 542 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 614 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -166 | +166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 540 | 399 | 560 | 2,914 | 3,727 | 1,770 | 282 | 38,728 | 876 | 52,965 | ........Net Added | | |
| 513 | 466 | 680 | 3,101 | 3,662 | 1,201 | 283 | 38,465 | 813 | 52,320 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 811 | 264 | 354* | 1.066 | 1,805 | 4,454 | — | — | — | 12.710 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 69% | 46% | 31% | 50% | 52% | 78% | | | | 64% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +27 | -67 | -98 | -187 | +65 | +569 | — | — | — | +342 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 5th... | Clark ............ | Pending at Start .... | 24 | 5 | 2 | 42 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| | | Filed .............. | 18 | 22 | 0 | 31 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 18 | 22 | 0 | 31 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 19 | 18 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 23 | 9 | 2 | 25 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 48% | 33% | 100% | 44% | 48% | 56% | 0 | 80% | 0 | 0 | 50% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -1 | +4 | 0 | -17 | +5 | +1 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +5 |
| 5th... | Coles............ | Pending at Start .... | 148 | 95 | 5 | 333 | 112 | 98 | 6 | 66 | 4 | 12 | 152 |
| | | Filed .............. | 70 | 39 | 4 | 144 | 53 | 55 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 326 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 70 | 39 | 4 | 144 | 53 | 55 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 326 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 79 | 30 | 8 | 277 | 77 | 76 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 339 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 139 | 104 | 1 | 200 | 88 | 77 | 7 | 52 | 4 | 12 | 139 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 55% | 79% | 0 | 72% | 72% | 82% | 86% | 72% | 100% | 100% | 38% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -9 | +9 | -4 | -133 | -24 | -21 | +1 | -14 | 0 | 0 | -13 |
| 5th... | Cumberland ..... | Pending at Start .... | 15 | 11 | 6 | 31 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| | | Filed .............. | 6 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 7 | 13 | 1 | 22 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 8 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 14 | 17 | 4 | 32 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 71% | 53% | 75% | 59% | 82% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 75% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -1 | +6 | -2 | +1 | +5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 |
| 5th... | Edgar............ | Pending at Start .... | 45 | 17 | 6 | 73 | 57 | 19 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 89 |
| | | Filed .............. | 15 | 23 | 1 | 54 | 19 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 139 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +9 | -9 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 24 | 31 | 2 | 53 | 19 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 140 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 35 | 38 | 5 | 38 | 55 | 39 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 185 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 34 | 10 | 3 | 88 | 21 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 44 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 53% | 20% | 33% | 76% | 43% | 65% | 100% | 67% | 0 | 75% | 9% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -11 | -7 | -3 | +15 | -36 | +1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | +1 | -45 |
| 5th... | Vermilion........ | Pending at Start .... | 248 | 128 | 18 | 444 | 89 | 92 | 23 | 57 | 1 | 131 | 385 |
| | | Filed .............. | 123 | 53 | 2 | 542 | 123 | 78 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 38 | 667 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 2 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +9 | -9 | +4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 134 | 53 | 6 | 585 | 123 | 80 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 38 | 667 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 136 | 74 | 8 | 683 | 98 | 81 | 13 | 11 | 1 | 26 | 606 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 246 | 107 | 16 | 346 | 114 | 91 | 30 | 62 | 0 | 143 | 446 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 56% | 68% | 75% | 47% | 50% | 70% | 57% | 82% | 0 | 91% | 47% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -2 | -21 | -2 | -98 | +25 | -1 | +7 | +5 | -1 | +12 | +61 |
| 5th... | Circuit Total...... | Pending at Start .... | 480 | 256 | 37 | 923 | 313 | 219 | 33 | 136 | 5 | 146 | 710 |
| | | Filed .............. | 232 | 149 | 7 | 794 | 233 | 185 | 23 | 34 | 0 | 41 | 1,278 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 3 | 27 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +18 | -18 | +6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 253 | 158 | 13 | 835 | 233 | 188 | 23 | 35 | 0 | 41 | 1,279 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 277 | 167 | 24 | 1,067 | 258 | 209 | 17 | 45 | 1 | 28 | 1,274 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 456 | 247 | 26 | 691 | 288 | 198 | 39 | 126 | 4 | 159 | 715 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 56% | 68% | 69% | 58% | 60% | 74% | 64% | 78% | 100% | 91% | 45% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -24 | -9 | -11 | -232 | -25 | -21 | +6 | -10 | -1 | +13 | +5 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 39 | 26 | 25 | 71 | 119 | 254 | — | — | — | 686 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Clark | .. 5th |
| 43 | 24 | 46 | 209 | 511 | 104 | 17 | 5,073 | 37 | 6,266 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -4 | +4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 43 | 24 | 42 | 213 | 511 | 104 | 17 | 5,073 | 37 | 6,266 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 34 | 26 | 50 | 223 | 487 | 68 | 16 | 5,002 | 26 | 6,136 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 48 | 24 | 19* | 61 | 143 | 290 | — | — | — | 735 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 62% | 58% | 16% | 0 | 40% | 71% | | | | 52% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +9 | -2 | -6 | -10 | +24 | +36 | — | — | — | +49 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 163 | 42 | 54 | 328 | 446 | 886 | — | — | — | 2,950 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Coles | .. 5th |
| 119 | 129 | 157 | 686 | 909 | 204 | 1,127 | 7,898 | 55 | 11,990 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -36 | +36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 119 | 129 | 121 | 722 | 909 | 204 | 1,127 | 7,898 | 55 | 11,990 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 148 | 115 | 191 | 750 | 790 | 223 | 1,055 | 7,680 | 51 | 11,917 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 134 | 56 | 52* | 300 | 565 | 867 | — | — | — | 2,797 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 76% | 57% | 29% | 39% | 64% | 81% | — | — | — | 67% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| –29 | +14 | -2 | –28 | +119 | –19 | — | — | — | –153 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 35 | 31 | 19 | 86 | 27 | 86 | — | — | — | 426 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Cumberland | .. 5th |
| 12 | 18 | 26 | 74 | 80 | 54 | 6 | 1,299 | 11 | 1,690 | ............. Filed | | |
| 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -4 | +4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 13 | 27 | 22 | 78 | 80 | 54 | 6 | 1,299 | 11 | 1,703 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 17 | 32 | 21 | 73 | 77 | 64 | 6 | 1,298 | 11 | 1,707 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 31 | 26 | 20 | 91 | 30 | 76 | — | — | — | 421 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 87% | 73% | 45% | 70% | 33% | 37% | — | — | — | 62% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| –4 | -5 | +1 | +5 | +3 | -10 | — | — | — | -5 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 107 | 66 | 52 | 101 | 155 | 515 | — | — | — | 1,313 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Edgar | .. 5th |
| 50 | 47 | 104 | 316 | 421 | 155 | 11 | 1,939 | 19 | 3,359 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 90 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -26 | +26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 50 | 47 | 78 | 342 | 447 | 155 | 11 | 1,985 | 19 | 3,449 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 29 | 42 | 78 | 338 | 455 | 135 | 10 | 1,868 | 18 | 3,377 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 128 | 71 | 52 | 105 | 147 | 535 | — | — | — | 1,266 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 26% | 63% | 29% | 21% | 25% | 74% | — | — | — | 58% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +21 | +5 | 0 | +4 | –8 | +20 | — | — | — | –47 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 960 | 554 | 280 | 1,332 | 1,193 | 1,602 | — | — | — | 7,537 | ... Pending at Start | ........ Vermilion | .. 5th |
| 142 | 223 | 306 | 1,098 | 1,793 | 388 | 1,427 | 15,217 | 82 | 22,337 | ............. Filed | | |
| 6 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 693 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 805 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -77 | +77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 148 | 223 | 271 | 1,178 | 2,486 | 388 | 1,427 | 15,217 | 82 | 23,142 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 234 | 187 | 283 | 1,304 | 2,962 | 323 | 1,681 | 15,564 | 71 | 24,346 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 874 | 590 | 268 | 1,206 | 717 | 1,667 | — | — | — | 6,923 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 94% | 75% | 42% | 62% | 31% | 83% | — | — | — | 67% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| –86 | +36 | -12 | –126 | -476 | +65 | — | — | — | -614 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,304 | 719 | 430 | 1,918 | 1,940 | 3,343 | — | — | — | 12,912 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | .. 5th |
| 366 | 441 | 639 | 2,383 | 3,714 | 905 | 2,588 | 31,426 | 204 | 45,642 | ............. Filed | | |
| 7 | 9 | 42 | 3 | 719 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 908 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | –147 | +147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 373 | 450 | 534 | 2,533 | 4,433 | 905 | 2,588 | 31,472 | 204 | 46,550 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 462 | 402 | 623 | 2,688 | 4,771 | 813 | 2,768 | 31,412 | 177 | 47,483 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 1,215 | 767 | 411* | 1,763 | 1,602 | 3,435 | — | — | — | 12,142 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 83% | 72% | 38% | ~~54%~~ | 43% | 79% | — | — | — | 65% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| –89 | +48 | -19 | –155 | -338 | +92 | — | — | — | -770 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 6th... | Champaign ...... | Pending at Start .... | 477 | 258 | 40 | 822 | 290 | 121 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 573 |
| | | Filed .............. | 267 | 145 | 6 | 372 | 188 | 158 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 68 | 971 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 10 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +17 | -10 | +10 | -17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 294 | 149 | 19 | 365 | 190 | 158 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 68 | 973 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 258 | 147 | 17 | 735 | 146 | 130 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 75 | 904 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 513 | 260 | 42 | 452 | 334 | 149 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 642 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 52% | 64% | 86% | 58% | 68% | 63% | 22% | 73% | 0 | 0 | 41% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +36 | +2 | +2 | -370 | +44 | +28 | +16 | +1 | 0 | -7 | +69 |
| 6th... | DeWitt .......... | Pending at Start .... | 18 | 9 | 0 | 27 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Filed .............. | 14 | 22 | 2 | 113 | 31 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 93 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 15 | 22 | 2 | 117 | 32 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 93 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 21 | 18 | 2 | 126 | 25 | 13 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 86 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 12 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 8% | 23% | 0 | 0 | 6% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -6 | +4 | 0 | -9 | +7 | -2 | +8 | -9 | 0 | 0 | +7 |
| 6th... | Douglas ......... | Pending at Start .... | 34 | 23 | 6 | 37 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| | | Filed .............. | 23 | 14 | 3 | 33 | 28 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 77 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +4 | -3 | +4 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 28 | 12 | 7 | 31 | 28 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 81 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 22 | 14 | 4 | 42 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 91 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 40 | 21 | 9 | 26 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 45% | 71% | 44% | 42% | 50% | 44% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +6 | -2 | +3 | -11 | +2 | +3 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| 6th... | Macon .......... | Pending at Start .... | 258 | 143 | 20 | 578 | 327 | 98 | 34 | 227 | 32 | 7 | 529 |
| | | Filed .............. | 156 | 134 | 24 | 683 | 328 | 63 | 2 | 35 | 34 | 204 | 880 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 2 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +20 | -20 | +5 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 178 | 134 | 29 | 683 | 329 | 63 | 2 | 35 | 34 | 204 | 881 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 204 | 118 | 31 | 752 | 301 | 96 | 17 | 162 | 27 | 203 | 950 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 232 | 159 | 18 | 509 | 355 | 65 | 19 | 100 | 39 | 8 | 460 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 52% | 52% | 20% | 45% | 30% | 49% | 89% | 75% | 44% | 12% | 34% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | -26 | +16 | -2 | -69 | +28 | -33 | -15 | -127 | +7 | +1 | -69 |
| 6th... | Moultrie ......... | Pending at Start .... | 17 | 10 | 8 | 42 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| | | Filed .............. | 12 | 14 | 3 | 40 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred ........ | +1 | +1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 14 | 15 | 3 | 41 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 11 | 19 | 6 | 34 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 20 | 6 | 5 | 49 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 50% | 50% | 40% | 78% | 50% | 67% | 0 | 86% | 0 | 0 | 58% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +3 | -4 | -3 | +7 | +5 | +2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | +7 |
| 6th... | Piatt ............. | Pending at Start .... | 19 | 8 | 6 | 26 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| | | Filed .............. | 11 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 111 |
| | | Reinstated ......... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added ........ | 12 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 112 |
| | | Disposed of ........ | 9 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 101 |
| | | Pending at End ..... | 22 | 10 | 7 | 25 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos ....... | 54% | 70% | 71% | 80% | 26% | 46% | 0 | 75% | 0 | 0 | 42% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) ... | +3 | +2 | +1 | -1 | +3 | +4 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +11 |

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 553 | 136 | 200 | 344 | 4,162 | 1,839 | — | — | 14 | 9,863 | ... Pending at Start | ...... Champaign | ..6th |
| 538 | 271 | 601 | 879 | 4,068 | 433 | 1,624 | 20,627 | 48 | 31,294 | ............. Filed | | |
| 1 | 18 | 42 | 51 | 39 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -1 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 539 | 289 | 642 | 931 | 4,107 | 443 | 1,624 | 20,627 | 48 | 31,496 | ........Net Added | | |
| 474 | 350 | 653 | 1,021 | 4,039 | 442 | 1,570 | 21,905 | 48 | 32,927 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 618 | 75 | 208* | 254 | 4,230 | 1,840 | — | — | 0* | 9,661 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 57% | 12% | 3% | 2% | 69% | 78% | — | — | — | 63% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +65 | -61 | +8 | -90 | +68 | +1 | — | — | -14 | -202 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 3 | 2 | 15 | 54 | 50 | 210 | — | — | — | 429 | ... Pending at Start | .......... DeWitt | ..6th |
| 61 | 32 | 60 | 324 | 339 | 85 | 45 | 2,641 | 242 | 4,138 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -14 | +14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 61 | 32 | 47 | 339 | 344 | 85 | 45 | 2,641 | 242 | 4,151 | ........Net Added | | |
| 49 | 32 | 55 | 351 | 352 | 84 | 45 | 2,705 | 207 | 4,195 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 15 | 2 | 10* | 42 | 42 | 211 | — | — | — | 417 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63% | — | — | — | 33% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +12 | 0 | -5 | -12 | -8 | +1 | — | — | — | -12 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 33 | 6 | 38 | 118 | 218 | 263 | — | — | — | 885 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Douglas | ..6th |
| 32 | 15 | 88 | 156 | 296 | 83 | 58 | 4,296 | 18 | 5,238 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -34 | +34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 32 | 15 | 54 | 191 | 296 | 95 | 58 | 4,296 | 18 | 5,260 | ........Net Added | | |
| 35 | 13 | 59 | 224 | 360 | 121 | 48 | 4,799 | 17 | 5,892 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 30 | 8 | 33 | 85 | 154 | 237 | — | — | — | 745 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 47% | 62% | 0 | 60% | 52% | 70% | — | — | — | 56% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -3 | +2 | -5 | -33 | -64 | -26 | — | — | — | -140 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 401 | 487 | 209 | 1,083 | 1,163 | 1,492 | — | — | — | 7,088 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Macon | ..6th |
| 374 | 258 | 596 | 1,599 | 2,433 | 551 | 1,262 | 21,983 | 44 | 31,643 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 27 | 22 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 116 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -153 | +153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 374 | 285 | 465 | 1,759 | 2,452 | 551 | 1,274 | 21,983 | 44 | 31,759 | ........Net Added | | |
| 390 | 615 | 437 | 2,422 | 2,423 | 499 | 1,183 | 20,541 | 49 | 31,420 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 385 | 157 | 271* | 420 | 1,192 | 1,544 | — | — | — | 5,933 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 51% | 33% | 3% | 29% | 41% | 68% | — | — | — | 48% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -16 | -330 | +62 | -663 | +29 | +52 | — | — | — | -1,155 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 24 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 31 | 193 | 0 | 124 | 3 | 593 | ... Pending at Start | .........Moultrie | ..6th |
| 40 | 25 | 43 | 106 | 181 | 74 | 0 | 1,344 | 37 | 2,009 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -3 | +3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 40 | 25 | 41 | 109 | 184 | 74 | 0 | 1,344 | 37 | 2,017 | ........Net Added | | |
| 41 | 25 | 49 | 107 | 188 | 66 | 0 | 1,366 | 40 | 2,029 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 23 | 4 | 6* | 16 | 27 | 201 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 589* | .... Pending at End | | |
| 35% | 0 | 0 | 19% | 41% | 71% | — | — | — | 62% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -1 | 0 | 0 | +2 | -4 | +8 | 0 | -22 | -3 | -4 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 14 | 6 | 22 | 44 | 41 | 231 | — | — | — | 498 | ... Pending at Start | .............Piatt | ..6th |
| 25 | 38 | 51 | 122 | 198 | 87 | 14 | 1,874 | 10 | 2,593 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -23 | +23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 25 | 38 | 28 | 145 | 198 | 87 | 14 | 1,874 | 10 | 2,596 | ........Net Added | | |
| 17 | 20 | 35 | 139 | 188 | 105 | 12 | 1,872 | 9 | 2,550 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 22 | 24 | 18* | 50 | 51 | 213 | — | — | — | 542 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 41% | 17% | 0 | 30% | 43% | 66% | — | — | — | 51% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +8 | +18 | -4 | +6 | +10 | -18 | — | — | — | +44 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| | | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Circuit | County | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 6th . . | Circuit Total . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 823 | 451 | 80 | 1,532 | 701 | 245 | 41 | 299 | 32 | 24 | 1,278 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 483 | 337 | 40 | 1,252 | 613 | 260 | 32 | 71 | 34 | 275 | 2,189 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 16 | 35 | 4 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +42 | -32 | +19 | -29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 541 | 340 | 63 | 1,248 | 617 | 260 | 32 | 71 | 34 | 275 | 2,197 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 525 | 322 | 62 | 1,701 | 528 | 258 | 23 | 208 | 27 | 281 | 2,182 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 839 | 469 | 81 | 1,079 | 790 | 247 | 50 | 162 | 39 | 18 | 1,293 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 51% | 59% | 63% | 52% | 47% | 56% | 44% | 76% | 44% | 5% | 39% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +16 | +18 | +1 | -453 | +89 | +2 | +9 | -137 | +7 | -6 | +15 |
| 7th . . | Greene . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 13 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3 | 19 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +3 | -3 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 6 | 19 | 1 | 28 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 9 | 19 | 1 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 89 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 10 | 15 | 1 | 26 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 50% | 27% | 0 | 23% | 28% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -3 | 0 | 0 | +13 | -4 | -4 | 0 | +3 | -1 | 0 | -5 |
| 7th . . | Jersey . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 21 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 21 | 23 | 1 | 41 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 21 | 23 | 1 | 41 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 14 | 26 | 1 | 46 | 27 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 109 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 28 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 36% | 20% | 0 | 13% | 50% | 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +7 | -3 | 0 | -5 | -14 | -4 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -1 | +5 |
| 7th . . | Macoupin . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 71 | 77 | 12 | 347 | 109 | 20 | 5 | 31 | 9 | 9 | 163 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 41 | 37 | 2 | 106 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 280 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | +3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 41 | 37 | 5 | 106 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 280 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 38 | 55 | 13 | 299 | 86 | 21 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 307 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 74 | 59 | 4 | 154 | 80 | 9 | 1 | 23 | 11 | 10 | 136 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 53% | 52% | 57% | 59% | 46% | 50% | 0 | 57% | 82% | 89% | 37% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +3 | -18 | -8 | -193 | -29 | -11 | -4 | -8 | +2 | +1 | -27 |
| 7th . . | Morgan . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 55 | 29 | 2 | 12 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 30 | 32 | 3 | 111 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 8 | 233 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +3 | -3 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 34 | 29 | 4 | 110 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 8 | 258 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 39 | 45 | 3 | 94 | 42 | 22 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 234 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 50 | 13 | 3 | 28 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 50% | 46% | 67% | 4% | 5% | 12% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -5 | -16 | +1 | +16 | -12 | +1 | -1 | +49 | 0 | 0 | +24 |
| 7th . . | Sangamon . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 550 | 477 | 34 | 1,042 | 793 | 265 | 26 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 486 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 265 | 235 | 26 | 1,567 | 277 | 263 | 2 | 117 | 1 | 272 | 1,249 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 4 | 1 | 4 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 269 | 236 | 30 | 1,648 | 277 | 263 | 2 | 117 | 1 | 277 | 1,260 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 191 | 214 | 30 | 1,736 | 402 | 186 | 14 | 119 | 0 | 277 | 1,244 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 628 | 499 | 34 | 954 | 668 | 342 | 14 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 502 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 62% | 62% | 62% | 51% | 70% | 53% | 86% | 24% | 0 | 0 | 33% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +78 | +22 | 0 | -88 | -125 | +77 | -12 | -2 | +1 | 0 | +16 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1,028 | 641 | 490 | 1,657 | 5,665 | 4,228 | - | - | - | 19,215 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | ..6th |
| 1,070 | 639 | 1,439 | 3,186 | 7,515 | 1,313 | 3,003 | 52,765 | 399 | 76,915 | ............. Filed | | |
| 1 | 45 | 66 | 60 | 66 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 364 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -228 | +228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,071 | 684 | 1,277 | 3,474 | 7,581 | 1,335 | 3,015 | 52,765 | 399 | 77,279 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 1,006 | 1,055 | 1,288 | 4,264 | 7,550 | 1,317 | 2,858 | 53,188 | 370 | 79,013 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 1,093 | 270 | 546* | 867 | 5,696 | 4,246 | - | - | - | 17,785 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 53% | 26% | 3% | 22% | 62% | 72% | - | - | - | 57% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +65 | -371 | +56 | -790 | +31 | +18 | - | - | - | -1,430 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 29 | 13 | 20 | 28 | 42 | 245 | - | - | - | 478 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Greene | ..7th |
| 37 | 22 | 68 | 77 | 228 | 88 | 0 | 1,377 | 13 | 2,081 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -15 | +15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 37 | 22 | 53 | 92 | 228 | 88 | 0 | 1,377 | 13 | 2,084 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 35 | 23 | 60 | 98 | 210 | 84 | 0 | 1,294 | 28 | 2,007 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 31 | 12 | 37* | 22 | 60 | 249 | - | - | - | 511 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 48% | 33% | 5% | 0 | 5% | 74% | - | - | - | 45% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +2 | -1 | +17 | -6 | +18 | +4 | - | - | - | +33 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 15 | 3 | 22 | 60 | 69 | 303 | - | - | - | 567 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Jersey | ..7th |
| 36 | 27 | 59 | 350 | 270 | 80 | 20 | 3,361 | 79 | 4,514 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -21 | +21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 36 | 27 | 46 | 371 | 270 | 80 | 20 | 3,361 | 79 | 4,522 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 41 | 24 | 64 | 391 | 295 | 64 | 8 | 3,676 | 92 | 4,904 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 10 | 6 | 9* | 40 | 44 | 319 | - | - | - | 506 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2% | 2% | 79% | - | - | - | 50% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -5 | +3 | -13 | -20 | -25 | +16 | - | - | - | -61 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 111 | 187 | 63 | 174 | 496 | 755 | - | - | - | 2,639 | ... Pending at Start | ....... Macoupin | ..7th |
| 104 | 72 | 151 | 427 | 1,089 | 280 | 100 | 5,497 | 79 | 8,354 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -43 | +43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 104 | 72 | 143 | 470 | 1,089 | 280 | 100 | 5,497 | 79 | 8,392 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 66 | 48 | 104 | 433 | 1,007 | 331 | 105 | 5,348 | 63 | 8,355 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 149 | 211 | 102 | 211 | 578 | 704 | - | - | - | 2,516 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 50% | 73% | 26% | 34% | 50% | 72% | - | - | - | 58% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +38 | +24 | +39 | +37 | +82 | -51 | - | - | - | -123 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 23 | 9 | 21 | 20 | 87 | 446 | - | - | - | 772 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Morgan | ..7th |
| 69 | 31 | 100 | 313 | 767 | 219 | 93 | 3,081 | 40 | 5,241 | ............. Filed | | |
| 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -30 | +30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 74 | 31 | 72 | 346 | 767 | 219 | 93 | 3,081 | 40 | 5,277 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 69 | 32 | 76 | 331 | 728 | 323 | 61 | 3,230 | 34 | 5,381 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 28 | 8 | 17 | 35 | 126 | 342 | - | - | - | 779 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 32% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43% | - | - | - | 25% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +5 | -1 | -4 | +15 | +39 | -104 | - | - | - | +7 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,183 | 94 | 318 | 1,581 | 3,030 | 2,706 | - | - | - | 12,715 | ... Pending at Start | ....... Sangamon | ..7th |
| 517 | 229 | 550 | 1,944 | 7,403 | 701 | 332 | 32,855 | 132 | 48,937 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 231 | 7 | 0 | 799 | 0 | 1,159 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -160 | +160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 517 | 236 | 399 | 2,104 | 7,634 | 708 | 332 | 33,654 | 132 | 50,096 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 574 | 185 | 632 | 3,454 | 7,521 | 618 | 345 | 30,287 | 92 | 48,121 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 1,126 | 145 | 85 | 231 | 3,143 | 2,796 | - | - | - | 11,296 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 70% | 32% | 7% | 49% | 42% | 80% | - | - | - | 58% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -57 | +51 | -233 | -1,350 | +113 | +90 | - | - | - | -1,419 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 7th . . | Scott . . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 2 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 3 | 18 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 33% | 44% | 0 | 33% | 50% | 50% | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 25% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +1 | +8 | +1 | +5 | +4 | +2 | -1 | –1 | 0 | +1 | +2 |
| 7th . . | Circuit Total . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 712 | 621 | 49 | 1,438 | 971 | 306 | 33 | 181 | 10 | 10 | 724 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 361 | 358 | 33 | 1,864 | 398 | 328 | 3 | 214 | 3 | 282 | 1,981 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 5 | 5 | 5 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 36 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +7 | -7 | +5 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 373 | 356 | 43 | 1,943 | 398 | 328 | 3 | 214 | 3 | 287 | 2,017 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 292 | 363 | 49 | 2,195 | 578 | 267 | 21 | 175 | 1 | 286 | 2,002 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 793 | 614 | 43 | 1,186 | 791 | 367 | 15 | 220 | 12 | 11 | 739 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 59% | 59% | 59% | 50% | 65% | 52% | 80% | 22% | 75% | 81% | 30% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +81 | –7 | -6 | -252 | –180 | +61 | -18 | +39 | +2 | +1 | +15 |
| 8th . . | Adams . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 108 | 50 | 11 | 96 | 41 | 20 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 11 | 127 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 71 | 41 | 9 | 150 | 47 | 88 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 48 | 408 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 8 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +11 | -11 | +4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 90 | 34 | 14 | 155 | 47 | 90 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 48 | 423 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 98 | 52 | 14 | 164 | 58 | 96 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 47 | 388 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 100 | 32 | 11 | 87 | 30 | 14 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 162 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 39% | 41% | 36% | 41% | 30% | 43% | 100% | 62% | 0 | 0 | 20% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -8 | -18 | 0 | -9 | -11 | -6 | -8 | –1 | 0 | +1 | +35 |
| 8th . . | Brown . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 5 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +1 | -1 | +2 | –2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 5 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 5 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 5 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 60% | 25% | 0 | 25% | 46% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 17% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | 0 | 0 | +2 | –4 | –1 | –5 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | –4 |
| 8th . . | Calhoun . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 8 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 10 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 30% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30% | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +5 | +4 | 0 | +5 | -2 | +2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | –1 |
| 8th . . | Cass . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 19 | 14 | 2 | 32 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10 | 19 | 1 | 49 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +4 | –4 | +1 | –1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 14 | 15 | 2 | 48 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 9 | 15 | 3 | 59 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 24 | 14 | 1 | 21 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 46% | 64% | 100% | 5% | 6% | 0 | 0 | 33% | 0 | 0 | 17% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +5 | 0 | –1 | –11 | +14 | +1 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | –9 |

•Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 7 | 17 | 26 | 19 | 90 | - | - | - | 200 | ... Pending at Start | ............ Scott | . . 7th |
| 16 | 5 | 7 | 39 | 36 | 32 | 0 | 927 | 10 | 1,137 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -2 | +2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 16 | 8 | 6 | 41 | 36 | 42 | 0 | 927 | 10 | 1,153 | ........Net Added | | |
| 9 | 4 | 20 | 43 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 807 | 4 | 967 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 11 | 11 | 5* | 24 | 39 | 113 | - | - | - | 262 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 0 | 0 | 50% | 8% | 45% | 59% | - | - | - | 48% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +7 | +4 | -12 | -2 | +20 | +23 | - | - | - | +62 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,365 | 313 | 461 | 1,889 | 3,743 | 4,545 | - | - | - | 17,371 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | . . 7th |
| 779 | 386 | 935 | 3,150 | 9,793 | 1,400 | 545 | 47,098 | 353 | 70,264 | ............. Filed | | |
| 5 | 10 | 55 | 3 | 231 | 17 | 0 | 799 | 0 | 1,260 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -271 | +271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 784 | 396 | 719 | 3,424 | 10,024 | 1,417 | 545 | 47,897 | 353 | 71,524 | ........Net Added | | |
| 794 | 316 | 956 | 4,750 | 9,777 | 1,439 | 519 | 44,642 | 313 | 69,735 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 1,355 | 393 | 255* | 563 | 3,990 | 4,523 | - | - | - | 15,870 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 65% | 52% | 14% | 33% | 41% | 75% | - | - | - | 56% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -10 | +80 | -206 | -1,326 | +247 | -22 | - | - | - | 1,501 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 93 | 33 | 134 | 187 | 281 | 709 | - | - | - | 1,928 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Adams | . . 8th |
| 159 | 91 | 314 | 446 | 1,265 | 357 | 1,545 | 7,582 | 49 | 12,681 | ............. Filed | | |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -67 | +67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 162 | 95 | 250 | 522 | 1,265 | 363 | 1,545 | 7,582 | 49 | 12,745 | ........Net Added | | |
| 165 | 108 | 179 | 473 | 1,300 | 329 | 1,618 | 7,437 | 42 | 12,588 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 90 | 20 | 205 | 236 | 246 | 743 | - | - | - | 2,006 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 59% | 45% | 21% | 47% | 10% | 61% | - | - | - | 42% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -3 | -13 | +71 | +49 | -35 | +34 | - | - | - | +78 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 9 | 12 | 11 | 73 | 26 | 95 | - | - | - | 282 | ... Pending at Start | ...........Brown | . . 8th |
| 5 | 12 | 28 | 77 | 105 | 48 | 18 | 1,154 | 12 | 1,540 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -11 | +11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 5 | 12 | 18 | 88 | 105 | 43 | 18 | 1,154 | 12 | 1,541 | ........Net Added | | |
| 9 | 14 | 18 | 120 | 100 | 51 | 14 | 1,067 | 22 | 1,507 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 5 | 10 | 18* | 41 | 31 | 92 | - | - | - | 242 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 0 | 20% | 6% | 32% | 12% | 52% | - | - | - | 36% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -4 | -2 | +7 | -32 | +5 | -3 | - | - | - | -40 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 82 | - | - | - | 139 | ... Pending at Start | .........Calhoun | . . 8th |
| 6 | 6 | 10 | 70 | 33 | 23 | 0 | 346 | 105 | 651 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -1 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 6 | 6 | 9 | 71 | 39 | 24 | 0 | 346 | 105 | 658 | ........Net Added | | |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 56 | 38 | 32 | 1 | 349 | 99 | 635 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 5 | 6 | 8* | 23 | 8 | 74 | - | - | - | 161 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 40% | 17% | 25% | 0 | 12% | 74% | - | - | - | 42% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| 0 | -1 | +2 | +15 | +1 | -8 | - | - | - | +22 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 24 | 18 | 28 | 36 | 67 | 151 | 3 | 145 | 18 | 599 | ... Pending at Start | .............Cass | . . 8th |
| 35 | 39 | 73 | 267 | 397 | 78 | 16 | 1,511 | 38 | 2,669 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -19 | +19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 35 | 39 | 54 | 286 | 397 | 78 | 16 | 1,511 | 38 | 2,669 | ........Net Added | | |
| 44 | 45 | 57 | 297 | 397 | 85 | 18 | 1,531 | 48 | 2,737 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 15 | 12 | 29* | 25 | 67 | 144 | 1 | 125 | 8 | 535* | .... Pending at End | | |
| 7% | 0 | 0 | 8% | 0 | 63% | - | - | - | 30% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -9 | -6 | +1 | -11 | 0 | -7 | -2 | -20 | -10 | -64 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 8th | Mason | Pending at Start | 28 | 16 | 2 | 35 | 34 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30 |
| | | Filed | 21 | 17 | 2 | 48 | 34 | 36 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 94 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 24 | 14 | 2 | 48 | 34 | 36 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 94 |
| | | Disposed of | 27 | 20 | 3 | 62 | 36 | 39 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 106 |
| | | Pending at End | 25 | 10 | 1 | 21 | 32 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 28% | 40% | 0 | 28% | 25% | 28% | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 17% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -3 | -6 | -1 | -14 | -2 | -3 | 0 | +3 | 0 | 0 | -12 |
| 8th | Menard | Pending at Start | 11 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | | Filed | 5 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 5 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| | | Disposed of | 9 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 65 |
| | | Pending at End | 7 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 43% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38% | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -4 | +2 | +1 | +1 | -4 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 |
| 8th | Pike | Pending at Start | 16 | 24 | 4 | 28 | 22 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 45 |
| | | Filed | 11 | 27 | 3 | 91 | 23 | 37 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 91 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 11 | 27 | 3 | 94 | 23 | 37 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 93 |
| | | Disposed of | 8 | 24 | 0 | 66 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| | | Pending at End | 19 | 27 | 7 | 56 | 25 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 37 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 47% | 44% | 57% | 18% | 36% | 11% | 0 | 67% | 100% | 50% | 51% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +3 | +3 | +3 | +28 | +3 | +17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +5 | -8 |
| 8th | Schuyler | Pending at Start | 11 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | | Filed | 4 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 47 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 4 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 47 |
| | | Disposed of | 7 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | | Pending at End | 8 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 62% | 0 | 0 | 36% | 62% | 75% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -3 | +3 | +1 | +6 | +1 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +1 | 0 | -8 |
| 8th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 203 | 110 | 19 | 215 | 150 | 56 | 16 | 22 | 2 | 19 | 288 |
| | | Filed | 132 | 127 | 16 | 394 | 164 | 199 | 4 | 44 | 2 | 55 | 840 |
| | | Reinstated | 8 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | | Transferred | +21 | -21 | +8 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 161 | 110 | 25 | 398 | 165 | 201 | 4 | 44 | 2 | 55 | 857 |
| | | Disposed of | 166 | 122 | 20 | 396 | 167 | 193 | 11 | 41 | 1 | 49 | 868 |
| | | Pending at End | 198 | 98 | 24 | 217 | 148 | 64 | 9 | 25 | 3 | 25 | 277 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 40% | 40% | 37% | 27% | 31% | 22% | 78% | 44% | 67% | 28% | 23% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -5 | -12 | +5 | +2 | -2 | +8 | -7 | +3 | +1 | +6 | -11 |
| 9th | Fulton | Pending at Start | 42 | 24 | 1 | 30 | 46 | 11 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| | | Filed | 43 | 28 | 2 | 109 | 54 | 20 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 219 |
| | | Reinstated | 5 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 48 | 35 | 2 | 113 | 59 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 221 |
| | | Disposed of | 34 | 41 | 3 | 114 | 62 | 20 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 225 |
| | | Pending at End | 56 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 43 | 11 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 36% | 44% | 0 | 14% | 23% | 27% | 0 | 81% | 0 | 0 | 9% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +14 | -6 | -1 | -1 | -3 | 0 | -2 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -4 |

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 19 | 46 | 94 | 59 | 307 | - | - | - | 727 | Pending at Start | Mason | 8th |
| 31 | 40 | 87 | 261 | 144 | 114 | 44 | 2,818 | 125 | 3,926 | Filed | | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -12 | +12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 32 | 40 | 75 | 275 | 144 | 115 | 44 | 2,818 | 125 | 3,930 | Net Added | | |
| 41 | 37 | 98 | 289 | 159 | 131 | 39 | 2,671 | 100 | 3,865 | Disposed of | | |
| 35 | 22 | 23 | 80 | 44 | 291 | - | - | - | 615 | Pending at End | | |
| 48% | 18% | 36% | 18% | 20% | 63% | - | - | - | 44% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| -9 | +3 | -23 | -14 | -15 | -16 | - | - | - | -112 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 10 | 16 | 13 | 26 | 42 | 160 | - | - | - | 323 | Pending at Start | Menard | 8th |
| 33 | 15 | 33 | 71 | 218 | 66 | 1 | 1,172 | 20 | 1,734 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -6 | +6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 33 | 15 | 27 | 77 | 218 | 66 | 1 | 1,172 | 20 | 1,735 | Net Added | | |
| 29 | 25 | 36 | 84 | 216 | 93 | 5 | 1,214 | 14 | 1,829 | Disposed of | | |
| 14 | 6 | 8* | 19 | 44 | 133 | - | - | - | 273 | Pending at End | | |
| 28% | 0 | 0 | 5% | 4% | 59% | - | - | - | 36% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +4 | -10 | -5 | -7 | +2 | -27 | - | - | - | -50 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 18 | 17 | 66 | 77 | 74 | 698 | - | - | - | 1,110 | Pending at Start | Pike | 8th |
| 26 | 47 | 103 | 165 | 317 | 85 | 116 | 1,931 | 76 | 3,166 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -6 | +6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 26 | 47 | 100 | 171 | 322 | 86 | 116 | 1,931 | 76 | 3,180 | Net Added | | |
| 15 | 43 | 68 | 153 | 288 | 122 | 111 | 1,955 | 73 | 3,079 | Disposed of | | |
| 29 | 21 | 98 | 95 | 108 | 662 | - | - | - | 1,227 | Pending at End | | |
| 45% | 14% | 24% | 28% | 14% | 89% | - | - | - | 60% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +11 | +4 | +32 | +18 | +34 | -36 | - | - | - | +117 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 9 | 9 | 13 | 42 | 36 | 122 | - | - | - | 277 | Pending at Start | Schuyler | 8th |
| 17 | 10 | 20 | 63 | 138 | 53 | 1 | 974 | 53 | 1,418 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -3 | +3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 17 | 10 | 17 | 66 | 138 | 53 | 1 | 974 | 53 | 1,418 | Net Added | | |
| 8 | 11 | 16 | 60 | 131 | 45 | 1 | 942 | 59 | 1,360 | Disposed of | | |
| 18 | 8 | 15* | 48 | 43 | 130 | - | - | - | 310 | Pending at End | | |
| 33% | 50% | 40% | 56% | 40% | 69% | - | - | - | 55% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +9 | -1 | +2 | +6 | +7 | +8 | - | - | - | +33 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 212 | 131 | 317 | 543 | 592 | 2,324 | - | - | - | 5,219 | Pending at Start | Circuit Total | 8th |
| 312 | 260 | 668 | 1,420 | 2,617 | 824 | 1,741 | 17,488 | 478 | 27,785 | Filed | | |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -125 | +125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 316 | 264 | 550 | 1,556 | 2,628 | 833 | 1,741 | 17,488 | 478 | 27,876 | Net Added | | |
| 317 | 290 | 480 | 1,532 | 2,629 | 888 | 1,807 | 17,166 | 457 | 27,600 | Disposed of | | |
| 211 | 105 | 404* | 567 | 591 | 2,269 | - | - | - | 5,235 | Pending at End | | |
| 45% | 22% | 21% | 34% | 15% | 70% | - | - | - | 46% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| -1 | -26 | +87 | +24 | -1 | -55 | - | - | - | +16 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 22 | 29 | 96 | 95 | 178 | 521 | - | - | - | 1,175 | Pending at Start | Fulton | 9th |
| 119 | 68 | 141 | 397 | 743 | 194 | 148 | 3,794 | 79 | 6,186 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 60 | 2 | 205 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 296 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -26 | +26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 119 | 70 | 116 | 483 | 745 | 399 | 149 | 3,794 | 79 | 6.482 | Net Added | | |
| 113 | 88 | 160 | 484 | 780 | 303 | 140 | 3,785 | 66 | 6.454 | Disposed of | | |
| 28 | 11 | 52 | 94 | 143 | 617 | - | - | - | 1,172 | Pending at End | | |
| 18% | 0 | 9% | 45% | 3% | 76% | - | - | - | 50% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +6 | -18 | -44 | -1 | -35 | +96 | - | - | - | -3 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 9th | Hancock | Pending at Start | 13 | 12 | 1 | 34 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 34 |
| | | Filed | 13 | 19 | 1 | 60 | 17 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 122 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 14 | 18 | 2 | 59 | 17 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 122 |
| | | Disposed of | 15 | 15 | 1 | 46 | 14 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 109 |
| | | Pending at End | 12 | 15 | 2 | 47 | 28 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 47 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 17% | 33% | 50% | 32% | 43% | 18% | 0 | 33% | 0 | 0 | 13% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -1 | +3 | +1 | +13 | +3 | +11 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +13 |
| 9th | Henderson | Pending at Start | 9 | 9 | 5 | 28 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | | Filed | 6 | 4 | 2 | 27 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 6 | 4 | 2 | 27 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| | | Disposed of | 8 | 6 | 3 | 37 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| | | Pending at End | 7 | 7 | 4 | 18 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 71% | 57% | 50% | 33% | 40% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -2 | -2 | -1 | -10 | -3 | -3 | 0 | +3 | 0 | 0 | -3 |
| 9th | Knox | Pending at Start | 104 | 27 | 23 | 120 | 115 | 43 | 2 | 65 | 0 | 41 | 204 |
| | | Filed | 53 | 33 | 6 | 213 | 119 | 51 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 378 | 489 |
| | | Reinstated | 9 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | | Transferred | +12 | -9 | +2 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 74 | 24 | 9 | 214 | 121 | 56 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 378 | 498 |
| | | Disposed of | 69 | 16 | 25 | 194 | 130 | 50 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 377 | 552 |
| | | Pending at End | 109 | 35 | 7 | 140 | 106 | 49 | 2 | 54 | 0 | 42 | 150 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 50% | 46% | 50% | 59% | 46% | 61% | 0 | 85% | 0 | 42% | 45% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +5 | +8 | -16 | +20 | -9 | +6 | 0 | -11 | 0 | +1 | -54 |
| 9th | McDonough | Pending at Start | 30 | 32 | 3 | 67 | 49 | 29 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| | | Filed | 17 | 23 | 1 | 67 | 44 | 30 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 176 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | +3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 17 | 36 | 4 | 67 | 44 | 33 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 176 |
| | | Disposed of | 19 | 28 | 3 | 84 | 42 | 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
| | | Pending at End | 28 | 40 | 4 | 50 | 51 | 34 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 73 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 64% | 55% | 50% | 12% | 45% | 56% | 0 | 44% | 0 | 0 | 27% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -2 | +8 | +1 | -17 | +2 | +5 | +1 | +4 | +1 | 0 | +20 |
| 9th | Warren | Pending at Start | 23 | 8 | 3 | 26 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | | Filed | 22 | 13 | 4 | 42 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 22 | 13 | 4 | 42 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| | | Disposed of | 14 | 7 | 4 | 44 | 23 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| | | Pending at End | 31 | 14 | 3 | 24 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 35% | 36% | 67% | 25% | 33% | 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +8 | +6 | 0 | -2 | +6 | -2 | -2 | -3 | 0 | 0 | +12 |
| 9th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 221 | 112 | 36 | 305 | 274 | 100 | 6 | 96 | 2 | 41 | 386 |
| | | Filed | 154 | 120 | 16 | 518 | 276 | 151 | 4 | 59 | 2 | 395 | 1,148 |
| | | Reinstated | 14 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | | Transferred | +13 | -10 | +6 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 181 | 130 | 23 | 522 | 283 | 159 | 4 | 61 | 2 | 395 | 1,159 |
| | | Disposed of | 159 | 113 | 39 | 519 | 287 | 142 | 7 | 70 | 2 | 394 | 1,175 |
| | | Pending at End | 243 | 129 | 20 | 308 | 270 | 117 | 3 | 87 | 2 | 42 | 370 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 45% | 46% | 52% | 39% | 40% | 49% | 0 | 73% | 0 | 42% | 28% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +22 | +17 | -16 | +3 | -4 | +17 | -3 | -9 | 0 | +1 | -16 |

---

•Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | 11 | 20 | 40 | 90 | 408 | - | - | - | 709 | Pending at Start | Hancock | 9th |
| 35 | 18 | 70 | 125 | 252 | 148 | 33 | 1,997 | 29 | 2,976 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -27 | +27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 35 | 18 | 44 | 152 | 252 | 148 | 33 | 1,997 | 29 | 2,977 | Net Added | | |
| 34 | 20 | 55 | 153 | 254 | 166 | 32 | 1,937 | 34 | 2,910 | Disposed of | | |
| 13 | 9 | 19* | 39 | 88 | 390 | - | - | - | 730 | Pending at End | | |
| 23% | 44% | 5% | 20% | 28% | 67% | - | - | - | 47% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +1 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -18 | - | - | - | +21 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 15 | 12 | 11 | 19 | 33 | 148 | - | - | - | 332 | Pending at Start | Henderson | 9th |
| 16 | 7 | 20 | 89 | 103 | 49 | 75 | 974 | 100 | 1,529 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -2 | +2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 16 | 7 | 18 | 91 | 103 | 49 | 75 | 974 | 100 | 1,529 | Net Added | | |
| 12 | 13 | 20 | 86 | 110 | 65 | 87 | 999 | 80 | 1,539 | Disposed of | | |
| 19 | 6 | 13* | 24 | 26 | 132 | - | - | - | 293 | Pending at End | | |
| 42% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72% | - | - | - | 44% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +4 | -6 | +2 | +5 | -7 | -16 | - | - | - | -39 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 105 | 24 | 79 | 61 | 140 | 1,402 | - | - | - | 2,555 | Pending at Start | Knox | 9th |
| 141 | 70 | 158 | 855 | 873 | 262 | 1,062 | 6,440 | 90 | 11,317 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -13 | +13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 141 | 70 | 145 | 868 | 876 | 271 | 1,062 | 6,440 | 90 | 11,361 | Net Added | | |
| 122 | 39 | 164 | 844 | 785 | 279 | 1,082 | 6,250 | 75 | 11,088 | Disposed of | | |
| 124 | 55 | 62* | 85 | 231 | 1,394 | - | - | - | 2,645 | Pending at End | | |
| 50% | 42% | 15% | 21% | 24% | 86% | - | - | - | 65% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +19 | +31 | -17 | +24 | +91 | -8 | - | - | - | +90 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 33 | 20 | 57 | 248 | 187 | 368 | - | - | - | 1,181 | Pending at Start | McDonough | 9th |
| 50 | 22 | 119 | 474 | 447 | 153 | 808 | 3,809 | 77 | 6,325 | Filed | | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -19 | +19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 55 | 22 | 100 | 493 | 462 | 199 | 808 | 3,809 | 77 | 6,410 | Net Added | | |
| 41 | 27 | 117 | 556 | 449 | 279 | 752 | 3,929 | 73 | 6,585 | Disposed of | | |
| 47 | 15 | 48* | 185 | 200 | 288 | - | - | - | 1,074 | Pending at End | | |
| 51% | 47% | 14% | 19% | 38% | 57% | - | - | - | 40% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +14 | -5 | -9 | -63 | +13 | -80 | - | - | - | -107 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 18 | 21 | 35 | 61 | 78 | 211 | - | - | - | 535 | Pending at Start | Warren | 9th |
| 82 | 34 | 59 | 209 | 627 | 124 | 253 | 2,718 | 47 | 4,396 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -9 | +9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 82 | 34 | 51 | 218 | 627 | 124 | 253 | 2,718 | 47 | 4,397 | Net Added | | |
| 66 | 40 | 54 | 226 | 556 | 123 | 274 | 2,791 | 39 | 4,389 | Disposed of | | |
| 34 | 15 | 34* | 53 | 149 | 212 | - | - | - | 631 | Pending at End | | |
| 15% | 47% | 6% | 2% | 4% | 58% | - | - | - | 28% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +16 | -6 | -1 | -8 | +71 | +1 | - | - | - | +96 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 205 | 117 | 298 | 524 | 706 | 3,058 | - | - | - | 6,487 | Pending at Start | Circuit Total | 9th |
| 443 | 219 | 567 | 2,149 | 3,045 | 930 | 2,379 | 19,732 | 422 | 32,729 | Filed | | |
| 5 | 2 | 3 | 60 | 20 | 260 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 427 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -96 | +96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 448 | 221 | 474 | 2,305 | 3,065 | 1,190 | 2,380 | 19,732 | 422 | 33,156 | Net Added | | |
| 388 | 227 | 570 | 2,349 | 2,934 | 1,215 | 2,367 | 19,691 | 367 | 33,015 | Disposed of | | |
| 265 | 111 | 228* | 480 | 837 | 3,033 | - | - | - | 6,545 | Pending at End | | |
| 40% | 37% | 10% | 22% | 20% | 76% | - | - | - | 52% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +60 | -6 | -70 | -44 | +131 | -25 | - | - | - | +58 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 10th | Marshall | Pending at Start | 13 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 36 |
| | | Filed | 11 | 14 | 4 | 22 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| | | Reinstated | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +3 | -3 | +3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 15 | 11 | 7 | 19 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| | | Disposed of | 13 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 67 |
| | | Pending at End | 15 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 27% | 43% | 17% | 15% | 47% | 67% | 0 | 67% | 0 | 0 | 41% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +2 | +2 | +3 | +9 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -9 |
| 10th | Peoria | Pending at Start | 713 | 334 | 106 | 583 | 303 | 180 | 37 | 316 | 0 | 9 | 640 |
| | | Filed | 534 | 279 | 36 | 736 | 446 | 304 | 3 | 115 | 0 | 321 | 1,478 |
| | | Reinstated | 44 | 22 | 21 | 54 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | | Transferred | +96 | -96 | +32 | -32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 674 | 205 | 89 | 758 | 459 | 319 | 6 | 125 | 0 | 321 | 1,502 |
| | | Disposed of | 532 | 328 | 113 | 863 | 426 | 344 | 12 | 335 | 0 | 323 | 1,524 |
| | | Pending at End | 855 | 210* | 82 | 478 | 336 | 155 | 31 | 106 | 0 | 7 | 618 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 42% | 24% | 50% | 34% | 23% | 26% | 100% | 52% | 0 | 0 | 32% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +142 | -124 | -24 | -105 | +33 | -25 | -6 | -210 | 0 | -2 | -22 |
| 10th | Putnam | Pending at Start | 12 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Filed | 3 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 4 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | | Disposed of | 7 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| | | Pending at End | 9 | 1 | 1 | 14* | 14 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 78% | 0 | 0 | 36% | 71% | 100% | 0 | 75% | 0 | 0 | 12% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -3 | -4 | -1 | +5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8 | -1 | 0 | +12 |
| 10th | Stark | Pending at Start | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Filed | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
| | | Disposed of | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 |
| | | Pending at End | 1 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 100% | 75% | 0 | 38% | 31% | 20% | 0 | 62% | 0 | 0 | 43% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -3 | +1 | 0 | +1 | +9 | -1 | 0 | +5 | 0 | 0 | +2 |
| 10th | Tazewell | Pending at Start | 293 | 96 | 29 | 116 | 227 | 101 | 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 266 |
| | | Filed | 177 | 77 | 9 | 334 | 280 | 92 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 638 |
| | | Reinstated | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +14 | -13 | +15 | -16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 197 | 68 | 27 | 319 | 280 | 92 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 638 |
| | | Disposed of | 202 | 81 | 28 | 293 | 198 | 79 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 607 |
| | | Pending at End | 288 | 83 | 28 | 142 | 309 | 114 | 4 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 297 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 45% | 58% | 46% | 32% | 55% | 66% | 75% | 91% | 0 | 0 | 42% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -5 | -13 | -1 | +26 | +82 | +13 | -1 | -7 | 0 | 0 | +31 |
| 10th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 1,035 | 443 | 140 | 719 | 583 | 295 | 42 | 439 | 2 | 9 | 952 |
| | | Filed | 725 | 374 | 49 | 1,117 | 766 | 413 | 5 | 142 | 1 | 322 | 2,230 |
| | | Reinstated | 51 | 27 | 24 | 56 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | | Transferred | +114 | -113 | +51 | -51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 890 | 288 | 124 | 1,122 | 779 | 428 | 8 | 154 | 1 | 322 | 2,254 |
| | | Disposed of | 757 | 425 | 147 | 1,187 | 655 | 440 | 15 | 374 | 3 | 324 | 2,240 |
| | | Pending at End | 1,168 | 305* | 117 | 655* | 707 | 283 | 35 | 219 | 0 | 7 | 966 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 43% | 34% | 47% | 33% | 39% | 44% | 97% | 70% | 0 | 0 | 35% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +133 | -138 | -23 | -64 | +124 | -12 | -7 | -220 | -2 | -2 | +14 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 22 | 13 | 11 | 66 | 67 | 221 | - | - | - | 502 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Marshall | .. 10th |
| 13 | 28 | 50 | 163 | 162 | 91 | 46 | 1,611 | 8 | 2,313 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -11 | +11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 13 | 28 | 41 | 175 | 162 | 91 | 46 | 1,611 | 8 | 2,317 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 16 | 20 | 37 | 186 | 148 | 149 | 40 | 1,720 | 6 | 2,457 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 19 | 21 | 26* | 55 | 81 | 163 | - | - | - | 474 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 79% | 14% | 0 | 14% | 41% | 55% | - | - | - | 40% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -3 | +8 | +15 | -11 | +14 | -58 | - | - | - | -28 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 633 | 402 | 683 | 1,732 | 4,014 | 2,661 | - | - | - | 13,346 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Peoria | .. 10th |
| 447 | 477 | 844 | 2,359 | 7,013 | 807 | 2,023 | 36,845 | 179 | 55,246 | ............. Filed | | |
| 12 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -110 | +110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 459 | 500 | 757 | 2,472 | 7,020 | 833 | 2,023 | 36,845 | 179 | 55,546 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 780 | 589 | 1,072 | 2,790 | 6,469 | 620 | 2,820 | 37,363 | 155 | 57,458 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 312 | 313 | 368 | 1,414 | 4,565 | 2,874 | - | - | - | 12,724 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 45% | 55% | 40% | 58% | 48% | 73% | - | - | - | 50% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -321 | -89 | -315 | -318 | +551 | +213 | - | - | - | -622 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 7 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 61 | - | - | - | 149 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Putnam | .. 10th |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 30 | 41 | 19 | 1 | 656 | 14 | 830 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 30 | 42 | 19 | 1 | 656 | 14 | 832 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 8 | 1 | 6 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 1 | 651 | 24 | 834 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 4 | 4 | 0* | 7 | 23 | 54 | - | - | - | 155 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 50% | 25% | 0 | 43% | 17% | 70% | - | - | - | 50% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -3 | +2 | -3 | +1 | +16 | -7 | - | - | - | +6 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 7 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 38 | 137 | - | - | - | 249 | ... Pending at Start | ............ Stark | .. 10th |
| 16 | 9 | 19 | 30 | 50 | 44 | 4 | 337 | 13 | 584 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -2 | +2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 16 | 9 | 17 | 32 | 50 | 44 | 4 | 337 | 13 | 586 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 15 | 8 | 20 | 34 | 64 | 81 | 4 | 346 | 7 | 629 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 8 | 12 | 5* | 9 | 24 | 100 | - | - | - | 212 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 50% | 75% | 40% | 33% | 54% | 65% | - | - | - | 57% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +1 | +1 | 0 | -2 | -14 | -37 | - | - | - | -37 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 457 | 363 | 131 | 220 | 257 | 4,206 | - | - | - | 6,867 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Tazewell | .. 10th |
| 331 | 202 | 212 | 406 | 1,429 | 433 | 1,233 | 15,247 | 82 | 21,204 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -40 | +40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 331 | 204 | 172 | 446 | 1,431 | 433 | 1,233 | 15,247 | 82 | 21,224 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 220 | 319 | 191 | 371 | 1,325 | 1,969 | 1,411 | 15,729 | 76 | 23,131 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 568 | 248 | 112 | 295 | 363 | 2,670 | - | - | - | 5,614 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 71% | 62% | 34% | 34% | 8% | 87% | - | - | - | 66% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +111 | -115 | -19 | +75 | +106 | -1,536 | - | - | - | -1,253 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,126 | 791 | 833 | 2,035 | 4,383 | 7,286 | - | - | - | 21,113 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | .. 10th |
| 812 | 719 | 1,126 | 2,988 | 8,695 | 1,394 | 3,307 | 54,696 | 296 | 80,177 | ............. Filed | | |
| 12 | 25 | 25 | 4 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -163 | +163 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 824 | 744 | 988 | 3,155 | 8,705 | 1,420 | 3,307 | 54,696 | 296 | 80,505 | ........ Net Added | | |
| 1,039 | 937 | 1,326 | 3,410 | 8,032 | 2,845 | 4,276 | 55,809 | 268 | 84,509 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 911 | 598 | 511* | 1,780 | 5,056 | 5,861 | - | - | - | 19,179 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 62% | 57% | 37% | 52% | 45% | 79% | - | - | - | 54% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -215 | -193 | -322 | -255 | +673 | -1,425 | - | - | - | -1,934 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 11th | Ford | Pending at Start | 19 | 19 | 1 | 26 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | | Filed | 20 | 18 | 0 | 63 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 65 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 21 | 17 | 1 | 62 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 65 |
| | | Disposed of | 15 | 17 | 1 | 55 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| | | Pending at End | 25 | 19 | 1 | 33 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 24% | 42% | 0 | 27% | 57% | 25% | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 5% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +6 | 0 | 0 | +7 | -3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +1 | -8 |
| 11th | Livingston | Pending at Start | 54 | 19 | 2 | 45 | 33 | 66 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 81 |
| | | Filed | 29 | 21 | 4 | 83 | 45 | 123 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 191 |
| | | Reinstated | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred | +6 | -6 | +6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 39 | 16 | 10 | 83 | 46 | 123 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 192 |
| | | Disposed of | 44 | 15 | 9 | 108 | 35 | 125 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 5 | 168 |
| | | Pending at End | 49 | 20 | 3 | 20 | 44 | 64 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 105 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 39% | 45% | 0 | 40% | 39% | 38% | 0 | 67% | 0 | 0 | 30% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -5 | +1 | +1 | -25 | +11 | -2 | +1 | -14 | +1 | -1 | +24 |
| 11th | Logan | Pending at Start | 54 | 20 | 5 | 86 | 46 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 81 |
| | | Filed | 28 | 12 | 4 | 71 | 19 | 40 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 202 |
| | | Reinstated | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 30 | 12 | 4 | 72 | 20 | 40 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 215 |
| | | Disposed of | 27 | 6 | 7 | 142 | 23 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 210 |
| | | Pending at End | 57 | 26 | 2 | 16 | 43 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 86 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 56% | 58% | 0 | 19% | 68% | 30% | 17% | 0 | 100% | 0 | 5% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +3 | +6 | -3 | -70 | -3 | -2 | +4 | 0 | 0 | -2 | +5 |
| 11th | McLean | Pending at Start | 400 | 100 | 48 | 156 | 153 | 68 | 9 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 160 |
| | | Filed | 182 | 121 | 16 | 479 | 139 | 85 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 698 |
| | | Reinstated | 11 | 3 | 2 | 144 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | | Transferred | +24 | -22 | +11 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 217 | 102 | 29 | 610 | 139 | 90 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 702 |
| | | Disposed of | 197 | 104 | 37 | 562 | 110 | 109 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 681 |
| | | Pending at End | 420 | 98 | 40 | 204 | 182 | 49 | 10 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 181 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 56% | 38% | 45% | 18% | 50% | 43% | 30% | 83% | 0 | 0 | 1% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +20 | -2 | -8 | +48 | +29 | -19 | +1 | -3 | +1 | -1 | +21 |
| 11th | Woodford | Pending at Start | 25 | 19 | 2 | 15 | 34 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | | Filed | 22 | 25 | 2 | 45 | 48 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 101 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 23 | 26 | 2 | 45 | 48 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 101 |
| | | Disposed of | 20 | 33 | 2 | 51 | 45 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 101 |
| | | Pending at End | 28 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 24 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 25% | 33% | 0 | 11% | 11% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +3 | -7 | 0 | -6 | +3 | 0 | 0 | -5 | +4 | 0 | 0 |
| 11th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 552 | 177 | 58 | 328 | 283 | 157 | 12 | 50 | 3 | 5 | 375 |
| | | Filed | 281 | 197 | 26 | 741 | 263 | 276 | 15 | 28 | 7 | 10 | 1,257 |
| | | Reinstated | 17 | 6 | 2 | 151 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| | | Transferred | +32 | -30 | +18 | -20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 330 | 173 | 46 | 872 | 265 | 281 | 16 | 28 | 7 | 11 | 1,275 |
| | | Disposed of | 303 | 175 | 56 | 918 | 228 | 307 | 10 | 50 | 1 | 14 | 1,233 |
| | | Pending at End | 579 | 175 | 48 | 282 | 320 | 131 | 18 | 28 | 9 | 2 | 417 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 52% | 42% | 38% | 20% | 47% | 38% | 22% | 78% | 33% | 0 | 10% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +27 | -2 | -10 | -46 | +37 | -26 | +6 | -22 | +6 | -3 | +42 |

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total |  | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 27 | 14 | 12 | 25 | 92 | 251 | - | - | - | 542 | ... Pending at Start | ............ Ford | ..11th |
| 35 | 24 | 50 | 89 | 261 | 102 | 36 | 2,062 | 22 | 2,877 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -8 | +8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 35 | 24 | 42 | 97 | 261 | 102 | 36 | 2,062 | 22 | 2,877 | ........Net Added |  |  |
| 33 | 31 | 43 | 94 | 257 | 90 | 28 | 2,097 | 23 | 2,892 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 29 | 7 | 11 | 28 | 96 | 263 | - | - | - | 555 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 59% | 57% | 9% | 32% | 9% | 66% | - | - | - | 45% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +2 | -7 | -1 | +3 | +4 | +12 | - | - | - | +13 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 76 | 41 | 50 | 207 | 178 | 964 | - | - | - | 1,835 | ... Pending at Start | ....... Livingston | ..11th |
| 105 | 102 | 187 | 458 | 613 | 270 | 285 | 5,891 | 105 | 8,527 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -51 | +51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 105 | 102 | 145 | 514 | 633 | 270 | 285 | 5,891 | 105 | 8,574 | ........Net Added |  |  |
| 86 | 109 | 161 | 567 | 648 | 237 | 274 | 6,184 | 85 | 8,883 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 95 | 34 | 41* | 154 | 163 | 997 | - | - | - | 1,795 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 60% | 20% | 0 | 31% | 42% | 76% | - | - | - | 58% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +19 | -7 | -9 | -53 | -15 | +33 | - | - | - | -40 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 34 | 22 | 35 | 83 | 63 | 1,016 | - | - | - | 1,566 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Logan | ..11th |
| 73 | 42 | 62 | 299 | 566 | 185 | 27 | 8,561 | 23 | 10,223 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -8 | +8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 74 | 43 | 61 | 307 | 568 | 193 | 27 | 8,561 | 23 | 10,259 | ........Net Added |  |  |
| 80 | 49 | 70 | 278 | 568 | 215 | 24 | 7,692 | 29 | 9,469 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 28 | 16 | 28* | 112 | 63 | 994 | - | - | - | 1,492 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 14% | 38% | 14% | 31% | 21% | 84% | - | - | - | 66% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| -6 | -6 | -7 | +29 | 0 | -22 | - | - | - | -74 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 161 | 81 | 270 | 705 | 527 | 1,022 | - | - | - | 3,882 | ... Pending at Start | ......... McLean | ..11th |
| 273 | 166 | 412 | 1,995 | 2,942 | 649 | 388 | 25,303 | 155 | 34,023 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 50 | 175 | 457 | 8 | 6 | 296 | 0 | 1,163 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -13 | +13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 273 | 166 | 449 | 2,183 | 3,399 | 657 | 394 | 25,599 | 155 | 35,186 | ........Net Added |  |  |
| 289 | 208 | 505 | 2,075 | 3,312 | 588 | 411 | 24,620 | 149 | 33,981 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 145 | 39 | 225* | 813 | 614 | 1,091 | - | - | - | 4,130 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 35% | 21% | 13% | 6% | 4% | 68% | - | - | - | 33% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| -16 | -42 | -45 | +108 | +87 | +69 | - | - | - | +248 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 7 | 15 | 20 | 31 | 22 | 302 | - | - | - | 528 | ... Pending at Start | ....... Woodford | ..11th |
| 35 | 37 | 86 | 251 | 172 | 147 | 4 | 3,752 | 13 | 4,766 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 24 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -13 | +13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 35 | 37 | 73 | 275 | 173 | 148 | 4 | 3,759 | 15 | 4,790 | ........Net Added |  |  |
| 30 | 33 | 66 | 280 | 153 | 142 | 5 | 3,746 | 12 | 4,746 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 12 | 19 | 30* | 26 | 42 | 308 | - | - | - | 560 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 8% | 10% | 0 | 23% | 10% | 65% | - | - | - | 41% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +5 | +4 | +10 | -5 | +20 | +6 | - | - | - | +32 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 305 | 173 | 387 | 1,051 | 882 | 3,555 | - | - | - | 8,353 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | ..11th |
| 521 | 371 | 797 | 3,092 | 4,554 | 1,353 | 740 | 45,569 | 318 | 60,416 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 66 | 191 | 480 | 17 | 6 | 303 | 2 | 1,270 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -93 | +93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 522 | 372 | 770 | 3,376 | 5,034 | 1,370 | 746 | 45,872 | 320 | 61,686 | ........Net Added |  |  |
| 518 | 430 | 845 | 3,294 | 4,938 | 1,272 | 742 | 44,339 | 298 | 59,971 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 309 | 115 | 335* | 1,133 | 978 | 3,653 | - | - | - | 8,532 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 42% | 23% | 10% | 13% | 12% | 74% | - | - | - | 45% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +4 | -58 | -52 | +82 | +96 | +98 | - | - | - | +179 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 12th . . | Iroquois . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 50 | 24 | 21 | 62 | 98 | 37 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 21 | 30 | 1 | 94 | 33 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 144 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | +6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 21 | 30 | 7 | 88 | 33 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 144 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 20 | 17 | 11 | 81 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 206 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 57* | 37 | 17 | 69 | 103 | 42 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 76 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 67% | 49% | 65% | 45% | 78% | 88% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +7 | +13 | -4 | +7 | +5 | +5 | 0 | +3 | +1 | 0 | -62 |
| 12th . . | Kankakee . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 262 | 93 | 98 | 679 | 190 | 122 | 6 | 74 | 0 | 41 | 428 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 147 | 100 | 0 | 515 | 165 | 149 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 188 | 596 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +28 | -28 | +25 | -25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 175 | 72 | 25 | 490 | 165 | 149 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 191 | 606 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 104 | 64 | 33 | 649 | 169 | 165 | 6 | 46 | 0 | 231 | 584 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 309* | 121* | 66* | 124* | 161* | 75* | 0 | 57* | 0 | 1 | 379* |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 55% | 52% | 76% | 34% | 38% | 32% | 0 | 42% | 0 | 0 | 27% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +47 | +28 | -32 | -555 | -29 | -47 | -6 | -17 | 0 | -40 | -49 |
| 12th . . | Will . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 679 | 730 | 143 | 799 | 1,624 | 209 | 48 | 300 | 3 | 9 | 1,319 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 450 | 440 | 20 | 2,852 | 973 | 273 | 6 | 63 | 3 | 106 | 1,631 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 58 | 25 | 8 | 52 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +197 | -197 | +64 | -58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 705 | 268 | 92 | 2,846 | 989 | 279 | 16 | 63 | 3 | 106 | 1,644 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 865 | 674 | 170 | 2,891 | 1,724 | 245 | 56 | 52 | 4 | 113 | 1,927 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 519 | 324 | 65 | 754 | 889 | 243 | 8 | 311 | 2 | 2 | 1,036 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 18% | 35% | 26% | 34% | 29% | 52% | 50% | 87% | 100% | 0 | 18% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -160 | -406 | -78 | -45 | -735 | +34 | -40 | +11 | -1 | -7 | -283 |
| 12th . . | Circuit Total . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 991 | 847 | 262 | 1,540 | 1,912 | 368 | 56 | 375 | 3 | 50 | 1,885 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 618 | 570 | 21 | 3,461 | 1,171 | 441 | 6 | 106 | 7 | 294 | 2,371 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 58 | 25 | 8 | 52 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +225 | -225 | +95 | -89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 901 | 370 | 124 | 3,424 | 1,187 | 447 | 16 | 106 | 7 | 297 | 2,394 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 989 | 755 | 214 | 3,621 | 1,921 | 424 | 62 | 101 | 7 | 344 | 2,717 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 885* | 482* | 148* | 947* | 1,153* | 360* | 10 | 372* | 3 | 3 | 1,491* |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 34% | 40% | 53% | 35% | 35% | 52% | 60% | 79% | 67% | 0 | 20% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -106 | -365 | -114 | -593 | -759 | -8 | -46 | -3 | 0 | -47 | -394 |
| 13th . . | Bureau . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 81 | 26 | 4 | 29 | 53 | 20 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 53 | 54 | 9 | 126 | 61 | 36 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 190 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +10 | -10 | +3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 63 | 44 | 12 | 130 | 62 | 37 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 195 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 57 | 42 | 4 | 132 | 59 | 47 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 2 | 203 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 87 | 28 | 12 | 27 | 56 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 47% | 32% | 17% | 4% | 32% | 60% | 0 | 33% | 0 | 0 | 25% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +6 | +2 | +8 | -2 | +3 | -10 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | -8 |
| 13th . . | Grundy . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 94 | 56 | 26 | 116 | 47 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 116 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 36 | 46 | 2 | 65 | 35 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 229 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 34 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +12 | -12 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 82 | 44 | 9 | 66 | 35 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 231 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 44 | 58 | 5 | 55 | 24 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 243 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 132 | 42 | 30 | 127 | 58 | 26 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 104 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 66% | 57% | 90% | 77% | 62% | 58% | 0 | 92% | 100% | 50% | 38% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +38 | -14 | +4 | +11 | +11 | +6 | 0 | +1 | 0 | +1 | -12 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 104 | 62 | 131 | 458 | 275 | 638 | 39 | 1,035 | 88 | 3,263 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Iroquois | . . 12th |
| 90 | 50 | 75 | 358 | 387 | 190 | 123 | 6,623 | 79 | 8,327 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -7 | +7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 90 | 50 | 68 | 365 | 387 | 190 | 123 | 6,623 | 79 | 8,327 | ........Net Added | | |
| 85 | 35 | 76 | 238 | 428 | 155 | 92 | 6,429 | 40 | 7,961 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 126* | 84* | 127* | 585 | 217* | 673 | 70 | 1,229 | 127 | 3,646* | .... Pending at End | | |
| 72% | 69% | 62% | 59% | 53% | 77% | - | - | - | 65% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| +22 | +22 | -4 | +127 | -58 | +35 | +31 | +194 | +39 | +383 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 421 | 260 | 184 | 322 | 518 | 1,551 | - | - | - | 5,249 | ... Pending at Start | ........ Kankakee | . . 12th |
| 532 | 156 | 401 | 986 | 2,122 | 477 | 855 | 16,647 | 412 | 24,485 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 538 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -91 | +91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 532 | 156 | 310 | 1,079 | 2,122 | 1,000 | 855 | 16,647 | 412 | 25,023 | ........Net Added | | |
| 452 | 180 | 303 | 1,022 | 2,037 | 228 | 825 | 16,324 | 396 | 23,818 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 405* | 97* | 187* | 378* | 454* | 2,323 | - | - | - | 5,137 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 55% | 24% | 22% | 56% | 27% | 82% | - | - | - | 60% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| -16 | -163 | +3 | +56 | -64 | +772 | - | - | - | -112 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 276 | 585 | 494 | 704 | 2,381 | 2,422 | 198 | 13,365 | 125 | 26,413 | ... Pending at Start | ............. Will | . . 12th |
| 1,147 | 385 | 786 | 2,306 | 6,899 | 613 | 4,907 | 58,924 | 296 | 83,080 | ............. Filed | | |
| 65 | 9 | 72 | 0 | 140 | 2 | 56 | 1,105 | 0 | 1,637 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,212 | 394 | 858 | 2,306 | 7,033 | 615 | 4,963 | 60,029 | 296 | 84,717 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,226 | 511 | 866 | 2,523 | 7,410 | 521 | 4,852 | 60,397 | 382 | 87,409 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 262 | 468 | 486 | 487 | 2,004 | 2,516 | 309 | 12,997 | 39 | 23,721 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 24% | 37% | 20% | 17% | 26% | 82% | - | - | - | 42% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| -14 | -117 | -8 | -217 | -377 | +94 | +111 | -368 | -86 | -2,692 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 801 | 907 | 809 | 1,484 | 3,174 | 4,611 | - | - | - | 20,075 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | . . 12th |
| 1,769 | 591 | 1,262 | 3,650 | 9,408 | 1,280 | 5,885 | 82,194 | 787 | 115,892 | ............. Filed | | |
| 65 | 9 | 72 | 2 | 140 | 525 | 56 | 1,105 | 0 | 2,175 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -98 | +98 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,834 | 600 | 1,236 | 3,750 | 9,542 | 1,805 | 5,941 | 83,299 | 787 | 118,067 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,763 | 726 | 1,245 | 3,783 | 9,875 | 904 | 5,769 | 83,150 | 818 | 119,188 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 793* | 649* | 800 | 1,450* | 2,675* | 5,512 | - | - | - | 17,733 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 47% | 39% | 27% | 44% | 28% | 63% | - | - | - | 50% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| -8 | -258 | -9 | -34 | -499 | +901 | - | - | - | -2,342 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 44 | 37 | 37 | 67 | 92 | 974 | - | - | - | 1,545 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Bureau | . . 13th |
| 100 | 90 | 71 | 395 | 700 | 207 | 194 | 7,719 | 84 | 10,132 | ............. Filed | | |
| 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 90 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -5 | +5 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 105 | 93 | 71 | 404 | 733 | 210 | 194 | 7,742 | 84 | 10,222 | ........Net Added | | |
| 114 | 92 | 61 | 404 | 773 | 215 | 212 | 8,507 | 81 | 11,059 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 35 | 38 | 47 | 67 | 52 | 969 | - | - | - | 1,488 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 14% | 13% | 21% | 6% | 0 | 82% | - | - | - | 61% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| -9 | +1 | +10 | 0 | -40 | -5 | - | - | - | -57 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 80 | 94 | 60 | 190 | 162 | 531 | - | - | - | 1,606 | ... Pending at Start | .......... Grundy | . . 13th |
| 98 | 56 | 119 | 448 | 248 | 105 | 168 | 3,030 | 110 | 4,826 | ............. Filed | | |
| 9 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -34 | +34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 107 | 69 | 88 | 482 | 248 | 107 | 168 | 3,030 | 110 | 4,909 | ........Net Added | | |
| 91 | 90 | 91 | 476 | 273 | 337 | 166 | 3,220 | 109 | 5,307 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 96 | 73 | 57 | 196 | 137 | 301 | - | - | - | 1,395 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 72% | 71% | 35% | 45% | 37% | 70% | - | - | - | 60% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| +16 | -21 | -3 | +6 | -25 | -230 | - | - | - | -211 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 13th | LaSalle | Pending at Start | 508 | 63 | 39 | 141 | 109 | 40 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| | | Filed | 263 | 61 | 19 | 377 | 126 | 143 | 7 | 67 | 1 | 8 | 696 |
| | | Reinstated | 17 | 10 | 4 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | | Transferred | +21 | -20 | +13 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 301 | 51 | 36 | 388 | 130 | 145 | 7 | 67 | 1 | 8 | 704 |
| | | Disposed of | 337 | 72 | 36 | 390 | 141 | 128 | 6 | 56 | 1 | 8 | 686 |
| | | Pending at End | 472 | 42 | 39 | 139 | 98 | 57 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 191 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 51% | 40% | 33% | 14% | 31% | 28% | 0 | 9% | 0 | 0 | 10% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -36 | -21 | 0 | -2 | -11 | +17 | +1 | +11 | 0 | 0 | +18 |
| 13th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 683 | 145 | 69 | 286 | 209 | 80 | 3 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 354 |
| | | Filed | 352 | 161 | 30 | 568 | 222 | 201 | 8 | 108 | 4 | 15 | 1,115 |
| | | Reinstated | 51 | 20 | 9 | 34 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Transferred | +43 | -42 | +18 | -18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 446 | 139 | 57 | 584 | 227 | 206 | 8 | 108 | 4 | 15 | 1,130 |
| | | Disposed of | 438 | 172 | 45 | 577 | 224 | 193 | 7 | 109 | 4 | 14 | 1,132 |
| | | Pending at End | 691 | 112 | 81 | 293 | 212 | 93 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 2 | 352 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 53% | 44% | 52% | 53% | 40% | 40% | 0 | 32% | 100% | 50% | 21% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +8 | -33 | +12 | +7 | +3 | +13 | +1 | -1 | 0 | +1 | -2 |
| 14th | Henry | Pending at Start | 72 | 33 | 14 | 42 | 64 | 24 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| | | Filed | 41 | 54 | 2 | 84 | 72 | 56 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 265 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | | Transferred | +11 | -11 | +3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 52 | 43 | 5 | 97 | 72 | 59 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 268 |
| | | Disposed of | 31 | 33 | 13 | 88 | 80 | 58 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 280 |
| | | Pending at End | 93 | 43 | 6 | 51 | 56 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 56% | 28% | 50% | 27% | 36% | 56% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +21 | +10 | -8 | +9 | -8 | +1 | -3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -12 |
| 14th | Mercer | Pending at Start | 22 | 17 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| | | Filed | 18 | 36 | 3 | 48 | 36 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 91 |
| | | Reinstated | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | -1 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 28 | 35 | 3 | 49 | 36 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 91 |
| | | Disposed of | 13 | 28 | 5 | 51 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 83 |
| | | Pending at End | 37 | 24 | 7 | 26 | 29* | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37* |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 51% | 42% | 57% | 31% | 31% | 50% | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 51% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +15 | +7 | -2 | -2 | +9 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -7 |
| 14th | Rock Island | Pending at Start | 456 | 180 | 72 | 732 | 317 | 97 | 21 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 600 |
| | | Filed | 267 | 153 | 13 | 818 | 258 | 185 | 4 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 1,096 |
| | | Reinstated | 16 | 14 | 5 | 56 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | | Transferred | +19 | -19 | +22 | -22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 302 | 148 | 40 | 852 | 263 | 188 | 4 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 1,108 |
| | | Disposed of | 255 | 143 | 59 | 1,151 | 209 | 104 | 9 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1,159 |
| | | Pending at End | 503 | 185 | 53 | 433 | 371 | 181 | 16 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 549 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 52% | 62% | 64% | 34% | 58% | 43% | 81% | 55% | 0 | 0 | 52% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +47 | +5 | -19 | -299 | +54 | +84 | -5 | +17 | 0 | 0 | -51 |
| 14th | Whiteside | Pending at Start | 122 | 70 | 4 | 87 | 61 | 26 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| | | Filed | 39 | 78 | 0 | 160 | 64 | 61 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 11 | 387 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +21 | -21 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 60 | 78 | 1 | 159 | 64 | 61 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 11 | 387 |
| | | Disposed of | 43 | 67 | 2 | 149 | 70 | 38 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 11 | 336 |
| | | Pending at End | 139 | 81 | 3 | 97 | 55 | 49 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 58% | 67% | 86% | 54% | 52% | 38% | 0 | 30% | 0 | 0 | 43% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +17 | +11 | -1 | +10 | -6 | +23 | 0 | +5 | 0 | 0 | +51 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 151 | 117 | 93 | 282 | 488 | 1,470 | - | - | - | 3,700 | Pending at Start | LaSalle | 13th |
| 334 | 178 | 274 | 1,006 | 2,100 | 469 | 2,480 | 15,865 | 138 | 24,612 | Filed | | |
| 7 | 5 | 17 | 7 | 74 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 204 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -71 | +71 | –1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 341 | 183 | 220 | 1,084 | 2,173 | 478 | 2,492 | 15,869 | 138 | 24,816 | Net Added | | |
| 290 | 205 | 231 | 1,207 | 2,274 | 496 | 2,495 | 16,079 | 171 | 25,309 | Disposed of | | |
| 202 | 95 | 88* | 159 | 387 | 1,452 | - | - | - | 3,459 | Pending at End | | |
| 29% | 28% | 15% | 11% | 4% | 74% | - | - | - | 45% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +51 | -22 | -5 | -123 | -101 | –18 | - | - | - | -241 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 275 | 248 | 190 | 539 | 742 | 2,975 | - | - | - | 6,851 | Pending at Start | Circuit Total | 13th |
| 532 | 324 | 464 | 1,849 | 3,048 | 781 | 2,842 | 26,614 | 332 | 39,570 | Filed | | |
| 21 | 21 | 25 | 11 | 107 | 14 | 12 | 27 | 0 | 377 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -110 | +110 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 553 | 345 | 379 | 1,970 | 3,154 | 795 | 2,854 | 26,641 | 332 | 39,947 | Net Added | | |
| 495 | 387 | 383 | 2,087 | 3,320 | 1,048 | 2,873 | 27,806 | 361 | 41,675 | Disposed of | | |
| 333 | 206 | 192* | 422 | 576 | 2,722 | - | - | - | 6,342 | Pending at End | | |
| 40% | 40% | 22% | 26% | 11% | 69% | - | - | - | 52% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +58 | -42 | +2 | -117 | -166 | -253 | - | - | - | -509 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 38 | 32 | 127 | 206 | 93 | 2,151 | - | - | - | 2,996 | Pending at Start | Henry | 14th |
| 177 | 71 | 164 | 520 | 596 | 238 | 419 | 10,126 | 89 | 12,977 | Filed | | |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -61 | +61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 178 | 71 | 105 | 581 | 597 | 256 | 419 | 10,126 | 89 | 13,021 | Net Added | | |
| 102 | 85 | 134 | 627 | 630 | 551 | 360 | 9,944 | 83 | 13,106 | Disposed of | | |
| 103* | 18 | 100* | 155* | 60 | 1,856 | - | - | - | 2,650 | Pending at End | | |
| 32% | 72% | 26% | 35% | 12% | 90% | - | - | - | 73% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +65 | –14 | –27 | –51 | –33 | –295 | - | - | - | –346 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 28 | 33 | 84 | 112 | 98 | 559 | - | - | - | 1,061 | Pending at Start | Mercer | 14th |
| 39 | 48 | 80 | 174 | 288 | 91 | 35 | 1,058 | 42 | 2,098 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -5 | +5 | –1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 39 | 48 | 75 | 179 | 288 | 91 | 35 | 1,058 | 42 | 2,108 | Net Added | | |
| 47 | 48 | 77 | 180 | 257 | 166 | 31 | 1,080 | 45 | 2,145 | Disposed of | | |
| 20 | 29* | 82 | 111 | 119* | 484 | - | - | - | 1,010 | Pending at End | | |
| 30% | 59% | 61% | 63% | 55% | 84% | - | - | - | 68% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| –8 | –4 | –2 | –1 | +21 | –75 | - | - | - | –51 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 680 | 186 | 357 | 1,443 | 1,060 | 3,424 | 843 | 5,501 | 24 | 16,038 | Pending at Start | Rock Island | 14th |
| 495 | 160 | 631 | 2,840 | 3,115 | 696 | 2,847 | 28.592 | 173 | 42,417 | Filed | | |
| 38 | 2 | 4 | 184 | 123 | 9 | 76 | 537 | 3 | 1,088 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -21 | +21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 533 | 162 | 614 | 3,045 | 3,238 | 705 | 2,923 | 29,129 | 176 | 43,505 | Net Added | | |
| 395 | 136 | 606 | 3,079 | 3,328 | 1,650 | 2,744 | 29,369 | 174 | 44,628 | Disposed of | | |
| 818 | 212 | 365 | 1,409 | 970 | 2,479 | 1,022 | 5,261 | 26 | 14,915 | Pending at End | | |
| 74% | 44% | 26% | 16% | 13% | 77% | - | - | - | 49% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +138 | +26 | +8 | –34 | -90 | –945 | +179 | –240 | +2 | –1,123 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 271 | 42 | 78 | 235 | 342 | 1,276 | - | - | - | 2,771 | Pending at Start | Whiteside | 14th |
| 234 | 125 | 181 | 749 | 838 | 257 | 127 | 7,739 | 118 | 11,191 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -34 | +34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 234 | 125 | 153 | 783 | 838 | 257 | 127 | 7,739 | 118 | 11,218 | Net Added | | |
| 175 | 97 | 169 | 677 | 567 | 215 | 74 | 7,252 | 108 | 10,068 | Disposed of | | |
| 330 | 70 | 62 | 341 | 613 | 1,318 | - | - | - | 3,371 | Pending at End | | |
| 74% | 18% | 35% | 33% | 48% | 84% | - | - | - | 65% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +59 | +28 | –16 | +106 | +271 | +42 | - | - | - | +600 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 14th . . | Circuit Total . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 672 | 300 | 99 | 889 | 462 | 151 | 27 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 872 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 365 | 321 | 18 | 1,110 | 430 | 310 | 5 | 99 | 0 | 14 | 1,839 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 25 | 35 | 5 | 72 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +52 | -52 | +26 | -25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 442 | 304 | 49 | 1,157 | 435 | 316 | 5 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 1,854 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 342 | 271 | 79 | 1,439 | 380 | 208 | 13 | 81 | 0 | 14 | 1,858 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 772 | 333 | 69 | 607 | 511* | 259 | 19 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 853* |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 54% | 57% | 63% | 36% | 53% | 43% | 79% | 48% | 0 | 0 | 49% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +100 | +33 | -30 | -282 | +49 | +108 | -8 | +19 | 0 | 0 | -19 |
| 15th . . | Carroll . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 11 | 33 | 1 | 27 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 2 | 39 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7 | 16 | 0 | 35 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 86 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 9 | 16 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 87 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 13 | 14 | 0 | 38 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 8 | 93 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 7 | 35 | 1 | 24 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 65% | 56% | 100% | 33% | 69% | 33% | 0 | 33% | 100% | 0 | 17% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -4 | +2 | 0 | -3 | -10 | +1 | 0 | -47 | 0 | -2 | -6 |
| 15th . . | Jo Daviess . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 19 | 21 | 0 | 48 | 87 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9 | 16 | 0 | 58 | 34 | 15 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 10 | 15 | 0 | 59 | 35 | 15 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 18 | 25 | 0 | 60 | 71 | 14 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 11 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 51 | 11 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 36% | 36% | 0 | 34% | 59% | 27% | 100% | 24% | 0 | 0 | 21% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -8 | -10 | 0 | -1 | -36 | +1 | -6 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -24 |
| 15th . . | Lee . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 50 | 26 | 9 | 112 | 62 | 51 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 42 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 27 | 34 | 0 | 102 | 39 | 42 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 198 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 10 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +3 | -3 | +4 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 40 | 32 | 7 | 115 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 21 | 212 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 43 | 38 | 10 | 175 | 70 | 76 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 45 | 203 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 47 | 20 | 6 | 52 | 34 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 51 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 49% | 35% | 50% | 19% | 29% | 29% | 0 | 44% | 0 | 67% | 8% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | -3 | -6 | -3 | -60 | -28 | -34 | 0 | +1 | 0 | -24 | +9 |
| 15th . . | Ogle . . . . . . . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 43 | 31 | 6 | 56 | 36 | 22 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 132 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 46 | 47 | 0 | 130 | 47 | 38 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 10 | 228 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +6 | -6 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 54 | 43 | 2 | 129 | 50 | 39 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 229 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 41 | 40 | 4 | 114 | 57 | 46 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 10 | 260 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 56 | 34 | 4 | 71 | 29 | 15 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 101 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 23% | 29% | 75% | 17% | 24% | 13% | 0 | 22% | 100% | 0 | 17% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +13 | +3 | -2 | +15 | -7 | -7 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | -31 |
| 15th . . | Stephenson . . . . . . | Pending at Start . . . . | 35 | 54 | 11 | 127 | 48 | 23 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 146 |
| | | Filed . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29 | 28 | 3 | 132 | 61 | 23 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 246 |
| | | Reinstated . . . . . . . . . | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Transferred . . . . . . . . | +2 | -2 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added . . . . . . . . | 49 | 26 | 8 | 130 | 61 | 23 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 7 | 247 |
| | | Disposed of . . . . . . . . | 29 | 46 | 3 | 164 | 45 | 21 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 243 |
| | | Pending at End . . . . . | 55 | 34 | 16 | 93 | 64 | 25 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 150 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos . . . . . . . | 54% | 59% | 71% | 86% | 42% | 54% | 100% | 53% | 0 | 25% | 21% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) . . . | +20 | -20 | +5 | -34 | +16 | +2 | 0 | +4 | 0 | 0 | +4 |

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

## COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1,017 | 293 | 646 | 1,996 | 1,593 | 7,410 | - | - | - | 16,498 | Pending at Start | Circuit Total | 14th |
| 945 | 404 | 1,056 | 4,283 | 4,837 | 1,282 | 3,428 | 47,515 | 422 | 68,683 | Filed | | |
| 39 | 2 | 12 | 184 | 125 | 27 | 76 | 537 | 3 | 1,169 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -121 | +121 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 984 | 406 | 947 | 4,588 | 4,961 | 1,309 | 3,504 | 48,052 | 425 | 69,852 | Net Added | | |
| 719 | 366 | 986 | 4,563 | 4,782 | 2,582 | 3,209 | 47,645 | 410 | 69,947 | Disposed of | | |
| 1,271* | 329* | 609* | 2,016* | 1,762* | 6,137 | - | - | - | 15,637 | Pending at End | | |
| 70% | 41% | 32% | 23% | 28% | 83% | - | - | - | 58% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +254 | +36 | -37 | +20 | +169 | -1,273 | - | - | - | -861 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 66 | 8 | 30 | 36 | 75 | 312 | 20 | 566 | 32 | 1,361 | Pending at Start | Carroll | 15th |
| 55 | 35 | 45 | 208 | 270 | 80 | 54 | 2,218 | 78 | 3,226 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -13 | +13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 55 | 35 | 33 | 221 | 270 | 80 | 54 | 2,218 | 78 | 3,231 | Net Added | | |
| 66 | 38 | 54 | 172 | 277 | 59 | 52 | 2,250 | 92 | 3,316 | Disposed of | | |
| 55 | 5 | 11* | 85 | 68 | 333 | 22 | 534 | 18 | 1,278* | Pending at End | | |
| 38% | 33% | 38% | 13% | 14% | 78% | - | - | - | 52% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| -11 | -3 | -19 | +49 | -7 | +21 | +2 | -32 | -14 | -83 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 30 | 45 | 66 | 71 | 79 | 252 | - | - | - | 811 | Pending at Start | Jo Daviess | 15th |
| 57 | 21 | 107 | 340 | 238 | 92 | 439 | 3,959 | 135 | 5,634 | Filed | | |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -33 | +33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 59 | 24 | 75 | 373 | 243 | 94 | 439 | 3,959 | 135 | 5,650 | Net Added | | |
| 55 | 22 | 129 | 370 | 260 | 94 | 418 | 3,990 | 152 | 5,824 | Disposed of | | |
| 34 | 47 | 14* | 74 | 62 | 252 | - | - | - | 666 | Pending at End | | |
| 38% | 70% | 21% | 57% | 3% | 77% | - | - | - | 51% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +4 | +2 | -52 | +3 | -17 | 0 | - | - | - | -145 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 115 | 28 | 69 | 306 | 321 | 1,057 | - | - | - | 2,286 | Pending at Start | Lee | 15th |
| 100 | 89 | 243 | 871 | 510 | 220 | 127 | 8,765 | 32 | 11,440 | Filed | | |
| 11 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 47 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -21 | +21 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 111 | 89 | 230 | 895 | 556 | 250 | 127 | 8,765 | 32 | 11,596 | Net Added | | |
| 164 | 91 | 246 | 929 | 702 | 634 | 115 | 8,693 | 30 | 12,293 | Disposed of | | |
| 62 | 26 | 53 | 272 | 175 | 673 | - | - | - | 1,503 | Pending at End | | |
| 35% | 15% | 8% | 60% | 9% | 74% | - | - | - | 48% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| -53 | -2 | -16 | -34 | -146 | -384 | - | - | - | -783 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 104 | 19 | 31 | 116 | 47 | 297 | - | - | - | 959 | Pending at Start | Ogle | 15th |
| 170 | 77 | 80 | 501 | 513 | 182 | 341 | 4,645 | 118 | 7,191 | Filed | | |
| 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 173 | 77 | 85 | 503 | 513 | 186 | 341 | 4,645 | 118 | 7,216 | Net Added | | |
| 126 | 62 | 86 | 451 | 495 | 128 | 332 | 4,068 | 106 | 6,444 | Disposed of | | |
| 151 | 34 | 37* | 168 | 65 | 355 | - | - | - | 1,140 | Pending at End | | |
| 49% | 3% | 11% | 26% | 8% | 60% | - | - | - | 36% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +47 | +15 | +6 | +52 | +18 | +58 | - | - | - | +181 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 180 | 49 | 90 | 287 | 141 | 660 | - | - | - | 1,885 | Pending at Start | Stephenson | 15th |
| 126 | 110 | 148 | 858 | 822 | 222 | 729 | 5,791 | 21 | 9,379 | Filed | | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -10 | +10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred | | |
| 126 | 111 | 139 | 868 | 822 | 380 | 729 | 5,791 | 21 | 9,563 | Net Added | | |
| 87 | 105 | 166 | 838 | 799 | 284 | 710 | 5,626 | 13 | 9,207 | Disposed of | | |
| 219 | 55 | 62* | 317 | 164 | 756 | - | - | - | 2,048 | Pending at End | | |
| 79% | 56% | 6% | 2% | 24% | 80% | - | - | - | 55% | Pending More Than 12 mos. | | |
| +39 | +6 | -28 | +30 | +23 | +96 | - | - | - | +163 | Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 15th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 158 | 165 | 27 | 370 | 257 | 109 | 11 | 147 | 1 | 36 | 416 |
| | | Filed | 118 | 141 | 3 | 457 | 187 | 125 | 3 | 101 | 1 | 39 | 853 |
| | | Reinstated | 30 | 5 | 6 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| | | Transferred | +14 | -14 | +8 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 162 | 132 | 17 | 468 | 195 | 126 | 3 | 109 | 1 | 44 | 871 |
| | | Disposed of | 144 | 163 | 17 | 551 | 260 | 163 | 9 | 151 | 1 | 70 | 919 |
| | | Pending at End | 176 | 134 | 27 | 287 | 192 | 72 | 5 | 105 | 1 | 10 | 368 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 42% | 45% | 68% | 44% | 43% | 34% | 80% | 37% | 100% | 50% | 18% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +18 | -31 | 0 | -83 | -65 | -37 | -6 | -42 | 0 | -26 | -48 |
| 16th | DeKalb | Pending at Start | 137 | 63 | 16 | 212 | 93 | 30 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 234 |
| | | Filed | 70 | 91 | 7 | 228 | 78 | 60 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 16 | 282 |
| | | Reinstated | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +19 | -17 | +7 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 94 | 76 | 15 | 224 | 80 | 60 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 16 | 282 |
| | | Disposed of | 91 | 72 | 10 | 260 | 81 | 61 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 319 |
| | | Pending at End | 140 | 67 | 21 | 176 | 92 | 29 | 8 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 49% | 42% | 52% | 58% | 46% | 34% | 88% | 14% | 0 | 0 | 38% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +3 | +4 | +5 | -36 | -1 | -1 | -2 | +15 | 0 | 0 | -37 |
| 16th | Kane | Pending at Start | 837 | 541 | 89 | 956 | 676 | 145 | 13 | 282 | 5 | 569 | 1,419 |
| | | Filed | 523 | 371 | 63 | 2,195 | 611 | 259 | 8 | 710 | 3 | 1,033 | 2,107 |
| | | Reinstated | 59 | 66 | 27 | 371 | 60 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 273 | 115 |
| | | Transferred | +86 | -86 | +45 | -45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 668 | 351 | 135 | 2,521 | 671 | 265 | 16 | 728 | 8 | 1,306 | 2,222 |
| | | Disposed of | 606 | 450 | 142 | 2,745 | 839 | 257 | 19 | 775 | 6 | 1,288 | 1,882 |
| | | Pending at End | 899 | 442 | 82 | 732 | 508 | 153 | 10 | 235 | 7 | 587 | 1,759 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 46% | 49% | 39% | 32% | 32% | 31% | 30% | 7% | 71% | 90% | 27% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +62 | -99 | -7 | -224 | -168 | +8 | -3 | -47 | +2 | +18 | +340 |
| 16th | Kendall | Pending at Start | 57 | 39 | 6 | 85 | 107 | 35 | 2 | 30 | 12 | 3 | 146 |
| | | Filed | 27 | 39 | 2 | 120 | 61 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 152 |
| | | Reinstated | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | +1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 32 | 41 | 2 | 118 | 61 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 152 |
| | | Disposed of | 38 | 46 | 5 | 127 | 106 | 28 | 2 | 23 | 12 | 2 | 204 |
| | | Pending at End | 51 | 34 | 3 | 76 | 62 | 25 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 94 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 53% | 38% | 33% | 47% | 53% | 88% | 0 | 53% | 0 | 60% | 26% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -6 | -5 | -3 | -9 | -45 | -10 | -2 | -13 | -12 | +2 | -52 |
| 16th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 1,031 | 643 | 111 | 1,253 | 876 | 210 | 25 | 325 | 17 | 572 | 1,799 |
| | | Filed | 620 | 501 | 72 | 2,543 | 750 | 337 | 9 | 759 | 3 | 1,053 | 2,541 |
| | | Reinstated | 68 | 69 | 28 | 376 | 62 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 273 | 115 |
| | | Transferred | +106 | -102 | +52 | -56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 794 | 468 | 152 | 2,863 | 812 | 343 | 17 | 777 | 8 | 1,326 | 2,656 |
| | | Disposed of | 735 | 568 | 157 | 3,132 | 1,026 | 346 | 24 | 822 | 18 | 1,306 | 2,405 |
| | | Pending at End | 1,090 | 543 | 106 | 984 | 662 | 207 | 18 | 280 | 7 | 592 | 2,050 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 47% | 47% | 41% | 38% | 36% | 38% | 56% | 10% | 71% | 90% | 28% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +59 | -100 | -5 | -269 | -214 | -3 | -7 | -45 | -10 | +20 | +251 |
| 17th | Boone | Pending at Start | 31 | 29 | 4 | 50 | 44 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 115 |
| | | Filed | 12 | 28 | 1 | 103 | 49 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 212 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +6 | -6 | -3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 18 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 51 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 212 |
| | | Disposed of | 18 | 22 | 3 | 101 | 56 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 196 |
| | | Pending at End | 31 | 29 | 5 | 49 | 39 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 58% | 41% | 20% | 41% | 38% | 54% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 | -5 | -4 | 0 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +16 |

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

## COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 495 | 149 | 286 | 816 | 663 | 2,578 | - | - | - | 6,684 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | ..15th |
| 508 | 332 | 623 | 2,778 | 2,353 | 796 | 1,690 | 25,378 | 384 | 36,870 | ............. Filed | | |
| 16 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 52 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 386 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -77 | +77 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ..... .. Transferred | | |
| 524 | 336 | 562 | 2,860 | 2,404 | 990 | 1,690 | 25,378 | 384 | 37,256 | ........Net Added | | |
| 498 | 318 | 681 | 2,760 | 2,533 | 1,199 | 1,627 | 24,627 | 393 | 37,084 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 521 | 167 | 177* | 916 | 534 | 2,369 | - | - | - | 6,061 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 58% | 42% | 11% | 29% | 13% | 75% | - | - | - | 49% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| +26 | +18 | -109 | +100 | -129 | -209 | - | - | - | -623 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 66 | 30 | 45 | 450 | 221 | 488 | - | - | - | 2,108 | ... Pending at Start | ......... DeKalb | ..16th |
| 134 | 116 | 108 | 1,439 | 1,006 | 205 | 864 | 13,048 | 77 | 17,869 | ............. Filed | | |
| 5 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 334 | 1 | 389 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -10 | +10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 139 | 116 | 127 | 1,449 | 1,006 | 210 | 864 | 13,382 | 78 | 18,258 | ........Net Added | | |
| 159 | 121 | 149 | 1,498 | 1,031 | 238 | 850 | 13,294 | 87 | 18,364 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 46 | 25 | 34* | 401 | 196 | 460 | - | - | - | 1,920 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 20% | 12% | 12% | 16% | 15% | 70% | - | - | - | 40% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| -20 | -5 | -11 | -49 | -25 | -28 | - | - | - | -188 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,764 | 523 | 643 | 1,960 | 2,401 | 2,359 | 656 | 8,307 | 23 | 24,168 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Kane | ..16th |
| 925 | 493 | 1,088 | 5,433 | 5,875 | 767 | 3,922 | 59,919 | 87 | 86,392 | ............. Filed | | |
| 148 | 163 | 0 | 168 | 877 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2,503 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -240 | +240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,073 | 656 | 848 | 5,841 | 6,752 | 884 | 3,922 | 59,919 | 109 | 88,895 | ........Net Added | | |
| 810 | 657 | 1,104 | 5,809 | 7,412 | 776 | 4,012 | 61,802 | 120 | 91,511 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 2,027 | 522 | 388* | 1,992 | 1,741 | 2,467 | 566 | 6,424 | 12 | 21,553* | .... Pending at End | | |
| 77% | 61% | 30% | 17% | 26% | 78% | - | - | - | 47% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| +263 | -1 | -255 | +32 | -660 | +108 | -90 | -1,883 | -11 | -2,615 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 130 | 117 | 37 | 238 | 192 | 159 | - | - | - | 1,395 | ... Pending at Start | .........Kendall | ..16th |
| 66 | 64 | 114 | 316 | 218 | 94 | 43 | 6,028 | 78 | 7,454 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -38 | +38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 66 | 64 | 84 | 354 | 218 | 94 | 43 | 6,028 | 78 | 7,467 | ........Net Added | | |
| 51 | 131 | 90 | 457 | 281 | 76 | 40 | 6 165 | 76 | 7,960 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 145 | 50 | 32* | 135 | 129 | 177 | - | - | - | 1,035 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 70% | 40% | 14% | 27% | 57% | 62% | - | - | - | 50% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| +15 | -67 | -5 | -103 | -63 | +18 | - | - | - | -360 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,960 | 670 | 725 | 2,648 | 2,814 | 3,006 | - | - | - | 18,685 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | ..16th |
| 1,125 | 673 | 1,310 | 7,188 | 7,099 | 1,066 | 4,829 | 78,995 | 242 | 111,715 | ............. Filed | | |
| 153 | 163 | 37 | 168 | 877 | 122 | 0 | 334 | 23 | 2,905 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -288 | +288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,278 | 836 | 1,059 | 7,644 | 7,976 | 1,188 | 4,829 | 79,329 | 265 | 114,620 | ...... .Net Added | | |
| 1,020 | 909 | 1,343 | 7,764 | 8,724 | 1,090 | 4,902 | 81,261 | 283 | 117,835 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 2,218 | 597 | 454* | 2,528 | 2,066 | 3,104 | - | - | - | 17,506 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 75% | 57% | 28% | 17% | 27% | 76% | - | - | - | 46% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| +258 | -73 | -271 | -120 | -748 | +98 | - | - | - | -1,179 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 71 | 104 | 43 | 157 | 98 | 180 | - | - | - | 945 | ... Pending at Start | ........... Boone | ..17th |
| 109 | 47 | 103 | 473 | 267 | 108 | 328 | 7,220 | 17 | 9,115 | ............. Filed | | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 20 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -16 | +16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 109 | 47 | 89 | 489 | 267 | 108 | 344 | 7,220 | 17 | 9,135 | ........Net Added | | |
| 73 | 48 | 105 | 467 | 257 | 86 | 303 | 6,868 | 20 | 8,665 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 107 | 103 | 28* | 179 | 108 | 202 | - | - | - | 1,026 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 53% | 64% | 0 | 25% | 45% | 58% | - | - | - | 42% | Pending More ......Than 12 mos. | | |
| +36 | -1 | -15 | +22 | +10 | +22 | - | - | - | +81 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 17th | Winnebago | Pending at Start | 603 | 302 | 69 | 1,269 | 364 | 194 | 5 | 125 | 4 | 11 | 1,080 |
| | | Filed | 291 | 230 | 9 | 1,787 | 505 | 177 | 2 | 52 | 3 | 287 | 1,689 |
| | | Reinstated | 12 | 3 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Transferred | +28 | -28 | +14 | -14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 331 | 205 | 37 | 1,788 | 507 | 182 | 3 | 54 | 3 | 287 | 1,694 |
| | | Disposed of | 337 | 190 | 50 | 1,849 | 511 | 182 | 4 | 97 | 3 | 289 | 1,755 |
| | | Pending at End | 597 | 317 | 56 | 1,208 | 360 | 194 | 4 | 82 | 4 | 9 | 1,019 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 56% | 50% | 71% | 45% | 35% | 50% | 75% | 52% | 100% | 0 | 26% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -6 | +15 | -13 | -61 | -4 | 0 | -1 | -43 | 0 | -2 | -61 |
| 17th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 634 | 331 | 73 | 1,319 | 408 | 211 | 5 | 126 | 4 | 12 | 1,195 |
| | | Filed | 303 | 258 | 10 | 1,890 | 554 | 201 | 2 | 53 | 3 | 300 | 1,901 |
| | | Reinstated | 12 | 3 | 14 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Transferred | +34 | -34 | +17 | -17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 349 | 227 | 41 | 1,888 | 558 | 206 | 3 | 55 | 3 | 300 | 1,906 |
| | | Disposed of | 355 | 212 | 53 | 1,950 | 567 | 210 | 4 | 99 | 3 | 301 | 1,951 |
| | | Pending at End | 628 | 346 | 61 | 1,257 | 399 | 207 | 4 | 82 | 4 | 11 | 1,150 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 56% | 49% | 67% | 45% | 35% | 50% | 75% | 52% | 100% | 0 | 25% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -6 | +15 | -12 | -62 | -9 | -4 | -1 | -44 | 0 | -1 | -45 |
| 18th | DuPage | Pending at Start | 1,463 | 633 | 139 | 1,191 | 1,151 | 359 | 56 | 485 | 22 | 19 | 1,903 |
| | | Filed | 497 | 1,161 | 39 | 3,780 | 1,231 | 569 | 11 | 2,990 | 4 | 56 | 3,505 |
| | | Reinstated | 15 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 381 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +620 | -620 | +131 | -131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 1,132 | 541 | 190 | 3,649 | 1,231 | 569 | 13 | 3,371 | 8 | 56 | 3,505 |
| | | Disposed of | 1,268 | 602 | 245 | 3,991 | 1,202 | 578 | 55 | 3,257 | 19 | 58 | 3,454 |
| | | Pending at End | 1,327 | 572 | 84 | 849 | 1,180 | 350 | 14 | 599 | 11 | 17 | 1,954 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 41% | 20% | 14% | 4% | 37% | 31% | 43% | 45% | 73% | 0 | 18% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -136 | -61 | -55 | -342 | +29 | -9 | -42 | +114 | -11 | -2 | +51 |
| 18th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 1,463 | 633 | 139 | 1,191 | 1,151 | 359 | 56 | 485 | 22 | 19 | 1,903 |
| | | Filed | 497 | 1,161 | 39 | 3,780 | 1,231 | 569 | 11 | 2,990 | 4 | 56 | 3,505 |
| | | Reinstated | 15 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 381 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +620 | -620 | +131 | -131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 1,132 | 541 | 190 | 3,649 | 1,231 | 569 | 13 | 3,371 | 8 | 56 | 3,505 |
| | | Disposed of | 1,268 | 602 | 245 | 3,991 | 1,202 | 578 | 55 | 3,257 | 19 | 58 | 3,454 |
| | | Pending at End | 1,327 | 572 | 84 | 849 | 1,180 | 350 | 14 | 599 | 11 | 17 | 1,954 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 41% | 20% | 14% | 4% | 37% | 31% | 43% | 45% | 73% | 0 | 18% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -136 | -61 | -55 | -342 | +29 | -9 | -42 | +114 | -11 | -2 | +51 |
| 19th | Lake | Pending at Start | 1,147 | 475 | 109 | 844 | 752 | 181 | 37 | 352 | 3 | 0 | 1,230 |
| | | Filed | 674 | 682 | 58 | 2,258 | 870 | 444 | 41 | 50 | 5 | 29 | 2,724 |
| | | Reinstated | 117 | 61 | 29 | 138 | 60 | 17 | 8 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 64 |
| | | Transferred | +279 | -273 | +75 | -81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 1,070 | 470 | 162 | 2,315 | 930 | 461 | 49 | 70 | 6 | 29 | 2,788 |
| | | Disposed of | 1,014 | 549 | 149 | 2,475 | 1,004 | 482 | 37 | 172 | 2 | 29 | 2,803 |
| | | Pending at End | 1,140* | 396 | 122 | 672* | 678 | 160 | 46* | 250 | 7 | 0 | 1,215 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 30% | 21% | 36% | 7% | 28% | 26% | 39% | 89% | 43% | 0 | 7% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -7 | -79 | +13 | -172 | -74 | -21 | +9 | -102 | +4 | 0 | -15 |
| 19th | McHenry | Pending at Start | 309 | 193 | 61 | 311 | 366 | 79 | 5 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 614 |
| | | Filed | 157 | 180 | 42 | 551 | 350 | 104 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 846 |
| | | Reinstated | 12 | 15 | 3 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | 0 | +4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 169 | 195 | 45 | 577 | 359 | 104 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 851 |
| | | Disposed of | 169 | 182 | 71 | 680 | 341 | 69 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 808 |
| | | Pending at End | 309 | 206 | 35 | 208 | 384 | 114 | 6 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 657 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 52% | 52% | 51% | 25% | 49% | 48% | 50% | 72% | 50% | 0 | 37% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | 0 | +13 | -26 | -103 | +18 | +35 | +1 | -2 | +3 | 0 | +43 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total | | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 941 | 143 | 784 | 2,786 | 5,181 | 2,970 | - | - | - | 16,831 | ... Pending at Start | ...... Winnebago | ..17th |
| 1,024 | 464 | 1,216 | 7,153 | 7,082 | 805 | 2,016 | 48,322 | 194 | 73,308 | ............. Filed | | |
| 18 | 9 | 136 | 123 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 817 | 0 | 1,172 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -382 | +382 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,042 | 473 | 970 | 7,658 | 7,082 | 815 | 2,016 | 49,139 | 194 | 74,480 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,095 | 387 | 970 | 7,391 | 6,568 | 479 | 2,073 | 49,142 | 192 | 73,564 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 888 | 229 | 805* | 3,053 | 5,695 | 3,306 | - | - | - | 17,826 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 50% | 28% | 45% | 36% | 68% | 78% | - | - | - | 56% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -53 | +86 | +21 | +267 | +514 | +336 | - | - | - | +995 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 1,012 | 247 | 827 | 2,943 | 5,279 | 3,150 | - | - | - | 17,776 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | ..17th |
| 1,133 | 511 | 1,319 | 7,626 | 7,349 | 913 | 2,344 | 55,542 | 211 | 82,423 | ............. Filed | | |
| 18 | 9 | 138 | 123 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 817 | 0 | 1,192 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -398 | +398 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,151 | 520 | 1,059 | 8,147 | 7,349 | 923 | 2,360 | 56,359 | 211 | 83,615 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,168 | 435 | 1,075 | 7,858 | 6,825 | 565 | 2,376 | 56,010 | 212 | 82,229 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 995 | 332 | 833* | 3,232 | 5,803 | 3,508 | - | - | - | 18,852 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 50% | 39% | 43% | 35% | 68% | 77% | - | - | - | 55% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -17 | +85 | +6 | +289 | +524 | +358 | - | - | - | +1,076 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 886 | 362 | 1,259 | 2,585 | 2,792 | 4,561 | - | - | - | 19,866 | ... Pending at Start | ..........DuPage | ..18th |
| 1,372 | 573 | 2,010 | 6,231 | 7,005 | 1,034 | 13,826 | 126,324 | 42 | 172,260 | ............. Filed | | |
| 142 | 4 | 50 | 395 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,021 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -136 | +136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,514 | 577 | 1,924 | 6,762 | 7,005 | 1,042 | 13,826 | 126,324 | 42 | 173,281 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,724 | 718 | 1,872 | 6,329 | 7,929 | 1,362 | 13,709 | 128,193 | 50 | 176,615 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 676 | 221 | 1,311 | 3,018 | 1,868 | 4,241 | - | - | - | 18,292 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 31% | 26% | 34% | 24% | 1% | 78% | - | - | - | 36% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -210 | -141 | +52 | +433 | -924 | -320 | - | - | - | -1,574 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 886 | 362 | 1,259 | 2,585 | 2,792 | 4,561 | - | - | - | 19,866 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | ..18th |
| 1,372 | 573 | 2,010 | 6.231 | 7,005 | 1,034 | 13,826 | 126,324 | 42 | 172,260 | ............. Filed | | |
| 142 | 4 | 50 | 395 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,021 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -136 | +136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,514 | 577 | 1,924 | 6,762 | 7,005 | 1,042 | 13,826 | 126,324 | 42 | 173,281 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,724 | 718 | 1,872 | 6,329 | 7,929 | 1,362 | 13,709 | 128,193 | 50 | 176,615 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 676 | 221 | 1,311 | 3,018 | 1,868 | 4,241 | - | - | - | 18,292 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 31% | 26% | 34% | 24% | 1% | 78% | - | - | - | 36% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -210 | -141 | +52 | +433 | -924 | -320 | - | - | - | -1,574 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 498 | 290 | 627 | 642 | 1,865 | 2,840 | - | - | - | 11,892 | ... Pending at Start | ............ Lake | ..19th |
| 1,709 | 360 | 1,900 | 2,354 | 5,982 | 1,178 | 12,356 | 101,747 | 638 | 136,059 | ............. Filed | | |
| 100 | 1 | 488 | 651 | 55 | 0 | 72 | 502 | 12 | 2,396 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -315 | +315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 1,809 | 361 | 2,073 | 3,320 | 6,037 | 1,178 | 12,428 | 102,249 | 650 | 138,455 | ........Net Added | | |
| 1,598 | 584 | 2,253 | 3,546 | 6,413 | 921 | 13,218 | 109,946 | 654 | 147,849 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 692* | 67 | 447 | 416 | 1,218* | 3,097 | - | - | - | 10,623 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 8% | 0 | 17% | 9% | 5% | 73% | - | - | - | 34% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| +194 | -223 | -180 | -226 | -647 | +257 | - | - | - | -1,269 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |
| 124 | 60 | 288 | 898 | 877 | 592 | - | - | - | 4,807 | ... Pending at Start | ........ McHenry | ..19th |
| 332 | 202 | 1,033 | 1,888 | 2,173 | 371 | 2,115 | 32,139 | 170 | 42,672 | ............. Filed | | |
| 4 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | ........ Reinstated | | |
| 0 | 0 | -48 | +48 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred | | |
| 336 | 229 | 986 | 1,936 | 2,215 | 371 | 2,115 | 32.139 | 170 | 42,817 | ........Net Added | | |
| 341 | 210 | 1,103 | 2,462 | 2,207 | 384 | 1,809 | 34,998 | 178 | 46,030 | ....... Disposed of | | |
| 119 | 79 | 179* | 372 | 885 | 579 | - | - | - | 4,163 | .... Pending at End | | |
| 34% | 13% | 2% | 2% | 24% | 58% | - | - | - | 35% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. | | |
| -5 | +19 | -109 | -526 | +8 | -13 | - | - | - | -644 | .. Inventory (+ or -) | | |

# TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | Law $15,000 or less | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 19th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 1,456 | 668 | 170 | 1,155 | 1,118 | 260 | 42 | 379 | 6 | 0 | 1,844 |
| | | Filed | 831 | 862 | 100 | 2,809 | 1,220 | 548 | 46 | 58 | 10 | 30 | 3,570 |
| | | Reinstated | 129 | 76 | 32 | 160 | 69 | 17 | 8 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 69 |
| | | Transferred | +279 | -273 | +75 | -77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 1,239 | 665 | 207 | 2,892 | 1,289 | 565 | 54 | 79 | 11 | 30 | 3,639 |
| | | Disposed of | 1,183 | 731 | 220 | 3,155 | 1,345 | 551 | 41 | 183 | 4 | 30 | 3,611 |
| | | Pending at End | 1,449* | 662 | 157 | 880* | 1,062 | 274 | 52* | 275 | 13 | 0 | 1,872 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 35% | 32% | 39% | 11% | 36% | 35% | 40% | 87% | 46% | 0 | 18% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -7 | -66 | -13 | -275 | -56 | +14 | +10 | -104 | +7 | 0 | +28 |
| 20th | Monroe | Pending at Start | 27 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| | | Filed | 28 | 17 | 2 | 30 | 19 | 27 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 65 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | -1 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 29 | 17 | 4 | 29 | 19 | 27 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 65 |
| | | Disposed of | 21 | 5 | 6 | 23 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 53 |
| | | Pending at End | 35 | 25 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 33 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 32% | 60% | 0 | 39% | 37% | 50% | 33% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +8 | +12 | -2 | +6 | +6 | 0 | +2 | -2 | +6 | 0 | +12 |
| 20th | Perry | Pending at Start | 29 | 25 | 1 | 52 | 47 | 9 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| | | Filed | 20 | 27 | 0 | 51 | 21 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 20 | 28 | 1 | 50 | 21 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| | | Disposed of | 16 | 23 | 2 | 35 | 20 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| | | Pending at End | 33 | 30 | 0 | 67 | 48 | 19 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 48% | 57% | 0 | 60% | 83% | 37% | 100% | 62% | 0 | 0 | 36% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +4 | +5 | -1 | +15 | +1 | +10 | -1 | +4 | 0 | 0 | +1 |
| 20th | Randolph | Pending at Start | 45 | 32 | 5 | 35 | 38 | 65 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 75 |
| | | Filed | 43 | 18 | 5 | 63 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 223 | 161 |
| | | Reinstated | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +3 | -3 | +2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 47 | 15 | 7 | 62 | 21 | 40 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 223 | 161 |
| | | Disposed of | 35 | 25 | 5 | 57 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 223 | 148 |
| | | Pending at End | 57 | 22 | 7 | 40 | 47 | 74 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 17 | 88 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 33% | 41% | 43% | 45% | 68% | 73% | 100% | 85% | 0 | 12% | 44% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +12 | -10 | +2 | +5 | +9 | +9 | 0 | 0 | +2 | 0 | +13 |
| 20th | St. Clair | Pending at Start | 1,866 | 553 | 291 | 1,374 | 746 | 303 | 57 | 1,349 | 0 | 0 | 1,114 |
| | | Filed | 595 | 585 | 44 | 2,295 | 358 | 239 | 3 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 1,806 |
| | | Reinstated | 13 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | | Transferred | +210 | -210 | +59 | -59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 818 | 378 | 105 | 2,245 | 363 | 240 | 3 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 1,824 |
| | | Disposed of | 631 | 383 | 255 | 2,470 | 601 | 301 | 22 | 875 | 0 | 0 | 1,881 |
| | | Pending at End | 2,053 | 548 | 141 | 1,149 | 508 | 242 | 38 | 760 | 0 | 0 | 1,057 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 64% | 52% | 80% | 41% | 55% | 52% | 97% | 77% | 0 | 0 | 42% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +187 | -5 | -150 | -225 | -238 | -61 | -19 | -589 | 0 | 0 | -57 |
| 20th | Washington | Pending at Start | 23 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | | Filed | 7 | 27 | 0 | 26 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 40 |
| | | Reinstated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Transferred | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 8 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 40 |
| | | Disposed of | 17 | 21 | 0 | 27 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 43 |
| | | Pending at End | 14 | 17 | 1 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 71% | 18% | 100% | 25% | 27% | 33% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | -9 | +5 | 0 | -1 | -7 | +1 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -3 |

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

| Family | Juvenile | Felony | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations | Total |  | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 622 | 350 | 915 | 1,540 | 2,742 | 3,432 | - | - | - | 16,699 | ... Pending at Start | ..... Circuit Total | . . 19th |
| 2,041 | 562 | 2,933 | 4,242 | 8,155 | 1,549 | 14,471 | 133,886 | 808 | 178,731 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 104 | 28 | 489 | 651 | 101 | 0 | 72 | 502 | 12 | 2,541 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | –363 | +363 | –4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 2,145 | 590 | 3,059 | 5,256 | 8,252 | 1,549 | 14,543 | 134,388 | 820 | 181,272 | ........ Net Added |  |  |
| 1,939 | 794 | 3,356 | 6,008 | 8,620 | 1,305 | 15,027 | 144,944 | 832 | 193,879 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 811* | 146 | 626* | 788 | 2,103* | 3,676 | - | - | - | 14,786 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 12% | 7% | 13% | 6% | 13% | 71% | - | - | - | 34% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +189 | -204 | -289 | -752 | -639 | +244 | - | - | - | -1,913 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 10 | 8 | 30 | 26 | 39 | 197 | - | - | - | 418 | ... Pending at Start | ......... Monroe | . . 20th |
| 21 | 29 | 73 | 144 | 199 | 94 | 41 | 1,595 | 101 | 2,502 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -17 | +17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 21 | 29 | 56 | 161 | 199 | 94 | 41 | 1,595 | 101 | 2,504 | ........ Net Added |  |  |
| 14 | 24 | 53 | 154 | 160 | 88 | 35 | 1,615 | 100 | 2,402 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 17 | 13 | 34* | 33 | 78 | 203 | - | - | - | 534 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 53% | 46% | 9% | 9% | 38% | 60% | - | - | - | 43% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +7 | +5 | +4 | +7 | +39 | +6 | - | - | - | +116 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 81 | 16 | 26 | 37 | 90 | 345 | - | - | - | 830 | ... Pending at Start | ............ Perry | . . 20th |
| 64 | 13 | 96 | 147 | 137 | 88 | 87 | 2,677 | 39 | 3,620 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -14 | +14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 64 | 13 | 82 | 161 | 137 | 89 | 87 | 2,677 | 39 | 3,622 | ........ Net Added |  |  |
| 31 | 9 | 80 | 157 | 125 | 83 | 85 | 2,635 | 52 | 3,492 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 114 | 20 | 29* | 41 | 102 | 351 | - | - | - | 930 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 66% | 65% | 28% | 5% | 59% | 83% | - | - | - | 64% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +33 | +4 | +3 | +4 | +12 | +6 | - | - | - | +100 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 128 | 15 | 17 | 57 | 174 | 627 | - | - | - | 1,347 | ... Pending at Start | ........ Randolph | . . 20th |
| 98 | 15 | 87 | 333 | 355 | 138 | 38 | 2,996 | 58 | 4,701 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -7 | +7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 98 | 15 | 80 | 340 | 356 | 150 | 38 | 2,996 | 58 | 4,717 | ........ Net Added |  |  |
| 32 | 14 | 80 | 346 | 414 | 141 | 21 | 2,914 | 60 | 4,566 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 194 | 16 | 17 | 51 | 116 | 636 | - | - | - | 1,401 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 60% | 50% | 6% | 41% | 13% | 84% | - | - | - | 63% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +66 | +1 | 0 | -6 | –58 | +9 | - | - | - | +54 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 851 | 1,571 | 335 | 5,844 | 1,848 | 2,730 | - | - | - | 20,882 | ... Pending at Start | .......... St. Clair | . . 20th |
| 1,397 | 566 | 918 | 5,199 | 5,110 | 808 | 3,944 | 39,126 | 192 | 63,471 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 382 | 280 | 22 | 0 | 491 | 827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,053 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -125 | +125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 1,779 | 846 | 815 | 5,324 | 5,601 | 1,635 | 3,944 | 39,126 | 192 | 65,524 | ........ Net Added |  |  |
| 1,130 | 1,168 | 826 | 6,799 | 5,585 | 1,882 | 3,821 | 39,383 | 183 | 68,196 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 1,500 | 1,249 | 324 | 4,369 | 1,864 | 2,533 | - | - | - | 18,335 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 38% | 82% | 10% | 58% | 15% | 73% | - | - | - | 54% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +649 | –322 | -11 | –1,475 | +16 | -247 | - | - | - | -2,547 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 20 | 6 | 9 | 21 | 41 | 254 | - | - | - | 453 | ... Pending at Start | ...... Washington | . . 20th |
| 21 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 154 | 105 | 3 | 2,438 | 17 | 2,975 | ............. Filed |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -9 | +9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... Transferred |  |  |
| 21 | 10 | 21 | 69 | 154 | 105 | 3 | 2,438 | 17 | 2,975 | ........ Net Added |  |  |
| 19 | 12 | 19 | 67 | 144 | 110 | 5 | 2,224 | 20 | 2,776 | ....... Disposed of |  |  |
| 22 | 4 | 14* | 23 | 51 | 249 | - | - | - | 446 | .... Pending at End |  |  |
| 77% | 50% | 14% | 52% | 59% | 68% | - | - | - | 58% | Pending More ...... Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +2 | –2 | +5 | +2 | +10 | -5 | - | - | - | -7 | .. Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |

| Circuit | County | | Law Over $15,000 | | $1,000** to $15,000 | | Chancery | Miscellaneous Remedy | Eminent Domain | Tax | Municipal Corporations | Mental Health | Dissolution of Marriage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury | Jury | Non-Jury | | | | | | | |
| 20th | Circuit Total | Pending at Start | 1,990 | 635 | 300 | 1,486 | 866 | 393 | 64 | 1,390 | 0 | 17 | 1,286 |
| | | Filed | 693 | 674 | 51 | 2,465 | 439 | 336 | 5 | 315 | 8 | 228 | 2,194 |
| | | Reinstated | 14 | 5 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | | Transferred | +215 | -215 | +64 | -64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 922 | 464 | 117 | 2,412 | 445 | 337 | 5 | 315 | 8 | 228 | 2,212 |
| | | Disposed of | 720 | 457 | 268 | 2,612 | 674 | 378 | 23 | 907 | 0 | 228 | 2,246 |
| | | Pending at End | 2,192 | 642 | 149 | 1,286 | 637 | 352 | 46 | 798 | 8 | 17 | 1,252 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 62% | 51% | 78% | 42% | 57% | 55% | 93% | 76% | 0 | 12% | 42% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +202 | +7 | -151 | -200 | -229 | -41 | -18 | -592 | +8 | 0 | -34 |
| | Downstate Total | Pending at Start | 16,296 | 7,843 | 2,330 | 18,469 | 12,586 | 4,504 | 566 | 5,586 | 174 | 1,010 | 19,441 |
| | | Filed | 8,011 | 7,701 | 679 | 28,242 | 10,213 | 6,117 | 249 | 6,774 | 118 | 4,284 | 36,916 |
| | | Reinstated | 548 | 419 | 196 | 1,158 | 239 | 96 | 40 | 463 | 14 | 287 | 402 |
| | | Transferred | +2,184 | -2,157 | +718 | -730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 10,743 | 5,963 | 1,593 | 28,670 | 10,452 | 6,213 | 289 | 7,237 | 132 | 4,571 | 37,318 |
| | | Disposed of | 10,334 | 6,525 | 2,106 | 32,060 | 11,612 | 6,051 | 389 | 8,243 | 120 | 4,607 | 37,494 |
| | | Pending at End | 16,619* | 7,308* | 1,793* | 14,672* | 11,395* | 4,635* | 463* | 4,572* | 186 | 974 | 19,179* |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 51% | 46% | 58% | 41% | 45% | 48% | 64% | 65% | 61% | 77% | 31% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +323 | -535 | -537 | -3,797 | -1,191 | +131 | -103 | -1,014 | +12 | -36 | -262 |
| | Cook | Pending at Start | 55,872 | 15,224 | 15,475 | 74,650 | 30,722 | 4,583 | 391 | 122,793 | 270 | 80 | 12,710 |
| | | Filed | 5,111 | 20,135 | 4,426 | 108,747 | 18,844 | 4,458 | 107 | 22,752 | 18 | 5,530 | 25,760 |
| | | Reinstated | 2,934 | 1,432 | 451 | 788 | 1,214 | 263 | 18 | 3,068 | 0 | 0 | 3,816 |
| | | Transferred | +12,972 | -12,972 | +2,701 | -2,310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 21,017 | 8,595 | 7,578 | 107,225 | 20,058 | 4,721 | 125 | 25,820 | 18 | 5,530 | 29,576 |
| | | Disposed of | 20,594 | 7,365 | 10,482 | 131,531 | 20,050 | 4,450 | 158 | 41,995 | 9 | 5,498 | 29,694 |
| | | Pending at End | 56,295 | 16,454 | 12,590* | 50,318* | 32,109* | 5,594* | 358 | 105,962* | 277* | 111* | 12,592 |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 75% | 52% | 66% | 30% | 61% | 51% | 76% | 85% | 94% | 0 | 33% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +423 | +1,230 | -2,885 | -24,332 | +1,387 | +1,011 | -33 | -16,831 | +7 | +31 | -118 |
| | State Total | Pending at Start | 72,168 | 23,067 | 17,805 | 93,119 | 43,308 | 9,087 | 957 | 128,379 | 444 | 1,090 | 32,151 |
| | | Filed | 13,122 | 27,836 | 5,105 | 136,989 | 29,057 | 10,575 | 356 | 29,526 | 136 | 9,814 | 62,676 |
| | | Reinstated | 3,482 | 1,851 | 647 | 1,946 | 1,453 | 359 | 58 | 3,531 | 14 | 287 | 4,218 |
| | | Transferred | +15,156 | -15,129 | +3,419 | -3,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Net Added | 31,760 | 14,558 | 9,171 | 135,895 | 30,510 | 10,934 | 414 | 33,057 | 150 | 10,101 | 66,894 |
| | | Disposed of | 30,928 | 13,890 | 12,588 | 163,591 | 31,662 | 10,501 | 547 | 50,238 | 129 | 10,105 | 67,188 |
| | | Pending at End | 72,914* | 23,762* | 14,383* | 64,990* | 43,504* | 10,229* | 821* | 110,534* | 463* | 1,085* | 31,771* |
| | | Pending More Than 12 mos | 70% | 50% | 65% | 32% | 43% | 50% | 69% | 84% | 81% | 69% | 32% |
| | | Inventory (+ or -) | +746 | +695 | -3,422 | -28,129 | +196 | +1,142 | -136 | -17,845 | +19 | -5 | -380 |

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or – intervening transactions.

**These types of cases are included under the misdemeanor category for the Circuit Court of Cook County. The figures listed are for Downstate Illinois only.

***The felony category for Downstate includes felony complaints, preliminary hearings, indictments and informations. The felony category for Cook County does not include preliminary hearings of which 23,575 were pending at the start of 1983, 37,904 were filed, 42,284 were disposed of with 25,494 findings of probable cause and 28,943 were pending at the end of 1983. These figures are included in the total column.

#The misdemeanor category for Cook County includes ordinance violations, conservation violations, and all misdemeanors.

##Includes "hang-on" tickets (parking violations) for District One and other appropriate municipalities.

### Does not include 1,864 Cook County felony cases which have been transferred (disposed of and then reinstated) from one Circuit Court of Cook County felony jurisdiction to another for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. These figures are included in the total column.

# COURTS DURING 1983

| Family | Juvenile | Felony*** | Misdemeanors | Small Claims | Probate | Ordinance Violations ** | Traffic Violations | Conservation Violations** | Total*** |  | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1,090 | 1,616 | 417 | 5,985 | 2,192 | 4,203 | - | - | - | 23,930 | Pending at Start | Circuit Total | 20th |
| 1,601 | 633 | 1,204 | 5,883 | 5,955 | 1,233 | 4,113 | 48,832 | 407 | 77,269 | Filed |  |  |
| 382 | 280 | 22 | 0 | 492 | 840 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,073 | Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -172 | +172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred |  |  |
| 1,983 | 913 | 1,054 | 6,055 | 6,447 | 2,073 | 4,113 | 48,832 | 407 | 79,342 | Net Added |  |  |
| 1,226 | 1,227 | 1,058 | 7,523 | 6,428 | 2,304 | 3,967 | 48,771 | 415 | 81,432 | Disposed of |  |  |
| 1,847 | 1,302 | 418* | 4,517 | 2,211 | 3,972 | - | - | - | 21,646 | Pending at End |  |  |
| 43% | 81% | 11% | 57% | 19% | 75% | - | - | - | 55% | Pending More Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +757 | -314 | +1 | -1,468 | +19 | -231 | - | - | - | -2,284 | Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 17,454 | 8,948 | 11,272 | 36,287 | 47,729 | 76,467 | - | - | - | 286,962 | Pending at Start | Downstate Total |  |
| 18,190 | 9,392 | 22,496 | 74,607 | 111,719 | 21,813 | 76,151 | 1,028,508 | 7,951 | 1,480,131 | Filed |  |  |
| 1,001 | 616 | 1,442 | 2,183 | 3,437 | 2,671 | 251 | 4,471 | 45 | 19,979 | Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -3,590 | +3,590 | -15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred |  |  |
| 19,191 | 10,008 | 20,348 | 80,380 | 115,141 | 24,484 | 76,402 | 1,032,979 | 7,996 | 1,500,110 | Net Added |  |  |
| 17,682 | 11,411 | 22,148 | 84,428 | 116,651 | 25,704 | 77,321 | 1,041,955 | 7,849 | 1,524,690 | Disposed of |  |  |
| 18,865* | 7,409* | 9,964* | 32,233* | 45,772* | 75,247 | - | - | - | 271,277 | Pending at End |  |  |
| 58% | 52% | 25% | 36% | 40% | 75% | - | - | - | 52% | Pending More Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| +1,402 | -1,539 | -1,308 | -4,054 | -1,957 | -1,220 | - | - | - | -15,685 | Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 29,551 | 10,703 | 7,468 | 102,830 | 10,977 | 19,716 | - | - | - | 537,590 | Pending at Start | Cook |  |
| 26,340 | 17,697 | 19,449 | 401,163 | 78,158 | 10,925 | # | 5,622,561## | # | 6,430,085 | Filed |  |  |
| 16,680 | 99 | 2,724### | 0 | 702 | 0 | # | 0 | # | 36,053 | Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -489 | +489 | -391 | 0 | # | 0 | # | 0 | Transferred |  |  |
| 43,020 | 17,796 | 21,684 | 401,652 | 78,469 | 10,925 | # | 5,622,561## | # | 6,466,138 | Net Added |  |  |
| 46,877 | 21,087 | 20,564### | 399,522 | 75,063 | 10,023 | # | 3,475,194## | # | 4,364,304 | Disposed of |  |  |
| 26,068* | 7,810* | 8,676* | 68,858* | 14,158* | 20,618 | - | - | - | 467,791 | Pending at End |  |  |
| 63% | 14% | 13% | 30% | 10% | 60% | - | - | - | 54% | Pending More Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| -3,483 | -2,893 | +1,208 | -33,972 | +3,181 | +902 | - | - | - | -69,799 | Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |
| 47,005 | 19,651 | 18,740 | 139,117 | 58,706 | 96,183 | - | - | - | 824,552 | Pending at Start | State Total |  |
| 44,530 | 27,089 | 41,945 | 475,770 | 189,877 | 32,738 | 76,151 | 6,651,069## | 7,951 | 7,910,216 | Filed |  |  |
| 17,681 | 715 | 4,166### | 2,183 | 4,139 | 2,671 | 251 | 4,471 | 45 | 56,032 | Reinstated |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | -4,079 | +4,079 | -406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Transferred |  |  |
| 62,211 | 27,804 | 42,032 | 482,032 | 193,610 | 35,409 | 76,402 | 6,655,540## | 7,996 | 7,966,248 | Net Added |  |  |
| 64,559 | 32,498 | 42,712### | 483,950 | 191,714 | 35,727 | 77,321 | 4,517,149## | 7,849 | 5,888,994 | Disposed of |  |  |
| 44,924* | 15,219* | 18,640* | 101,091* | 59,930* | 95,865 | - | - | - | 739,068 | Pending at End |  |  |
| 61% | 32% | 19% | 32% | 33% | 72% | - | - | - | 53% | Pending More Than 12 mos. |  |  |
| -2,081 | -4,432 | -100 | -38,026 | +1,224 | -318 | - | - | - | -85,484 | Inventory (+ or -) |  |  |

## SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1983*

| Circuit | Total Law Jury Cases Disposed Of | | | Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated By Verdict | | | Average Time Elapsed in Months For Cases Terminated By Verdict |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less* | Total | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less* | Total | |
| 1st | 326 | 38 | 364 | 31 | 3 | 34 | 25.6 |
| 2nd | 196 | 49 | 245 | 14 | 2 | 16 | 20.9 |
| 3rd | 916 | 263 | 1,179 | 77 | 19 | 96 | 36.9 |
| 4th | 243 | 61 | 304 | 23 | 2 | 25 | 34.5 |
| 5th | 277 | 24 | 301 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 27.4 |
| 6th | 525 | 62 | 587 | 48 | 6 | 54 | 24.8 |
| 7th | 292 | 49 | 341 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 21.6 |
| 8th | 166 | 20 | 186 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 21.7 |
| 9th | 159 | 39 | 198 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 23.6 |
| 10th | 757 | 147 | 904 | 44 | 2 | 46 | 27.5 |
| 11th | 303 | 56 | 359 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 29.7 |
| 12th | 989 | 214 | 1,203 | 85 | 8 | 93 | 20.9 |
| 13th | 438 | 45 | 483 | 25 | 1 | 26 | 25.8 |
| 14th | 342 | 79 | 421 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 26.8 |
| 15th | 144 | 17 | 161 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 23.5 |
| 16th | 735 | 157 | 892 | 34 | 5 | 39 | 25.9 |
| 17th | 355 | 53 | 408 | 31 | 4 | 35 | 26.6 |
| 18th | 1,268 | 245 | 1,513 | 57 | 16 | 73 | 22.0 |
| 19th | 1,183 | 220 | 1,403 | 84 | 16 | 100 | 20.7 |
| 20th | 720 | 268 | 988 | 77 | 21 | 98 | 37.9 |
| Downstate Total | 10,334 | 2,106 | 12,440 | 764 | 113 | 877 | 27.1 |
| Cook County | 20,594 | 10,482 | 31,076 | 698 | 495 | 1,193 | 34.0 |
| State Total | 30,928 | 12,588 | 43,516 | 1,462 | 608 | 2,070 | 31.1 |

*In some circuits and counties small claims cases with a jury demand are transferred to the law jury ($15,000 or less) call and disposed of in like manner.

## SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW CASES TERMINATED BY VERDICT

| | Cases Terminated By Verdict | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of Verdicts Reached During the Period | Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Verdict | | |
| | | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| Downstate Total | 877 | 127.9 | 1.8 | 27.1 |
| Cook County | 1,193 | 98.0 | 0.9 | 34.0 |
| State Total | 2,070 | 127.9 | 0.9 | 31.1 |

# STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1983

| | | Law Jury Cases Disposed Of | | | Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict | | | Time Lapse For All Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average Time Lapse (Months) | | |
| Circuit | County | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total | Under 1 Year | 1 Year to 1½ Years | 1½ Years to 2 Years | 2 Years to 2½ Years | 2½ Years to 3 Years | 3 Years to 3½ Years | 3½ Years to 4 Years | Over 4 Years | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total |
| 1st.... | Alexander ....... | 11 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Jackson .......... | 59 | 12 | 71 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21.1 | 9.7 | 19.7 |
| | Johnson ......... | 11 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.7 | — | 20.7 |
| | Massac .......... | 20 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 59.9 | — | 59.9 |
| | Pope ............ | 2 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Pulaski .......... | 7 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| | Saline ........... | 57 | 5 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47.3 | — | 47.3 |
| | Union ........... | 23 | 5 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16.1 | 66.2 | 32.8 |
| | Williamson ....... | 136 | 4 | 140 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24.0 | — | 24.0 |
| 1st.... | Circuit Total ...... | 326 | 38 | 364 | 31 | 3 | 34 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 25.4 | 28.0 | 25.6 |
| 2nd... | Crawford ........ | 13 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Edwards ......... | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Franklin ......... | 61 | 9 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.4 | — | 15.4 |
| | Gallatin .......... | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.7 | — | 15.7 |
| | Hamilton ........ | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Hardin .......... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Jefferson ......... | 48 | 16 | 64 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.0 | — | 22.0 |
| | Lawrence ........ | 7 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.6 | 14.1 | 21.1 |
| | Richland ......... | 19 | 3 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.3 | — | 23.3 |
| | Wabash .......... | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Wayne .......... | 13 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.7 | 18.0 | 25.9 |
| | White ........... | 16 | 6 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.2 | — | 24.2 |
| 2nd... | Circuit Total ...... | 196 | 49 | 245 | 14 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21.6 | 16.1 | 20.9 |
| 3rd ... | Bond ............ | 11 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.2 | — | 28.2 |
| | Madison ......... | 905 | 259 | 1,164 | 74 | 19 | 93 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 37.2 | 37.4 | 37.2 |
| 3rd ... | Circuit Total ...... | 916 | 263 | 1,179 | 77 | 19 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 36.8 | 37.4 | 36.9 |
| 4th ... | Christian ......... | 17 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.0 | — | 20.0 |
| | Clay ............. | 11 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Clinton .......... | 36 | 16 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26.2 | — | 26.2 |
| | Effingham ........ | 28 | 5 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26.3 | — | 26.3 |
| | Fayette .......... | 9 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | — | 43.0 | 43.0 |
| | Jasper ........... | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Marion .......... | 96 | 10 | 106 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 51.3 | — | 51.3 |
| | Montgomery ..... | 28 | 14 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32.2 | — | 32.2 |
| | Shelby ........... | 15 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 46.8 | — | 46.8 |
| 4th ... | Circuit Total ...... | 243 | 61 | 304 | 23 | 2 | 25 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 33.7 | 43.0 | 34.5 |
| 5th ... | Clark ............ | 19 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | — | 31.6 |
| | Coles ............ | 79 | 8 | 87 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 30.7 | — | 30.7 |
| | Cumberland ..... | 8 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Edgar ............ | 35 | 5 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26.4 | — | 26.4 |
| | Vermilion ........ | 136 | 8 | 144 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24.0 | — | 24.0 |
| 5th ... | Circuit Total ...... | 277 | 24 | 301 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 27.4 | — | 27.4 |
| 6th ... | Champaign ...... | 258 | 17 | 275 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25.5 | 17.3 | 24.6 |
| | DeWitt .......... | 21 | 2 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.7 | — | 12.7 |
| | Douglas ......... | 22 | 4 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.3 | — | 18.3 |
| | Macon .......... | 204 | 31 | 235 | 15 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 30.8 | 15.9 | 29.0 |
| | Moultrie ......... | 11 | 6 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21.5 | 29.3 | 23.4 |
| | Piatt ............. | 9 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.9 | — | 20.9 |
| 6th ... | Circuit Total ...... | 525 | 62 | 587 | 48 | 6 | 54 | 6 | 14 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 25.6 | 18.3 | 24.8 |

# STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1983

| | | Law Jury Cases Disposed Of | | | Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict | | | Time Lapse For All Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average Time Lapse (Months) | | |
| Circuit | County | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total | Under 1 Year | 1 Year to 1½ Years | 1½ Years to 2 Years | 2 Years to 2½ Years | 2½ Years to 3 Years | 3 Years to 3½ Years | 3½ Years to 4 Years | Over 4 Years | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total |
| 7th ... | Greene .......... | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Jersey .......... | 14 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.8 | — | 17.8 |
| | Macoupin ....... | 38 | 13 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.0 | — | 23.0 |
| | Morgan ......... | 39 | 3 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19.8 | — | 19.8 |
| | Sangamon ....... | 191 | 30 | 221 | 18 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23.7 | 11.1 | 21.9 |
| | Scott ........... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 7th ... | Circuit Total...... | 292 | 49 | 341 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23.0 | 11.1 | 21.6 |
| 8th ... | Adams........... | 98 | 14 | 112 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.0 | 8.8 | 21.9 |
| | Brown........... | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Calhoun ......... | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Cass............. | 9 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.5 | — | 26.5 |
| | Mason........... | 27 | 3 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.6 | — | 16.6 |
| | Menard ......... | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.9 | — | 18.9 |
| | Pike............. | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Schuyler ......... | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 8th ... | Circuit Total...... | 166 | 20 | 186 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.5 | 8.8 | 21.7 |
| 9th ... | Fulton........... | 34 | 3 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | — | 27.2 |
| | Hancock......... | 15 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Henderson....... | 8 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Knox............ | 69 | 25 | 94 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.7 | 16.8 | 23.4 |
| | McDonough ..... | 19 | 3 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.7 | — | 20.7 |
| | Warren.......... | 14 | 4 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.3 | — | 18.3 |
| 9th ... | Circuit Total...... | 159 | 39 | 198 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.1 | 16.8 | 23.6 |
| 10th .. | Marshall ......... | 13 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | 10.1 | 10.1 |
| | Peoria........... | 532 | 113 | 645 | 27 | 1 | 28 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 26.7 | 17.6 | 26.4 |
| | Putnam.......... | 7 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Stark ........... | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Tazewell ......... | 202 | 28 | 230 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 30.4 | — | 30.4 |
| 10th .. | Circuit Total...... | 757 | 147 | 904 | 44 | 2 | 46 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 28.1 | 13.9 | 27.5 |
| 11th .. | Ford............. | 15 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.8 | — | 13.8 |
| | Livingston........ | 44 | 9 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19.8 | — | 19.8 |
| | Logan ........... | 27 | 7 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19.4 | — | 19.4 |
| | McLean ......... | 197 | 37 | 234 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 36.1 | — | 36.1 |
| | Woodford ....... | 20 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.6 | — | 13.6 |
| 11th .. | Circuit Total...... | 303 | 56 | 359 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 29.7 | — | 29.7 |
| 12th .. | Iroquois ......... | 20 | 11 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 55.7 | — | 55.7 |
| | Kankakee........ | 104 | 33 | 137 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 27.2 | 31.9 |
| | Will ............. | 865 | 170 | 1,035 | 81 | 6 | 87 | 31 | 11 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 20.2 | 16.2 | 19.9 |
| 12th .. | Circuit Total...... | 989 | 214 | 1,203 | 85 | 8 | 93 | 31 | 11 | 26 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 21.1 | 19.0 | 20.9 |
| 13th .. | Bureau .......... | 57 | 4 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.6 | — | 23.6 |
| | Grundy.......... | 44 | 5 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.7 | — | 26.7 |
| | LaSalle........... | 337 | 36 | 373 | 21 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 26.8 | 8.0 | 25.9 |
| 13th .. | Circuit Total...... | 438 | 45 | 483 | 25 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 26.5 | 8.0 | 25.8 |
| 14th .. | Henry ........... | 31 | 13 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Mercer .......... | 13 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Rock Island ...... | 255 | 59 | 314 | 18 | 3 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 24.7 | 30.2 | 25.5 |
| | Whiteside........ | 43 | 2 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 32.5 | — | 32.5 |
| 14th .. | Circuit Total...... | 342 | 79 | 421 | 23 | 3 | 26 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 26.4 | 30.2 | 26.8 |

# STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1983

| | | Law Jury Cases Disposed Of | | | Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict | | | Time Lapse For All Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Average Time Lapse (Months) | | |
| Circuit | County | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total | Under 1 Year | 1 Year to 1½ Years | 1½ Years to 2 Years | 2 Years to 2½ Years | 2½ Years to 3 Years | 3 Years to 3½ Years | 3½ Years to 4 Years | Over 4 Years | Law Over $15,000 | Law $15,000 or Less | Total |
| 15th .. | Carroll .......... | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Jo Daviess ........ | 18 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.1 | — | 24.1 |
| | Lee ............ | 43 | 10 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 | — | 29.2 |
| | Ogle ............ | 41 | 4 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.3 | — | 18.3 |
| | Stephenson ...... | 29 | 3 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.2 | — | 22.2 |
| 15th .. | Circuit Total...... | 144 | 17 | 161 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23.5 | — | 23.5 |
| 16th .. | DeKalb .......... | 91 | 10 | 101 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28.6 | 14.7 | 24.0 |
| | Kane ............ | 606 | 142 | 748 | 29 | 3 | 32 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 27.8 | 13.9 | 26.5 |
| | Kendall .......... | 38 | 5 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.4 | — | 17.4 |
| 16th .. | Circuit Total...... | 735 | 157 | 892 | 34 | 5 | 39 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 27.6 | 14.2 | 25.9 |
| 17th .. | Boone ........... | 18 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 34.6 | — | 34.6 |
| | Winnebago ...... | 337 | 50 | 387 | 28 | 4 | 32 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 27.8 | 12.4 | 25.9 |
| 17th .. | Circuit Total...... | 355 | 53 | 408 | 31 | 4 | 35 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 28.5 | 12.4 | 26.6 |
| 18th .. | DuPage.......... | 1,268 | 245 | 1,513 | 57 | 16 | 73 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 23.6 | 16.2 | 22.0 |
| 18th .. | Circuit Total...... | 1,268 | 245 | 1,513 | 57 | 16 | 73 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 23.6 | 16.2 | 22.0 |
| 19th .. | Lake............. | 1,014 | 149 | 1,163 | 73 | 9 | 82 | 16 | 25 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 19.1 | 18.6 | 19.0 |
| | McHenry ........ | 169 | 71 | 240 | 11 | 7 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 38.3 | 13.1 | 28.5 |
| 19th .. | Circuit Total...... | 1,183 | 220 | 1,403 | 84 | 16 | 100 | 18 | 29 | 26 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 21.6 | 16.2 | 20.7 |
| 20th .. | Monroe ......... | 21 | 6 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.9 | — | 29.9 |
| | Perry ............ | 16 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.0 | — | 29.0 |
| | Randolph ........ | 35 | 5 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.1 | — | 30.1 |
| | St. Clair .......... | 631 | 255 | 886 | 73 | 21 | 94 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 26 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 39.9 | 32.6 | 38.3 |
| | Washington ...... | 17 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.9 | — | 23.9 |
| 20th .. | Circuit Total...... | 720 | 268 | 988 | 77 | 21 | 98 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 27 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 39.3 | 32.6 | 37.9 |
| | Downstate Total.. | 10,334 | 2,106 | 12,440 | 764 | 113 | 877 | 124 | 146 | 177 | 129 | 99 | 77 | 39 | 86 | 27.6 | 23.7 | 27.1 |
| | Cook County..... | 20,594 | 10.482 | 31,076 | 698 | 495 | 1,193 | 51 | 49 | 66 | 463 | 187 | 103 | 91 | 183 | 35.2 | 32.4 | 34.0 |
| | State Total ....... | 30,928 | 12,588 | 43,516 | 1,462 | 608 | 2,070 | 175 | 195 | 243 | 592 | 286 | 180 | 130 | 269 | 31.2 | 30.8 | 31.1 |

# DISPOSITIONS IN 1983 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

| | | | NOT CONVICTED | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Reduced or Dismissed | | | | | Tried But Not Convicted | | | |
| | | | | | | Dismissed by State | | | | | | |
| Circuit | County | Total Number of Defendants Disposed of | Total Not Convicted | Discharged At Preliminary Hearing | Dismissed on Motion of Defendant | Motion | Transfer to Warrant Calendar, etc.* | Reduced to Misdemeanor | Acquitted by Court | Acquitted by Jury | Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor | Total Convicted |
| 1st ..... | Alexander ....... | 148 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 70 |
| | Jackson ......... | 380 | 202 | 4 | 0 | 154 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 177 |
| | Johnson ........ | 99 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| | Massac ......... | 82 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 |
| | Pope .......... | 46 | 36 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Pulaski.......... | 167 | 113 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 15 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 52 |
| | Saline .......... | 215 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 27 | 52 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 105 |
| | Union .......... | 75 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | Williamson ...... | 617 | 486 | 1 | 0 | 194 | 247 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 130 |
| 1st ..... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,829 | 1,185 | 8 | 6 | 527 | 391 | 238 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 639 |
| 2nd .... | Crawford ....... | 59 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | Edwards ........ | 37 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Franklin......... | 138 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| | Gallatin ......... | 67 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | Hamilton ....... | 32 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | Hardin.......... | 27 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| | Jefferson ........ | 391 | 199 | 1 | 0 | 101 | 30 | 62 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 191 |
| | Lawrence ....... | 50 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | Richland ........ | 103 | 79 | 10 | 4 | 38 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Wabash......... | 101 | 55 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 15 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| | Wayne.......... | 86 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | White .......... | 105 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 2nd .... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,196 | 650 | 20 | 10 | 330 | 98 | 183 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 543 |
| 3rd..... | Bond ........... | 74 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 50 |
| | Madison ........ | 1,111 | 415 | 4 | 24 | 129 | 131 | 120 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 687** |
| 3rd..... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,185 | 439 | 4 | 25 | 141 | 137 | 123 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 737** |
| 4th..... | Christian ........ | 95 | 65 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 |
| | Clay ............ | 95 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| | Clinton ......... | 82 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 55** |
| | Effingham ....... | 115 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| | Fayette ......... | 99 | 69 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | Jasper .......... | 44 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| | Marion ......... | 220 | 77 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 142 |
| | Montgomery .... | 90 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 55 |
| | Shelby .......... | 59 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 |
| 4th..... | Circuit Total ..... | 899 | 434 | 10 | 8 | 188 | 47 | 169 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 464** |
| 5th..... | Clark ........... | 54 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| | Coles........... | 258 | 126 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 68 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 130 |
| | Cumberland .... | 25 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Edgar........... | 107 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| | Vermilion ....... | 425 | 214 | 5 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 96 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 210 |
| 5th..... | Circuit Total ..... | 869 | 424 | 8 | 2 | 143 | 83 | 171 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 442 |
| 6th..... | Champaign ..... | 823 | 414 | 4 | 0 | 212 | 47 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 128 | 403 |
| | DeWitt ......... | 69 | 44 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Douglas......... | 93 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 7 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | Macon ......... | 735 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 50 | 154 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 357 |
| | Moultrie ........ | 52 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| | Piatt ............ | 58 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17** |
| 6th..... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,830 | 947 | 8 | 3 | 413 | 121 | 229 | 8 | 37 | 128 | 867** |
| 7th..... | Greene ......... | 75 | 51 | 11 | 1 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| | Jersey .......... | 85 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 42 |
| | Macoupin....... | 190 | 130 | 2 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 59 |
| | Morgan......... | 106 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50** |
| | Sangamon ...... | 930 | 652 | 9 | 6 | 322 | 119 | 188 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 276 |
| | Scott ........... | 22 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 7th..... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,408 | 946 | 22 | 16 | 451 | 130 | 307 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 459** |
| 8th..... | Adams.......... | 279 | 170 | 5 | 6 | 69 | 10 | 76 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 108 |
| | Brown .......... | 29 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Calhoun ........ | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Cass............ | 76 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | Mason.......... | 110 | 62 | 0 | 3 | 37 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| | Menard......... | 42 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | Pike ............ | 74 | 37 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 37 |
| | Schuyler ........ | 19 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| 8th..... | Circuit Total ..... | 638 | 366 | 6 | 13 | 159 | 47 | 134 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 271 |

*Includes defendants whose cases were dismissed with leave to reinstate as a result of a bond forfeiture or failure to appear. In addition, please note, not all circuits follow these procedures.

**Indicates at least 1 of 95 defendants who were convicted of a felony and found to be mentally ill.

***Includes defendants declared to be sexually dangerous and committed to the Illinois Department of Corrections.

# DISPOSITIONS IN 1983 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

| CONVICTED | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea of Guilty | | | | | | Convicted By Court | | | | | | Convicted By Jury | | | | | | | | |
| Class | | | | | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | | | | | |
| M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Found Unfit to Stand Trial *** | County | Circuit |
| 0 | 4 | 2 | 24 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Alexander | 1st |
| 1 | 8 | 17 | 39 | 63 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Jackson | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Johnson | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Massac | |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Pope | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | Pulaski | |
| 0 | 1 | 5 | 26 | 48 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | Saline | |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Union | |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | 31 | 54 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | Williamson | |
| 1 | 17 | 40 | 146 | 265 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 5 | Circuit Total | 1st |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Crawford | 2nd |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edwards | |
| 0 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | Franklin | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 20 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gallatin | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hamilton | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hardin | |
| 0 | 5 | 10 | 86 | 45 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Jefferson | |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lawrence | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Richland | |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 18 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wabash | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2*** | Wayne | |
| 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 29 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | White | |
| 0 | 11 | 35 | 174 | 190 | 105 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3*** | Circuit Total | 2nd |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Bond | 3rd |
| 3** | 35 | 30** | 251 | 252 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | Madison | |
| 3** | 36 | 32** | 272 | 273 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | Circuit Total | 3rd |
| 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Christian | 4th |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Clay | |
| 0 | 0 | 6** | 16 | 18 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Clinton | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 21 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Effingham | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Fayette | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jasper | |
| 0 | 1 | 11 | 44 | 56 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | Marion | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 24 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | Montgomery | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Shelby | |
| 0 | 2 | 30** | 123 | 179 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | Circuit Total | 4th |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Clark | 5th |
| 1 | 7 | 12 | 32 | 31 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | Coles | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Cumberland | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Edgar | |
| 0 | 3 | 16 | 50 | 79 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 1 | Vermilion | |
| 1 | 12 | 31 | 104 | 161 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 3 | Circuit Total | 5th |
| 1 | 15 | 28 | 111 | 117 | 95 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 6 | Champaign | 6th |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | DeWitt | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Douglas | |
| 0 | 8 | 18 | 70 | 105 | 119 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 9 | Macon | |
| 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Moultrie | |
| 0 | 1** | 0 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Piatt | |
| 1 | 24** | 59 | 209 | 256 | 242 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 16 | Circuit Total | 6th |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Greene | 7th |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Jersey | |
| 0 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Macoupin | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 17** | 15** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Morgan | |
| 2 | 20 | 16 | 81 | 95 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Sangamon | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Scott | |
| 2 | 26 | 26 | 124 | 164** | 86** | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | Circuit Total | 7th |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | 29 | 35 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | Adams | 8th |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Brown | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Calhoun | |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Cass | |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Mason | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | Menard | |
| 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | Pike | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Schuyler | |
| 0 | 6 | 17 | 71 | 96 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 1 | Circuit Total | 8th |

# DISPOSITIONS IN 1983 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

| | | | NOT CONVICTED | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Reduced or Dismissed | | | | | Tried But Not Convicted | | | |
| | | | | | | Dismissed by State | | | | | | |
| Circuit | County | Total Number of Defendants Disposed of | Total Not Convicted | Discharged At Preliminary Hearing | Dismissed on Motion of Defendant | Motion | Transfer to Warrant Calendar, etc.* | Reduced to Misdemeanor | Acquitted by Court | Acquitted by Jury | Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor | Total Convicted |
| 9th..... | Fulton .......... | 186 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 7 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 123 |
| | Hancock ........ | 83 | 46 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37** |
| | Henderson ...... | 22 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | Knox ........... | 177 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 127 |
| | McDonough .... | 141 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 87 |
| | Warren ......... | 63 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 9th..... | Circuit Total ..... | 672 | 245 | 5 | 5 | 81 | 46 | 97 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 424** |
| 10th.... | Marshall ........ | 48 | 33 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| | Peoria .......... | 1,272 | 598 | 3 | 32 | 401 | 0 | 132 | 5 | 18 | 7 | 670** |
| | Putnam ......... | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Stark ........... | 22 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Tazewell ........ | 272 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 164 |
| 10th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,620 | 747 | 8 | 35 | 460 | 11 | 196 | 6 | 23 | 8 | 867** |
| 11th.... | Ford ............ | 51 | 42 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| | Livingston ....... | 212 | 105 | 1 | 13 | 24 | 15 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 107 |
| | Logan .......... | 89 | 43 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| | McLean......... | 518 | 250 | 0 | 5 | 64 | 70 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 74 | 264** |
| | Woodford ...... | 79 | 45 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 11th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 949 | 485 | 11 | 22 | 141 | 114 | 94 | 10 | 19 | 74 | 458** |
| 12th.... | Iroquois ........ | 95 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 64 |
| | Kankakee ....... | 473 | 253 | 1 | 1 | 101 | 25 | 107 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 216** |
| | Will ............ | 1,122 | 609 | 29 | 23 | 532 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 510** |
| 12th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,690 | 893 | 32 | 26 | 644 | 46 | 114 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 790** |
| 13th.... | Bureau ......... | 66 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 47 |
| | Grundy ......... | 125 | 76 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 7 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49** |
| | LaSalle .......... | 302 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 11 | 71 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 141 |
| 13th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 493 | 253 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 23 | 110 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 237** |
| 14th.... | Henry .......... | 195 | 125 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| | Mercer ......... | 82 | 54 | 7 | 7 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | Rock Island ..... | 627 | 289 | 3 | 12 | 242 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 335 |
| | Whiteside ....... | 203 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 132 |
| 14th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,107 | 539 | 14 | 19 | 369 | 0 | 121 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 565 |
| 15th.... | Carroll.......... | 67 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 28 |
| | Jo Daviess ....... | 162 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| | Lee............. | 267 | 105 | 1 | 3 | 58 | 15 | 21 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 161** |
| | Ogle ........... | 96 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 67 |
| | Stephenson ..... | 176 | 37 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 138 |
| 15th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 768 | 281 | 5 | 6 | 124 | 48 | 77 | 4 | 15 | 2 | 483** |
| 16th.... | DeKalb ......... | 159 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| | Kane ........... | 1,344 | 739 | 25 | 28 | 313 | 114 | 240 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 601 |
| | Kendall ......... | 128 | 101 | 10 | 0 | 45 | 8 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 16th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,631 | 872 | 37 | 29 | 361 | 135 | 288 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 755 |
| 17th.... | Boone .......... | 121 | 62 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | Winnebago ..... | 1,352 | 902 | 15 | 13 | 401 | 70 | 382 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 446 |
| 17th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,473 | 964 | 15 | 14 | 438 | 78 | 398 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 505 |
| 18th.... | DuPage ......... | 2,008 | 1,154 | 107 | 27 | 611 | 202 | 136 | 51 | 20 | 0 | 847** |
| 18th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 2,008 | 1,154 | 107 | 27 | 611 | 202 | 136 | 51 | 20 | 0 | 847** |
| 19th.... | Lake............ | 2,635 | 1,807 | 61 | 11 | 1,089 | 193 | 412 | 5 | 23 | 13 | 815** |
| | McHenry ....... | 1,151 | 929 | 353 | 0 | 484 | 38 | 48 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 220 |
| 19th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 3,786 | 2,736 | 414 | 11 | 1,573 | 231 | 460 | 7 | 26 | 14 | 1,035** |
| 20th.... | Monroe ........ | 70 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32 |
| | Perry ........... | 94 | 36 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 58** |
| | Randolph ....... | 107 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 85 |
| | St. Clair ......... | 1,110 | 482 | 73 | 0 | 211 | 54 | 125 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 618** |
| | Washington ..... | 28 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 20th.... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,409 | 591 | 76 | 2 | 249 | 69 | 172 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 807** |
| | Downstate Total.. | 27,460 | 15,151 | 810 | 281 | 7,513 | 2,057 | 3,817 | 156 | 274 | 243 | 12,195** |
| | Cook County .... | 42,155**** | 23,494 | 4,361 | 219 | 12,302 | 3,889 | 628 | 1,987[a] | 108[a] | 0 | 18,266** |
| | State Total ...... | 69,615**** | 38,645 | 5,171 | 500 | 19,815 | 5,946 | 4,445 | 2,143 | 382 | 243 | 30,461** |

*Includes defendants whose cases were dismissed with leave to reinstate as a result of bond forfeiture or failure to appear. In addition, please note, not all circuits follow these procedures.

**Indicates at least 1 of 95 defendants who were convicted of a felony and found to be mentally ill.

***Includes defendants declared to be sexually dangerous and committed to the Illinois Department of Corrections.

****Does not include 25,494 defendants whose preliminary hearings were disposed of as results of findings of probable cause.

[a] Includes 98 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding or a verdict of not guilty by reason of insanity.

# DISPOSITIONS IN 1983 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

| CONVICTED | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Found Unfit to Stand Trial *** | County | Circuit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea of Guilty | | | | | | Convicted By Court | | | | | | Convicted By Jury | | | | | | | | |
| Class | | | | | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | | | | | |
| M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| 0 | 2 | 15 | 33 | 41 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Fulton | 9th |
| 0 | 1** | 2 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Hancock | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Henderson | |
| 2 | 3 | 13 | 41 | 48 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | Knox | |
| 0 | 4 | 10 | 23 | 35 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | McDonough | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Warren | |
| 2 | 10** | 41 | 129 | 157 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | Circuit Total | 9th |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1*** | Marshall | 10th |
| 1** | 24** | 76** | 183** | 188** | 153 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 9** | 2 | 4*** | Peoria | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Putnam | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Stark | |
| 1 | 1 | 19 | 48 | 56 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Tazewell | |
| 2** | 25** | 96** | 243** | 254** | 198 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 10** | 3 | 6*** | Circuit Total | 10th |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ford | 11th |
| 0 | 2 | 9 | 21 | 42 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Livingston | |
| 0 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | Logan | |
| 3 | 7 | 23 | 61** | 79 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 4 | McLean | |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | Woodford | |
| 3 | 13 | 35 | 116** | 152 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 17 | 5 | 6 | Circuit Total | 11th |
| 0 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Iroquois | 12th |
| 0 | 7 | 10** | 57 | 76** | 55** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Kankakee | |
| 2 | 17** | 52** | 166** | 145 | 71 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 3 | Will | |
| 2 | 27** | 68** | 243** | 239** | 143** | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 7 | Circuit Total | 12th |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Bureau | 13th |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 14** | 23 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Grundy | |
| 0 | 7 | 6 | 39 | 42 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | LaSalle | |
| 0 | 8 | 9 | 69** | 82 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | Circuit Total | 13th |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 20 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Henry | 14th |
| 0 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Mercer | |
| 1 | 11 | 30 | 89 | 115 | 57 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Rock Island | |
| 0 | 1 | 11 | 40 | 42 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Whiteside | |
| 2 | 18 | 52 | 157 | 193 | 101 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Circuit Total | 14th |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Carroll | 15th |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 84 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | Jo Daviess | |
| 0 | 1 | 5 | 56** | 48 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Lee | |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 27 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ogle | |
| 0 | 10 | 11 | 37 | 42 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | Stephenson | |
| 1 | 12 | 21 | 223** | 117 | 70 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 4 | Circuit Total | 15th |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 42 | 44 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | DeKalb | 16th |
| 0 | 22 | 66 | 129 | 183 | 157 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | Kane | |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Kendall | |
| 0 | 23 | 74 | 176 | 236 | 187 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 4 | Circuit Total | 16th |
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | Boone | 17th |
| 7 | 18 | 37 | 134 | 145 | 54 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 4 | Winnebago | |
| 7 | 20 | 38 | 147 | 160 | 70 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 4 | Circuit Total | 17th |
| 1 | 29 | 53** | 139** | 321 | 161 | 3 | 5 | 12** | 25 | 25** | 11 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 7 | DuPage | 18th |
| 1 | 29 | 53** | 139** | 321 | 161 | 3 | 5 | 12** | 25 | 25** | 11 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 7 | Circuit Total | 18th |
| 2** | 19 | 65** | 241 | 230** | 178 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 16** | 4 | 8 | 17 | 8 | 13 | Lake | 19th |
| 0 | 4 | 14 | 69 | 76 | 53 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | McHenry | |
| 2** | 23 | 79** | 310 | 306** | 231 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 17** | 4 | 8 | 18 | 9 | 15 | Circuit Total | 19th |
| 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Monroe | 20th |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 24 | 19** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Perry | |
| 4 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 33 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Randolph | |
| 6** | 36 | 49** | 171 | 234 | 66** | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 9 | 7** | 8 | 1 | 10 | St. Clair | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Washington | |
| 11** | 38 | 64 | 210 | 301 | 108** | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 9** | 9 | 1 | 11 | Circuit Total | 20th |
| 41** | 380** | 900** | 3,385** | 4,102** | 2,391** | 11 | 38 | 43** | 81 | 113** | 56 | 81 | 145** | 77 | 128** | 149 | 74 | 114*** | Downstate Total | |
| 83 | 899** | 1,346** | 4,078** | 6,998** | 1,924** | 112 | 443** | 390** | 541** | 764** | 215** | 133 | 134 | 61 | 55 | 75 | 15 | 395*** | Cook County | |
| 124** | 1,279** | 2,246** | 7,463** | 11,100** | 4,315** | 123 | 481** | 433** | 622** | 877** | 271** | 214 | 279** | 138 | 183** | 224 | 89 | 509*** | State Total | |

## SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1983

| | | SENTENCES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Death | Natural Life | | State Imprisonment | | | | | | State Imprisonment & Fine | | | | | | Periodic Imprisonment (Illinois Department of Corrections) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Illinois Department of Corrections) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment (Local Correctional Institution) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Local Correctional Institution) | | | |
| | | Class | Class | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | |
| Circuit | County | M | M | X | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1st ....... | Alexander .... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jackson ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | johnson...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Massac....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pope ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Pulaski ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saline........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Union ....... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Williamson ... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st ....... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 27 | 46 | 77 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2nd ...... | Crawford .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Edwards...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Franklin...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Gallatin ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hamilton..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hardin....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Lawrence .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Richland ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Wabash ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Wayne....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | White........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2nd ...... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 30 | 55 | 56 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 3rd....... | Bond ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Madison ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10* | 35 | 21 | 140 | 70 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 3rd....... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10* | 36 | 22 | 147 | 71 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 4th....... | Christian ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Clay ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Clinton ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6* | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Effingham .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fayette....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jasper........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Marion ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 22 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montgomery... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Shelby ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 4th....... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21* | 39 | 57 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 5th....... | Clark ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Coles ........ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cumberland... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Edgar ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Vermilion .... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5th....... | Circuit Total... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 24 | 49 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

*During 1983, 95 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be mentally ill. The * indicates that at least one of those 95 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

# SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1983

| | | SENTENCES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Death | Natural Life | | State Imprisonment | | | | | | State Imprisonment & Fine | | | | | | Periodic Imprisonment (Illinois Department of Corrections) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Illinois Department of Corrections) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment (Local Correctional Institution) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Local Correctional Institution) | | | |
| | | Class | Class | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | |
| Circuit | County | M | M | X | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6th ....... | Champaign ... | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 22 | 50 | 48 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | DeWitt ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Douglas ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Macon ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 49 | 55 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moultrie ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Piatt ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1* | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6th ....... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 39* | 51 | 106 | 112 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7th ....... | Greene ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jersey ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Macoupin .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 5* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sangamon .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 24 | 15 | 28 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Scott ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7th ....... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 32 | 24 | 46 | 59 | 30* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 8th ....... | Adams ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Brown ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Calhoun ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cass ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mason ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Menard ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Pike ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Schuyler | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8th | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 36 | 35 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 9th ....... | Fulton ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Hancock ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2* | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Henderson ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Knox ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | McDonough... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Warren ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9th ....... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12* | 28 | 47 | 52 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 10th ...... | Marshall ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Peoria ....... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4* | 39* | 54* | 69 | 63* | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Putnam ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Stark ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Tazewell ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 18 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10th ...... | Circuit Total... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5* | 40* | 65* | 89 | 95* | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11th ...... | Ford ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Livingston .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | McLean ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 29* | 40 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Woodford .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11th ...... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 27 | 53* | 66 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*During 1983, 95 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be mentally ill. The * indicates that at least one of those 95 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

# SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1983

| | | SENTENCES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Death | Natural Life | | State Imprisonment | | | | | | State Imprisonment & Fine | | | | | | Periodic Imprisonment (Illinois Department of Corrections) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Illinois Department of Corrections) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment (Local Correctional Institution) | | | | Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Local Correctional Institution) | | | |
| | | Class | Class | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | |
| Circuit | County | M | M | X | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 12th...... | Iroquois ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kankakee ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 5* | 17 | 19* | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Will .......... | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 33* | 36* | 51 | 28 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12th...... | Circuit Total... | 1 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 45* | 45* | 74 | 53* | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13th...... | Bureau....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Grundy ...... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5* | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | LaSalle ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 22 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13th...... | Circuit Total... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 29* | 31 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 14th...... | Henry ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mercer ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rock Island... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 33 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Whiteside .... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14th...... | Circuit Total... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 38 | 40 | 50 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15th...... | Carroll ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jo Daviess .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 |
| | Lee .......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 22* | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Ogle ........ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Stephenson .. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 15th...... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 19 | 90* | 40 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 4 | 1 |
| 16th...... | DeKalb ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kane ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 47 | 58 | 63 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Kendall ...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16th...... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 37 | 47 | 71 | 72 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 17th...... | Boone ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Winnebago... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 25 | 52 | 48 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17th...... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 32 | 25 | 55 | 48 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18th...... | DuPage ...... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 46* | 58* | 121 | 33 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 18th...... | Circuit Total... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 46* | 58* | 121 | 33 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 19th...... | Lake ......... | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7* | 35* | 45* | 70 | 82* | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | McHenry .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 19th...... | Circuit Total... | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7* | 41* | 56* | 85 | 102* | 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 20th...... | Monroe...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Perry ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Randolph .... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | St. Clair ...... | 1 | 3 | 0 | 9* | 55 | 35* | 82* | 73 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Washington .. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20th...... | Circuit Total... | 1 | 3 | 0 | 17* | 63 | 46* | 94* | 86 | 29* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Downstate Total | 7 | 19 | 2 | 106* | 554* | 658* | 1309* | 1316* | 665* | 1 | 7 | 8 | 21 | 34 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 27 | 14 | 3 | 46 | 28 | 12 |
| | Cook County... | 10 | 29 | 8 | 289 | 1438* | 1093* | 1894* | 2529* | 595* | 0 | 30 | 17 | 8 | 37 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 35 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | State Total.... | 17 | 48 | 10 | 395* | 1992* | 1751* | 3203* | 3845* | 1260* | 1 | 37 | 25 | 29 | 71 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 34 | 62 | 28 | 3 | 46 | 34 | 12 |

*During 1983, 95 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be mentally ill. The * indicates that at least one of those 95 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

# SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1983 - continued

| | | SENTENCES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With Periodic Imprisonment | | | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With Other Discretionary Conditions | | | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With No Discretionary Conditions | | | | Found Unfit To Be Sentenced Or Executed | | | | | | Total Sentences |
| | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | | | |
| Circuit | County | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 1st ....... | Alexander ....... | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| | Jackson.......... | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 27 | 50 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 |
| | Johnson ......... | 0 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| | Massac .......... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| | Pope ............ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Pulaski .......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| | Saline ........... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| | Union ........... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | Williamson ...... | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 35 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| 1st ....... | Circuit Total ..... | 3 | 14 | 18 | 10 | 12 | 87 | 178 | 85 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 639 |
| 2nd ...... | Crawford ........ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | Edwards ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Franklin ......... | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| | Gallatin ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | Hamilton ........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | Hardin .......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Jefferson ........ | 0 | 35 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 29 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 |
| | Lawrence ........ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | Richland ........ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Wabash ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| | Wayne .......... | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | White ........... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 2nd ...... | Circuit Total ..... | 1 | 41 | 31 | 13 | 6 | 77 | 103 | 56 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 |
| 3rd....... | Bond............ | 1 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | Madison......... | 5 | 34 | 51 | 14 | 7* | 78 | 126 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 687* |
| 3rd....... | Circuit Total ..... | 6 | 45 | 59 | 14 | 7* | 82 | 137 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 737* |
| 4th....... | Christian ........ | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | Clay ............ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| | Clinton.......... | 0 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55* |
| | Effingham ....... | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| | Fayette .......... | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | Jasper ........... | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| | Marion.......... | 1 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| | Montgomery..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | Shelby .......... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 4th....... | Circuit Total ..... | 4 | 21 | 35 | 14 | 5 | 48 | 75 | 57 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 464* |
| 5th....... | Clark............ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| | Coles ........... | 1 | 14 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| | Cumberland ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Edgar ........... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| | Vermilion........ | 2 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 29 | 58 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 |
| 5th....... | Circuit Total ..... | 3 | 27 | 21 | 24 | 6 | 37 | 107 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 |

*During 1983, 95 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be mentally ill. The * indicates that at least one of those 95 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

# SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1983 - continued

| | | SENTENCES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With Periodic Imprisonment | | | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With Other Discretionary Conditions | | | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With No Discretionary Conditions | | | | Found Unfit To Be Sentenced Or Executed | | | | | | Total Sentences |
| | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | | | |
| Circuit | County | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 6th ....... | Champaign ....... | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 61 | 65 | 51 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| | DeWitt .......... | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Douglas .......... | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | Macon .......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 51 | 66 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357 |
| | Moultrie ........ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| | Piatt ............. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17* |
| 6th ....... | Circuit Total ..... | 6 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 95 | 131 | 124 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 867* |
| 7th ....... | Greene .... ..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Jersey .......... | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| | Macoupin ....... | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | Morgan ......... | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8* | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50* |
| | Sangamon ....... | 2 | 35 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 18 | 27 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 |
| | Scott ............ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 7th ....... | Circuit Total ..... | 2 | 39 | 28 | 12 | 5 | 41 | 67* | 38 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 459* |
| 8th ....... | Adams .......... | 1 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| | Brown........... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Calhoun......... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Cass ............ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | Mason .......... | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| | Menard ......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | Pike............. | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| | Schuyler ......... | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 8th ....... | Circuit Total ..... | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 53 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 |
| 9th ....... | Fulton........... | 4 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| | Hancock ........ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37* |
| | Henderson ...... | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | Knox............ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 37 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| | McDonough .... . | 3 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| | Warren.......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 9th ....... | Circuit Total ..... | 7 | 12 | 23 | 12 | 8 | 61 | 80 | 35 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 424* |
| 10th ...... | Marshall......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | Peoria .......... | 10 | 19 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 104* | 130* | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 670* |
| | Putnam.......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Stark ............ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Tazewell......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 | 30 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| 10th ...... | Circuit Total ..... | 10 | 19 | 6 | 7 | 24 | 146* | 164* | 125 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 867* |
| 11th ...... | Ford ............ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | Livingston ....... | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 24 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| | Logan ........... | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| | McLean ......... | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 40 | 48 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264* |
| | Woodford ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 11th ...... | Circuit Total ..... | 4 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 63 | 89 | 47 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 458* |

*During 1983, 95 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be mentally ill. The * indicates that at least one of those 95 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

# SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1983 - continued

| | | SENTENCES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With Periodic Imprisonment | | | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With Other Discretionary Conditions | | | | Probation or Conditional Discharge With No Discretionary Conditions | | | | Found Unfit To Be Sentenced Or Executed | | | | | | Total Sentences |
| | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | Class | | | | | | |
| Circuit | County | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | M | X | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 12th...... | Iroquois......... | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| | Kankakee........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 62 | 48* | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216* |
| | Will............. | 2 | 33 | 16 | 3 | 16 | 95* | 108 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 510* |
| 12th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 3 | 38 | 22 | 5 | 22 | 143* | 176 | 114* | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 790* |
| 13th...... | Bureau.......... | 0 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| | Grundy.......... | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49* |
| | LaSalle .......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 25 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| 13th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 2 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 31 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237* |
| 14th...... | Henry........... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| | Mercer.......... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | Rock Island ...... | 6 | 33 | 36 | 13 | 11 | 40 | 54 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 |
| | Whiteside ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 35 | 38 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| 14th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 6 | 34 | 37 | 13 | 17 | 91 | 115 | 72 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 565 |
| 15th...... | Carroll .......... | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | Jo Daviess ....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| | Lee ............. | 1 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161* |
| | Ogle ............ | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| | Stephenson ...... | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| 15th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 1 | 35 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 60 | 55 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 483* |
| 16th...... | DeKalb.......... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 29 | 34 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| | Kane............ | 15 | 39 | 51 | 25 | 7 | 22 | 46 | 69 | 4 | 13 | 23 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 601 |
| | Kendall.......... | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 16th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 15 | 41 | 57 | 28 | 14 | 54 | 84 | 91 | 4 | 14 | 29 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 755 |
| 17th...... | Boone........... | 1 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | Winnebago ...... | 5 | 19 | 14 | 4 | 15 | 77 | 95 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 446 |
| 17th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 6 | 24 | 23 | 11 | 17 | 81 | 100 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 505 |
| 18th...... | DuPage ......... | 1 | 10 | 16 | 5 | 24 | 75 | 175* | 95 | 4 | 26 | 41 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847* |
| 18th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 1 | 10 | 16 | 5 | 24 | 75 | 175* | 95 | 4 | 26 | 41 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847* |
| 19th...... | Lake ............ | 7 | 51 | 47 | 18 | 17* | 129 | 127* | 139 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 815* |
| | McHenry......... | 2 | 20 | 14 | 14 | 1 | 34 | 43 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 |
| 19th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 9 | 71 | 61 | 32 | 18* | 163 | 170* | 163 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1035* |
| 20th...... | Monroe ......... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | Perry............ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58* |
| | Randolph........ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| | St. Clair ......... | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 97 | 165 | 42* | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618* |
| | Washington...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 20th...... | Circuit Total ..... | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 25 | 127 | 210 | 76* | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 807* |
| | Downstate Total... | 99 | 532 | 518 | 240 | 233* | 1580* | 2300* | 1448* | 16 | 81 | 141 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,195* |
| | Cook County .... | 270 | 1134 | 1478* | 366 | 117* | 456* | 1463* | 322* | 299 | 1173 | 2289 | 851 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,266* |
| | State Total ....... | 369 | 1666 | 1996* | 606 | 350* | 2036* | 3763* | 1770* | 315 | 1254 | 2430 | 974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,461* |

*During 1983, 95 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be mentally ill. The * indicates that at least one of those 95 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

# FISCAL YEAR 1983
# TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY
# AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

NOTE: It is not possible to make valid comparisons between the operating budgets of various counties; some counties use the accounting systems prescribed by their county boards, while many others have adopted all or part of the financial components of the recordkeeping system adopted by the Supreme Court. For example, circuit clerks in some counties enjoy the benefits of heat, light, air conditioning, telephone, office supplies and equipment, and janitorial services through central purchasing; in other counties, the clerk's budget is charged proportionately for every conceivable cost allocable to his or her operation.

| Circuit | County | Total Revenue Collected | OPERATING EXPENSES | | | MONIES HELD & COLLECTIONS MADE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO OTHERS | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Salaries | Other Costs | Total | Maintenance & Child Support | Fines, Penalties, Assessments, & Forfeitures | Fees of Others | Total |
| 1st | Alexander | $ 50,227.15 | $ 43,570.00 | $ 8,572.61 | $ 52,142.61 | $ 103,784.92 | $ 69,739.23 | $ 11,344.46 | $ 184,868.61 |
| | Jackson | 229,041.13 | 99,144.00 | 19,421.25 | 118,565.25 | 864,263.42 | 551,478.25 | 67,653.29 | 1,483,394.96 |
| | Johnson | 47,106.52 | 42,483.03 | 5,703.03 | 48,186.06 | 445.00 | 144,171.42 | 16,161.00 | 160,777.42 |
| | Massac | 56,423.96 | 47,800.29 | 8,245.71 | 56,046.00 | 150,389.68 | 226,445.33 | 16,010.91 | 392,845.92 |
| | Pope | 11,388.00 | 25,203.96 | 2,521.91 | 27,725.87 | 45,931.30 | 48,975.50 | 4,421.20 | 99,328.00 |
| | Pulaski | 58,054.36 | 40,061.84 | 3,527.20 | 43,589.04 | 81,944.36 | 118,109.53 | 21,573.40 | 221,627.29 |
| | Saline | 112,597.93 | 64,818.14 | 31,738.59 | 96,556.73 | 457,319.75 | 258,698.98 | 29,251.51 | 745,270.24 |
| | Union | 52,637.89 | 44,472.00 | 12,959.90 | 57,431.90 | 140,855.71 | 76,064.07 | 11,811.86 | 228,731.64 |
| | Williamson | 251,117.25 | 117,397.13 | 19,993.29 | 137,390.42 | 739,831.58 | 756,087.92 | 52,699.50 | 1,548,619.00 |
| 1st | Circuit Total | 868,594.19 | 524,950.39 | 112,683.49 | 637,633.88 | 2,584,765.72 | 2,249,770.23 | 230,927.13 | 5,065,463.08 |
| 2nd | Crawford | 71,353.63 | 58,644.08 | 12,177.35 | 70,821.43 | 586,227.26 | 111,461.86 | 18,242.80 | 715,931.92 |
| | Edwards | 30,368.64 | 25,012.00 | 2,720.42 | 27,732.42 | 116,422.81 | 64,311.54 | 8,145.58 | 188,879.93 |
| | Franklin | 133,613.40 | 70,133.31 | 13,028.23 | 83,161.54 | 747,643.62 | 271,240.94 | 57,318.20 | 1,076,202.76 |
| | Gallatin | 35,952.44 | 32,600.16 | 1,034.20 | 33,634.36 | 113,305.51 | 104,079.71 | 7,912.20 | 225,297.42 |
| | Hamilton | 29,615.29 | 23,858.15 | 7,816.08 | 31,674.23 | 93,328.00 | 62,355.51 | 14,206.10 | 169,889.61 |
| | Hardin | 10,975.73 | 24,337.92 | 2,332.04 | 26,669.96 | 86,855.00 | 34,662.70 | 4,258.40 | 125,776.10 |
| | Jefferson | 133,399.89 | 86,173.56 | 15,819.96 | 101,993.52 | 668,823.15 | 298,986.18 | 57,028.26 | 1,024,837.59 |
| | Lawrence | 62,802.99 | 48,347.39 | 8,854.96 | 57,202.35 | 346,322.36 | 128,541.76 | 16,515.20 | 491,379.32 |
| | Richland | 78,616.76 | 52,575.31 | 10,040.58 | 62,615.89 | 275,690.01 | 197,453.66 | 27,892.31 | 501,035.98 |
| | Wabash | 71,167.78 | 51,002.42 | 12,703.00 | 63,705.42 | 270,386.60 | 132,162.14 | 19,373.00 | 421,921.74 |
| | Wayne | 77,586.79 | 57,203.51 | 20,274.17 | 77,477.68 | 256,470.28 | 147,657.48 | 30,887.82 | 435,015.58 |
| | White | 98,868.10 | 62,415.04 | 16,158.57 | 78,573.61 | 244,522.73 | 413,521.98 | 41,612.80 | 699,657.51 |
| 2nd | Circuit Total | 834,321.44 | 592,302.85 | 122,959.56 | 715,262.41 | 3,805,997.33 | 1,966,435.46 | 303,392.67 | 6,075,825.46 |
| 3rd | Bond | 55,394.23 | 56,692.58 | 13,472.88 | 70,165.46 | 346,639.08 | 123,012.82 | 17,241.48 | 486,893.38 |
| | Madison | 1,734,723.88 | 766,130.62 | 115,466.59 | 881,597.21 | 3,617,056.18 | 2,474,436.84 | 1,007,144.91 | 7,098,637.93 |
| 3rd | Circuit Total | 1,790,118.11 | 822,823.20 | 128,939.47 | 951,762.67 | 3,963,695.26 | 2,597,449.66 | 1,024,386.39 | 7,585,531.31 |
| 4th | Christian | 130,721.91 | 117,234.66 | 21,535.38 | 138,770.04 | 1,041,054.24 | 339,262.31 | 51,349.38 | 1,431,665.93 |
| | Clay | 56,907.84 | 59,129.90 | 6,236.95 | 65,366.85 | 22,336.50 | 92,266.49 | 16,419.62 | 131,022.61 |
| | Clinton | 106,014.75 | 65,735.99 | 11,317.62 | 77,053.61 | 260,417.36 | 290,803.88 | 42,007.80 | 593,229.04 |
| | Effingham | 142,408.65 | 79,804.81 | 46,631.87 | 126,436.68 | 61,848.04 | 288,392.01 | 33,659.20 | 383,899.25 |
| | Fayette | 86,434.68 | 58,088.53 | 6,384.08 | 64,472.61 | 470,137.85 | 241,118.85 | 30,277.21 | 741,533.91 |
| | Jasper | 44,870.49 | 38,432.90 | 3,435.25 | 41,868.15 | 106,943.40 | 105,332.97 | 14,064.29 | 226,340.66 |
| | Marion | 208,047.37 | 114,150.00 | 17,011.49 | 131,161.49 | 931,496.01 | 524,475.50 | 71,083.69 | 1,527,055.20 |
| | Montgomery | 147,142.99 | 89,614.00 | 26,653.31 | 116,267.31 | 510,123.99 | 472,769.31 | 70,131.03 | 1,053,024.33 |
| | Shelby | 55,062.36 | 62,989.44 | 12,750.00 | 75,739.44 | 485,865.45 | 128,518.02 | 19,489.06 | 633,872.53 |
| 4th | Circuit Total | 977,611.04 | 685,180.23 | 151,955.95 | 837,136.18 | 3,890,222.84 | 2,482,939.34 | 348,481.28 | 6,721,643.46 |
| 5th | Clark | 123,361.19 | 45,600.00 | 9,515.44 | 55,115.44 | 347,596.10 | 573,000.85 | 174,145.87 | 1,094,742.82 |
| | Coles | 212,036.33 | 96,840.75 | 7,920.64 | 104,761.39 | 1,547,610.68 | 503,599.33 | 68,033.68 | 2,119,243.69 |
| | Cumberland | 32,975.40 | 33,283.96 | 5,195.32 | 38,479.28 | 216,390.38 | 58,616.48 | 8,095.60 | 283,102.46 |
| | Edgar | 65,868.06 | 64,985.07 | 16,658.99 | 81,644.06 | 446,321.08 | 146,280.29 | 23,788.56 | 616,389.93 |
| | Vermilion | 334,422.35 | 222,724.73 | 61,320.02 | 284,044.75 | 451,016.29 | 577,159.10 | 79,815.35 | 1,107,990.74 |
| 5th | Circuit Total | 768,663.33 | 463,434.51 | 100,610.41 | 564,044.92 | 3,008,934.53 | 1,858,656.05 | 353,879.06 | 5,221,469.64 |
| 6th | Champaign | 618,416.94 | 301,480.91 | 26,387.55 | 327,868.46 | 2,248,152.38 | 998,758.35 | 192,596.82 | 3,439,507.55 |
| | DeWitt | 48,150.72 | 61,731.00 | 14,581.58 | 76,312.58 | 760,127.97 | 189,195.04 | 19,224.11 | 968,547.12 |
| | Douglas | 89,636.74 | 100,728.00 | 18,276.26 | 119,004.26 | 455,546.16 | 216,059.73 | 30,181.80 | 701,787.69 |
| | Macon | 607,114.44 | 380,830.00 | 89,370.00 | 470,200.00 | 6,570,911.24 | 953,751.05 | 241,482.98 | 7,766,145.27 |
| | Moultrie | 39,926.54 | 61,900.94 | 34,592.86 | 96,493.80 | 446,664.95 | 93,192.55 | 13,129.00 | 552,986.50 |
| | Piatt | 57,569.13 | 89,256.38 | 24,274.06 | 113,530.44 | 787,069.73 | 111,534.40 | 10,683.35 | 909,287.48 |
| 6th | Circuit Total | 1,460,814.51 | 995,927.23 | 207,482.31 | 1,203,409.54 | 11,268,472.43 | 2,562,491.12 | 507,298.06 | 14,338,261.61 |

# FISCAL YEAR 1983
# TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY
# AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

NOTE: It is not possible to make valid comparisons between the operating budgets of various counties; some counties use the accounting systems prescribed by their county boards, while many others have adopted all or part of the financial components of the recordkeeping system adopted by the Supreme Court. For example, circuit clerks in some counties enjoy the benefits of heat, light, air conditioning, telephone, office supplies and equipment, and janitorial services through central purchasing; in other counties, the clerk's budget is charged proportionately for every conceivable cost allocable to his or her operation.

| Circuit | County | Total Revenue Collected | OPERATING EXPENSES | | | MONIES HELD & COLLECTIONS MADE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO OTHERS | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Salaries | Other Costs | Total | Maintenance & Child Support | Fines, Penalties, Assessments, & Forfeitures | Fees of Others | Total |
| 7th | Greene | $ 46,730.88 | $ 60,000.00 | $ 6,320.00 | $ 66,320.00 | $ 119,597.00 | $ 70,333.00 | $ 9,899.00 | $ 199,829.00 |
| | Jersey | 157,730.13 | 61,675.00 | 71,400.00 | 133,075.00 | 254,246.50 | 219,851.00 | 26,323.35 | 500,420.85 |
| | Macoupin | 172,701.02 | 136,267.23 | 40,030.47 | 176,297.70 | 737,888.43 | 305,702.61 | 42,150.70 | 1,085,741.74 |
| | Morgan | 103,270.71 | 77,805.75 | 16,323.71 | 94,129.46 | 847,190.21 | 183,999.90 | 32,672.01 | 1,063,862.12 |
| | Sangamon | 860,538.39 | 460,396.00 | 44,634.41 | 505,030.41 | 5,177,933.01 | 1,827,613.97 | 268,223.78 | 7,273,770.76 |
| | Scott | 16,445.55 | 24,126.84 | 4,665.76 | 28,792.60 | 85,405.04 | 45,928.78 | 6,259.20 | 137,593.02 |
| 7th | Circuit Total | 1,357,416.68 | 820,270.82 | 183,374.35 | 1,003,645.17 | 7,222,260.19 | 2,653,429.26 | 385,528.04 | 10,261,217.49 |
| 8th | Adams | 241,312.73 | 155,339.39 | 36,135.00 | 191,474.39 | 1,370,312.83 | 458,411.60 | 73,689.46 | 1,902,413.89 |
| | Brown | 27,697.62 | 31,977.12 | 3,292.21 | 35,269.33 | 11,425.00 | 50,587.40 | 3,157.00 | 65,169.40 |
| | Calhoun | 13,795.22 | 22,890.80 | 3,609.58 | 26,500.38 | 510.00 | 31,348.80 | 4,314.30 | 36,173.10 |
| | Cass | 52,294.51 | 55,707.76 | 8,490.00 | 64,197.76 | 336,436.45 | 77,331.92 | 16,110.50 | 429,878.87 |
| | Mason | 69,627.68 | 55,622.94 | 8,714.31 | 64,336.35 | 337,165.44 | 141,469.51 | 26,469.41 | 505,104.36 |
| | Menard | 32,385.63 | 43,326.77 | 8,258.61 | 51,585.38 | 162,171.05 | 68,983.02 | 11,019.25 | 242,173.32 |
| | Pike | 62,435.01 | 62,500.00 | 12,792.29 | 75,292.29 | 228,399.90 | 132,215.82 | 19,378.95 | 379,994.67 |
| | Schuyler | 26,714.46 | 35,040.00 | 5,888.90 | 40,928.90 | 164,737.32 | 51,334.27 | 8,735.80 | 224,807.39 |
| 8th | Circuit Total | 526,262.86 | 462,403.88 | 87,180.90 | 549,584.78 | 2,611,157.99 | 1,011,682.34 | 162,874.67 | 3,785,715.00 |
| 9th | Fulton | 131,244.99 | 84,385.80 | 24,188.30 | 108,574.10 | 1,212,431.94 | 243,531.21 | 33,378.05 | 1,489,341.20 |
| | Hancock | 60,770.70 | 51,646.35 | 3,293.27 | 54,939.62 | 544,658.10 | 109,311.67 | 16,098.70 | 670,068.47 |
| | Henderson | 39,845.38 | 43,459.06 | 9,296.69 | 52,755.75 | 202,010.64 | 102,580.76 | 12,363.20 | 316,954.60 |
| | Knox | 268,593.69 | 173,564.93 | 36,333.89 | 209,898.82 | 2,816,905.87 | 381,112.00 | 63,114.20 | 3,261,132.07 |
| | McDonough | 108,549.03 | 99,145.60 | 14,387.28 | 113,532.88 | 72,938.55 | 229,843.75 | 38,649.67 | 341,431.97 |
| | Warren | 99,462.63 | 83,715.85 | 17,664.62 | 101,380.47 | 722,958.55 | 166,932.09 | 39,411.78 | 929,302.42 |
| 9th | Circuit Total | 708,466.42 | 535,917.59 | 105,164.05 | 641,081.64 | 5,571,903.65 | 1,233,311.48 | 203,015.60 | 7,008,230.73 |
| 10th | Marshall | 59,090.56 | 45,903.52 | 8,091.52 | 53,995.04 | 361,217.11 | 102,584.74 | 5,129.60 | 468,931.45 |
| | Peoria | 1,017,792.00 | 603,701.00 | 83,662.00 | 687,363.00 | 6,061,374.00 | 1,560,442.00 | 264,376.00 | 7,886,192.00 |
| | Putnam | 14,691.89 | 21,499.13 | 2,267.42 | 23,766.55 | 133,132.98 | 31,482.05 | 4,734.00 | 169,349.03 |
| | Stark | 14,811.42 | 33,968.34 | 3,694.37 | 37,662.71 | 135,576.26 | 20,883.72 | 2,832.40 | 159,292.38 |
| | Tazewell | 379,588.00 | 323,322.00 | 41,910.53 | 365,232.53 | 3,542,547.03 | 863,208.75 | 104,534.30 | 4,510,290.08 |
| 10th | Circuit Total | 1,485,973.87 | 1,028,393.99 | 139,625.84 | 1,168,019.83 | 10,233,847.38 | 2,578,601.26 | 381,606.30 | 13,194,054.94 |
| 11th | Ford | 50,142.29 | 39,672.75 | 10,814.65 | 50,487.40 | 238,477.21 | 71,336.30 | 11,391.28 | 321,204.79 |
| | Livingston | 143,214.61 | 98,233.51 | 29,903.62 | 128,137.13 | 814,670.98 | 375,114.82 | 45,340.65 | 1,235,126.45 |
| | Logan | 175,330.40 | 135,780.00 | 25,597.58 | 161,377.58 | 864,230.39 | 418,548.09 | 57,105.20 | 1,339,883.68 |
| | McLean | 568,305.34 | 418,097.80 | 63,171.66 | 481,269.46 | 1,313,991.81 | 1,220,532.38 | 210,206.43 | 2,744,730.62 |
| | Woodford | 86,854.07 | 84,040.00 | 14,304.90 | 98,344.90 | 414,135.74 | 211,053.07 | 35,242.26 | 660,431.07 |
| 11th | Circuit Total | 1,023,846.71 | 775,824.06 | 143,792.41 | 919,616.47 | 3,645,506.13 | 2,296,584.66 | 359,285.82 | 6,301,376.61 |
| 12th | Iroquois | 145,298.72 | 101,165.24 | 15,990.27 | 117,155.51 | 640,572.96 | 329,418.09 | 34,763.55 | 1,004,754.60 |
| | Kankakee | 418,413.02 | 167,504.62 | 26,133.72 | 193,638.34 | 2,613,516.01 | 920,702.52 | 131,842.34 | 3,666,060.87 |
| | Will | 1,431,912.32 | 1,199,615.34 | 174,894.40 | 1,374,509.74 | 6,353,434.89 | 3,413,050.93 | 558,108.25 | 10,324,594.07 |
| 12th | Circuit Total | 1,995,624.06 | 1,468,285.20 | 217,018.39 | 1,685,303.59 | 9,607,523.86 | 4,663,171.54 | 724,714.14 | 14,995,409.54 |
| 13th | Bureau | 162,399.96 | 107,900.00 | 20,100.00 | 128,000.00 | 848,303.00 | 459,777.00 | 44,377.00 | 1,352,457.00 |
| | Grundy | 89,701.66 | 96,661.25 | 4,907.61 | 101,568.86 | 768,260.46 | 212,101.20 | 18,483.50 | 998,845.16 |
| | LaSalle | 425,230.39 | 291,528.00 | 45,167.34 | 336,695.34 | 2,359,757.64 | 879,744.92 | 118,628.54 | 3,358,131.10 |
| 13th | Circuit Total | 677,332.01 | 496,089.25 | 70,174.95 | 566,264.20 | 3,976,321.10 | 1,551,623.12 | 181,489.04 | 5,709,433.26 |
| 14th | Henry | 203,191.34 | 145,550.85 | 41,444.90 | 186,995.75 | 1,707,964.60 | 590,076.54 | 66,014.60 | 2,364,055.74 |
| | Mercer | 57,863.00 | 46,784.00 | 13,966.00 | 60,750.00 | 303,562.98 | 81,218.53 | 13,815.00 | 398,596.51 |
| | Rock Island | 1,531,541.05 | 334,655.44 | 29,265.32 | 363,920.76 | 7,272,649.71 | 1,418,930.12 | 168,771.57 | 8,860,351.40 |
| | Whiteside | 234,117.30 | 137,921.52 | 23,275.67 | 161,197.19 | 2,041,538.66 | 552,341.29 | 67,300.00 | 2,661,179.95 |
| 14th | Circuit Total | 2,026,712.69 | 664,911.81 | 107,951.89 | 772,863.70 | 11,325,715.95 | 2,642,566.48 | 315,901.17 | 14,284,183.60 |

# FISCAL YEAR 1983
# TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY
# AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

NOTE: It is not possible to make valid comparisons between the operating budgets of various counties; some counties use the accounting systems prescribed by their county boards, while many others have adopted all or part of the financial components of the recordkeeping system adopted by the Supreme Court. For example, circuit clerks in some counties enjoy the benefits of heat, light, air conditioning, telephone, office supplies and equipment, and janitorial services through central purchasing; in other counties, the clerk's budget is charged proportionately for every conceivable cost allocable to his or her operation.

| | | | OPERATING EXPENSES | | | MONIES HELD & COLLECTIONS MADE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO OTHERS | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Circuit | County | Total Revenue Collected | Salaries | Other Costs | Total | Maintenance & Child Support | Fines, Penalties, Assessments, & Forfeitures | Fees of Others | Total |
| 15th .... | Carroll ............ | $ 62,950.06 | $ 54,273.01 | $ 21,369.11 | $ 75,642.12 | $ 478,536.37 | $ 149,186.64 | $ 26,235.11 | $ 653,958.12 |
| | Jo Daviess ......... | 81,071.70 | 60,769.00 | 9,145.55 | 69,914.55 | 374,605.73 | 217,248.89 | 25,803.80 | 617,658.42 |
| | Lee ............... | 252,757.11 | 126,055.82 | 26,326.98 | 152,382.80 | 1,099,999.03 | 467,520.96 | 55,599.80 | 1,623,119.79 |
| | Ogle .............. | 133,530.83 | 106,459.30 | 29,589.70 | 136,049.00 | 839,827.83 | 298,996.83 | 48,690.20 | 1,187,514.86 |
| | Stephenson ........ | 160,222.66 | 102,153.95 | 13,417.70 | 115,571.65 | 1,441,022.32 | 376,073.23 | 71,458.40 | 1,888,553.95 |
| 15th .... | Circuit Total ....... | 690,532.36 | 449,711.08 | 99,849.04 | 549,560.12 | 4,233,991.28 | 1,509,026.55 | 227,787.31 | 5,970,805.14 |
| 16th .... | DeKalb ........... | 262,355.12 | 216,195.19 | 18,109.62 | 234,304.81 | 734,026.63 | 630,089.26 | 91,614.34 | 1,455,730.23 |
| | Kane ............. | 1,577,411.71 | 857,971.00 | 134,561.00 | 992,532.00 | 6,604,288.25 | 2,086,968.81 | 436,149.97 | 9,127,407.03 |
| | Kendall ........... | 110,565.59 | 75,803.40 | 31,012.95 | 106,816.35 | 489,945.37 | 308,886.73 | 29,067.00 | 827,899.10 |
| 16th .... | Circuit Total ....... | 1,950,332.42 | 1,149,969.59 | 183,683.57 | 1,333,653.16 | 7,828,260.25 | 3,025,944.80 | 556,831.31 | 11,411,036.36 |
| 17th .... | Boone ............ | 129,900.67 | 105,691.34 | 19,210.01 | 124,901.35 | 515,291.70 | 284,837.66 | 56,892.15 | 857,021.51 |
| | Winnebago ........ | 1,181,064.00 | 797,864.00 | 114,156.00 | 912,020.00 | 3,143,316.00 | 2,202,431.21 | 357,500.04 | 5,703,247.25 |
| 17th .... | Circuit Total ....... | 1,310,964.67 | 903,555.34 | 133,366.01 | 1,036,921.35 | 3,658,607.70 | 2,487,268.87 | 414,392.19 | 6,560,268.76 |
| 18th .... | DuPage ........... | 2,433,334.54 | 2,207,283.11 | 1,825,349.76 | 4,032,632.87 | 13,069,482.30 | 4,771,482.26 | 835,551.27 | 18,676,515.83 |
| 18th .... | Circuit Total ....... | 2,433,334.54 | 2,207,283.11 | 1,825,349.76 | 4,032,632.87 | 13,069,482.30 | 4,771,482.26 | 835,551.27 | 18,676,515.83 |
| 19th .... | Lake .............. | 1,994,319.69 | 970,623.92 | 40,538.19 | 1,011,162.11 | 1,673,329.51 | 4,602,533.99 | 519,647.90 | 6,795,511.40 |
| | McHenry .......... | 684,327.80 | 465,571.99 | 157,401.08 | 622,973.07 | 1,759,873.75 | 1,866,521.28 | 218,986.15 | 3,845,381.18 |
| 19th .... | Circuit Total ....... | 2,678,647.49 | 1,436,195.91 | 197,939.27 | 1,634,135.18 | 3,433,203.26 | 6,469,055.27 | 738,634.05 | 10,640,892.58 |
| 20th .... | Monroe ........... | 53,664.42 | 60,036.00 | 10,666.71 | 70,702.71 | 253,749.08 | 92,692.50 | 13,114.00 | 359,555.58 |
| | Perry ............. | 67,326.43 | 65,460.07 | 10,848.56 | 76,308.63 | 636,690.43 | 192,176.71 | 24,285.17 | 853,152.31 |
| | Randolph ......... | 119,430.04 | 67,560.00 | 16,783.35 | 84,343.35 | 684,999.75 | 243,669.76 | 48,207.95 | 976,877.46 |
| | St. Clair ........... | 1,524,900.62 | 576,112.42 | 49,731.45 | 625,843.87 | 3,298,126.52 | 2,239,601.82 | 224,813.84 | 5,762,542.18 |
| | Washington ....... | 50,755.76 | 41,087.55 | 2,607.39 | 43,694.94 | 212,719.00 | 128,931.35 | 3,141.80 | 344,792.15 |
| 20th .... | Circuit Total ....... | 1,816,077.27 | 810,256.04 | 90,637.46 | 900,893.50 | 5,086,284.78 | 2,897,072.14 | 313,562.76 | 8,296,919.68 |
| | Downstate Total .... | 27,381,646.67 | 17,293,686.08 | 4,409,739.08 | 21,703,425.16 | 120,026,153.93 | 53,508,561.89 | 8,569,538.26 | 182,104,254.08 |
| | Cook County ...... | 31,807,589.00 | 28,993,247.00 | 8,626,408.00 | 37,619,655.00 | 27,237,043.00 | 41,994,941.00 | 3,772,542.00 | 73,004,526.00 |
| | State Total ......... | 59,189,235.67 | 46,286,933.08 | 13,036,147.08 | 59,323,080.16 | 147,263,196.93 | 95,503,502.89 | 12,342,080.26 | 255,108,780.08 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1983

## FINES, ADD-ON PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, & CERTAIN FEES DISTRIBUTED BY CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

| | | Fines and Forfeitures | | | | | Add On Penalties, Assessments, & Certain Fees | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | County | | | | State Treasury | | County Treasury | |
| Circuit | County | Municipalities Townships, and Road Districts | Criminal | Traffic | State | Total | Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund | Drivers Education Fund | Fund to Finance Court System | Total |
| 1st ..... | Alexander ....... | $ 5,876.08 | $ 9,378.40 | $ 38,935.00 | $ 5,954.16 | $ 60,143.64 | $ 4,478.59 | $ 5,117.00 | $ 6,555.00 | $ 16,150.59 |
| | Jackson.......... | 248,859.41 | 90,729.95 | 117,174.10 | 20,338.20 | 477,101.66 | 34,987.59 | 39,389.00 | 35,444.00 | 109,820.59 |
| | Johnson ......... | 12,455.50 | 13,016.10 | 90,247.29 | 5,127.20 | 120,846.09 | 8,708.22 | 14,617.11 | 12,060.00 | 35,385.33 |
| | Massac .......... | 121,545.10 | 21,919.65 | 59,388.02 | 855.00 | 203,707.77 | 8,810.67 | 13,926.89 | 10,155.00 | 32,892.56 |
| | Pope ............ | 3,870.00 | 25,686.00 | 12,612.00 | 950.00 | 43,118.00 | 3,440.00 | 2,417.50 | 1,690.00 | 7,547.50 |
| | Pulaski .......... | 5,547.50 | 16,450.62 | 70,188.80 | 1,512.80 | 93,699.72 | 8,341.69 | 16,068.12 | 13,445.00 | 37,854.81 |
| | Saline ........... | 59,870.16 | 66,899.71 | 84,502.35 | 9,152.80 | 220,425.02 | 20,881.71 | 17,392.25 | 13,736.00 | 52,009.96 |
| | Union ........... | 11,594.00 | 11,614.47 | 39,760.90 | 552.00 | 63,521.37 | 5,298.60 | 7,244.10 | 7,570.00 | 20,112.70 |
| | Williamson ...... | 100,554.60 | 54,119.64 | 124,432.78 | 393,250.88 | 672,357.90 | 61,482.02 | 22,248.00 | 30,550.00 | 114,280.02 |
| 1st ..... | Circuit Total ..... | 570,172.35 | 309,814.54 | 637,241.24 | 437,693.04 | 1,954,921.17 | 156,429.09 | 138,419.97 | 131,205.00 | 426,054.06 |
| 2nd .... | Crawford ........ | 37,383.00 | 15,229.52 | 37,747.40 | 2,434.40 | 92,794.32 | 7,267.54 | 11,400.00 | 8,110.00 | 26,777.54 |
| | Edwards ......... | 1,137.00 | 6,095.00 | 46,162.00 | 170.00 | 53,564.00 | 4,553.54 | 6,194.00 | 5,274.00 | 16,021.54 |
| | Franklin ......... | 70,398.85 | 150,366.49(a) | 0.00 | 5,649.80 | 226,415.14 | 16,605.60 | 28,220.20 | 21,457.00 | 66,282.80 |
| | Gallatin ......... | 20,179.00 | 21,357.35 | 41,494.00 | 3,803.84 | 86,834.19 | 9,145.52 | 8,100.00 | 4,235.00 | 21,480.52 |
| | Hamilton ........ | 0.00 | 11,233.60 | 37,289.70 | 3,919.89 | 52,443.19 | 4,147.32 | 5,765.00 | 4,510.00 | 14,422.32 |
| | Hardin .......... | 2,127.10 | 21,794.50 | 6,064.00 | 925.00 | 30,910.60 | 2,554.85 | 1,197.25 | 685.00 | 4,437.10 |
| | Jefferson ........ | 75,528.00 | 66,084.61 | 104,586.80 | 8,123.16 | 254,322.57 | 21,395.41 | 23,268.20 | 21,053.00 | 65,716.61 |
| | Lawrence ........ | 33,960.10 | 14,466.00 | 54,589.25 | 3,608.60 | 106,623.95 | 8,211.56 | 13,706.25 | 9,835.00 | 31,752.81 |
| | Richland ........ | 76,008.11 | 21,262.92 | 61,298.65 | 11,654.80 | 170,224.48 | 12,081.18 | 15,148.00 | 14,000.00 | 41,229.18 |
| | Wabash ......... | 45,176.00 | 26,527.98 | 35,347.00 | 5,150.16 | 112,201.14 | 8,896.00 | 11,065.00 | 7,900.00 | 27,861.00 |
| | Wayne .......... | 11,011.00 | 10,992.67 | 90,976.01 | 12,199.00 | 125,178.68 | 9,790.80 | 12,688.00 | 14,202.00 | 36,680.80 |
| | White ........... | 54,235.10 | 59,847.60 | 177,293.20 | 65,347.40 | 356,723.30 | 30,347.68 | 26,451.00 | 20,480.00 | 77,278.68 |
| 2nd .... | Circuit Total ..... | 427,143.26 | 425,258.24 | 692,848.01 | 122,986.05 | 1,668,235.56 | 134,997.00 | 163,202.90 | 131,741.00 | 429,940.90 |
| 3rd ..... | Bond............ | 17,362.50 | 24,739.95 | 61,179.65 | 322.20 | 103,604.30 | 8,797.52 | 10,611.00 | 9,220.00 | 28,628.52 |
| | Madison......... | 1,027,949.97 | 0.00(b) | 661,876.15 | 371,613.52 | 2,061,439.64 | 254,695.20 | 158,302.00 | 138,023.00 | 551,020.20 |
| 3rd ..... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,045,312.47 | 24,739.95 | 723,055.80 | 371,935.72 | 2,165,043.94 | 263,492.72 | 168,913.00 | 147,243.00 | 579,648.72 |
| 4th ..... | Christian ........ | 83,889.10 | 49,672.67 | 133,820.70 | 19,028.92 | 286,411.39 | 24,027.72 | 28,823.20 | 26,437.00 | 79,287.92 |
| | Clay ............ | 17,863.80 | 29,641.24 | 25,581.00 | 7,150.48 | 80,236.52 | 6,428.22 | 5,601.75 | 4,715.00 | 16,744.97 |
| | Clinton.......... | 93,641.00 | 55,638.33 | 100,153.55 | 83.00 | 249,515.88 | 17,664.00 | 23,624.00 | 23,460.00 | 64,748.00 |
| | Effingham ....... | 28,886.00 | 30,690.09 | 167,909.00 | 8,461.20 | 235,946.29 | 18,873.72 | 33,572.00 | 22,900.00 | 75,345.72 |
| | Fayette .......... | 43,575.70 | 19,417.57 | 87,124.85 | 51,266.54 | 201,384.66 | 17,168.56 | 22,565.63 | 16,685.00 | 56,419.19 |
| | Jasper ........... | 16,723.90 | 11,267.80 | 56,491.00 | 5,021.40 | 89,504.10 | 7,256.24 | 8,572.63 | 7,735.00 | 23,563.87 |
| | Marion.......... | 183,194.00 | 58,765.20 | 197,676.00 | 5,996.56 | 445,631.76 | 34,848.74 | 43,995.00 | 40,808.00 | 119,651.74 |
| | Montgomery..... | 56,318.25 | 60,266.48 | 182,172.02 | 104,395.57 | 403,152.32 | 31,876.11 | 37,740.88 | 30,879.00 | 100,495.99 |
| | Shelby .......... | 36,085.89 | 25,097.35 | 42,006.20 | 5,713.88 | 108,903.32 | 10,044.70 | 9,570.00 | 7,583.96 | 27,198.66 |
| 4th ..... | Circuit Total ..... | 560,177.64 | 340,456.73 | 992,934.32 | 207,117.55 | 2,100,686.24 | 168,188.01 | 214,065.09 | 181,202.96 | 563,456.06 |
| 5th ..... | Clark............ | 20,253.83 | 19,178.98 | 90,637.43 | 376,844.82 | 506,915.06 | 50,801.79 | 15,284.00 | 25,788.32 | 91,874.11 |
| | Coles ........... | 219,761.00 | 88,106.34 | 122,818.13 | 3,243.00 | 433,928.47 | 30,720.86 | 38,950.00 | 39,065.00 | 108,735.86 |
| | Cumberland ..... | 14,588.75 | 2,170.00 | 31,484.53 | 367.00 | 48,610.28 | 3,969.25 | 6,036.95 | 5,915.00 | 15,921.20 |
| | Edgar ........... | 25,982.20 | 18,010.82 | 39,732.31 | 41,320.43 | 125,045.76 | 11,104.64 | 10,129.89 | 8,013.00 | 29,247.53 |
| | Vermilion........ | 186,077.50 | 39,717.95 | 223,666.00 | 34,515.30 | 483,976.75 | 38,422.35 | 54,760.00 | 57,109.00 | 150,291.35 |
| 5th ..... | Circuit Total ..... | 466,663.28 | 167,184.09 | 508,338.40 | 456,290.55 | 1,598,476.32 | 135,018.89 | 125,160.84 | 135,890.32 | 396,070.05 |
| 6th ..... | Champaign ...... | 540,136.81 | 60,817.89 | 257,774.05 | 8,219.80 | 866,948.55 | 53,966.90 | 77,842.90 | 93,305.00 | 225,114.80 |
| | DeWitt ... ...... | 65,512.67 | 17,688.95 | 18,468.00 | 55,367.00 | 157,036.62 | 14,343.41 | 17,815.01 | 10,909.49 | 43,067.91 |
| | Douglas ......... | 28,992.35 | 14,235.00 | 124,192.80 | 17,180.64 | 184,600.79 | 13,991.94 | 17,467.00 | 21,950.00 | 53,408.94 |
| | Macon .......... | 499,706.25 | 120,824.80 | 191,520.70 | 6,882.96 | 818,934.71 | 60,428.84 | 74,387.50 | 97,263.20 | 232,079.54 |
| | Moultrie ........ | 4,476.00 | 28,221.45 | 38,999.90 | 7,736.00 | 79,433.35 | 6,154.20 | 7,605.00 | 6,165.00 | 19,924.20 |
| | Piatt............. | 13,064.00 | 13,945.00 | 67,887.82 | 1,454.00 | 96,350.82 | 3,948.58 | 11,235.00 | 760.00 | 15,943.58 |
| 6th ..... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,151,888.08 | 255,733.09 | 698,843.27 | 96,840.40 | 2,203,304.84 | 152,833.87 | 206,352.41 | 230,352.69 | 589,538.97 |

(a) This amount includes both Criminal and Traffic Fines and Forfeitures.
(b) This amount is included in the amount reported as State's Attorneys fees.

# FISCAL YEAR 1983
# FINES, ADD-ON PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, & CERTAIN FEES DISTRIBUTED BY CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

| Circuit | County | Fines and Forfeitures | | | | | Add On Penalties, Assessments, & Certain Fees | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | County | | | | State Treasury | | County Treasury | |
| | | Municipalities Townships, and Road Districts | Criminal | Traffic | State | Total | Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund | Drivers Education Fund | Fund to Finance Court System | Total |
| 7th | Greene | $ 17,526.00 | $ 11,162.00 | $ 29,899.00 | $ 741.00 | $ 59,328.00 | $ 4,955.00 | $ 6,050.00 | $ 5,938.00 | $ 16,943.00 |
| | Jersey | 50,785.00 | 36,520.61 | 103,282.35 | 9,185.66 | 199,773.62 | 17,502.38 | 2,575.00 | 16,985.40 | 37,062.78 |
| | Macoupin | 101,525.80 | 44,962.00 | 89,924.83 | 9,408.74 | 245,821.37 | 23,978.74 | 35,902.50 | [illegible]792.50 | 84,673.74 |
| | Morgan | 75,156.55 | 27,518.90 | 37,751.97 | 16,162.44 | 156,589.86 | 12,482.42 | 14,927.62 | [illegible]3.00 | 41,623.04 |
| | Sangamon | 825,333.69 | 136,145.93 | 481,359.05 | 184,526.75 | 1,627,365.42 | 88,684.25 | 111,564.30 | [illegible],488.00 | 341,736.55 |
| | Scott | 1,347.00 | 1,005.40 | 30,199.00 | 4,870.80 | 37,422.20 | 3,588.58 | 4,918.00 | 4,385.00 | 12,891.58 |
| 7th | Circuit Total | 1,071,674.04 | 257,314.84 | 772,416.20 | 224,895.39 | 2,326,300.47 | 151,191.37 | 175,937.42 | 207,801.90 | 534,930.69 |
| 8th | Adams | 295,152.90 | 27,624.16 | 90,879.60 | 3,964.22 | 417,620.88 | 16,683.22 | 24,107.50 | 33,390.00 | 74,180.72 |
| | Brown | 12,435.00 | 6,273.00 | 20,600.00 | 5,419.40 | 44,727.40 | 0.00 | 5,860.00 | 0.00 | 5,860.00 |
| | Calhoun | 1,609.00 | 3,944.00 | 15,109.20 | 6,680.10 | 27,342.30 | 1,621.50 | 2,385.00 | 1,580.00 | 5,586.50 |
| | Cass | 14,768.00 | 10,089.00 | 32,663.70 | 8,336.20 | 65,856.90 | 5,201.52 | 6,273.50 | 6,700.00 | 18,175.02 |
| | Mason | 59,048.96 | 11,402.20 | 36,232.42 | 9,004.77 | 115,688.35 | 9,971.16 | 15,810.00 | 13,050.00 | 38,831.16 |
| | Menard | 12,048.00 | 7,638.00 | 36,209.00 | 856.70 | 56,751.70 | 4,351.32 | 7,880.00 | 5,945.00 | 18,176.32 |
| | Pike | 20,630.10 | 5,739.00 | 65,664.90 | 22,786.17 | 114,820.17 | 7,372.65 | 10,023.00 | 9,770.00 | 27,165.65 |
| | Schuyler | 3,111.40 | 5,707.39 | 27,804.25 | 6,240.00 | 42,863.04 | 3,130.48 | 5,340.75 | 4,605.00 | 13,076.23 |
| 8th | Circuit Total | 418,803.36 | 78,416.75 | 325,163.07 | 63,287.56 | 885,670.74 | 48,331.85 | 77,679.75 | 75,040.00 | 201,051.60 |
| 9th | Fulton | 75,989.00 | 47,930.63 | 71,979.00 | 11,889.80 | 207,788.43 | 17,920.78 | 17,822.00 | 16,413.00 | 52,155.78 |
| | Hancock | 24,546.00 | 19,348.07 | 46,290.50 | 2,899.80 | 93,084.37 | 7,271.05 | 8,956.25 | 9,415.00 | 25,642.30 |
| | Henderson | 8,826.50 | 21,024.00 | 51,459.00 | 3,779.60 | 85,089.10 | 8,171.66 | 9,320.00 | 4,905.00 | 22,396.66 |
| | Knox | 183,704.50 | 41,395.75 | 82,153.85 | 16,635.60 | 323,889.70 | 27,127.80 | 30,094.50 | 28,461.50 | 85,683.80 |
| | McDonough | 91,245.30 | 29,122.49 | 70,195.98 | 6,071.55 | 196,635.32 | 14,861.43 | 18,347.00 | 17,135.00 | 50,343.43 |
| | Warren | 36,882.10 | 81,242.99(a) | 0.00 | 22,853.90 | 140,978.99 | 12,735.10 | 13,218.00 | 13,414.00 | 39,367.10 |
| 9th | Circuit Total | 421,193.40 | 240,063.93 | 322,078.33 | 64,130.25 | 1,047,465.91 | 88,087.82 | 97,757.75 | 89,743.50 | 275,589.07 |
| 10th | Marshall | 27,012.00 | 6,624.70 | 46,192.43 | 4,720.40 | 84,549.53 | 7,137.96 | 10,897.25 | 0.00(b) | 18,035.21 |
| | Peoria | 859,738.00 | 222,128.00 | 227,704.00 | 33,841.00 | 1,343,411.00 | 79,229.00 | 137,802.00 | 136,053.00 | 353,084.00 |
| | Putnam | 3,154.00 | 51.00 | 21,300.25 | 1,072.00 | 25,577.25 | 1,964.80 | 3,940.00 | 3,080.00 | 8,984.80 |
| | Stark | 2,575.00 | 6,249.40 | 8,513.00 | 703.20 | 18,040.60 | 1,367.12 | 1,476.00 | 1,610.00 | 4,453.12 |
| | Tazewell | 466,718.27 | 102,558.11 | 143,455.14 | 13,786.15 | 72[illegible]17.67 | 55,482.28 | 81,208.80 | 66,879.00 | 203,570.08 |
| 10th | Circuit Total | 1,359,197.27 | 337,611.21 | 447,164.82 | 54,122.75 | 2,19[illegible],096.05 | 145,181.16 | 235,324.05 | 207,622.00 | 588,127.21 |
| 11th | Ford | 10,662.00 | 13,762.10 | 31,543.40 | 5,477.32 | 61,444.82 | 4,222.48 | 5,669.00 | 6,080.00 | 15,971.48 |
| | Livingston | 59,028.33 | 16,616.20 | 224,699.50 | 19,587.81 | 319,931.84 | 23,623.98 | 31,559.00 | 29,578.50 | 84,761.48 |
| | Logan | 96,913.40 | 22,348.55 | 216,707.40 | 14,414.20 | 350,383.55 | 26,779.54 | 41,385.00 | 39,325.00 | 107,489.54 |
| | McLean | 568,543.39 | 319,149.97(a) | 0.00 | 165,124.39 | 1,052,817.75 | 81,518.34 | 86,196.29 | 112,805.00 | 280,519.63 |
| | Woodford | 60,714.70 | 16,386.50 | 100,975.05 | 4,270.20 | 182,346.45 | 15,428.62 | 13,278.06 | 17,550.00 | 46,256.62 |
| 11th | Circuit Total | 795,861.82 | 388,263.32 | 573,925.35 | 208,873.92 | 1,966,924.41 | 151,572.96 | 178,087.29 | 205,338.50 | 534,998.75 |
| 12th | Iroquois | 24,211.00 | 23,453.40 | 174,380.00 | 52,483.80 | 274,528.20 | 21,369.89 | 33,520.00 | 29,480.00 | 84,369.89 |
| | Kankakee | 329,544.44 | 66,922.96 | 342,048.00 | 30,925.48 | 769,440.88 | 64,795.30 | 86,466.34 | 72,758.00 | 224,019.64 |
| | Will | 1,216,089.13 | 102,997.53 | 641,702.66 | 1,051,664.88 | 3,012,454.20 | 289,936.18 | 110,660.55 | 245,148.95 | 645,745.68 |
| 12th | Circuit Total | 1,569,844.57 | 193,373.89 | 1,158,130.66 | 1,135,074.16 | 4,056,423.28 | 376,101.37 | 230,646.89 | 347,386.95 | 954,135.21 |
| 13th | Bureau | 84,288.00 | 45,234.00 | 207,061.00 | 48,166.00 | 384,749.00 | 29,551.00 | 45,477.00 | 34,190.00 | 109,218.00 |
| | Grundy | 72,710.00 | 32,522.50 | 58,277.00 | 14,608.36 | 178,117.86 | 17,007[illegible]4 | 16,976.00 | 12,235.00 | 46,218.34 |
| | LaSalle | 385,244.35 | 95,952.41 | 258,106.30 | 23,272.52 | 762,575.58 | 55,262.34 | 61,907.00 | 67,783.00 | 184,952.34 |
| 13th | Circuit Total | 542,242.35 | 173,708.91 | 523,444.30 | 86,046.88 | 1,325,442.44 | 101,820.68 | 124,360.00 | 114,208.00 | 340,388.68 |
| 14th | Henry | 179,743.71 | 80,979.49 | 216,408.23 | 10,121.24 | 487,252.67 | 41,551.47 | 61,272.40 | 44,729.00 | 147,552.87 |
| | Mercer | 14,864.30 | 15,492.37 | 30,951.00 | 9,082.78 | 70,390.45 | 5,052.58 | 5,775.50 | 5,202.00 | 16,030.08 |
| | Rock Island | 833,576.76 | 52,698.00 | 145,244.60 | 252,892.61 | 1,284,411.97 | 70,595.40 | 63,922.75 | 56,620.00 | 191,138.15 |
| | Whiteside | 171,791.67 | 100,556.17 | 161,783.80 | 36,614.60 | 470,746.24 | 39,534.05 | 42,061.00 | 36,839.00 | 118,434.05 |
| 14th | Circuit Total | 1,199,976.44 | 249,726.03 | 554,387.63 | 308,711.23 | 2,312,801.33 | 156,733.50 | 173,031.65 | 143,390.00 | 473,155.15 |

(a) This amount includes both Criminal and Traffic Fines and Forfeitures.
(b) This amount is included in the amount reported as Clerk's Revenue.

## FISCAL YEAR 1983

## FINES, ADD-ON PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, & CERTAIN FEES DISTRIBUTED BY CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

| | | Fines and Forfeitures | | | | | Add On Penalties, Assessments, & Certain Fees | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | County | | | | State Treasury | | County Treasury | |
| Circuit | County | Municipalities Townships, and Road Districts | Criminal | Traffic | State | Total | Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund | Drivers Education Fund | Fund to Finance Court System | Total |
| 15th .... | Carroll .......... | $ 29,101.40 | $ 12,795.52 | $ 69,536.66 | $ 11,093.80 | $ 122,527.38 | $ 10,364.26 | $ 16,295.00 | $ 11,230.00 | $ 37,889.26 |
| | Jo Daviess ....... | 68,888.50 | 11,644.00 | 68,456.60 | 37,378.50 | 186,367.60 | 14,227.39 | 16,653.90 | 17,900.00 | 48,781.29 |
| | Lee ............. | 84,326.25 | 36,413.10 | 193,765.00 | 75,544.78 | 390,049.13 | 31,413.58 | 46,058.25 | 39,465.00 | 116,936.83 |
| | Ogle ............ | 84,142.75 | 35,409.56 | 131,401.88 | 5,600.40 | 256,554.59 | 19,569.24 | 22,873.00 | 18,527.00 | 60,969.24 |
| | Stephenson ...... | 118,766.40 | 20,039.75 | 162,420.80 | 18,916.80 | 320,143.75 | 25,207.48 | 30,722.00 | 25,501.60 | 81,431.08 |
| 15th .... | Circuit Total ..... | 385,225.30 | 116,301.93 | 625,580.94 | 148,534.28 | 1,275,642.45 | 100,781.95 | 132,602.15 | 112,623.60 | 346,007.70 |
| 16th .... | DeKalb .......... | 261,516.33 | 64,190.06 | 220,991.20 | 11,506.62 | 558,204.21 | 28,278.80 | 43,606.25 | 55,421.00 | 127,306.05 |
| | Kane ............ | 1,096,979.67 | 153,368.27 | 327,166.74 | 186,811.30 | 1,764,325.98 | 143,042.83 | 179,600.00 | 182,820.00 | 505,462.83 |
| | Kendall.......... | 119,018.86 | 46,137.46 | 93,250.50 | 17,612.70 | 276,019.52 | 12,087.84 | 20,779.37 | 22,305.00 | 55,172.21 |
| 16th .... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,477,514.86 | 263,695.79 | 641,408.44 | 215,930.62 | 2,598,549.71 | 183,409.47 | 243,985.62 | 260,546.00 | 687,941.09 |
| 17th .... | Boone........... | 46,106.00 | 9,971.59 | 166,661.01 | 14,117.68 | 236,856.28 | 18,838.38 | 29,143.00 | 30,030.00 | 78,011.38 |
| | Winnebago ...... | 1,058,387.21 | 150,766.00 | 633,445.00 | 94,844.00 | 1,937,442.21 | 113,590.00 | 151,399.00 | 199,775.00 | 464,764.00 |
| 17th .... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,104,493.21 | 160,737.59 | 800,106.01 | 108,961.68 | 2,174,298.49 | 132,428.38 | 180,542.00 | 229,805.00 | 542,775.38 |
| 18th .... | DuPage ......... | 3,260,417.51 | 237,930.74 | 489,569.32 | 165,391.17 | 4,153,308.74 | 252,051.12 | 366,122.40 | 345,604.00 | 963,777.52 |
| 18th .... | Circuit Total ..... | 3,260,417.51 | 237,930.74 | 489,569.32 | 165,391.17 | 4,153,308.74 | 252,051.12 | 366,122.40 | 345,604.00 | 963,777.52 |
| 19th .... | Lake ............ | 2,764,186.79 | 175,431.20 | 680,342.00 | 234,764.64 | 3,854,724.63 | 333,654.79 | 414,154.57 | 369,406.00 | 1,117,215.36 |
| | McHenry ........ | 1,114,549.72 | 402,782.27(a) | 0.00 | 71,976.54 | 1,589,308.53 | 130,711.05 | 146,501.70 | 142,138.80 | 419,351.55 |
| 19th .... | Circuit Total ..... | 3,878,736.51 | 578,213.47 | 680,342.00 | 306,741.18 | 5,444,033.16 | 464,365.84 | 560,656.27 | 511,544.80 | 1,536,566.91 |
| 20th .... | Monroe ......... | 31,615.00 | 10,263.00 | 30,944.75 | 4,745.00 | 77,567.75 | 5,673.50 | 9,451.25 | 7,415.00 | 22,539.75 |
| | Perry ............ | 51,022.00 | 43,303.41 | 61,069.50 | 4,884.60 | 160,279.51 | 14,430.20 | 17,467.00 | 10,870.00 | 42,767.20 |
| | Randolph........ | 88,944.00 | 54,655.00 | 48,859.00 | 11,023.60 | 203,481.60 | 18,823.16 | 21,365.00 | 13,530.00 | 53,718.16 |
| | St. Clair ......... | 1,101,126.57 | 77,015.56 | 440,195.60 | 293,386.62 | 1,911,724.35 | 174,990.43 | 152,887.04 | 155,930.00 | 483,807.47 |
| | Washington...... | 8,741.00 | 9,224.00 | 88,132.25 | 1,230.00 | 107,327.25 | 7,634.10 | 13,970.00 | 0.00 | 21,604.10 |
| 20th .... | Circuit Total ..... | 1,281,448.57 | 194,460.97 | 669,201.10 | 315,269.82 | 2,460,380.46 | 221,551.39 | 215,140.29 | 187,745.00 | 624,436.68 |
| | Downstate Total.. | 22,987,986.29 | 4,993,006.01 | 12,836,179.21 | 5,098,834.20 | 45,916,005.71 | 3,584,568.44 | 4,007,987.74 | 3,996,034.22 | 11,588,590.40 |
| | Cook County .... | 33,906,396.00 | 160,397.00 | 3,422,947.00 | 3,025,114.00 | 40,514,854.00 | 944,904.00 | 535,183.00 | 2,195,195.00 | 3,675,282.00 |
| | State Total ....... | $56,894,382.29 | $5,153,403.01 | $16,259,126.21 | $8,123,948.20 | $86,430,859.71 | $4,529,472.44 | $4,543,170.74 | $6,191,229.22 | $15,263,872.40 |

(a) This amount includes both Criminal and Traffic Fines and Forfeitures.

## 1983

## SELECT CHARACTERISTICS OF ILLINOIS PROBATION DEPARTMENTS

| Circuit | County | Employees* | | | Adult Activity***** | | | | Amount of Restitution Collected*** (Adult & Juvenile Collections) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Number of Probation Officers* | Number of Other Staff | Total Personnel | Investigations Completed | Defendants Added to Probation Caseload | Defendants Dropped From Probation Caseload | Supervision Caseload December 31, 1983**** | |
| 1st ....... | Alexander .... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 25 | 87 | 50 | 154 | $ 1,040 |
| | Jackson ...... | 4 | 2 | 6 | 52 | 305 | 313 | 444 | 29,205 |
| | Johnson...... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 97 | 73 | 86 | 10,473 |
| | Massac....... | ** | ** | ** | 9 | 120 | 117 | 150 | 8,295 |
| | Pope ........ | ** | ** | ** | 1 | 77 | 97 | 61 | 2,420 |
| | Pulaski ....... | ** | ** | ** | 14 | 47 | 56 | 97 | 267 |
| | Saline........ | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 215 | 202 | 223 | 29,028 |
| | Union ....... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 128 | 135 | 128 | 25,189 |
| | Williamson ... | 5 | 1 | 6 | 49 | 267 | 278 | 480 | 27,132 |
| 1st ....... | Circuit Total... | 15 | 7 | 22 | 186 | 1,343 | 1,321 | 1,823 | 133,049 |
| 2nd ...... | Crawford .... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 293 | 206 | 159 | 6,136 |
| | Edwards...... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 77 | 63 | 83 | 2,603 |
| | Franklin...... | 2 | 1 | 3 | 56 | 162 | 94 | 379 | 11,506 |
| | Gallatin ...... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 86 | 41 | 150 | 7,632 |
| | Hamilton..... | ** | ** | ** | 10 | 56 | 68 | 72 | 2,665 |
| | Hardin ....... | ** | ** | ** | 4 | 25 | 23 | 61 | 2,822 |
| | Jefferson ..... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 49 | 170 | 166 | 243 | 20,975 |
| | Lawrence .... | ** | ** | ** | 10 | 322 | 209 | 183 | 6,893 |
| | Richland ..... | ** | ** | ** | 7 | 153 | 235 | 97 | 20,077 |
| | Wabash ...... | ** | ** | ** | 9 | 116 | 72 | 140 | 14,202 |
| | Wayne ....... | ** | ** | ** | 7 | 65 | 92 | 63 | 3,190 |
| | White........ | ** | ** | ** | 12 | 106 | 109 | 185 | 6,496 |
| 2nd ...... | Circuit Total... | 6 | 5 | 11 | 181 | 1,631 | 1,378 | 1,815 | 105,197 |
| 3rd....... | Bond ........ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 61 | 29 | 84 | 5,778 |
| | Madison ..... | 12 | 4 | 16 | 209 | 943 | 801 | 1,185 | 60,933 |
| 3rd....... | Circuit Total... | 13 | 4 | 17 | 212 | 1,004 | 830 | 1,269 | 66,711 |
| 4th....... | Christian ..... | 2 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 79 | 50 | 288 | 11,076 |
| | Clay ......... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 66 | 47 | 93 | 16,587 |
| | Clinton ...... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 53 | 205 | 102 | 262 | 19,691 |
| | Effingham .... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 111 | 75 | 120 | 7,101 |
| | Fayette....... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 107 | 108 | 113 | 8,143 |
| | Jasper[b] ...... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 17 | 28 | 4,538[a] |
| | Marion ...... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 43 | 520 | 328 | 653 | 24,824 |
| | Montgomery . | 1 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 66 | 184 | 85 | 17,102[a] |
| | Shelby ....... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 73 | 59 | 62 | 6,601 |
| 4th....... | Circuit Total... | 10 | 7 | 17 | 176 | 1,248 | 970 | 1,704 | 115,663 |
| 5th....... | Clark ........ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 40 | 56 | 74 | 1,268 |
| | Coles ........ | 6 | 2 | 8 | 52 | 187 | 270 | 220 | 64,560 |
| | Cumberland.. | ** | ** | ** | 0 | 31 | 25 | 37 | 5,504[a] |
| | Edgar ........ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 53 | 79 | 82 | 6,762 |
| | Vermilion .... | 11 | 2 | 13 | 268 | 786 | 888 | 402 | 104,881 |
| 5th....... | Circuit Total... | 20 | 5 | 25 | 368 | 1,097 | 1,318 | 815 | 182,975 |

*Count taken on December 31, 1983. Includes officers with adult, juvenile, or combined caseloads and does not include juvenile detention home personnel.

**Indicates a multi-county probation operation. Personnel have already been listed under a previous county in the circuit.

***Restitution is either collected by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, the office of the State's Attorney, or within the probation department itself.

****Includes, in addition, all cases under supervision outside of county of jurisdiction.

*****Does include some post-trial proceedings.

[a] Figure supplied by the Clerk of the Circuit Court.

[b] County did not supply data. Information received from other sources.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS OF ILLINOIS PROBATION DEPARTMENTS

| Circuit | County | Employees* | | | Adult Activity***** | | | | Amount of Restitution Collected*** (Adult & Juvenile Collections) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Number of Probation Officers* | Number of Other Staff | Total Personnel | Investigations Completed | Defendants Added to Probation Caseload | Defendants Dropped From Probation Caseload | Supervision Caseload December 31, 1983**** | |
| 6th ....... | Champaign ... | 12 | 4 | 16 | 373 | 354 | 488 | 456 | $ 40,039[a] |
| | DeWitt ....... | 2 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 37 | 37 | 50 | 3,673 |
| | Douglas ...... | 2 | 1 | 3 | 38 | 162 | 128 | 143 | 4,796 |
| | Macon ....... | 7 | 3 | 10 | 295 | 492 | 551 | 307 | 31,436 |
| | Moultrie ..... | 2 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 121 | 123 | 98 | 3,227 |
| | Piatt ......... | 2 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 55 | 88 | 84 | 4,418 |
| 6th ....... | Circuit Total... | 27 | 10 | 37 | 761 | 1,221 | 1,415 | 1,138 | 87,589 |
| 7th ....... | Greene ...... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 43 | 109 | 180 | 152 | 5,023 |
| | Jersey ........ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 42 | 15 | 54 | 25,198 |
| | Macoupin .... | 2 | 1 | 3 | 286 | 496 | 366 | 553 | 16,543 |
| | Morgan ...... | 4 | 1 | 5 | 244 | 250 | 207 | 234 | 20,051 |
| | Sangamon .... | 15 | 6 | 21 | 524 | 452 | 404 | 640 | 90,978 |
| | Scott[b] ....... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 782[a] |
| 7th ....... | Circuit Total... | 24 | 10 | 34 | 1,113 | 1,362 | 1,184 | 1,649 | 158,575 |
| 8th ....... | Adams ....... | 7 | 5 | 12 | 168 | 264 | 261 | 289 | 57,268 |
| | Brown ....... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 57 | 38 | 78 | 10,122 |
| | Calhoun ..... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 51 | 44 | 44 | 4,082 |
| | Cass ......... | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 241 | 195 | 247 | 6,595 |
| | Mason ....... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 45 | 72 | 107 | 10,630 |
| | Menard ...... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 39 | 46 | 85 | 5,712 |
| | Pike ......... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 49 | 91 | 73 | 182 | 15,297 |
| | Schuyler ..... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 54 | 48 | 46 | 5,152 |
| 8th ....... | Circuit Total... | 15 | 6 | 21 | 413 | 842 | 777 | 1,078 | 114,858 |
| 9th ....... | Fulton ....... | 7 | 3 | 10 | 109 | 188 | 98 | 152 | 15,680 |
| | Hancock ..... | 2** | 0** | 2** | 18 | 45 | 25 | 46 | 12,245 |
| | Henderson ... | 1** | 0** | 1** | 2 | 24 | 23 | 20 | 17,325 |
| | Knox ........ | 3** | 2** | 5** | 143 | 171 | 192 | 196 | 31,496 |
| | McDonough .. | 2** | 1** | 3** | 49 | 98 | 80 | 80 | 13,829 |
| | Warren ...... | ** | ** | ** | 45 | 57 | 55 | 67 | 14,701 |
| 9th ....... | Circuit Total... | 15 | 6 | 21 | 391 | 583 | 473 | 561 | 105,276 |
| 10th ...... | Marshall ..... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 251 | 202 | 138 | 43,635 |
| | Peoria ....... | 22 | 7 | 29 | 587 | 2,239 | 2,300 | 3,059 | 92,849 |
| | Putnam ...... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 26 | 90 |
| | Stark ......... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 64 | 56 | 64 | 918[a] |
| | Tazewell ..... | 9 | 6 | 15 | 50 | 425 | 374 | 578 | 25,019 |
| 10th ...... | Circuit Total... | 34 | 13 | 47 | 640 | 2,992 | 2,936 | 3,875 | 162,511 |
| 11th ...... | Ford ......... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 138 | 170 | 164 | 1,936 |
| | Livingston .... | 4 | 2 | 6 | 44 | 99 | 101 | 161 | 27,868 |
| | Logan ........ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 397 | 390 | 299 | 11,783 |
| | McLean ...... | 11 | 4 | 15 | 240 | 281 | 271 | 427 | 50,932 |
| | Woodford .... | 2 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 357 | 335 | 397 | 7,626 |
| 11th ...... | Circuit Total... | 20 | 8 | 28 | 340 | 1,272 | 1,267 | 1,448 | 100,145 |

*Count taken on December 31, 1983. Includes officers with adult, juvenile, or combined caseloads and does not include juvenile detention home personnel.

**Indicates a multi-county probation operation. Personnel have already been listed under a previous county in the circuit.

***Restitution is either collected by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, the office of the State's Attorney, or within the probation department itself.

****Includes, in addition, all cases under supervision outside of county of jurisdiction.

*****Does include some post-trial proceedings.

[a] Figure supplied by the Clerk of the Circuit Court.

[b] County did not supply data. Information received from other sources.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS OF ILLINOIS PROBATION DEPARTMENTS

| Circuit | County | Employees* | | | Adult Activity***** | | | | Amount of Restitution Collected*** (Adult & Juvenile Collections) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Number of Probation Officers* | Number of Other Staff | Total Personnel | Investigations Completed | Defendants Added to Probation Caseload | Defendants Dropped From Probation Caseload | Supervision Caseload December 31, 1983**** | |
| 12th | Iroquois | 2 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 41 | 46 | 89 | $ 50,695 |
| | Kankakee | 5 | 4 | 9 | 56 | 147 | 108 | 389 | 4,512 |
| | Will | 11 | 6 | 17 | 99 | 471 | 488 | 838 | 30,314 |
| 12th | Circuit Total | 18 | 10 | 28 | 175 | 659 | 642 | 1,316 | 85,521 |
| 13th | Bureau | 5 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 28 | 27 | 82 | 31,083 |
| | Grundy | 2** | 1** | 3** | 6 | 55 | 61 | 90 | 16,179 |
| | LaSalle | 3** | 1** | 4** | 52 | 178 | 207 | 344 | 53,098 |
| 13th | Circuit Total | 10 | 4 | 14 | 77 | 261 | 295 | 516 | 100,360 |
| 14th | Henry | 7 | 2 | 9 | 41 | 401 | 242 | 471 | 24,199 |
| | Mercer | 4 | 2 | 6 | 93 | 27 | 47 | 62 | 10,455 |
| | Rock Island | 22 | 4 | 26 | 1,374 | 767 | 747 | 795 | 52,132 |
| | Whiteside | 8 | 4 | 12 | 58 | 463 | 359 | 587 | 38,048 |
| 14th | Circuit Total | 41 | 12 | 53 | 1,566 | 1,658 | 1,395 | 1,915 | 124,834 |
| 15th | Carroll | 2 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 175 | 141 | 136 | 3,329 |
| | Jo Daviess | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 161 | 159 | 154 | 16,197 |
| | Lee | 4 | 1 | 5 | 181 | 505 | 309 | 604 | 15,973 |
| | Ogle | 7 | 2 | 9 | 299 | 568 | 517 | 527 | 22,645[a] |
| | Stephenson | 8 | 2 | 10 | 103 | 646 | 442 | 767 | 18,377 |
| 15th | Circuit Total | 23 | 6 | 29 | 604 | 2,055 | 1,568 | 2,188 | 76,521 |
| 16th | DeKalb | 9 | 3 | 12 | 143 | 186 | 176 | 223 | 13,939 |
| | Kane | 31 | 23 | 54 | 654 | 486 | 311 | 554 | 120,567 |
| | Kendall | 2 | 1 | 3 | 90 | 94 | 46 | 88 | 12,501 |
| 16th | Circuit Total | 42 | 27 | 69 | 887 | 766 | 533 | 865 | 147,007 |
| 17th | Boone | 37 | 9 | 46 | 31 | 83 | 69 | 131 | 18,534 |
| | Winnebago | ** | ** | ** | 273 | 866 | 823 | 1,180 | 106,253 |
| 17th | Circuit Total | 37 | 9 | 46 | 304 | 949 | 892 | 1,311 | 124,787 |
| 18th | DuPage | 56 | 18 | 74 | 669 | 1,826 | 1,917 | 2,471 | 173,386 |
| 18th | Circuit Total | 56 | 18 | 74 | 669 | 1,826 | 1,917 | 2,471 | 173,386 |
| 19th | Lake | 39 | 10 | 49 | 964 | 781 | 790 | 1,120 | 168,427 |
| | McHenry | 23 | 4 | 27 | 217 | 622 | 531 | 793 | 62,082 |
| 19th | Circuit Total | 62 | 14 | 76 | 1,181 | 1,403 | 1,321 | 1,913 | 230,509 |
| 20th | Monroe | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 71 | 73 | 76 | 4,801 |
| | Perry | 1 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 80 | 98 | 110 | 14,319 |
| | Randolph | ** | ** | ** | 28 | 250 | 246 | 291 | 8,458 |
| | St. Clair | 17 | 7 | 24 | 788 | 595 | 707 | 1,044 | 50,544 |
| | Washington | ** | ** | ** | 2 | 44 | 78 | 55 | 2,714 |
| 20th | Circuit Total | 19 | 9 | 28 | 855 | 1,040 | 1,202 | 1,576 | 80,836 |
| | Downstate Total | 507 | 190 | 697 | 11,099 | 25,212 | 23,634 | 31,246 | 2,476,310 |
| | Cook County | 662 | 318 | 980 | 8,720 | 22,630 | 24,846 | 33,813 | 1,221,356 |
| | State Total | 1,169 | 508 | 1,677 | 19,819 | 47,842 | 48,480 | 65,059 | $3,697,666 |

*Count taken on December 31, 1983. Includes offices with adult, juvenile, or combined caseloads.

**Indicates a multi-county probation operation. Personnel have already been listed under a previous county in the circuit. For the 13th Circuit, adult services are circuit-wide.

***Restitution is either collected by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, the office of the State's Attorney, or within the probation department itself.

****Includes, in addition, all cases under supervision outside of county of jurisdiction.

*****Does include some post-trial proceedings.

[a] Includes figure supplied by the Clerk of the Circuit Court.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES

| | | Juvenile Case Filings* -- By Type of Case | | | | | Juvenile Case Dispositions* — By Type of Case | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | Delinquency | | | | | |
| Circuit | County | Delinquent | Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention | Dependent | Neglected/ Abused | Total | General | 702 Hearings — Granting Transfer to Be Tried As An Adult** | Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention | Dependent | Neglected/ Abused | Total |
| 1st ..... | Alexander ..... | 25 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 51 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 48 |
| | Jackson ........ | 50 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 65 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 63 |
| | Johnson ........ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 22 |
| | Massac ........ | 15 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 28 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 38 |
| | Pope .......... | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| | Pulaski......... | 16 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 |
| | Saline ......... | 44 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 60 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 60 |
| | Union .......... | 17 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| | Williamson ..... | 42 | 1 | 1 | 39 | 83 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 41 | 82 |
| 1st ..... | Circuit Total .... | 216 | 2 | 16 | 116 | 350 | 228 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 104 | 355 |
| 2nd .... | Crawford ....... | 24 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 26 |
| | Edwards ........ | 14 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 49 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 57 |
| | Franklin ........ | 35 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 55 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 67 |
| | Gallatin ........ | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| | Hamilton ....... | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| | Hardin ......... | 14 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| | Jefferson ....... | 73 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 91 | 67 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 82 |
| | Lawrence ...... | 12 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 20 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 50 |
| | Richland ....... | 13 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| | Wabash ........ | 44 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 50 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 29 |
| | Wayne ........ | 46 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 59 | 63 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 75 |
| | White .......... | 14 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 |
| 2nd .... | Circuit Total .... | 308 | 17 | 21 | 79 | 425 | 367 | 2 | 11 | 19 | 63 | 462 |
| 3rd ..... | Bond .......... | 10 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 23 |
| | Madison ........ | 438 | 2 | 8 | 115 | 563 | 427 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 125 | 568 |
| 3rd ..... | Circuit Total .... | 448 | 3 | 8 | 121 | 580 | 446 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 128 | 591 |
| 4th ..... | Christian ....... | 27 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 42 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 53 |
| | Clay ........... | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| | Clinton ........ | 31 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 37 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 52 |
| | Effingham ...... | 22 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 40 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 34 |
| | Fayette ........ | 35 | 3 | 2 | 20 | 60 | 32 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 20 | 57 |
| | Jasper ......... | 22 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 |
| | Marion ........ | 79 | 8 | 6 | 22 | 115 | 87 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 25 | 126 |
| | Montgomery ... | 34 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 41 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 106 |
| | Shelby ......... | 21 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| 4th ..... | Circuit Total .... | 273 | 18 | 20 | 88 | 399 | 331 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 98 | 466 |
| 5th ..... | Clark .......... | 18 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 26 |
| | Coles .......... | 96 | 3 | 5 | 25 | 129 | 77 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 30 | 115 |
| | Cumberland ... | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 |
| | Edgar .......... | 20 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 47 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 42 |
| | Vermilion ...... | 113 | 3 | 14 | 93 | 223 | 92 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 80 | 187 |
| 5th ..... | Circuit Total .... | 260 | 13 | 24 | 144 | 441 | 230 | 3 | 12 | 22 | 135 | 402 |

*Current reporting procedures followed by the Clerks of the Circuit Courts require only the identification of the number of cases filed and the number of cases disposed of. Breakdowns by type of case were acquired either through the county's probation department or through the county's State's Attorney's office.

**Does not include cases now automatically prosecuted under criminal law, which previously would have been heard as juvenile cases.

Note: No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2—3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1983.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES

| | | Juvenile Case Filings* — By Type of Case | | | | | Juvenile Case Dispositions* — By Type of Case | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | Delinquency | | | | | |
| Circuit | County | Delinquent | Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention | Dependent | Neglected Abused | Total | General | 702 Hearings — Granting Transfer to Be Tried As An Adult** | Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention | Dependent | Neglected/ Abused | Total |
| 6th ..... | Champaign ..... | 210 | 0 | 8 | 53 | 271 | 276 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 64 | 350 |
| | DeWitt ......... | 21 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 32 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 32 |
| | Douglas ........ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| | Macon ......... | 197 | 1 | 6 | 54 | 258 | 473 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 119 | 615 |
| | Moultrie ........ | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| | Piatt ............ | 29 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| 6th ..... | Circuit Total .... | 484 | 1 | 15 | 139 | 639 | 810 | 9 | 1 | 25 | 210 | 1,055 |
| 7th ..... | Greene .......... | 13 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 23 |
| | Jersey .......... | 16 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 27 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 24 |
| | Macoupin ...... | 42 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 72 | 29 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 48 |
| | Morgan ........ | 22 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 32 |
| | Sangamon ..... | 142 | 2 | 7 | 78 | 229 | 102 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 70 | 185 |
| | Scott ........... | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 7th ..... | Circuit Total .... | 239 | 7 | 16 | 124 | 386 | 186 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 103 | 316 |
| 8th ..... | Adams .......... | 49 | 7 | 9 | 26 | 91 | 67 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 25 | 108 |
| | Brown .......... | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| | Calhoun ........ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| | Cass ............ | 28 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 39 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 45 |
| | Mason .......... | 34 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 37 |
| | Menard ........ | 9 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 25 |
| | Pike ............ | 26 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 47 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 43 |
| | Schuyler ........ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 8th ..... | Circuit Total .... | 170 | 12 | 22 | 56 | 260 | 196 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 60 | 290 |
| 9th ..... | Fulton .......... | 47 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 68 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 88 |
| | Hancock ........ | 11 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| | Henderson ..... | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| | Knox ........... | 34 | 1 | 11 | 24 | 70 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 39 |
| | McDonough .... | 15 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 27 |
| | Warren ......... | 24 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 34 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 40 |
| 9th ..... | Circuit Total .... | 138 | 8 | 15 | 58 | 219 | 164 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 43 | 227 |
| 10th .... | Marshall ........ | 23 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| | Peoria .......... | 313 | 3 | 33 | 128 | 477 | 411 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 140 | 589 |
| | Putnam ......... | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Stark ........... | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | Tazewell ........ | 149 | 0 | 2 | 51 | 202 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 319 |
| 10th .. ** | Circuit Total .... | 492 | 8 | 35 | 184 | 719 | 674 | 1 | 8 | 37 | 217 | 937 |
| 11th .... | Ford ............ | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 24 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 31 |
| | Livingston ...... | 52 | 5 | 12 | 33 | 102 | 60 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 30 | 109 |
| | Logan .......... | 29 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 42 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 49 |
| | McLean ....... | 111 | 3 | 16 | 36 | 166 | 147 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 40 | 208 |
| | Woodford ..... | 25 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 37 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 33 |
| 11th .... | Circuit Total .... | 223 | 10 | 49 | 89 | 371 | 276 | 0 | 10 | 53 | 91 | 430 |

*Current reporting procedures followed by the Clerks of the Circuit Courts require only the identification of the number of cases filed and the number of cases disposed of. Breakdowns by type of case were acquired either through the county's probation department or through the county's State's Attorney's office.

**Does not include cases now automatically prosecuted under criminal law, which previously would have been heard as juvenile cases.

Note: No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2—3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1983.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES

| Circuit | County | Juvenile Case Filings* — By Type of Case | | | | | Juvenile Case Dispositions* — By Type of Case | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | Delinquency | | | | | |
| | | Delinquent | Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention | Dependent | Neglected/ Abused | Total | General | 702 Hearings — Granting Transfer to Be Tried As An Adult** | Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention | Dependent | Neglected/ Abused | Total |
| 12th | Iroquois | 38 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 50 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 35 |
| | Kankakee | 86 | 1 | 11 | 58 | 156 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 60 | 180 |
| | Will | 292 | 2 | 8 | 83 | 385 | 396 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 99 | 511 |
| 12th | Circuit Total | 416 | 3 | 23 | 149 | 591 | 529 | 6 | 3 | 23 | 165 | 726 |
| 13th | Bureau | 72 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 90 | 68 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 92 |
| | Grundy | 38 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 56 | 72 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 90 |
| | LaSalle | 110 | 1 | 2 | 65 | 178 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 70 | 205 |
| 13th | Circuit Total | 220 | 7 | 4 | 93 | 324 | 272 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 103 | 387 |
| 14th | Henry | 56 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 71 | 68 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 85 |
| | Mercer | 43 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 48 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 48 |
| | Rock Island | 64 | 1 | 95 | | 160 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 60 | | 136 |
| | Whiteside | 104 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 125 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 97 |
| 14th | Circuit Total | 267 | 2 | 135 | | 404 | 262 | 1 | 2 | 101 | | 366 |
| 15th | Carroll | 19 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 35 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 38 |
| | Jo Daviess | 15 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 22 |
| | Lee | 77 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 89 | 79 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 91 |
| | Ogle | 55 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 77 | 44 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 62 |
| | Stephenson | 82 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 110 | 80 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 20 | 105 |
| 15th | Circuit Total | 248 | 6 | 13 | 65 | 332 | 241 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 58 | 318 |
| 16th | DeKalb | 83 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 116 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 121 |
| | Kane | 352 | 0 | 21 | 120 | 493 | 482 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 151 | 657 |
| | Kendall | 40 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 64 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 131 |
| 16th | Circuit Total | 475 | 0 | 21 | 177 | 673 | 659 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 225 | 909 |
| 17th | Boone | 34 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 47 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 48 |
| | Winnebago | 325 | 0 | 9 | 130 | 464 | 258 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 115 | 387 |
| 17th | Circuit Total | 359 | 0 | 9 | 143 | 511 | 292 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 129 | 435 |
| 18th | DuPage | 424 | 5 | 14 | 130 | 573 | 508 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 185 | 718 |
| 18th | Circuit Total | 424 | 5 | 14 | 130 | 573 | 508 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 185 | 718 |
| 19th | Lake | 357 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 360 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 115 | 584 |
| | McHenry | 139 | 4 | 0 | 59 | 202 | 142 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 60 | 210 |
| 19th | Circuit Total | 496 | 4 | 0 | 62 | 562 | 601 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 175 | 794 |
| 20th | Monroe | 25 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 24 |
| | Perry | 7 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| | Randolph | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 |
| | St. Clair | 399 | 22 | 30 | 115 | 566 | 791 | 4 | 25 | 45 | 303 | 1,168 |
| | Washington | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 20th | Circuit Total | 453 | 24 | 31 | 125 | 633 | 840 | 4 | 27 | 47 | 309 | 1,227 |
| | Downstate Total | 6,609 | 150 | 2,633 | | 9,392 | 8,112 | 50 | 153 | 3,096 | | 11,411 |
| | Cook County | 13,785 | 46 | 3,866 | | 17,697 | 16,622 | 35 | 313*** | 4,117 | | 21,087 |
| | State Total | 20,394 | 196 | 6,499 | | 27,089 | 24,734 | 85 | 466 | 7,213 | | 32,498 |

*Current reporting procedures followed by the Clerks of the Circuit Courts require only the identification of the number of cases filed and the numer of cases disposed of. Breakdowns by type of case were acquired either through the county's probation department or through the county's State's Attorney's office.

**Does not include cases now automatically prosecuted under criminal law, which previously would have been heard as juvenile cases.

***Includes cases previously identified as minors in need of supervision.

Note: No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2—3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1983.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES - continued

| Circuit | Counties | Type of Dispositional Order Imposed | | | | | | | | | Juvenile Probation Activity** | | | *** Supervision Caseload Dec. 31, 1983 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Children & Family Services | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities | ***** Commitment to a Local Juvenile Detention Center | Placed on Probation or Conditional Discharge | **** Guardian Appointed | Placed on Supervision | | Total | Investigations Completed | Juveniles Added to Probation Caseload | Juveniles Dropped From Probation Caseload | |
| | | | | | | | | Sec 4-7 | Sec 5-2 | | | | | |
| 1st | Alexander | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 45 | 25 | 23 | 28 |
| | Jackson | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 36 | 11 | 13 | 24 | 28 |
| | Johnson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| | Massac | 1 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 4 | 12 | 15 | 13 |
| | Pope | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Pulaski | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 15 |
| | Saline | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 22 | 21 | 20 |
| | Union | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 20 | 13 |
| | Williamson | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 39 | 9 | 162 | 161 | 80 |
| 1st | Circuit Total | 12 | 39 | 0 | 4 | 78 | 8 | 39 | 22 | 202 | 98 | 252 | 280 | 201 |
| 2nd | Crawford | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 26 | 13 | 30 | 21 | 22 |
| | Edwards | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 22 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 14 |
| | Franklin | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 17 | 25 |
| | Gallatin | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 5 |
| | Hamilton | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 7 |
| | Hardin | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| | Jefferson | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 46 | 15 | 32 | 27 | 48 |
| | Lawrence | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 8 |
| | Richland | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Wabash | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| | Wayne | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 26 | 1 | 40 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| | White | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 17 |
| 2nd | Circuit Total | 8 | 44 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 9 | 72 | 15 | 219 | 68 | 137 | 127 | 159 |
| 3rd | Bond | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| | Madison* | 9 | 89 | 0 | 88 | 97 | 8 | 111 | 0 | 402 | 38 | 346 | 425 | 244 |
| 3rd | Circuit Total | 9 | 89 | 0 | 88 | 99 | 17 | 111 | 0 | 413 | 39 | 348 | 431 | 246 |
| 4th | Christian | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 90 |
| | Clay | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 6 |
| | Clinton | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 8 | 6 | 26 |
| | Effingham | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 31 | 23 | 18 |
| | Fayette | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 57 | 6 | 52 | 27 | 45 |
| | Jasper[d] | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| | Marion | 2 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 95 | 7 | 63 | 19 | 96 |
| | Montgomery | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 16 | 45 | 19 |
| | Shelby | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 12 |
| 4th | Circuit Total | 4 | 61 | 1 | 8 | 122 | 24 | 54 | 5 | 279 | 62 | 203 | 161 | 317 |
| 5th | Clark | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 17 |
| | Coles | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 82 | 10 | 73 | 45 | 84 |
| | Cumberland | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| | Edgar | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 16 | 28 | 20 |
| | Vermilion | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 119 | 86 | 105 | 88 | 91 |
| 5th | Circuit Total | 21 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 3 | 67 | 2 | 264 | 100 | 212 | 178 | 218 |

*Indicates the County operates a juvenile detention home. See last page of this table for further comments.

**Does include some post-trial proceedings.

***Includes, in addition, all cases under supervision outside of county of jurisdiction.

****Includes placement with individual, private agency, or private institution.

*****Includes 30 day dispositional detention orders.

[d] County did not supply data. Information received from other sources.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES - continued

| Circuit | County | Type of Dispositional Order Imposed | | | | | | | | | Juvenile Probation Activity** | | | *** Supervision Caseload Dec. 31, 1983 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Children & Family Services | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities | ***** Commitment to a Local Juvenile Detention Center | Placed on Probation or Conditional Discharge | **** Guardian Appointed | Placed on Supervision | | Total | Investigations Completed | Juveniles Added to Probation Caseload | Juveniles Dropped From Probation Caseload | |
| | | | | | | | | Sec. 4-7 | Sec. 5-2 | | | | | |
| 6th | Champaign* | 22 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 234 | 356 | 226 | 213 | 202 |
| | DeWitt | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 37 | 27 | 30 | 22 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 34 |
| | Macon | 15 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 59 | 6 | 71 | 0 | 166 | 213 | 136 | 169 | 175 |
| | Moultrie | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 27 | 43 | 8 |
| | Piatt | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 28 |
| 6th | Circuit Total | 38 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 156 | 8 | 229 | 4 | 469 | 635 | 453 | 488 | 469 |
| 7th | Greene | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 5 |
| | Jersey | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 15 | 11 |
| | Macoupin | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 11 | 69 | 47 | 95 |
| | Morgan | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 22 | 40 | 35 | 41 |
| | Sangamon* | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 114 | 436 | 102 | 99 | 123 |
| | Scott[a] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 7th | Circuit Total | 17 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 10 | 41 | 7 | 211 | 487 | 227 | 210 | 275 |
| 8th | Adams* | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 29 | 5 | 58 | 37 | 108 | 138 | 69 |
| | Brown | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| | Calhoun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Cass | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 43 | 30 | 29 | 10 | 48 |
| | Mason | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 34 | 11 | 19 | 38 | 50 |
| | Menard | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| | Pike | 2 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 43 | 8 | 51 | 29 | 97 |
| | Schuyler | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 8 |
| 8th | Circuit Total | 10 | 34 | 1 | 7 | 56 | 10 | 79 | 11 | 208 | 94 | 228 | 239 | 285 |
| 9th | Fulton | 1 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 25 | 6 | 77 | 86 | 89 | 116 | 100 |
| | Hancock | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 67 | 102 | 40 |
| | Henderson | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 7 |
| | Knox* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 71 | 77 | 107 | 56 |
| | McDonough | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 108 | 33 | 37 | 22 |
| | Warren | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 18 | 23 | 41 | 31 |
| 9th | Circuit Total | 5 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 85 | 5 | 38 | 7 | 162 | 299 | 297 | 415 | 256 |
| 10th | Marshall | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| | Peoria* | 15 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 221 | 22 | 96 | 11 | 383 | 131 | 355 | 227 | 564 |
| | Putnam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Stark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| | Tazewell | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37 | 2 | 29 | 1 | 76 | 107 | 91 | 84 | 66 |
| 10th | Circuit Total | 19 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 263 | 24 | 134 | 12 | 474 | 240 | 458 | 316 | 637 |
| 11th | Ford | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 16 | 39 | 28 |
| | Livingston | 0 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 57 | 55 | 58 | 39 | 84 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 30 | 18 | 28 | 37 | 29 |
| | McLean | 4 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 79 | 49 | 18 | 16 | 198 | 259 | 176 | 153 | 175 |
| | Woodford | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 3 | 12 | 51 | 38 | 29 | 31 | 30 |
| 11th | Circuit Total | 4 | 51 | 1 | 31 | 121 | 75 | 38 | 39 | 360 | 376 | 307 | 299 | 346 |
| 12th | Iroquois | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 35 | 13 | 27 | 23 | 54 |
| | Kankakee | 8 | 35 | 0 | 7 | 62 | 34 | 30 | 0 | 176 | 140 | 92 | 89 | 150 |
| | Will | 12 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 3 | 37 | 0 | 336 | 21 | 236 | 231 | 158 |
| 12th | Circuit Total | 26 | 151 | 0 | 9 | 244 | 42 | 71 | 4 | 547 | 174 | 355 | 343 | 362 |

*Indicates the County operates a juvenile detention home. See last page of this table for further comments.
**Does include some post-trial proceedings.
***Includes, in addition, all cases under supervision outside of county of jurisdiction.
****Includes placement with individual, private agency, or private institution.
*****Includes 30 day dispositional detention orders.
[a] County did not supply data. Information received from other sources.

# 1983
# SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES - continued

| | | Type of Dispositional Order Imposed | | | | | | | | | Juvenile Probation Activity** | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Circuit | County | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Children & Family Services | Commitment to the Illinois Department of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities | ***** Commitment to a Local Juvenile Detention Center | Placed on Probation or Conditional Discharge | **** Guardian Appointed | Placed on Supervision Sec. 4-7 | Placed on Supervision Sec. 5-2 | Total | Investigations Completed | Juveniles Added to Probation Caseload | Juveniles Dropped From Probation Caseload | *** Supervision Caseload Dec. 31, 1983 |
| 13th | Bureau | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 44 | 32 | 34 | 52 | 40 |
| | Grundy | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 73 | 170 | 92 | 95 | 113 |
| | LaSalle* | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 48 | 2 | 54 | 0 | 113 | 222 | 148 | 122 | 144 |
| 13th | Circuit Total | 2 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 79 | 19 | 93 | 14 | 230 | 424 | 274 | 269 | 297 |
| 14th | Henry | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 26 | 23 | 85 | 214 | 119 | 85 | 118 |
| | Mercer | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 40 | 72 | 45 | 67 | 38 |
| | Rock Island | 5 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 82 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 136 | 391 | 123 | 138 | 123 |
| | Whiteside | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 59 | 47 | 104 | 141 | 74 |
| 14th | Circuit Total | 11 | 14 | 1 | 14 | 147 | 45 | 65 | 23 | 320 | 724 | 391 | 431 | 353 |
| 15th | Carroll | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 38 | 13 | 34 | 18 | 44 |
| | Jo Daviess | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 21 | 18 | 13 |
| | Lee | 2 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 6 | 40 | 0 | 90 | 15 | 145 | 150 | 120 |
| | Ogle | 1 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 62 | 105 | 49 | 56 | 53 |
| | Stephenson | 9 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 47 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 105 | 97 | 142 | 152 | 184 |
| 15th | Circuit Total | 16 | 69 | 0 | 14 | 81 | 17 | 105 | 11 | 313 | 236 | 391 | 394 | 414 |
| 16th | DeKalb | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 24 | 12 | 95 | 427 | 107 | 124 | 50 |
| | Kane* | 6 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 148 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 255 | 1,118 | 299 | 253 | 171 |
| | Kendall | 1 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 67 | 30 | 80 | 50 | 10 |
| 16th | Circuit Total | 12 | 34 | 0 | 21 | 203 | 4 | 131 | 12 | 417 | 1,575 | 486 | 427 | 231 |
| 17th | Boone | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 13 | 36 |
| | Winnebago* | 18 | 76 | 2 | 34 | 121 | 48 | 63 | 25 | 387 | 879 | 667 | 729 | 440 |
| 17th | Circuit Total | 20 | 79 | 2 | 36 | 132 | 52 | 66 | 25 | 412 | 902 | 687 | 742 | 476 |
| 18th | DuPage* | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 127 | 0 | 175 | 10 | 322 | 996 | 315 | 350 | 255 |
| 18th | Circuit Total | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 127 | 0 | 175 | 10 | 322 | 996 | 315 | 350 | 255 |
| 19th | Lake* | 10 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 104 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 196 | 388 | 279 | 263 | 171 |
| | McHenry | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 62 | 61 | 4 | 192 | 200 | 240 | 300 | 220 |
| 19th | Circuit Total | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 163 | 62 | 142 | 4 | 388 | 588 | 519 | 563 | 391 |
| 20th | Monroe | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 9 |
| | Perry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 16 |
| | Randolph | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 11 | 28 | 21 |
| | St. Clair* | 27 | 93 | 0 | 4 | 104 | 7 | 130 | 91 | 456 | 193 | 209 | 182 | 227 |
| | Washington | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 16 | 7 |
| 20th | Circuit Total | 30 | 96 | 0 | 4 | 126 | 10 | 145 | 102 | 513 | 206 | 249 | 252 | 280 |
| | Downstate Total | 287 | 893 | 9 | 266 | 2,600 | 444 | 1,895 | 329 | 6,723 | 8,323 | 6,789 | 6,915 | 6,468 |
| | Cook County* | 900 | 342 | 0 | 1,079 | 3,664 | 1,769 | 3,152[a] | 36 | 10,942 | 9,941 | 6,663 | 6,758 | 5,447 |
| | State Total | 1,187 | 1,235 | 9 | 1,345 | 6,264 | 2,213 | 5,047 | 365 | 17,665 | 18,264 | 13,452 | 13,673 | 11,915 |

*Indicates the County operates a juvenile detention home. Statewide there are 13 detention homes operated by county governments. The following information gives a personnel count and total intake (juveniles held in secure detention) for each of the 13 facilities.

| County | Detention Home Employees (December 31, 1983) | 1983 Juveniles Held in Secure Detention (Total Intake) | County | Detention Home Employees (December 31, 1983) | 1983 Juveniles Held in Secure Detention (Total Intake) | County | Detention Home Employees (December 31, 1983) | 1983 Juveniles Held in Secure Detention (Total Intake) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Adams | 17 | 83 | Knox | 12 | 68 | Peoria | 14 | 567 |
| Champaign | 10 | 183 | Lake | 32 | 158 | St. Clair | 18 | 591 |
| Cook | 299 | 8,437 | LaSalle | 8 | 168 | Sangamon | 32 | 360 |
| DuPage | 29 | 340 | Madison | 24 | 373 | Winnebago | 29 | 1,190 |
| Kane | 26 | 188 | | | | | | |

**Does include some post-trial proceedings.

***Includes, in addition, all cases under supervision outside of county of jurisdiction.

****Includes placement with individual, private agency, or private institution.

*****Includes 30 day dispositional detention orders.

[a] Includes minors placed on supervision Sec. 5-4 (protective supervision) of the Juvenile Court Act.

## 1983 ADULT AND JUVENILE PROBATION & CONDITIONAL DISCHARGE VIOLATION SUMMARY

| | | Adult | | | | | | Juvenile | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Violations Reported | | Court Action — Technical Violation | | Court Action — New Offense Violation | | Violations Reported | | Court Action— Technical Violation | | Court Action — New Offense Violation | |
| Circuit | County | Technical | New Offense | No Violation | Finding of Violation | No Violation | Finding of Violation | Technical | New Offense | No Violation | Finding of Violation | No Violation | Finding of Violation |
| 1st | Alexander | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Jackson | 29 | 28 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Johnson | 12 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Massac | 19 | 10 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Pope | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pulaski[a] | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Saline | 47 | 36 | 17 | 38 | 9 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| | Union | 19 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Williamson | 76 | 13 | 26 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st | Circuit Total | 213 | 102 | 84 | 88 | 20 | 54 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| 2nd | Crawford | 4 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Edwards | 10 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Franklin | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| | Gallatin | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Hamilton | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hardin | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 5 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Lawrence | 8 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Richland | 30 | 7 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Wabash | 14 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Wayne | 7 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | White | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2nd | Circuit Total | 91 | 70 | 32 | 60 | 7 | 48 | 7 | 24 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| 3rd | Bond | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Madison | 139 | 90 | 33 | 29 | 19 | 29 | 81 | 141 | 19 | 63 | 21 | 99 |
| 3rd | Circuit Total | 145 | 91 | 33 | 36 | 19 | 30 | 82 | 141 | 19 | 64 | 21 | 99 |
| 4th | Christian | 7 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| | Clay | 36 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Clinton | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Effingham | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fayette | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Jasper[a] | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Marion | 50 | 16 | 7 | 75 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| | Montgomery | 17 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Shelby | 8 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4th | Circuit Total | 128 | 57 | 24 | 128 | 6 | 46 | 24 | 21 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 10 |
| 5th | Clark | 14 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Coles | 70 | 32 | 18 | 40 | 4 | 18 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| | Cumberland | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Edgar | 2 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 29 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| | Vermilion | 116 | 26 | 10 | 106 | 4 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 21 |
| 5th | Circuit Total | 208 | 118 | 36 | 159 | 24 | 78 | 43 | 39 | 5 | 23 | 1 | 34 |

[a] County did not supply all necessary data. Information received from other sources.

NOTE: A finding of violation could result in the following sentence imposed: commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections, imprisonment in a local correctional center, re-commitment to probation or conditional discharge, etc.

# 1983 ADULT AND JUVENILE PROBATION & CONDITIONAL DISCHARGE VIOLATION SUMMARY

| Circuit | County | Adult | | | | | | Juvenile | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Violations Reported | | Court Action — Technical Violation | | Court Action — New Offense Violation | | Violations Reported | | Court Action — Technical Violation | | Court Action — New Offense Violation | |
| | | Technical | New Offense | No Violation | Finding of Violation | No Violation | Finding of Violation | Technical | New Offense | No Violation | Finding of Violation | No Violation | Finding of Violation |
| 6th | Champaign | 208 | 25 | 192 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 108 | 28 | 54 | 7 | 4 | 20 |
| | DeWitt | 4 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Douglas | 35 | 25 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Macon | 32 | 143 | 8 | 11 | 27 | 90 | 61 | 66 | 8 | 45 | 7 | 55 |
| | Moultrie | 8 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Piatt | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 6th | Circuit Total | 289 | 212 | 226 | 46 | 57 | 120 | 175 | 109 | 67 | 53 | 15 | 82 |
| 7th | Greene | 17 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jersey | 21 | 36 | 13 | 3 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 26 |
| | Macoupin | 25 | 34 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| | Morgan | 36 | 12 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| | Sangamon | 153 | 53 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 101 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 37 |
| | Scott[a] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7th | Circuit Total | 253 | 151 | 49 | 62 | 32 | 44 | 33 | 143 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 73 |
| 8th | Adams | 52 | 108 | 13 | 16 | 30 | 44 | 27 | 9 | 10 | 38 | 1 | 13 |
| | Brown[a] | 10 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Calhoun | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cass | 28 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| | Mason | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Menard | 41 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 5 |
| | Pike | 28 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Schuyler | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 8th | Circuit Total | 163 | 160 | 21 | 93 | 35 | 75 | 50 | 29 | 12 | 56 | 1 | 27 |
| 9th | Fulton | 5 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Hancock | 8 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Henderson | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Knox | 6 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 19 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| | McDonough | 20 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| | Warren | 12 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 9th | Circuit Total | 53 | 41 | 21 | 20 | 14 | 37 | 11 | 30 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 26 |
| 10th | Marshall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Peoria | 184 | 193 | 23 | 134 | 9 | 165 | 34 | 87 | 25 | 21 | 13 | 66 |
| | Putnam | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Stark | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Tazewell | 84 | 39 | 17 | 59 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 10th | Circuit Total | 272 | 234 | 40 | 198 | 12 | 171 | 38 | 102 | 25 | 23 | 15 | 73 |
| 11th | Ford | 18 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Livingston | 114 | 13 | 46 | 44 | 4 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 3 |
| | Logan | 80 | 27 | 0 | 80 | 5 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | McLean | 153 | 115 | 25 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 98 | 50 | 12 | 46 | 21 | 29 |
| | Woodford | 22 | 24 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 21 |
| 11th | Circuit Total | 387 | 199 | 82 | 159 | 38 | 65 | 125 | 83 | 14 | 66 | 23 | 53 |

[a] County did not supply all necessary data. Information received from other sources.

NOTE A finding of violation could result in the following sentence imposed: commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections, imprisonment in a local correctional center, re-commitment to probation or conditional discharge, etc.

# 1983 ADULT AND JUVENILE PROBATION & CONDITIONAL DISCHARGE VIOLATION SUMMARY

| | | Adult | | | | | | Juvenile | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Violations Reported | | Court Action — Technical Violation | | Court Action — New Offense Violation | | Violations Reported | | Court Action— Technical Violation | | Court Action — New Offense Violation | |
| Circuit | County | Technical | New Offense | No Violation | Finding of Violation | No Violation | Finding of Violation | Technical | New Offense | No Violation | Finding of Violation | No Violation | Finding of Violation |
| 12th | Iroquois | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| | Kankakee | 50 | 5 | 9 | 41 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 65 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 63 |
| | Will | 57 | 3 | 4 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 33 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 28 |
| 12th | Circuit Total | 109 | 13 | 13 | 64 | 1 | 12 | 33 | 105 | 10 | 20 | 8 | 98 |
| 13th | Bureau | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| | Grundy[a] | 10 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| | LaSalle | 35 | 32 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 69 | 23 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 23 |
| 13th | Circuit Total | 47 | 45 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 72 | 36 | 1 | 71 | 2 | 34 |
| 14th | Henry | 51 | 30 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 23 | 27 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 22 |
| | Mercer | 17 | 12 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| | Rock Island | 117 | 34 | 48 | 69 | 6 | 21 | 7 | 27 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 18 |
| | Whiteside | 19 | 29 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 24 | 73 | 25 | 21 | 36 | 4 | 21 |
| 14th | Circuit Total | 204 | 105 | 60 | 101 | 8 | 74 | 108 | 81 | 24 | 60 | 7 | 63 |
| 15th | Carroll | 24 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| | Jo Daviess | 21 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lee | 71 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| | Ogle | 46 | 42 | 17 | 20 | 32 | 13 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 4 |
| | Stephenson | 164 | [a] | 49 | 68 | 1 | 3 | 26 | 11 | 9 | 20 | 1 | 7 |
| 15th | Circuit Total | 326 | 105 | 89 | 117 | 44 | 59 | 50 | 44 | 10 | 35 | 2 | 37 |
| 16th | DeKalb | 52 | 18 | 12 | 25 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 70 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 51 |
| | Kane | 188 | 150 | 94 | 47 | 39 | 57 | 51 | 117 | 11 | 27 | 2 | 67 |
| | Kendall | 12 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16th | Circuit Total | 252 | 171 | 106 | 76 | 44 | 69 | 68 | 189 | 18 | 37 | 11 | 120 |
| 17th | Boone | 33 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Winnebago | 173 | 100 | 11 | 56 | 5 | 39 | 50 | 82 | 5 | 37 | 2 | 56 |
| 17th | Circuit Total | 206 | 114 | 14 | 66 | 5 | 45 | 53 | 86 | 5 | 40 | 3 | 59 |
| 18th | DuPage | 527 | 579 | 43 | 159 | 24 | 189 | 82 | 127 | 11 | 60 | 13 | 88 |
| 18th | Circuit Total | 527 | 579 | 43 | 159 | 24 | 189 | 82 | 127 | 11 | 60 | 13 | 88 |
| 19th | Lake | 361 | 323 | 5 | 38 | 4 | 55 | 7 | 31 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 11 |
| | McHenry | 89 | 74 | 39 | 24 | 21 | 46 | 32 | 59 | 13 | 19 | 14 | 45 |
| 19th | Circuit Total | 450 | 397 | 44 | 62 | 25 | 101 | 39 | 90 | 13 | 28 | 15 | 56 |
| 20th | Monroe | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Perry | 16 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Randolph | 32 | 17 | 1 | 24 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | St. Clair | 51 | 96 | 7 | 22 | 47 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| | Washington | 13 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 20th | Circuit Total | 120 | 145 | 10 | 56 | 71 | 47 | 4 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 16 |
| | Downstate Total | 4,443 | 3,109 | 1,042 | 1,764 | 506 | 1,378 | 1,109 | 1,512 | 249 | 684 | 164 | 1,074 |
| | Cook County[a] | 11,997 | | No Violation - 7,872 | | Finding - 3,240 | | 1,140 | | No Violation - 403 | | Finding - 833 | |
| | State Total | 19,549 | | No Violation - 9,420 | | Finding - 6,382 | | 3,761 | | No Violation - 816 | | Finding - 2,591 | |

[a] County did not supply all necessary data. Information received from other sources.

NOTE A finding of violation could result in the following sentence imposed: commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections, imprisonment in a local correctional center, re-commitment to probation or conditional discharge, etc.

# STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR 1983

## TREND OF CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

| Division | Type of Case | | Pending At Start | Filed | Reinstated | Transferred | Total Added | Disposed Of | Pending At End | Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| COUNTY DEPARTMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| L A W | Ad Damnum Over $15,000 | Jury | 55,872 | 5,111 | 2,934 | +12,972 | 21,017 | 20,594 | 56,295[a] | +423 |
| | | Non-Jury | 15,224 | 20,135 | 1,432 | -12,972 | 8,595 | 7,365 | 16,454[a] | +1,230 |
| | Tax | | 1,774 | 871 | 944 | 0 | 1,815 | 1,558 | 1,800[b] | +26 |
| | Condemnation | | 391 | 107 | 18 | 0 | 125 | 158 | 358 | -33 |
| | Miscellaneous Remedy | | 4,583 | 3,318 | 263 | 0 | 3,581 | 3,299 | 5,096[c] | +513 |
| | Sub-Total | | 77,844 | 29,542 | 5,591 | 0 | 35,133 | 32,974 | 80,003[a] | +2,159 |
| Chancery | Chancery | | 10,409 | 10,986 | 1,213 | 0 | 12,199 | 12,241[d] | 11,746[e] | +1,337 |
| Domestic Relations | Domestic Relations | | 12,710 | 25,760 | 3,816 | 0 | 29,576 | 29,694 | 12,592[f] | -118 |
| C O U N T Y | Tax | | 38,078 | 21,881 | 0 | 0 | 21,881 | 16,939 | 42,902[g] | +4,824 |
| | Mental Health | | 80 | 5,530 | 0 | 0 | 5,530 | 5,498 | 111[h] | +31 |
| | Adoption and Marriage of Minors | | 927 | 2,161 | 0 | 0 | 2,161 | 2,133 | 974[i] | +47 |
| | Municipal Corporations and Election Matters | | 270 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 277[j] | +7 |
| | Sub-Total | | 39,355 | 29,590 | 0 | 0 | 29,590 | 24,579 | 44,264 | +4,909 |
| Probate | Estates, Guardianships, and Disabled Adults | | 19,716 | 10,925 | 0 | 0 | 10,925 | 10,023 | 20,618 | +902 |
| Juvenile | Delinquency, Dependency, Neglected, and Minors in Need of Authoritative Intervention | | 10,703 | 17,697 | 99 | 0 | 17,796 | 21,087 | 7,810[k] | -2,893 |
| Criminal | Felony (Indictment & Information) | | 6,766 | 13,257[l] | 2,864[m] | 0 | 16,121 | 15,572[n] | 7,315 | +549 |
| Support | Reciprocal Non-Support, Etc | | 9,537 | 2,646[o] | 15,503[o] | 0 | 18,149 | 18,048 | 9,638 | +101 |
| County Department Sub-Total | | | 187,040 | 140,403 | 29,086 | 0 | 169,489 | 164,218 | 193,986 | +6,946 |
| MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| D I S T R I C T ONE THRU SIX | Law Ad Damnum $15,000 or Less | Jury | 15,475 | 4,426 | 451 | +2,701 | 7,578 | 10,482 | 12,590[p] | -2,885 |
| | | Non-Jury | 74,650 | 108,747 | 788 | -2,310 | 107,225 | 131,531 | 50,318[q] | -24,332 |
| | Small Claims | | 10,977 | 78,158 | 702 | -391 | 78,469 | 75,063 | 14,158[r] | +3,181 |
| | Tax | | 82,941 | 0 | 2,124 | 0 | 2,124 | 23,498[s] | 61,260[t] | -21,681 |
| | Foreign Judgments, Auto Forfeitures, etc. (Dist. 1) | | 0 | 1,140 | 0 | 0 | 1,140 | 1,151 | 498[u] | +498 |
| | Felony (Information) | | 702 | 6,192 | 1,724[v] | 0 | 7,916 | 7,345[w] | 1,361[x] | +659 |
| | Felony (Preliminary Hearings) | | 23,575 | 37,904 | 0 | 0 | 37,904 | 42,284 | 28,943[y] | +5,368 |
| | Housing | | 20,313 | 7,858 | 1 | 0 | 7,859 | 7,809 | 20,363 | +50 |
| | Paternity | | 19,087 | 21,533 | 1,177 | 0 | 22,710 | 26,696 | 15,456[z] | -3,631 |
| | Misdemeanors, Ordinance Violations, and Conservation Violations | | 102,830 | 401,163 | 0 | 0 | 401,163 | 399,033 | 68,858[y] | -33,972 |
| | Traffic | | | 5,622,561 | 0 | 0 | 5,622,561 | 3,475,194 | | |
| Municipal Department Sub-Totals | | | 350,550 | 6,289,682 | 6,967 | 0 | 6,296,649 | 4,200,086 | 273,805 | -76,745 |
| Grand Total | | | 537,590 | 6,430,085 | 36,053 | 0 | 6,466,138 | 4,364,304 | 467,791 | -69,799 |

FOOTNOTES: (a) Does not include 495 law jury and 263 law non-jury cases on special calendars (military, appeal, bankruptcy, and insurance liquidation); (b) An adjustment of -231 cases originally filed as tax cases which have been transferred to the Miscellaneous Section and are to be heard and handled as miscellaneous remedies actions; (c) An adjustment of +231 cases originally filed as tax cases which have been transferred to the Miscellaneous Section and are to be heard and handled as miscellaneous remedies actions; (d) Includes the dispositions entered as a result of the Chancery Calendar Call now held in March; (e) An adjustment of +1,379 cases as a result of a physical inventory of pending cases; (f) Indicates a comprehensive inventory was taken during the year showing the cases assigned to judges, those cases yet to be assigned, and those cases on the dormant calendar; (g) An adjustment of -118 cases as a result of a physical inventory; (h) An adjustment of -1 case as a result of a physical inventory; (i) An adjustment of +19 cases as a result of a physical inventory; (j) An adjustment of -2 cases as a result of a physical inventory; (k) An adjustment of +398 cases as results of two physical counts of pending cases; (l) Includes 988 indictments filed and which were transferred during the year to Districts Two through Six; (m) Includes cases transferred from Districts One through Six to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.; (n) Includes cases transferred from the Criminal Division to Districts Two through Six; (o) Indicates an audit was taken on all new filings in the Support Division. Only Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement Support Act cases are to be reported as new cases in this Division with all other matters shown as reinstatements; (p) Adjustments of +34 cases in District Two, -24 cases in District Three, +10 cases in District Five, and -1 case in District Six as results of physical inventories; (q) Adjustments of -25 cases in District Two, -4 cases in District Three, and +3 cases in District Five as results of physical inventories; (r) Adjustments of -208 cases in District Two and -17 cases in District Five as results of physical inventories; (s) Includes 14,681 District One cases which were previously disposed of prior to 1983 and not reported earlier; (t) Adjustment of -307 cases in District Three as a result of a physical inventory; (u) Indicates an inventory was taken for the first time of all pending auto and currency forfeitures. The foreign judgments included in this category are filed and disposed of when registered; (v) Includes cases transferred from the Criminal Division; (w) Includes cases transferred from one district to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.; (x) Adjustments of +42 cases in District Two and +46 cases in District Three as results of physical inventories; (y) Indicates computer adjustments as results of continuous inventories on pending felony preliminary hearings, misdemeanors, ordinance, and conservation violations; (z) Adjustments of +34 cases in District Two, +111 cases in District Three, +42 cases in District Four, and +188 cases in District Six; and (aa) Indicates an effort is underway to restructure the reporting procedures in the County Division. Orders for protection in 1984 are to be shown and reported in the category with adoptions and petitions for the marriages of minors.

# TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

| | | Pending At Start | Filed | Rein-stated | Trans-ferred | Total Added | Disposed of | Pending At End | Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW JURY CASES $15,000 OR LESS | DIST. 1 | 14,166 | 4,293 | 295 | +1585 | 6,173 | 8,881 | 11,458 | -2,708 |
| | DIST. 2 | 119 | 29 | 30 | +75 | 134 | 158 | 129[c] | +10 |
| | DIST. 3 | 271 | 22 | 10 | +304 | 336 | 365 | 218[d] | -53 |
| | DIST. 4 | 297 | 20 | 77 | +242 | 339 | 300 | 336 | +39 |
| | DIST. 5 | 226 | 20 | 16 | +164 | 200 | 293 | 143[f] | -83 |
| | DIST. 6 | 396 | 42 | 23 | +331 | 396 | 485 | 306[g] | -90 |
| LAW NON-JURY CASES $15,000 OR LESS | DIST. 1 | 72,935 | 104,879 | 350 | -1,332 | 103,897 | 128,180 | 48,652 | -24,283 |
| | DIST. 2 | 272 | 723 | 110 | -74 | 759 | 558 | 448[c] | +176 |
| | DIST. 3 | 339 | 778 | 176 | -243 | 711 | 762 | 284[d] | -55 |
| | DIST. 4 | 396 | 716 | 38 | -205 | 549 | 601 | 344 | -52 |
| | DIST. 5 | 308 | 651 | 45 | -164 | 532 | 588 | 255[f] | -53 |
| | DIST. 6 | 400 | 1,000 | 69 | -292 | 777 | 842 | 335 | -65 |
| SMALL CLAIMS | DIST. 1 | 5,128 | 59,867 | 255 | -233 | 59,889 | 55,207 | 9,810 | +4,682 |
| | DIST. 1 PRO SE | 2,714 | 4,904 | 100 | -20 | 4,984 | 6,612[j] | 1,086 | -1,628 |
| | DIST. 2 | 529 | 2,008 | 4 | -1 | 2,011 | 1,579 | 753[c] | +224 |
| | DIST. 3 | 589 | 2,022 | 66 | -61 | 2,027 | 2,297 | 319 | -270 |
| | DIST. 4 | 488 | 2,078 | 80 | -37 | 2,121 | 2,092 | 517 | +29 |
| | DIST. 5 | 439 | 1,849 | 89 | 0 | 1,938 | 1,848 | 512[f] | +73 |
| | DIST. 6 | 1,090 | 5,430 | 108 | -39 | 5,499 | 5,428 | 1,161 | +71 |
| TAX*** | DIST. 1 | 74,369 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 107 | 17,070[j] | 57,406 | -16,963 |
| | DIST. 2 | 2,799 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1,482 | 1,324 | -1,475 |
| | DIST. 3 | 2,725 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 246 | 2,326 | 338[d] | -2,387 |
| | DIST. 4 | 455 | 0 | 1,395 | 0 | 1,395 | 596 | 1,254 | +799 |
| | DIST. 5 | 1,180 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 175 | 1,184 | 171 | -1,009 |
| | DIST. 6 | 1,413 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 194 | 840 | 767 | -646 |
| FOREIGN JUDGMENTS, AUTO FORFEITURES, ETC. | DIST. 1 | 0 | 1,140 | 0 | 0 | 1,140 | 1,151 | 498[h] | +498 |
| FELONY (INDICTMENT & INFORMATION) | DIST. 1 | 3 | 3,348 | 0 | 0 | 3,348 | 3,347 | 4 | +1 |
| | DIST. 2 | 152 | 584 | 347 | 0 | 931 | 875 | 250[c] | +98 |
| | DIST. 3 | 151 | 592 | 120 | 0 | 712 | 674 | 235[d] | +84 |
| | DIST. 4 | 161 | 464 | 580 | 0 | 1,044 | 847 | 358 | +197 |
| | DIST. 5 | 7 | 442 | 196 | 0 | 638 | 598 | 47 | +40 |
| | DIST. 6 | 228 | 762 | 481 | 0 | 1,243 | 1,004 | 467 | +239 |
| FELONY (PRELIMINARY HEARINGS) | DIST. 1 | 18,378 | 30,261 | 0 | 0 | 30,261 | 33,914 | 26,129[a] | +7,751 |
| | DIST. 2 | 1,182 | 1,406 | 0 | 0 | 1,406 | 1,537 | 539[a] | -643 |
| | DIST. 3 | 1,520 | 1,794 | 0 | 0 | 1,794 | 1,764 | 824[a] | -696 |
| | DIST. 4 | 344 | 1,414 | 0 | 0 | 1,414 | 1,832 | 546[a] | +202 |
| | DIST. 5 | 286 | 1,374 | 0 | 0 | 1,374 | 1,384 | 278[a] | -8 |
| | DIST. 6 | 1,865 | 1,655 | 0 | 0 | 1,655 | 1,853 | 627[a] | -1,238 |
| HOUSING | DIST. 1 | 20,283 | 7,801 | 0 | 0 | 7,801 | 7,757 | 20,327 | +44 |
| | DIST. 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | — |
| | DIST. 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | — |
| | DIST. 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | — |
| | DIST. 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | — |
| | DIST. 6 | 30 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 52 | 36 | +6 |
| PATERNITY | DIST. 1 | 18,135 | 19,450 | 1,123 | 0 | 20,573 | 24,631 | 14,077 | -4,058 |
| | DIST. 2 | 43 | 151 | 7 | 0 | 158 | 154 | 61[c] | +18 |
| | DIST. 3 | 87 | 142 | 2 | 0 | 144 | 161 | 181[d] | +94 |
| | DIST. 4 | 183 | 577 | 12 | 0 | 589 | 462 | 352[e] | +169 |
| | DIST. 5 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | — |
| | DIST. 6 | 639 | 1,213 | 33 | 0 | 1,246 | 1,288 | 785[g] | +146 |

# TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983 — continued

| | | Pending At Start | Filed | Rein-stated | Trans-ferred | Total Added | Disposed of | Pending At End | Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MISDEMEANORS, ORDINANCE VIOLATIONS, & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS | DIST. 1 | 78,510 | 352,296 | 0 | 0 | 352,296 | 344,911 | 56,226[a] | -22,284 |
| | DIST. 2 | 2,751 | 6,299 | 0 | 0 | 6,299 | 6,983 | 1,518[a] | -1,233 |
| | DIST. 3 | 6,646 | 10,351 | 0 | 0 | 10,351 | 12,257 | 3,237[a] | -3,409 |
| | DIST. 4 | 4,085 | 8,016 | 0 | 0 | 8,016 | 8,324 | 1,898[a] | -2,187 |
| | DIST. 5 | 4,836 | 9,370 | 0 | 0 | 9,370 | 11,032 | 2,027[a] | -2,809 |
| | DIST. 6 | 6,002 | 14,831 | 0 | 0 | 14,831 | 15,526 | 3,952[a] | -2,050 |
| TRAFFIC[b] | DIST. 1 | | 794,847 | 0 | 0 | 794,847 | 868,412 | | |
| | DIST. 1 HANG-ON | | 4,062,917 | 0 | 0 | 4,062,917 | 1,862,798 | | |
| | DIST. 2 | | 138,488 | 0 | 0 | 138,488 | 145,785 | | |
| | DIST. 3 | | 188,476 | 0 | 0 | 188,476 | 169,357 | | |
| | DIST. 4 | | 146,518 | 0 | 0 | 146,518 | 135,683 | | |
| | DIST. 5 | | 152,981 | 0 | 0 | 152,981 | 152,487 | | |
| | DIST. 6 | | 138,334 | 0 | 0 | 138,334 | 140,672 | | |
| DISTRICT TOTALS | DIST. 1 | 304,621 | 5,446,003 | 2,230 | 0 | 5,448,233 | 3,362,871 | 245,673 | -58,948 |
| | DIST. 2 | 7,847 | 149,688 | 505 | 0 | 150,193 | 159,111 | 5,022 | -2,825 |
| | DIST. 3 | 12,328 | 204,177 | 620 | 0 | 204,797 | 189,963 | 5,636 | -6,692 |
| | DIST. 4 | 6,409 | 159,803 | 2,182 | 0 | 161,985 | 150,737 | 5,605 | -804 |
| | DIST. 5 | 7,282 | 166,687 | 521 | 0 | 167,208 | 169,414 | 3,433 | -3,849 |
| | DIST. 6 | 12,063 | 163,324 | 909 | 0 | 164,233 | 167,990 | 8,436 | -3,627 |
| GRAND TOTALS | | 350,550 | 6,289,682 | 6,967 | 0 | 6,296,649 | 4,200,086 | 273,805 | -76,745 |

FOOTNOTES: (*) Housing matters are filed and disposed of as general law cases in Districts Two thru Five; (**) All paternity matters in District Five are filed and disposed of in District Four. (***) Indicates after December 31, 1980, personal property tax cases in the Municipal Department will no longer be filed; (a) Indicates computer adjustments as results of continuous inventories on pending felony preliminary hearings, misdemeanors, ordinance, and conservation violations; (b) Includes both moving and parking violations; (c) Adjustments from case inventories of +34 law jury cases, -25 law non-jury cases, -208 small claims cases, +42 felony cases, and +14 paternity cases; (d) Adjustments from case inventories of -24 law jury cases, -4 law non-jury cases, -307 tax cases, +46 felony cases; and +111 paternity cases; (e) Adjustment from a case inventory of +42 paternity cases; (f) Adjustments from case inventories of +10 law jury cases, +3 law non-jury cases and -17 small claims cases; (g) Adjustments from case inventories of -1 law jury case and +188 paternity cases; (h) Indicates an inventory was taken for the first time on all pending auto and currency forfeitures. The foreign judgments included in this category are filed and disposed of when registered; (i) Includes 14,681 District One cases which were previously disposed of prior to 1983 and not reported earlier; and (j) Includes dispositions entered as a result of a no-progress call in early 1983.

# LAW

## IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES DURING 1983

### AGE OF PENDING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1983

| | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Totals |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES OVER $15,000 | Jury | Number Pending | 6,105 | 7,914 | 7,697 | 7,887 | 12,624 | 14,068 | 56,295* |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 10.8% | 14.1% | 13.7% | 14.0% | 22.4% | 25.0% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 280 | 557 | 1,876 | 2,997 | 2,751 | 7,993 | 16,454* |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 1.7% | 3.4% | 11.4% | 18.2% | 16.7% | 48.6% | 100.0% |

*Does not include 495 law jury and 263 law non-jury cases on special calendars.

### AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

| | Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of Verdicts Reached During the Period | Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Verdict* | | |
| Calendar | | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| Standard | 696 | 98 | 1 | 35.1 |
| Special | 2** | 77 | 62 | 69.5 |
| Total | 698*** | 98 | 1 | 35.2 |

*Reflects time case is handled in the Jury Trial Section and does not include time on special calendars.
**Indicates cases which were at one time on a special calendar.
***Does not include 3 verdicts on condemnation suits and 6 verdicts on miscellaneous remedy cases heard and disposed of by judges in the Jury Trial Section and the Miscellaneous Section.

| | Law Jury Cases Disposed Of By Any Means Including Verdict | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total Number of Cases Disposed of During the Period | Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition | | |
| Calendar | | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| Standard | 20,437 | 127 | 1 | 27.8 |
| Special | 157* | 117 | 5 | 29.0 |
| Total | 20,594 | 127 | 1 | 27.8** |

*Indicates cases placed on special calendars and does not include jury verdicts reached during the month which were at one time on a special calendar.
**Does not reflect time on special calendars.

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, LAW JURY CASES DURING 1983

## AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

| | | Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict* | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Number of Verdicts Reached During The Period | Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Verdict | | |
| | | | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| District One | Personal Injury | 132** | 81.0 | 4.0 | 42.5 |
| | Torts, Contracts, etc. | 258 | 83.1 | 0.9 | 33.0 |
| | Sub-total | 390 | 83.1 | 0.9 | 36.2 |
| District Two | — | 17 | 45.3 | 3.5 | 21.2 |
| District Three | — | 28** | 28.8 | 5.2 | 13.6 |
| District Four | — | 18 | 47.6 | 3.4 | 20.9 |
| District Five | — | 15 | 25.4 | 11.3 | 16.3 |
| District Six | — | 27 | 53.7 | 0.9 | 21.3 |
| TOTAL | | 495*** | 83.1 | 0.9 | 32.4 |

*Includes all small claims jury cases.

**Includes 26 verdicts on transfer cases from other divisions or districts.

***Includes 26 verdicts on small claims cases transferred to the jury call.

| | | Law Jury Cases Disposed Of By Any Means Including Verdict* | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Total Number of Cases Disposed of During The Period | Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition | | |
| | | | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| District One | Personal Injury | 3,486 | 98.9 | 0.5 | 33.1 |
| | Torts, Contracts, etc. | 5,395 | 158.6 | 0.1 | 26.3 |
| | Sub-total | 8,881 | 158.6 | 0.1 | 29.0 |
| District Two | — | 158 | 57.2 | 0.7 | 13.4 |
| District Three | — | 365 | 81.1 | 1.0 | 12.7 |
| District Four | — | 300 | 62.3 | 0.9 | 15.8 |
| District Five | — | 293 | 58.0 | 1.0 | 13.9 |
| District Six | — | 485 | 53.7 | 0.2 | 13.8 |
| TOTAL | | 10,482 | 158.6 | 0.1 | 26.6 |

*Includes all small claims jury cases.

# IN THE LAW DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
# STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES
# DURING JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 1983

## LAW CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Dispositions Credited | Average Number of Judges Sitting | Number of Dispositions | | Number of Dispositions Per Judge | | Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Jury | Non-Jury[a] | Jury | Non-Jury[a] | Jury | Non-Jury[a] |
| Assignment Judges | 2 | 4,246 | 2,372 | 2,123 | 1,186 | 33.3 | 22.3 |
| Pre-Trial Judges | 6[b] | 2,063 | 57 | 344 | 9 | 31.3 | 19.1 |
| Pre-Trial Mediation Judges | 10[c] | 5,297 | 87 | 530 | 9 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Motion Judges | 5 | 1,393 | 1,349 | 279 | 270 | 15.8 | 6.9 |
| Full-Time Trial Judges* | 42 | 5,502 | 1,518 | 131 | 36 | 35.9 | 17.0 |
| Part-Time Trial Judges** | 23**** | 194 | 125 | 8 | 5 | 29.3 | 15.2 |
| Progress Call Judges | 2[d] | 1,720 | 1,737 | 860 | 869 | 6.7 | 6.5 |
| Total*** | 90 | 20,415 | 7,245 | 227 | 81 | 27.9 | 14.4 |

*Includes only judges in the Jury Trial Section who spent 75% or more of their time hearing law cases assigned.

**Includes only judges in the Jury Trial Section who spent less than 75% of their time hearing law cases assigned.

***Does not include 28 law cases disposed of by the 3 judges in the Miscellaneous Section, 5 law cases disposed of by the judges in the Tax Section, 1 law case disposed of by the judge in the Surety Section, and 265 law cases placed on special calendars.

****Includes 22 Downstate judges assigned during the period.

[a] Indicates cases where no jury demand has been filed and recorded.

[b] Includes 1 Downstate judge assigned during the period.

[c] Includes 4 Downstate judges assigned during the period.

[d] Includes 1 Downstate judge assigned during the period.

## LAW CASES DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE JURY TRIAL SECTION DURING THE PERIOD

| Law Jury Trial Section | Law Cases Assigned for Trial or Pre-Trial | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total Cases Disposed of | Method of Disposition | | | | Total Cases Returned to Assignment Judge* | Total Cases Assigned |
| | | Dismissed | | Judgment on Finding | Judgment on Verdict | | |
| | | For Want Of Prosecution | By Agreement | | | | |
| Full-Time Trial Judges | 7,020 | 355 | 4,795 | 1,210 | 660 | 5,694 | 9,055 |
| Part-Time Trial Judges** | 319 | 84 | 143 | 54 | 38 | 48 | 349 |
| Total | 7,339 | 439 | 4,938 | 1,264 | 698 | 5,742 | 9,404 |

*Includes mistrials

**Includes actions taken by judges in the Municipal Department who have been assigned law cases from the Law Division

# TAX, CONDEMNATION, MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY

## IN THE LAW DIVISION - TAX & MISCELLANEOUS SECTIONS, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES DURING 1981 - 1983

### TAX CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Year | Total Cases Disposed Of | Method of Disposition | | | | Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing & Date of Disposition |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Dismissed | | Bench Trial | | |
| | | For Want of Prosecution | By Agreement | Finding for Plaintiff | Finding For Defendant | |
| 1981 | 2,101 | 476 | 96 | 1,319 | 210 | 19.9 |
| 1982 | 2,042 | 113 | 125 | 1,626 | 178 | 32.3 |
| 1983 | 1,558 | 191 | 100 | 1,134 | 133 | 30.5 |

### CONDEMNATION CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Year | Total Cases Disposed Of | Method of Disposition | | | | Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing & Date of Disposition |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Dismissed | | Bench Trial | Jury Verdict | |
| | | For Want of Prosecution | By Agreement | | | |
| 1981 | 98 | 40 | 28 | 28 | 2 | 18.7 |
| 1982 | 137 | 29 | 68 | 35 | 5 | 24.6 |
| 1983 | 158 | 33 | 82 | 40 | 3 | 24.1 |

### MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Year | Total Cases Disposed Of | Method of Disposition | | | | Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing & Date of Disposition |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Dismissed | | Bench Trial | Jury Verdict | |
| | | For Want of Prosecution | By Agreement | | | |
| 1981 | 2,121 | 653 | 654 | 812 | 2 | 20.5 |
| 1982 | 2,064 | 522 | 1,042 | 495 | 5 | 15.8 |
| 1983 | 3,299* | 917 | 1,774 | 602 | 6 | 21.2 |

*Indicates a progress call was held during the year.

NOTE: MANY JUDGES WITHIN OTHER SECTIONS OF THE LAW DIVISION ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR SOME OF THESE TAX, CONDEMNATION, AND MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY CASE DISPOSITIONS.

# LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
## DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED ($15,000 OR LESS) JURY AND NON-JURY DURING 1979-1983

### DISTRICT ONE

| Year | Total Cases | Type of Action | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle | Breach of Contract | Tort Action | Forcible Entry & Detainer | Pro Se Small Claims** | Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count) | Replevin | Distress For Rent | General Law or Small Claims* |
| 1979 | 201,892 | 7,207 | 90,950 | 20,770 | 48,669 | 6,342 | 19,797 | 1,050 | 59 | 7,048 |
| 1980 | 198,618 | 6,293 | 91,776 | 22,444 | 43,479 | 5,240 | 22,125 | 1,293 | 44 | 5,924 |
| 1981 | 193,602 | 5,532 | 94,723 | 20,087 | 40,211 | 5,921 | 23,332 | 1,428 | 47 | 2,321 |
| 1982 | 178,990 | 4,937 | 89,197 | 16,851 | 35,624 | 5,459 | 23,366 | 1,515 | 57 | 1,984 |
| 1983 | 173,943 | 4,602 | 87,139 | 15,918 | 34,488 | 4,904 | 24,006 | 1,342 | 31 | 1,513 |

*Includes such actions as confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

**Established a pro se court in District One in 1972.

### DISTRICT TWO

| Year | Total Cases | Type of Action | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle | Breach of Contract | Tort Action | Forcible Entry & Detainer | Pro Se Small Claims** | Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count) | Replevin | Distress For Rent | General Law or Small Claims* |
| 1979 | 1,563 | 628 | 320 | 163 | 365 | ▨ | 57 | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| 1980 | 1,551 | 601 | 294 | 228 | 342 | ▨ | 61 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| 1981 | 2,109 | 902 | 331 | 194 | 548 | ▨ | 83 | 11 | 0 | 40 |
| 1982 | 2,135 | 783 | 408 | 277 | 523 | ▨ | 89 | 6 | 0 | 49 |
| 1983 | 2,760 | 1,063 | 616 | 300 | 632 | ▨ | 95 | 10 | 1 | 43 |

*Includes such actions as confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

▨ Indicates no pro se court is operational in District Two.

### DISTRICT THREE

| Year | Total Cases | Type of Action | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle | Breach of Contract | Tort Action | Forcible Entry & Detainer | Pro Se Small Claims** | Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count) | Replevin | Distress For Rent | General Law or Small Claims* |
| 1979 | 2,606 | 1,005 | 350 | 263 | 600 | 183 | 151 | 7 | 0 | 47 |
| 1980 | 3,039 | 1,200 | 386 | 311 | 582 | 302 | 202 | 10 | 1 | 45 |
| 1981 | 3,557 | 1,113 | 502 | 426 | 816 | 371 | 230 | 13 | 2 | 84 |
| 1982 | 3,163 | 926 | 450 | 402 | 767 | 340 | 213 | 5 | 1 | 59 |
| 1983 | 2,822 | 830 | 398 | 343 | 670 | 297 | 227 | 6 | 1 | 50 |

*Includes such actions as confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

**Established a pro se court in District Three in 1979.

NOTE: SUPREME COURT AMENDED RULE 281 ON JANUARY 5, 1981, RAISING THE UPPER LIMIT OF SMALL CLAIMS CASES FROM $1,000 to $2,500. THE NEW RULE 281 BECAME EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1, 1981.

# LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED ($15,000 OR LESS) — continued JURY AND NON-JURY DURING 1979-1983

### DISTRICT FOUR

| Year | Total Cases | Type of Action | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle | Breach of Contract | Tort Action | Forcible Entry & Detainer | Pro Se Small Claims** | Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count) | Replevin | Distress For Rent | General Law or Small Claims* |
| 1979 | 2.220 | 915 | 362 | 183 | 568 | ▨ | 151 | 4 | 0 | 37 |
| 1980 | 2,410 | 1,022 | 391 | 190 | 585 | ▨ | 175 | 6 | 0 | 41 |
| 1981 | 2.607 | 953 | 418 | 244 | 739 | ▨ | 202 | 10 | 1 | 40 |
| 1982 | 2,679 | 890 | 501 | 401 | 650 | ▨ | 183 | 7 | 0 | 47 |
| 1983 | 2,514 | 853 | 446 | 339 | 631 | ▨ | 201 | 5 | 1 | 38 |

*Includes such actions as confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

▨ Indicates no pro se court is operational in District Four.

### DISTRICT FIVE

| Year | Total Cases | Type of Action | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle | Breach of Contract | Tort Action | Forcible Entry & Detainer | Pro Se Small Claims** | Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count) | Replevin | Distress For Rent | General Law or Small Claims* |
| 1979 | 2,145 | 787 | 277 | 260 | 658 | ▨ | 122 | 6 | 0 | 35 |
| 1980 | 2,495 | 950 | 404 | 255 | 700 | ▨ | 140 | 7 | 0 | 39 |
| 1981 | 2.627 | 902 | 346 | 283 | 869 | ▨ | 165 | 11 | 1 | 50 |
| 1982 | 2.198 | 764 | 335 | 300 | 614 | ▨ | 137 | 6 | 1 | 41 |
| 1983 | 2,520 | 863 | 415 | 310 | 720 | ▨ | 170 | 7 | 0 | 35 |

*Includes such actions as confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

▨ Indicates no pro se court is operational in District Five.

### DISTRICT SIX

| Year | Total Cases | Type of Action | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle | Breach of Contract | Tort Action | Forcible Entry & Detainer | Pro Se Small Claims** | Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count) | Replevin | Distress For Rent | General Law or Small Claims* |
| 1979 | 5,148 | 1.324 | 548 | 400 | 2.323 | ▨ | 496 | 10 | 2 | 45 |
| 1980 | 6.083 | 1,600 | 714 | 499 | 2,610 | ▨ | 591 | 14 | 4 | 51 |
| 1981 | 6,711 | 1,902 | 954 | 431 | 2,627 | ▨ | 710 | 24 | 6 | 57 |
| 1982 | 6,198 | 1,671 | 703 | 454 | 2,553 | 33 | 702 | 19 | 3 | 60 |
| 1983 | 6,472 | 1,615 | 803 | 382 | 2,462 | 381 | 759 | 15 | 3 | 52 |

*Includes such actions as confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

**Established a pro se court in District Six in 1982.

NOTE: SUPREME COURT AMENDED RULE 281 ON JANUARY 5, 1981, RAISING THE UPPER LIMIT OF SMALL CLAIMS CASES FROM $1,000 to $2,500. THE NEW RULE 281 BECAME EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1, 1981.

# LAW

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1983*

## AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

| DISTRICT 1 | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Totals |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS | Jury | Number Pending | 112 | 580 | 979 | 2,167 | 4,079 | 3,541 | 11,458 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 1.0% | 5.1% | 8.5% | 18.9% | 35.6% | 30.9% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 76 | 833 | 1,482 | 5,120 | 7,122 | 34,019 | 48,652 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0.2% | 1.7% | 3.1% | 10.5% | 14.6% | 69.9% | 100.0% |

| DISTRICT 2 | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS | Jury | Number Pending | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 98 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 1.0% | 4.1% | 0 | 0 | 2.0% | 92.9% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 7 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 71 | 380 | 473 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 1.5% | 1.1% | 1.5% | 0.6% | 15.0% | 80.3% | 100.0% |

| DISTRICT 3 | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS | Jury | Number Pending | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 34 | 169 | 218 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0.5% | 0 | 0.5% | 6.0% | 15.6% | 77.4% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 45 | 225 | 284 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0 | 0.4% | 1.4% | 3.2% | 15.8% | 79.2% | 100.0% |

| DISTRICT 4 | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS | Jury | Number Pending | 0 | 5 | 4 | 52 | 98 | 177 | 336 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0 | 1.5% | 1.2% | 15.5% | 29.2% | 52.6% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 2 | 5 | 9 | 49 | 48 | 231 | 344 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0.6% | 1.5% | 2.6% | 14.2% | 14.0% | 67.1% | 100.0% |

| DISTRICT 5 | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS | Jury | Number Pending | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 97 | 143 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.2% | 67.8% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 239 | 255 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4% | 5.9% | 93.7% | 100.0% |

# LAW

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1983* - continued

| DISTRICT 6 | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS | Jury | Number Pending | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 73 | 218 | 306 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0 | 0.6% | 0.3% | 3.9% | 23.9% | 71.3% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 33 | 297 | 335 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5% | 9.9% | 88.6% | 100.0% |

| DISTRICT TOTALS | | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS | Jury | Number Pending | 114 | 591 | 985 | 2,244 | 4,332 | 4,293 | 12,559 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0.9% | 4.7% | 7.8% | 17.9% | 34.5% | 34.2% | 100.0% |
| | Non-Jury | Number Pending | 85 | 844 | 1,502 | 5,187 | 7,334 | 35,391 | 50,343 |
| | | % of Total Pending Inventory | 0.2% | 1.7% | 3.0% | 10.3% | 14.6% | 70.2% | 100.0% |

*No special calendars are operational in the Municipal Department.

NOTE: District totals vary from pending at end figures found on page 188 as a result of case inventories in District Two.

## LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES ($15,000 or less) DURING JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 1983

## CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Dispositions Credited | | | Total Number Of Cased Disposed Of During The Period | | Average Number of Judges Sitting | | Number Of Dispositions Per Judge | | Average Months Elapsed Between Date Of Filing and Date Of Disposition | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Jury | Non-Jury(*) | Jury | Non-Jury(*) | Jury | Non-Jury(*) | Jury | Non-Jury(*) |
| DISTRICT ONE | Personal Injury | Assignment Judge | 1,283 | 1,143 | 1 | | 1,283 | 1,143 | 28.9 | 13.1 |
| | | Pre-Trial Judge | 669 | 29 | 1 | | 669 | 29 | 27.1 | 8.8 |
| | | Full-Time Trial Judges** | 1,463 | 1,349 | 5 | 1 | 293 | 1,349 | 39.5 | 12.7 |
| | | Part-Time Trial Judges*** | 71 | 101 | ****<br>12 | ****<br>3 | 6 | 34 | 33.5 | 11.6 |
| | | Sub-Total | 3,486 | 2,622 | 23 | | 183 | 437 | 33.1 | 12.8 |
| | Torts, Contracts, Etc. | Motion Judge | 707 | 12,017 | 1 | 1 | 707 | 12,017 | 16.0 | ▨ |
| | | Full-Time Trial Judges** | 4,165 | 107,267 | 2 | 12[a] | 2,082 | 8,940 | 29.7 | ▨ |
| | | Part-Time Trial Judges*** | 158 | 6,274 | ****<br>7 | ****<br>17 | 23 | 369 | 18.8 | ▨ |
| | | Sub-Total | 5,030 | 125,558 | 40 | | 503 | 4,185 | 27.4 | ▨ |
| | Forcible Entry & Detainers, Joint Actions, Etc. | Full-Time Trial Judges** | 342 | 54,192 | 4 | | 342 | 13,548 | 11.7 | ▨ |
| | | Part-Time Trial Judges*** | 23 | 1,015 | ****<br>3 | ****<br>6 | 8 | 169 | 10.6 | ▨ |
| | | Sub-Total | 365 | 55,207 | 13 | | 91 | 5,521 | 11.6 | ▨ |
| | Pro Se Small Claims — | Full-Time Trial Judges** | —[b] | 6,612 | — | 1 | — | 6,612 | — | ▨ |
| | Sub-Total | | 8,881 | 189,999 | 77 | | 269 | 4,043 | 29.0 | ▨ |
| District Two | — | Full-Time Judges** | 158 | 2,137 | 1 | | 158 | 2,137 | 13.4 | ▨ |
| District Three | — | Full-Time Judges** | 365 | 3,059 | 1 | 1 | 365 | 3,059 | 12.7 | ▨ |
| District Four | — | Full-Time Judges** | 300 | 2,693 | 2 | | 150 | 1,347 | 15.8 | ▨ |
| District Five | — | Full-Time Judges** | 293 | 2,436 | 2 | | 147 | 1,218 | 13.9 | ▨ |
| District Six | — | Full-Time Judges** | 485 | 6,270 | 2 | | 243 | 3,135 | 13.8 | ▨ |
| Total | | | 10,482 | 206,594 | 86 | | 257 | 2,649 | 26.6 | ▨ |

*Indicates cases in which no jury demand has been filed and recorded.

**Includes only judges who spent 75% or more of their time hearing law or small claims cases assigned.

***Includes only judges who spent less than 75% of their time hearing law or small claims cases assigned.

****Includes 32 additional Downstate judges assigned throughout District One's law and small claims courtrooms.

[a] Includes the judges in 3 of the 12 courtrooms who are judges from Downstate judicial circuits. In fact, three judicial circuits supply manpower year-round for these courtrooms.

[b] All pro se small claims cases with a jury demand have been transferred to full-time jury courtrooms.

▨ Indicates data not yet available.

## LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES ($15,000 or less) DURING JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 1983

### JURY CASES ($15,000 or less) DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT DURING THE PERIOD

| | | | METHOD OF DISPOSITION | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | DISMISSED | | | | |
| | | Total Cases Disposed Of | For Want Of Prosecution** | By Agreement*** | Judgment On Finding | Judgment On Verdict | Transfer To Other Divisions, Other Districts, Etc.* |
| District One | Personal Injury | 3,486 | 444 | 2,253 | 466 | 132 | 191 |
| | Torts, Contracts, Etc. | 5,030 | 1,301 | 2,578 | 555 | 234 | 362 |
| | Forcible Entry & Detainers, Joint Actions, Etc.[a] | 365 | 60 | 144 | 108 | 24 | 29 |
| | Sub-Total | 8,881 | 1,805 | 4,975 | 1,129 | 390 | 582 |
| District Two | — | 158 | 3 | 125 | 5 | 17 | 8 |
| District Three | — | 365 | 16 | 277 | 24 | 28 | 20 |
| District Four | — | 300 | 45 | 210 | 8 | 18 | 19 |
| District Five | — | 293 | 37 | 209 | 20 | 15 | 12 |
| District Six | — | 485 | 32 | 381 | 32 | 27 | 13 |
| Total | | 10,482 | 1,938 | 6,177 | 1,218 | 495 | 654 |

*These cases are reported as disposed of at the point of transfer and include cases transferred out of county.

**Includes cases non-suited.

***Includes cases dismissed by stipulation, dismissed upon motion of either party, dismissed prior to the filing of the appearance, etc.

[a] Includes pro se small claims cases with jury demands.

### NON-JURY CASES[a] ($15,000 or less) DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT DURING THE PERIOD

| | | | METHOD OF DISPOSITION | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | DISMISSED | | | |
| | | Total Cases Disposed Of | For Want Of Prosecution** | By Agreement*** | Judgment On Finding**** | Transfer To Other Divisions, Other Districts, Etc.* |
| District One[b] | Personal Injury | 2,622 | 811 | 621 | 1,098 | 92 |
| | Torts, Contracts, Etc. | 125,558 | 50,532[c] | 11,900 | 62,286 | 840 |
| | Forcible Entry & Detainers, Joint Actions, Etc. | 55,207 | 2,741 | 17,383 | 34,624 | 459 |
| | Pro Se Small Claims | 6,612 | 2,573[d] | 1,026 | 2,910 | 103 |
| | Sub-Total | 189,999 | 56,657 | 30,930 | 100,918 | 1,494 |
| District Two | — | 2,137 | 380 | 909 | 817 | 31 |
| District Three[b] | — | 3,059 | 261 | 1,268 | 1,482 | 48 |
| District Four | — | 2,693 | 209 | 1,126 | 1,319 | 39 |
| District Five | — | 2,436 | 182 | 985 | 1,217 | 52 |
| District Six[b] | — | 6,270 | 433 | 1,437 | 4,339 | 61 |
| Total | | 206,594 | 58,122 | 36,655 | 110,092 | 1,725 |

*These cases are reported as disposed of at the point of transfer and include cases transferred out of county.

**Includes cases non-suited.

***Includes cases dismissed by stipulation, dismissed upon motion of either party, dismissed prior to the filing of the appearance, etc.

****Includes judgments for DPS (Defaults on Personal Service).

[a] Includes cases where no jury demand has been filed and recorded.

[b] Indicates these Municipal Districts have an operational pro se small claims courtroom. These dispositional figures are included in the totals.

[c] Includes dispositions as results of numerous special calls held during 1983 in District One.

[d] Includes dispositions as a result of a no-progress call in early 1983.

# TAX

## IN THE LAW DIVISION — TAX SECTION, COUNTY DIVISION — TAX SECTION, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES DURING 1983

### TAX CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Dispositions Credited | Average Number of Judges Sitting | Number of Dispositions | Number of Dispositions Per Judge |
|---|---|---|---|
| Law Division — Tax Section | 2 | 1,558* | 779 |
| County Division - Tax Section | 1 | 16,939* | 16,939 |
| Municipal Department<br>— District One | 1 | 17,070# | 17,070 |
| — District Two | 1 | 1,482 | 1,482 |
| — District Three | 1 | 2,326 | 2,326 |
| — District Four | 1 | 596 | 596 |
| — District Five | 1 | 1,184 | 1,184 |
| — District Six | 1 | 840 | 840 |
| — Sub-Total | 6 | 23,498** | 3,916 |
| TOTAL | 9 | 41,995 | 4,666 |

*Includes personal property tax cases, inheritance tax petitions, inheritance tax reassessments, tax objections, certificates of error, tax refund petitions, tax deeds, etc.
**Includes personal property tax cases only.
#Includes 14,681 District One cases which were disposed of prior to 1983 and not reported.

### AGE OF PENDING TAX CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1983

| | Year Filed | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | During 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
| Law Division<br>— Tax Section | 615 | 193 | 434 | 90 | 88 | 380 | 1,800* |
| County Division<br>— Tax Section | 1,558 | 484 | 996 | 4,576 | 19,676 | 15,612 | 42,902* |
| Municipal Department***<br>— District One | 14,147 | 14,282 | 28,977 | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | 57,406 |
| — District Two | 617 | 357 | 350 | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | 1,324 |
| — District Three | 5 | 267 | 66 | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | 338 |
| — District Four | 288 | 478 | 488 | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | 1,254 |
| — District Five | 62 | 57 | 52 | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | 171 |
| — District Six | 226 | 484 | 57 | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | 767 |
| — Sub-Total | 15,345 | 15,925 | 29,990 | ▨ | ▨ | ▨ | 61,260** |
| TOTAL | 17,518 | 16,602 | 31,420 | 4,666 | 19,764 | 15,992 | 105,962 |

*Includes personal property tax cases, inheritance tax petitions, inheritance tax reassessments, tax objections, certificates of error, tax refund petitions, tax deeds, etc.
**Includes personal property tax cases only.
***No-progress calls have been held in the Municipal Department to remove cases filed prior to 1978.
▨ Indicates after December 31, 1980 personal property tax cases are no longer filed in the Municipal Department.

# CHANCERY

## IN THE CHANCERY DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1980-1983

### TABLE OF CHANCERY CASES* FILED

| Year | Total Cases | TYPE OF ACTION | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Change of Name | Mortgage Foreclosure | General Chancery** | Class Action Suit | Temporary Restraining Order/ Injunction | Mechanics Lien Suit** |
| 1980 | 9,209 | 1,549 | 3,541 | 2,818 | 51 | 1,250 | — |
| 1981 | 10,789 | 1,561 | 4,739 | 3,135 | 44 | 1,310 | — |
| 1982 | 11,177 | 1,257 | 5,443 | 2,284 | 58 | 1,437 | 698 |
| 1983 | 10,986 | 1,352 | 5,838 | 1,985 | 41 | 1,329 | 441 |

*As of January 4, 1982, the former Land Title Section of the Law Division became the Mechanics Lien Section of the Chancery Division.

**These suits were previously filed under the "General Chancery" category.

### DISPOSITION OF CHANCERY CASES*

| Year | Method of Disposition | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total Cases Disposed Of** | Dismissal | Judgment | Transferred to Other Division, District, Etc.[a] |
| 1980 | 10,004 | 6,814 | 2,438 | 752*** |
| 1981 | 11,425 | 7,876 | 2,809 | 740*** |
| 1982**** | 8,951 | 5,361 | 3,501 | 89 |
| 1983 | 12,241 | 7,672 | 4,327 | 242 |

*As of January 4, 1982, the former Land Title Section of the Law Division became the Mechanics Lien Section of the Chancery Division.

**Commencing January 4, 1982, all mortgage foreclosure cases which are simultaneously the subject of a bankruptcy proceeding in Federal Court shall be placed on a dormant calendar of the Chancery Division. Although the cases on this calendar, 1,051 as of December 31, 1983, are still reported as active, these matters are not included in the Chancery Call.

***Includes cases sent to the former Land Title Section of the Law Division.

****No Chancery Calendar Call was held in 1982.

[a] These cases are reported as disposed of at the point of transfer and include cases transferred out of county.

### ANALYSIS OF CHANCERY CASES PENDING AND COMPARISONS WITH PRECEDING YEARS

| Pending Calendar as of June 30 | Total Cases Pending | AGE OF PENDING CASES | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | FIVE YEARS OR MORE | | FOUR-FIVE YEARS | | THREE-FOUR YEARS | | TWO-THREE YEARS | | ONE-TWO YEARS | | LESS THAN ONE YEAR | |
| | | Number | % of Calendar Total | Number | % of Calendar Total | Number | % of Calendar Total | Number | % of Calendar Total | Number | % of Calendar Total | Number | % of Calendar Total |
| 1973 | 5,383 | 97 | 1.8% | 63 | 1.2% | 136 | 2.5% | 255 | 4.7% | 1,067 | 19.8% | 3,765 | 70.0% |
| 1974 | 6,329 | 80 | 1.2% | 59 | 0.9% | 138 | 2.2% | 385 | 6.1% | 1,004 | 15.9% | 4,663 | 73.7% |
| 1975 | 6,711 | 48 | 0.7% | 49 | 0.7% | 149 | 2.2% | 376 | 5.6% | 996 | 14.9% | 5,093 | 75.9% |
| 1976* | 7,142 | 48 | 0.7% | 66 | 0.9% | 140 | 2.0% | 374 | 5.2% | 1,246 | 17.5% | 5,268 | 73.7% |
| 1977* | 7,744 | 66 | 0.9% | 57 | 0.7% | 182 | 2.3% | 485 | 6.3% | 1,449 | 18.7% | 5,505 | 71.1% |
| 1978* | 6,968 | 83 | 1.2% | 75 | 1.1% | 231 | 3.3% | 454 | 6.5% | 1,238 | 17.8% | 4,887 | 70.1% |
| 1979* | 6,364 | 97 | 1.5% | 100 | 1.6% | 167 | 2.6% | 404 | 6.4% | 1,261 | 19.8% | 4,335 | 68.1% |
| 1980** | 6,948 | 100 | 1.5% | 49 | 0.7% | 141 | 2.0% | 442 | 6.4% | 1,329 | 19.1% | 4,887 | 70.3% |
| 1981** | 7,773 | 96 | 1.2% | 74 | 1.0% | 214 | 2.8% | 516 | 6.6% | 1,413 | 18.2% | 5,460 | 70.2% |
| 1982*** | 9,846 | 140 | 1.4% | 143 | 1.4% | 330 | 3.4% | 833 | 8.5% | 2,449 | 24.9% | 5,951 | 60.4% |
| 1983*** | 9,966 | 133 | 1.3% | 139 | 1.4% | 372 | 3.7% | 883 | 8.9% | 2,116 | 21.2% | 6,323 | 63.5% |

*As of May 1, 1976, nine separate judicial chancery calendars are in effect.

**As of June 1, 1980, ten separate judicial chancery calendars are in effect.

***Presiding Judge revised the dates of the pending Calendar Call for Chancery Calendars 1 to 10 inclusive, and the date on which the pending count is taken. All pending cases are as of December 31 and no longer June 30 and do not include cases on the dormant calendar, those pending before the judges of the Mechanics Lien Section, and "change of name" actions.

# HOUSING

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
## DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX
## DURING 1983

### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF HOUSING CASES*

| | Method of Disposition | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Dismissal | Judgment** | Total |
| District One | 6,512 | 1,245 | 7,757*** |
| District Six | 34 | 18 | 52 |
| Grand Total | 6,546 | 1,263 | 7,809 |

*Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two through Five.
**Judgments include decrees for demolition, permanent injunctions, etc.
***Includes the work of 7 Downstate judges assigned to hear housing cases in District One.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
## DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

### AGE OF PENDING HOUSING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1983

| | | Year Filed | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Housing Cases (District One & District Six Only)* | | 1978 & Earlier | During 1979 | During 1980 | During 1981 | During 1982 | During 1983 | Total |
| | Number Pending | 2,009 | 2,726 | 2,902 | 3,545 | 3,561 | 5,620 | 20,363 |
| | % of Total Inventory | 9.9% | 13.4% | 14.2% | 17.4% | 17.5% | 27.6% | 100.0% |

*Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two through Five.

# DOMESTIC RELATIONS

## IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

### DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Dispositions Credited | Average Number of Judges Sitting | Number of Dispositions | Number of Dispositions Per Judge |
|---|---|---|---|
| Assignment Judge | 1 | 7,013* | 7,013 |
| Pre-Trial Conference Judges | 2 | 1,509 | 755 |
| Pre-Trial Motion Judges | 2 | 108 | 54 |
| Custody Mediation Judges**** | 4 | 300 | 75 |
| Full-Time Trial Judges** | 11 | 20,171 | 1,834 |
| Part-Time Trial Judges*** | 15[a] | 593 | 40 |
| TOTAL | 35 | 29,694 | 848 |

*Includes 234 cases dismissed for want of prosecution as a result of a dormant calendar call.

**Includes only judges who spent 75% or more of their time hearing Domestic Relations cases.

***Includes only judges who spent less than 75% of their time hearing Domestic Relations cases.

****Section established February 10, 1983.

[a] Includes 1 full-time judge who hears only post-trial motions but who disposed of some cases, 2 judges no longer in the Division, and 11 Downstate judges assigned to this Division during 1983.

### ANALYSIS OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES HEARD AND DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Dispositions Credited | Total Cases Disposed Of | Method of Disposition | | | Total Cases Returned To Assignment Judge | Total Cases Placed on Dormant Calendar | Total Cases Assigned | | Total Pre-Trial Conferences Held | Total Referrals To Marriage and Family Counseling Services | Total Referrals To Cook County Dept. of Supportive Services | Motion Count** | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Dismissed* | | Total Judgments Signed | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Uncontested Prove-Ups (Defaults) | Contests | | | | Uncontested Prove-Ups (Defaults) | Contests | | | | Pre-Trial | Post-Trial*** |
| Assignment Judge | 7,013 | 5,598 | 401 | 1,014 | — | 1,130 | 10,854 | 1,771 | — | 130 | 86 | 15,817 | 18,282 |
| Pre-Trial Conference Judges | 1,509 | 19 | 158 | 1,332 | 763 | 55 | 1,121 | 1,057 | 2,045 | 30 | — | 3,147 | 165 |
| Pre-Trial Motion Judges | 108 | 0 | 43 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 3,166 | 370 | 411 | — | 22,879 | — |
| Custody Mediation Judges**** | 300 | 2 | 8 | 290 | 35 | 0 | 206 | 1,178 | 996 | 265 | — | 2,549 | 1,650 |
| Post-Trial Motion Judges | 48 | 0 | 11 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 685 | — | 57 | — | — | 8,820 |
| Full-Time Trial Judges | 20,171 | 1,103 | 62 | 19,006 | 1,261 | 111 | 21,920 | 4,152 | 1,059 | 158 | — | 7,867 | 1,280 |
| Part-Time Trial Judges | 545 | 5 | 13 | 527 | 117 | 11 | 889 | 334 | 159 | 7 | — | 418 | 954 |
| Total | 29,694 | 6,727 | 696 | 22,271 | 2,177 | 1,307 | 35,074 | 12,343 | 4,629 | 1,058 | 86 | 52,677 | 31,151 |

*Includes cases dismissed upon motion, cases dismissed for want of prosecution, etc.

**Includes motions granted for case continuances.

***Includes all motions heard on custody modifications, i.e., on post-trial matters.

****Section established February 10, 1983.

### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF CASES IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION

| PART I<br>Total Domestic Relations Cases Disposed Of |
|---|
| 29,694 |

| PART II<br>Judgments | |
|---|---|
| TOTAL JUDGMENTS | 22,271 |
| 1. Dissolution of Marriage | 22,159 |
| 2. Legal Separation | 40 |
| 3. Declaration of Invalidity | 72 |

| PART III<br>Cases Disposed Of | |
|---|---|
| TOTAL DISMISSALS | 7,423 |
| 1. Dissolution of Marriage | 7,414 |
| 2. Legal Separation | 4 |
| 3. Declaration of Invalidity | 5 |

# COUNTY

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE COUNTY DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

| Type of Case | | | Pending at Start | Filed | Disposed of | Pending at End |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (A) TAX | (1) Special Assessments | a. Chicago | 632 | 77 | 0 | 696* |
| | | b. Suburban | 603 | 40 | 1 | 640* |
| | (2) Tax Deeds | | 2,123 | 667 | 960** | 1,788* |
| | (3) Scavenger Tax Deeds | | 946 | 423 | 410** | 911* |
| | (4) Inheritance Tax Petitions | | 3,180 | 5,821 | 7,047 | 1,928* |
| | (5) Inheritance Tax Reassessments | | 156 | 47 | 1 | 198* |
| | (6) Tax Refund Petitions | | 265 | 6 | 2 | 269 |
| | (7) Tax Objections | | 29,490 | 14,585*** | 8,404 | 35,700* |
| | (8) Tax Condemnation (in conjunction with special assessments) | | 69 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| | (9) Other | | 614 | 215 | 114 | 703* |
| | SUB-TOTAL | | 38,078 | 21,881 | 16,939 | 42,902* |
| (B) ADOPTIONS | | | 922 | 2,010 | 1,983 | 968* |
| (C) MENTAL HEALTH | (1) Commitment Petitions | a. Adults | 79 | 5,517 | 5,486 | 110 |
| | | b. Minors | 1 | 13 | 12 | 1* |
| | (2) Restoration Petitions | a. Adults | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | b. Minors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | (3) Discharge Petitions | a. Adults | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | b. Minors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | SUB-TOTAL | | 80 | 5,530 | 5,498 | 111* |
| (D) MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS & ELECTION MATTERS | | | 270 | 18 | 9 | 277* |
| (E) MARRIAGE OF MINORS | | | 5 | 151 | 150 | 6 |
| GRAND TOTAL | | | 39,355 | 29,590 | 24,579 | 44,264* |

*Adjustments made in the following categories as results of case inventories during the year:

| | |
|---|---|
| Special Assessments - Chicago | -13 cases, |
| Special Assessments - Suburban | - 2 cases, |
| Tax Deeds | -42 cases, |
| Scavenger Tax Deeds | -48 cases, |
| Inheritance Tax Petitions | -26 cases, |
| Inheritance Tax Reassessments | - 4 cases, |
| Tax Objections | +29 cases, |
| Other Tax Matters | -12 cases, |
| Adoptions | +19 cases, |
| Mental Health - Minor Commitment Petitions | -1 case, and |
| Municipal Corporations | - 2 cases. |

**Includes results of special calls held in December 1983.

***Includes tax objections filed by the County Assessor through the year 1981.

NOTE: An effort is underway to restructure certain reporting procedures in the County Division. For example, orders for protection in 1984 are to be shown and reported in the category with adoptions and petitions for the marriage of minors.

# MENTAL HEALTH

## MENTAL HEALTH CASES (ADULT COMMITMENT PETITIONS ONLY) DISPOSED OF IN THE COUNTY DIVISION DURING 1981-1983

| Year | Method of Disposition | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total Cases Disposed Of | Dismissed or Discharged | Commitment | | | Other* |
| | | | Voluntary | Court Ordered | Total | |
| 1981 | 5,286 | 1,905 | 3,017 | 308 | 3,325 | 56 |
| 1982 | 5,409 | 1,789 | 3,310 | 245 | 3,555 | 65 |
| 1983 | 5,486** | 1,858 | 3,316 | 247 | 3,563 | 65 |

*Includes commitment transfer orders, etc.

**During 1983, 8 jury trials decided the outcomes in mental health cases.

# PROBATE

## IN THE PROBATE DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

### ANALYSIS OF PROBATE CASES AND COMPARISONS WITH PRECEDING YEARS

| Year | Cases Filed | Disposed of |
|---|---|---|
| 1973 | 10,523 | 9,777 |
| 1974 | 10,261 | 8,800 |
| 1975 | 10,258 | 8,779 |
| 1976 | 10,426 | 8,494 |
| 1977 | 10,236 | 8,066 |
| 1978 | 9,780 | 7,934 |
| 1979 | 8,934 | 14,579* |
| 1980 | 9,199 | 14,153* |
| 1981 | 9,870 | 25,649* |
| 1982 | 10,048 | 12,099 |
| 1983 | 10,925 | 10,023 |

*Includes results of an extensive physical inventory which began in February of 1979.

| Year | Inventories Filed | | | Wills | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Personal | Real Estate | Total | Filed | Probated | % Probated |
| 1973 | 7,121 | 2,379 | 9,500 | 13,124 | 5,236 | 39.9% |
| 1974 | 7,112 | 2,470 | 9,582 | 13,086 | 5,043 | 38.5% |
| 1975 | 6,726 | 2,282 | 9,008 | 12,662 | 4,688 | 37.0% |
| 1976 | 6,486 | 2,060 | 8,546 | 13,053 | 4,746 | 36.4% |
| 1977 | 6,610 | 2,230 | 8,840 | 12,852 | 4,636 | 36.1% |
| 1978 | 7,125 | 2,027 | 9,152 | 13,061 | 4,491 | 34.4% |
| 1979 | 7,007 | 1,406 | 8,413 | 12,512 | 4,477 | 35.8% |
| 1980 | 5,533 | 687 | 6,220 | 13,072 | 4,905 | 37.5% |
| 1981 | 5,282 | 736 | 6,018 | 13,149 | 4,812 | 36.7% |
| 1982 | 5,526 | 688 | 6,214 | 12,437 | 4,635 | 37.3% |
| 1983 | 5,031 | 611 | 5,642 | 12,115 | 4,852 | 40.1% |

### MOVEMENT OF CASES IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1983

| | Decedent Estates | Guardianship | Disabled Adults | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Number of Cases Filed | 6,851* | 2,228 | 1,846 | 10,925 |
| Number of Cases Disposed of | 6,503* | 2,189 | 1,331 | 10,023** |

*Does not include "petitions for supplemental proceedings": 93 filed and 17 disposed of. *Petitions for Supplemental Proceedings* are proceedings concerning contracts to make a will, construction of wills, and the appointment of testamentary trustees during the period of administration.

**Does not include actions in cases where a jury trial decided the outcome. During 1983, 2 jury verdicts were entered in probate cases involving petitions for supplemental proceedings (will contests).

### INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1983

INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF

| Kind of Property | Inventories | |
|---|---|---|
| | Number | Value |
| Personal | 5,031 | $799,566,016 |
| Real Estate | 611 | 39,196,411 |
| TOTALS | 5,642 | $838,762,427 |

# SUPPORT

## IN THE SUPPORT DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

### SUPPORT CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

| Dispositions Credited | Average Number of Judges Sitting | Number of Dispositions | Number of Dispositions Per Judge |
|---|---|---|---|
| Presiding Judge | 1 | 4,598 | 4,598 |
| Full-Time Judges* | 3 | 10,848 | 3,616 |
| Part-Time Judges** | 11*** | 2,602 | 237 |
| TOTAL | 15 | 18,048 | 1,203 |

*Includes only judges who spent 75% or more of their time hearing support cases.
**Includes only judges who spent less than 75% of their time hearing support cases.
***Includes 10 Downstate judges assigned to this Division during 1983.

### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF SUPPORT CASES

| Dispositions Credited | Method of Disposition | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Body Attachment Issued For Failure to Appear | Off Call & Initial Summons Unserved* | Dismissal | Other Dispositional Order** | Court Finding & Pay Order Issued | Total |
| Presiding Judge | 487 | 1,405 | 617 | 532 | 1,557 | 4,598 |
| Full-Time Judges | 1,516 | 4,729 | 203 | 190 | 4,210 | 10,848 |
| Part-Time Judges | 381 | 879 | 77 | 40 | 1,225 | 2,602 |
| TOTAL | 2,384 | 7,013 | 897 | 762 | 6,992 | 18,048 |

*Includes cases where the defendant's whereabouts are unknown.
**Includes cases non-suited, stricken off with leave to reinstate, etc.

# PATERNITY & NON-SUPPORT

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1983

### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF PATERNITY & NON-SUPPORT CASES

| District | | Method of Disposition[c] | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Body Attachment Issued For Failure to Appear | Off Call & Initial Summons Unserved* | Dismissal | Other Dispositional Order** | Court Finding & Pay Order Issued | Total |
| District One | Branch 33 | 3,797 | 10,993 | 1,161 | 83 | 2,804 | 18,838 |
| | Branch 96*** | 433 | 1,972 | 1,125 | 55 | 1,571 | 5,156 |
| | Civil Paternity Call | 72 | 48 | 237 | 26 | 254 | 637 |
| | Sub-Total | 4,302 | 13,013 | 2,523 | 164 | 4,629 | 24,631 |
| District Two | Evanston | 19 | 69 | 19 | 8 | 39 | 154 |
| District Three | Niles | 27 | 75 | 23 | 5 | 31 | 161 |
| District Four & Five[b] | Maywood | 43 | 225 | 48 | 9 | 137 | 462[a] |
| District Six | Markham | 248 | 561 | 106 | 23 | 350 | 1,288 |
| TOTAL | | 4,639 | 13,943 | 2,719 | 209 | 5,186 | 26,696 |

*Includes cases where the defendant's whereabouts are unknown.
**Includes cases non-suited, stricken off with leave to reinstate, etc.
***Includes dispositions on "Article X" cases only.
(a)Includes the work of 1 Downstate judge assigned to District Four in 1983.
(b)Procedures for paternity and non-support cases show all matters in District Four and District Five filed and disposed of in District Four.
(c)During 1983, 9 jury trials decided the outcomes in paternity cases in Districts One through Six.

# MAINTENANCE & CHILD SUPPORT

## MONIES HELD & COLLECTIONS MADE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO OTHERS - OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, OFFICE OF CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT DURING FY 1981 — FY 1983*

| Year | Maintenance & Child Support** |
|---|---|
| FY 1981 | $11,947,368 |
| FY 1982 | $17,347,515*** |
| FY 1983 | $27,237,043 |

*The fiscal year is measured from December 1 through November 30.

**Includes filing fees, library fees, monies collected under the 15% incentive program, etc.

***Includes all FY 1982 monies collected prior to October 1982 through the Domestic Relations Division.

# FOREIGN JUDGMENTS

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1983

Nature and Number of Filings & Dispositions of Foreign Judgments*

| | Registration And Filing Of Foreign Judgments** |
|---|---|
| District One | 231 |

*Foreign judgments are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two through Six.

**In registering the foreign judgment, both a filing and a disposition is counted.

# BOND CERTIFICATE AUTHORIZATIONS

## IN THE SURETY SECTION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

Number of Filings*

| | Bond Certificate Authorizations |
|---|---|
| Surety Section | 68 |

*In registering the bonding company, both a filing and a disposition is counted.

# CIVIL FORFEITURES (AUTO & CURRENCY)

## IN THE SURETY SECTION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

Nature and Number of Dispositions of Civil Forfeitures

| | Method of Disposition | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Dismissal* | Judgment** | Total |
| Surety Section | 353 | 499 | 852 |

*Includes cases non-suited.

**Includes cases in which the title to the automobile is awarded to the Illinois Department of Law Enforcement.

# JUVENILE

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES DURING 1983

### INITIAL ACTION ON CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

| Adjusted* | Petition Recommended | Total |
|---|---|---|
| 2,968 | 17,697 | 20,665 |

*Includes cases placed on "informal" probation for 90 days, cases adjusted and disposed of before a petition is filed, etc.

### CASES ADJUSTED* IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

| | Delinquent | Dependent/Victim of Neglect | Minor in Need of Authoritative Intervention | Other | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| By the Complaint Unit Staff | 2,784 | 40 | 144 | 0 | 2,968 |

*Includes cases placed on "informal" probation for 90 days, cases adjusted and disposed of before a petition is filed, etc.

### PETITION RECOMMENDED CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

| Delinquent | Dependent/Victim of Neglect | Minor in Need of Authoritative Intervention | Other | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13,785 | 3,866 | 46 | 0* | 17,697 |

*No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2-3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1983.

## JUVENILE

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION
## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION
## DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses | Number of Petitions | Number of Juveniles |
|---|---|---|
| MAJOR DELINQUENT CHARGES*: | | |
| Aggravated Arson | 17 | 17 |
| Attempt Aggravated Arson | 3 | 3 |
| Aiding a Fugitive | 2 | 2 |
| Armed Robbery | 248 | 248 |
| Attempt Armed Robbery | 17 | 17 |
| Armed Robbery with a Firearm** | 21 | 21 |
| Armed Violence | 2 | 2 |
| Arson | 87 | 87 |
| Attempt Arson | 15 | 15 |
| Aggravated Battery | 790 | 790 |
| Aggravated Kidnaping | 5 | 5 |
| Bribery*** | 11 | 11 |
| Burglary | 3,263 | 3,263 |
| Attempt Burglary | 131 | 131 |
| Conspiracy to Commit Burglary | 1 | 1 |
| Solicitation to Commit Burglary | 4 | 4 |
| Communicating with a Witness | 5 | 5 |
| Compelling Organizational Membership under 17 Years | 108 | 108 |
| Deceptive Practices | 9 | 9 |
| Attempt Deceptive Practices | 1 | 1 |
| Deviate Sexual Assault** | 28 | 28 |
| Attempt Deviate Sexual Assault | 3 | 3 |
| Endangering the Life of a Child | 1 | 1 |
| Escape | 14 | 14 |
| Forgery | 29 | 29 |
| Attempt Forgery | 1 | 1 |
| Heinous Battery | 1 | 1 |
| Home Invasion | 28 | 28 |
| Attempt Home Invasion | 6 | 6 |
| Incest | 3 | 3 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 1 | 1 |
| Intimidation | 133 | 133 |
| Involuntary Manslaughter | 1 | 1 |
| Kidnaping | 2 | 2 |

*Indicates a charge which could result in a transfer to the Criminal Division for the purpose of trying juvenile as an adult. During 1983, 35 such petitions were "forwarded" to the Criminal Division.

**Effective September 8, 1982 the "minor" definition no longer applies to a minor who at the time of the offense was at least 15 years old and who is charged with murder, rape, deviate sexual assault, or armed robbery with a firearm. The minor in these instances shall then be prosecuted under criminal law. During 1983, 146 cases resulted in such automatic filings in the Criminal Division.

***Includes "offering a bribe" as well as "accepting a bribe".

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

### TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1983 (Continued)

| Charged Offenses | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Petitions | Juveniles |
| MAJOR DELINQUENT CHARGES*: | | |
| Mob Action | 12 | 12 |
| Murder** | 9 | 9 |
| Attempt Murder | 6 | 6 |
| Possession of Burglary Tools | 12 | 12 |
| Possession & Delivery of Controlled Substance (including "possession & delivery" of cannabis)*** | 274 | 274 |
| Possession of Explosives | 7 | 7 |
| Possession of Hypodermic Needle/Syringe (includes "possession of all drug paraphernalia") | 9 | 9 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 574 | 574 |
| Attempt Possession of Stolen Auto | 5 | 5 |
| Rape** | 24 | 24 |
| Attempt Rape | 6 | 6 |
| Reckless Homicide | 4 | 4 |
| Retail Theft | 283 | 283 |
| Residential Burglary | 72 | 72 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary | 29 | 29 |
| Robbery | 1,248 | 1,248 |
| Attempt Robbery | 168 | 168 |
| Solicitation to Commit Robbery | 2 | 2 |
| Theft | 455 | 455 |
| Attempt Theft | 39 | 39 |
| Conspiracy to Commit Theft | 7 | 7 |
| Solicitation to Commit Theft | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 6 | 6 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 14 | 14 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 457 | 457 |
| SUB-TOTAL MAJOR DELINQUENT CHARGES | 8,714 | 8,714 |

*Indicates a charge which could result in a transfer to the Criminal Division for the purpose of trying juvenile as an adult. During 1983, 35 such petitions were "forwarded" to the Criminal Division.

**Effective September 8, 1982 the "minor" definition no longer applies to a minor who at the time of offense was at least 15 years old and who is charged with murder, rape, deviate sexual assault, or armed robbery with a firearm. The minor in these instances shall then be prosecuted under criminal law. During 1983, 146 cases resulted in such automatic filings in the Criminal Division.

***Includes "possession with intent to deliver".

## JUVENILE

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1983 (Continued)

| Charged Offenses | Number of Petitions | Number of Juveniles |
|---|---|---|
| MINOR DELINQUENT CHARGES: | | |
| Aggravated Assault (includes simple assault) | 543 | 543 |
| Battery | 1,192 | 1,192 |
| Contributing to the Sexual Delinquency of a Child | 104 | 104 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 795 | 795 |
| Criminal Trespass to Land (includes "criminal trespass to State Supported Land") | 103 | 103 |
| Criminal Trespass to Motor Vehicle | 156 | 156 |
| Attempt Criminal Trespass to Motor Vehicle | 1 | 1 |
| Curfew Violation | 8 | 8 |
| Deceptive Practices | 6 | 6 |
| Attempt Deceptive Practices | 2 | 2 |
| Disorderly Conduct | 150 | 150 |
| False Fire Alarm | 4 | 4 |
| Harrassment by Telephone Call | 6 | 6 |
| Minor in Possession of Alcoholic Beverages | 20 | 20 |
| Obscenity | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of an Air Rifle or Pellet Gun | 11 | 11 |
| Prostitution | 20 | 20 |
| Solicitation to Commit Prostitution | 7 | 7 |
| Public Indecency | 3 | 3 |
| Reckless Conduct | 29 | 29 |
| Resisting a Peace Officer | 34 | 34 |
| Sale & Use of Intoxicating Compounds | 34 | 34 |
| Solicitating Rides on a Public Highway | 5 | 5 |
| Theft | 1,806 | 1,806 |
| Attempt Theft | 16 | 16 |
| Theft of Labor Services | 10 | 10 |
| Attempt Theft of Labor Services | 5 | 5 |
| SUB-TOTAL MINOR DELINQUENT CHARGES | 5,071 | 5,071 |
| TOTAL DELINQUENT CHARGES | 13,785 | 13,785 |

## JUVENILE

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

### TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1983 (Continued)

| Charged Offenses | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Petitions | Juveniles |
| TOTAL DEPENDENT/VICTIM OF NEGLECT CHARGES | 3,866 | 3,866 |
| TOTAL MINORS IN NEED OF AUTHORITATIVE INTERVENTION CHARGES (includes designation as habitual truant, runaway, ungovernable, etc.) | 46 | 46 |
| TOTAL ALL PETITIONS | 17,697 | 17,697 |

NOTE: No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2-3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1983.

# JUVENILE

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
## STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES
## DURING 1983

### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF JUVENILE CASES IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

| | Method of Disposition | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Dismissal | | | | Transfer To Other Court[b] | | | |
| Type of Case* | Without Prejudice | With Prejudice | Other[c] | SOL[a] | 702 Hearings Granting Transfer To Criminal Division | Other | Court Finding** | Total |
| Delinquent | 318 | 40 | 886 | 6,017 | 35 | 72 | 9,289 | 16,657 |
| Dependent/Victim of Neglect | 571 | 3 | 1,913 | 15 | | 5 | 1,610 | 4,117 |
| Minor in Need of Authoritative Intervention | 96 | 5 | 145 | 3 | | 21 | 43 | 313**** |
| TOTAL | 985 | 48 | 2,944 | 6,035 | 35[d] | 98 | 10,942 | 21,087*** |

*Calendar calls have been realigned to produce categorical breakdowns.

**Includes jury verdicts of guilty as habitual offender (3) as well as other guilty adjudications.

***Includes the work of 1 Downstate judge assigned to this Division during 1983.

****Includes cases previously identified as minors in need of supervision.

[a] Stricken off with leave to reinstate.

[b] Indicates court approval for such actions as trying juvenile as an adult in a felony case, changes in venue, etc.

[c] Includes cases where a finding of "not delinquent", "not dependent", "not neglected", etc. was entered and the minor discharged; cases non-suited; etc.

[d] Effective September 8, 1982, the "minor" definition no longer applies to a minor who at the time of the offense was at least 15 years old and who is charged with murder, rape, deviate sexual assault, or armed robbery with a firearm. The minor in these instances shall then be prosecuted under criminal law. During 1983—146 cases resulted in such automatic filings in the Criminal Division.

### TYPE OF COURT FINDING IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS

| Finding of Delinquency | Finding of M.R.A.I. | Finding of Dependency | Finding of Neglect | Finding of Supervision* Under Sec. 4-7 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6,137 | 43 | 254 | 1,356 | 3,152 | 10,942 |

*Includes minors placed on supervision Sec. 5-4 (protective supervision) of the Juvenile Court Act.

### TYPE OF DISPOSITIONAL ORDER IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS

| Institutional Commitment | | | | | | | | Placed on Supervision | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ill. Dept. of Corr. | Ill. Dept. of Children & Family Services | Ill. Dept. of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities | Sub-Total | Local | Sub-Total | Probation or Conditional Discharge | Guardian Appointed | Sec. 4-7* | Sec. 5-2 | Sub-Total | Total |
| 900 | 342 | 0 | 1,242 | 1,079 | 2,321 | 3,664 | 1,769 | 3,152 | 36 | 3,188 | 10,942 |

*Includes minors placed on supervision Sec. 5-4 (protective supervision) of the Juvenile Court Act.

### NATURE OF ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

| Cases Disposed of | Continued Generally* | Wardships Closed |
|---|---|---|
| 21,087 | 110,551 | 6,966 |

*Includes multiple continuances granted on the same petition.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
## CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY,
## DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX
## DURING 1983

## NATURE OF DISPOSITION OF PRELIMINARY HEARINGS*

| Method of Disposition | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Transferred to Criminal Division or Superseded by Information or Indictment or Probable Cause Finding | No Probable Cause | Bond Forfeiture W/ or W/O Warrant | Dismissed for Want of Prosecution | Nolle Prosequi | Non-Suit | Stricken Off—Leave to Reinstate | Leave to File Denied | Off Call And Other Dismissal | Total |
| District 1 | 19,662 | 3,598 | 1,803 | 6 | 3,487 | 36 | 5,252 | 16 | 54 | 33,914 |
| District 2 | 1,046 | 141 | 90 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 241 | 0 | 1 | 1,537 |
| District 3 | 1,051 | 139 | 102 | 1 | 42 | 21 | 392 | 0 | 16 | 1,764 |
| District 4 | 1,208 | 214 | 78 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 4 | 1,832 |
| District 5 | 998 | 44 | 27 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 130 | 1 | 2 | 1,384 |
| District 6 | 1,529 | 57 | 68 | 0 | 97 | 2 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 1,853 |
| TOTAL | 25,494 | 4,193 | 2,168 | 7 | 4,007 | 60 | 6,254 | 17 | 84 | 42,284 |

*Indicates the disposition of felony preliminary hearings on felony charges and not cases.

# FELONY

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

### TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1983

| Cases Pending At Start | Cases Filed | Cases Reinstated | Cases Disposed Of | Cases Pending At End |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6,766 | 13,257* | 2,864** | 15,572*** | 7,315 |

*Includes 988 cases filed and then transferred to suburban municipal districts.

**Includes cases received from the Municipal Districts.

***Includes the work of 5 Downstate judges assigned to this Division during 1983 and indicates that 1,364 cases were transferred to suburban municipal districts for trial during 1983.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

### TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1983

| District | Cases Pending At Start | Cases Filed/ Transferred* | Cases Reinstated | Cases Disposed Of** | Cases Pending At End |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| District One | 3 | 3,348 | 0 | 3,347 | 4 |
| District Two | 152 | 584<br>262 | 85 | 875 | 250*** |
| District Three | 151 | 592<br>36 | 84 | 674 | 235*** |
| District Four | 161 | 464<br>351 | 229 | 847 | 358 |
| District Five | 7 | 442<br>154 | 42 | 598 | 47 |
| District Six | 228 | 762<br>213 | 268 | 1,004 | 467 |
| Total | 702 | 6,192<br>1,016 | 708 | 7,345 | 1,361 |

*Includes cases transferred from one Circuit Court of Cook County felony jurisdiction to another for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes 500 cases transferred from one Circuit Court of Cook County felony jurisdiction to another for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

***Indicates an adjustment made in the end pending as a result of a case by case inventory.

NOTE: 19,449 FELONY CASES WERE FILED ON 22,984 DEFENDANTS AS A RESULT OF 25, 494 FINDINGS OF PROBABLE CAUSE OR DIRECT INDICTMENTS.

## FELONY

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, A[illegible]N THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

### AGE OF PENDING CASES
### DECEMBER 31, 1983
### (Does Not Include Post Trial Proceedings)

| | Number Of Felony Cases Pending | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Indictments & Informations | | | | | | |
| | Year Case Filed | | | | | | |
| | Prior to 1979 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | Total |
| Criminal Division* | 25 | 14 | 36 | 70 | 883 | 6,287 | 7,315 |
| Municipal District 1** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Municipal District 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 245 | 250 |
| Municipal District 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 216 | 235 |
| Municipal District 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 26 | 326 | 358 |
| Municipal District 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 47 |
| Municipal District 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 41 | 416 | 467 |
| Totals | 28 | 15 | 43 | 84 | 966 | 7,540 | 8,676 |

*Pre-defined automated procedures in the Criminal Division show cases no longer pending once a conviction order has been accepted and a pre-sentence investigation has been ordered.

**A procedural change in District One now allows for pending cases.

# FELONY

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

### TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses** | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cases | Defendants |
| Abandonment of Hazardous Waste | 2 | 3 |
| Aggravated Arson | 13 | 13 |
| Aggravated Arson, etc | 63 | 79 |
| Attempt Aggravated Arson | 7 | 8 |
| Attempt Aggravated Arson, etc | 6 | 7 |
| Aggravated Battery | 178 | 207 |
| Aggravated Battery, etc | 96 | 111 |
| Aggravated Battery of a Child | 4 | 4 |
| Aggravated Battery of a Child, etc | 20 | 23 |
| Aggravated Incest | 6 | 6 |
| Aggravated Incest, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Aggravated Indecent Liberties with Child | 11 | 11 |
| Aggravated Indecent Liberties with Child, etc | 50 | 50 |
| Aggravated Kidnaping | 2 | 3 |
| Aggravated Kidnaping, etc. | 39 | 49 |
| Aiding a Fugitive | 1 | 1 |
| Aiding a Fugitive, etc | 3 | 4 |
| Armed Robbery | 146 | 195 |
| Armed Robbery, etc | 897 | 1,262 |
| Attempt Armed Robbery | 14 | 21 |
| Attempt Armed Robbery, etc | 30 | 35 |
| Armed Violence | 2 | 2 |
| Armed Violence, etc | 141 | 174 |
| Arson | 10 | 11 |
| Arson, etc | 14 | 21 |
| Attempt Arson | 4 | 4 |
| Bribery | 34 | 38 |
| Bribery, etc | 41 | 44 |
| Attempt Bribery | 1 | 1 |
| Bringing Contraband into Penal Institution | 13 | 13 |
| Bringing Contraband into Penal Institution, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Burglary | 744 | 1,034 |
| Burglary, etc | 316 | 465 |
| Attempt Burglary | 49 | 64 |
| Attempt Burglary, etc | 49 | 75 |
| Calculated Criminal Drug Conspiracy | 6 | 18 |
| Child Abandonment | 2 | 2 |
| Child Abduction | 2 | 2 |
| Child Pornography | 19 | 20 |
| Child Pornography, etc | 7 | 7 |
| Communicating with a Witness | 2 | 2 |
| Communicating with a Witness, etc | 8 | 12 |
| Compelling Organizational Membership under 17 Years | 5 | 5 |
| Compelling Organizational Membership under 17 Years, etc | 3 | 3 |
| Concealing/Aiding a Fugitive, etc | 1 | 1 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 988 such cases with 1,275 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1983.

**Includes 146 charges on cases which were classified "automatic filings" under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.

## FELONY
## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

### TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1983 (Continued)

| Charged Offenses** | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cases | Defendants |
| Concealing a Homicidal Death | 1 | 1 |
| Conspiracy to Commit Murder, etc | 2 | 2 |
| Conspiracy to Commit Theft | 1 | 1 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 15 | 16 |
| Criminal Damage to Property, etc | 3 | 5 |
| Cruelty to Children | 4 | 6 |
| Cruelty to Children, etc | 5 | 5 |
| Deceptive Practices | 27 | 40 |
| Deceptive Practices, etc | 18 | 18 |
| Delivery of Cannabis | 64 | 74 |
| Delivery of Cannabis, etc | 8 | 9 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance | 697 | 859 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance, etc | 62 | 88 |
| Attempt Delivery of Controlled Substance | 2 | 2 |
| Attempt Delivery of Controlled Substance, etc | 3 | 5 |
| Delivery of Substance Represented as Controlled Substance | 1 | 1 |
| Deviate Sexual Assault | 2 | 2 |
| Deviate Sexual Assault, etc | 40 | 49 |
| Attempt Deviate Sexual Assault, etc | 5 | 5 |
| Distribution of a Look-a-Like Drug | 2 | 2 |
| Distribution of a Look-a-Like Drug, etc | 11 | 17 |
| Endangering the Life of a Child | 1 | 1 |
| Escape | 6 | 6 |
| Escape, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Attempt Escape | 3 | 3 |
| Failure to File Illinois Retailers' Occupational Tax Return | 36 | 42 |
| Failure to Return to Work Release | 11 | 11 |
| Failure to Surrender Title of a Motor Vehicle, etc | 1 | 1 |
| False Application for Salvage Certificate | 1 | 1 |
| False Application for Title, etc | 2 | 3 |
| False Statement of Automobile Registration | 3 | 3 |
| False Statement on Certificate of Title, etc | 1 | 3 |
| False Statement on License as Supplier of Special Fuel | 1 | 3 |
| Filing a Fraudulent Illinois Retailers' Occupational Tax Return | 49 | 75 |
| Forgery | 247 | 257 |
| Forgery, etc | 245 | 290 |
| Harassment of a Witness | 2 | 2 |
| Heinous Battery, etc | 3 | 3 |
| Home Invasion | 1 | 1 |
| Home Invasion, etc | 50 | 64 |
| Illegal Scrapping, junking, or destroying of a Motor Vehicle, etc | 2 | 2 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 988 such cases with 1,275 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1983.

**Includes 146 charges on cases which were classified "automatic filings" under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.

# FELONY
# IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1983 (Continued)

| Charged Offenses** | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cases | Defendants |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 60 | 60 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child, etc | 79 | 83 |
| Intimidation | 20 | 25 |
| Intimidation, etc | 34 | 36 |
| Insurance Fraud | 3 | 3 |
| Insurance Fraud, etc | 15 | 24 |
| Involuntary Manslaughter | 4 | 10 |
| Involuntary Manslaughter, etc | 5 | 19 |
| Jumping Bail Bond | 485 | 485 |
| Juvenile Pimping | 2 | 2 |
| Juvenile Pimping, etc | 6 | 8 |
| Kidnaping, etc. | 3 | 5 |
| Looting, etc | 1 | 11 |
| Murder | 28 | 29 |
| Murder, etc | 476 | 620 |
| Attempt Murder | 2 | 2 |
| Attempt Murder, etc | 565 | 663 |
| Narcotics Racketeering | 1 | 1 |
| Obstructing Justice | 6 | 8 |
| Obstructing Justice, etc | 1 | 2 |
| Official Misconduct, etc | 9 | 14 |
| Pandering | 28 | 28 |
| Perjury | 28 | 29 |
| Perjury, etc | 61 | 78 |
| Possession of Burglary Tools | 9 | 11 |
| Possession of Burglary Tools, etc | 3 | 4 |
| Possession of Cannabis*** | 222 | 266 |
| Possession of Cannabis, etc.*** | 11 | 14 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance*** | 960 | 1,089 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance, etc.*** | 206 | 259 |
| Attempt Possession of Controlled Substance | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Counterfeit Credit Card | 2 | 2 |
| Possession of Counterfeit Motor Vehicle Registration Card | 2 | 2 |
| Possession of Explosives | 2 | 3 |
| Possession of Hypodermic Needle/Syringe, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of a Look-a-Like Drug | 2 | 3 |
| Possession of Motor Vehicle w/False Vehicle Identification Number | 20 | 20 |
| Possession of Motor Vehicle w/False Vehicle Identification Number, etc | 30 | 32 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 16 | 21 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto, etc | 955 | 1,152 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto Component Parts | 9 | 10 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto Component Parts, etc | 3 | 4 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 988 such cases with 1,275 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1983.

**Includes 146 charges on cases which were classified "automatic filings" under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.

***Includes "possession with intent to deliver".

## FELONY

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

### TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1983 (Continued)

| Charged Offenses** | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cases | Defendants |
| Public Aid Fraud, etc | 9 | 11 |
| Rape | 1 | 1 |
| Rape, etc | 404 | 486 |
| Attempt Rape | 2 | 2 |
| Attempt Rape, etc | 29 | 32 |
| Reckless Homicide | 8 | 8 |
| Reckless Homicide, etc | 21 | 21 |
| Residential Burglary | 842 | 1,069 |
| Residential Burglary, etc | 274 | 372 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary | 57 | 71 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary, etc | 28 | 33 |
| Retail Theft | 145 | 156 |
| Retail Theft, etc | 77 | 82 |
| Robbery | 294 | 354 |
| Robbery, etc | 440 | 571 |
| Attempt Robbery | 38 | 44 |
| Attempt Robbery, etc | 12 | 14 |
| Sale of Counterfeit Driver's License | 1 | 1 |
| Sexual Abuse of Child by Family Member, etc | 7 | 7 |
| Solicitation for a Juvenile Prostitute, etc | 3 | 3 |
| Solicitation to Commit Murder | 2 | 2 |
| Solicitation to Commit Murder, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Solicitation to Commit Residential Burglary, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Syndicated Gambling | 21 | 23 |
| Theft | 950 | 1,110 |
| Theft, etc | 102 | 147 |
| Theft of Labor Services, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Theft of Motor Vehicle Services | 6 | 6 |
| Theft of Motor Vehicle Services, etc | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 5 | 5 |
| Unlawful Restraint, etc | 7 | 9 |
| Unlawful Taking of Protected Aquatic Life | 1 | 3 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 11 | 11 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card, etc | 26 | 27 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 317 | 334 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons, etc | 12 | 13 |
| Vendor Fraud | 2 | 4 |
| Vendor Fraud, etc | 5 | 6 |
| Violation of Illinois Lottery Act | 1 | 1 |
| Voluntary Manslaughter | 2 | 5 |
| Voluntary Manslaughter, etc | 13 | 13 |
| Total | 13,257 | 16,291 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 988 such cases with 1,275 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1983.

**Includes 146 charges on cases which were classified "automatic filings" under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.

# FELONY

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING 1983

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

| Not Convicted | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed | | | | | | Tried But Not Convicted | | | |
| Transferred For Trial, Etc.* | Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear | Nolle Prosequi | Reduced To Misdemeanor | Other** Discharge | Total | Acquitted[b] By Court | Acquitted[b] By Jury | Total | Total Not Convicted |
| 1,676 | 507<br>1,361 | 1,181 | 410 | 182 | 5,317 | 1,761 | 83 | 1,844 | 7,161 |

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from the Criminal Division to a Municipal District for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction, etc.

(a)Not necessarily different defendants.

(b)Includes 68 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding or verdict of not guilty by reason of insanity.

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

| Convicted | | | | Convicted But Mentally Ill | | | | Found Unfit To[b] Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous | Total Defendants |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea Of Guilty | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | Plea Of Guilty | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | | |
| 8,930 | 2,216 | 387 | 11,533 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 41 | 395* | 19,130 |

*Includes one defendant on two cases, who was found to be sexually dangerous and committed to the Illinois Department of Corrections.

(a)Not necessarily different defendants.

(b)Includes defendants transferred from Districts Two through Six.

### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED*

| SENTENCES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprison-ment Only | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | *** With Conditions | Total | ** Other | Unfit To To Be Sentenced | Total |
| 8 | 34 | 6,216 | 1 | 53 | 4 | 58 | 2,419 | 1,580 | 1,191 | 5,190 | 62 | 6 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 11,574 |

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

(a)Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

### NUMBER OF WRITS & PETITIONS FILED BY TYPE (ADDITIONAL MATTERS HANDLED IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION) DURING 1983

| | Contempt of Court | Habeas Corpus | Post Conviction | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Number | 79* | 119 | 206 | 404 |

*Does not include 9 petitions for contempt of court filed in the Municipal Department — Districts One through Six during 1983.

# FELONY

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983

### GUILTY PLEAS (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences[b] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Death | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| | | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Im-Prisonment Only | With Other[c] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other[c] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | | | |
| Murder | 0 | 1 | 79 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| Class X | | 0 | 752 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 752 |
| Class One | | | 573 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 152 | 55 | 362 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 938 |
| Class Two | | | 1,174 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 463 | 437 | 217 | 1,117 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2,303 |
| Class Three | | | 1,595 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 32 | 978 | 632 | 657 | 2,267 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3,910 |
| Class Four | | | 324 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 351 | 138 | 117 | 606 | 28 | 3 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 973 |
| Total Pleas | 0 | 1 | 4,497 | 1 | 44 | 4 | 49 | 1,947 | 1,359 | 1,046 | 4,352 | 52 | 5 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 8,956 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

[c] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

### CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences[b] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Death | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| | | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Im-Prisonment Only | With Other[c] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other[c] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | | | |
| Murder | 2 | 7 | 98 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| Class X | | 4 | 405 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 409 |
| Class One | | | 238 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 33 | 13 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 343 |
| Class Two | | | 275 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 53 | 31 | 213 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 492 |
| Class Three | | | 303 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 193 | 108 | 75 | 376 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 690 |
| Class Four | | | 79 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 14 | 22 | 108 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 190 |
| Total Bench Trials | 2 | 11 | 1,398 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 452 | 208 | 141 | 801 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2,231 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

[c] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Death | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| | | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other[b] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other[b] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | | | |
| Murder | 6 | 19 | 101 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| Class X | | 3 | 112 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| Class One | | | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Class Two | | | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Class Three | | | 43 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Class Four | | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total Jury Trials | 6 | 22 | 321 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 13 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 387 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
## CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE

### TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION*
### DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses | Number of Cases | Number of Defendants |
|---|---|---|
| Accepting a Bribe/Contest | 1 | 1 |
| Aggravated Arson | 1 | 1 |
| Aggravated Battery | 66 | 66 |
| Aggravated Incest | 8 | 8 |
| Aiding Escape | 1 | 1 |
| Armed Robbery | 14 | 14 |
| Attempt Armed Robbery | 25 | 25 |
| Arson | 15 | 15 |
| Attempt Murder | 1 | 1 |
| Ballot Box Stuffing | 1 | 1 |
| Bribery | 20 | 20 |
| Burglary | 690 | 690 |
| Attempt Burglary | 47 | 47 |
| Child Abduction | 1 | 1 |
| Concealing and Aiding a Fugitive | 1 | 1 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 2 | 2 |
| Cruelty to Children | 4 | 4 |
| Deceptive Practices | 19 | 19 |
| Delivery of Cannabis | 47 | 47 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance | 102 | 102 |
| Disorderly Conduct | 1 | 1 |
| Failure to Surrender Title of a Motor Vehicle as a Junk or Salvage | 2 | 2 |
| Forgery | 138 | 138 |
| Incest | 1 | 1 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 47 | 47 |
| Intimidation | 5 | 5 |
| Insurance Fraud | 1 | 1 |
| Jumping Bail Bond | 3 | 3 |
| Obstructing Justice | 9 | 9 |
| Offering a Bribe/Contest | 2 | 2 |
| Pandering | 8 | 8 |
| Perjury | 5 | 5 |
| Possession of Burglary Tools | 1 | 1 |
| Attempt Possession of Burglary Tools | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Cannabis | 61 | 61 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance | 427 | 427 |
| Attempt Possession of Controlled Substance | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance/Represented as Controlled Substance | 2 | 2 |
| Possession of Counterfeit Firearm Owner's Identification Card | 2 | 2 |
| Possession of Counterfeit Motor Vehicle Registration Card | 2 | 2 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 301 | 301 |
| Removing Journal Brass from a Railroad Car | 1 | 1 |
| Residential Burglary | 62 | 62 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary | 29 | 29 |
| Retail Theft | 69 | 69 |
| Robbery | 220 | 220 |
| Attempt Robbery | 23 | 23 |
| Sexual Abuse of Child by Family Member | 3 | 3 |
| Syndicated Gambling | 1 | 1 |
| Theft | 768 | 768 |
| Attempt Theft | 18 | 18 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 3 | 3 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 58 | 58 |
| Violation of Illinois Revenue Act | 6 | 6 |
| Total | 3,348 | 3,348 |

*Includes only those cases where defendants pled guilty at the time of their preliminary hearing.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE DURING 1983

## GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Local Imprisonment | | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | Total |
| Type of Felony | State Imprisonment Only[a] | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With*** Other Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With*** Other Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With*** Conditions | Sub-Total | |
| Class X | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 |
| Class One | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 33 | 22 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| Class Two | 122 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 349 | 396 | 112 | 857 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 983 |
| Class Three | 150 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 661 | 403 | 479 | 1,543 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1,701 |
| Class Four | 64 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 201 | 135 | 92 | 428 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 502 |
| TOTAL PLEAS | 397 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1,249 | 967 | 705 | 2,921 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 3,340** |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Varies from table showing total informations commenced in 1983 as a result of procedural changes.

***Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION* DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cases | Defendants |
| Aggravated Arson | 2 | 2 |
| Aggravated Battery | 32 | 36 |
| Armed Robbery | 13 | 18 |
| Attempt Armed Robbery | 2 | 5 |
| Armed Violence | 1 | 2 |
| Attempt Aggravated Kidnaping | 1 | 1 |
| Bribery | 8 | 9 |
| Burglary | 107 | 138 |
| Attempt Burglary | 9 | 10 |
| Child Abduction | 1 | 1 |
| Compelling Organizational Membership Under 17 Years | 1 | 1 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 2 | 2 |
| Deceptive Practices | 15 | 15 |
| Delivery of Cannabis | 6 | 6 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance | 4 | 4 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance/Represented as Controlled Substance | 1 | 1 |
| Deviate Sexual Assault | 2 | 4 |
| Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol | 2 | 2 |
| Eavesdropping | 1 | 1 |
| Forgery | 46 | 47 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 3 | 3 |
| Jumping Bail Bond | 2 | 2 |
| Kidnaping | 1 | 3 |
| Murder | 2 | 2 |
| Attempt Murder | 1 | 1 |
| Obstructing Justice | 2 | 2 |
| Possession of Burglary Tools | 3 | 4 |
| Possession of Cannabis | 11 | 13 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance | 63 | 65 |
| Possession of Motor Vehicle w/Altered or Removed Vehicle Identification Number | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 18 | 21 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance/Represented as Controlled Substance | 2 | 2 |
| Production of Cannabis | 1 | 1 |
| Rape | 6 | 6 |
| Attempt Rape | 2 | 2 |
| Residential Burglary | 75 | 96 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary | 8 | 11 |
| Retail Theft | 29 | 33 |
| Robbery | 12 | 14 |
| Attempt Robbery | 1 | 1 |
| Theft | 77 | 86 |
| Attempt Theft | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 3 | 3 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 3 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 584 | 682 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some Indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Two received 245 indictments on 318 defendants during 1983. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
## CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY,
## DISTRICT TWO
## DURING 1983

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS(a) CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

| Not Convicted | | | | | | | | | Total Not Convicted |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed | | | | | | Tried But Not Convicted | | | |
| Transferred For Trial, Etc.* | Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear | Nolle Prosequi | Reduced To Misdemeanor | Other** Discharge | Total | Acquitted(b) By Court | Acquitted By Jury | Total | |
| 35 | 73 / 114 | 9 | 75 | 7 | 313 | 52 | 3 | 55 | 368 |

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Two to another District or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction, etc.

(a) Not necessarily different defendants.

(b) Includes 8 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS(a) CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

| Convicted | | | | Convicted But Mentally Ill | | | | Found Unfit to (b) Stand Trial or Adjudged to be Sexually Dangerous | Total Defendants |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea Of Guilty* | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | Plea Of Guilty | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | | |
| 666 | 61 | 14 | 741 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | — | 1,112 |

*Includes pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage.

(a) Not necessarily different defendants.

(b) Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED*

| Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | State Imprisonment(a) | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | *** With Conditions | Total | ** Other | Unfit To To Be Sentenced | Total |
| 1 | 0 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 154 | 64 | 420 | 47 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 744 |

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

(a) Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1983

### GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | State Impris-onment[a] | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | Total |
| | | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With** Other Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With** Other Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With** Conditions | Sub-Total | |
| Class X | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Class One | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Class Two | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 10 | 7 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 53 |
| Class Three | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 16 | 16 | 93 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 135 |
| Class Four | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 32 |
| Total Pleas | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 30 | 33 | 159 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 243 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

### GUILTY PLEAS (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences[b] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Death | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| | | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | | | |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class X | | 0 | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Class One | | | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Class Two | | | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 48 | 4 | 84 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| Class Three | | | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 39 | 6 | 93 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| Class Four | | | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Total Pleas | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 110 | 17 | 225 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 425 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

[c] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences[b] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Death | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| | | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | | | |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class X | | 0 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Class One | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Class Two | | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Class Three | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Class Four | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total Bench Trials | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 30 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 62 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

[c] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Death | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| | | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | | | |
| Murder | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class X | | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class One | | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Two | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Three | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Four | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Jury Trials | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE

### TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION* DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses | Number of Cases | Number of Defendants |
|---|---|---|
| Aggravated Battery | 36 | 41 |
| Aggravated Battery of a Child | 1 | 1 |
| Aggravated Incest | 1 | 1 |
| Aggavated Indecent Liberties with Child | 1 | 1 |
| Armed Robbery | 14 | 27 |
| Attempt Armed Robbery | 1 | 1 |
| Armed Violence | 3 | 6 |
| Arson | 3 | 3 |
| Burglary | 69 | 79 |
| Attempt Burglary | 6 | 8 |
| Communicating with a Witness | 2 | 2 |
| Concealing a Homicidal Death | 1 | 1 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 8 | 8 |
| Deceptive Practices | 6 | 6 |
| Delivery of Cannabis | 10 | 14 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance | 53 | 58 |
| Delivery of a Look-a-Like Substance | 4 | 4 |
| Deviate Sexual Assault | 5 | 5 |
| Forgery | 46 | 50 |
| Home Invasion | 5 | 7 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 11 | 11 |
| Murder | 2 | 2 |
| Attempt Murder | 5 | 5 |
| Obstructing Justice | 1 | 1 |
| Perjury | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Burglary Tools | 2 | 2 |
| Possession of Cannabis | 22 | 23 |
| Attempt Possession of Cannabis | 1 | 4 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance | 68 | 81 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 17 | 19 |
| Possession of Stolen Property | 1 | 1 |
| Rape | 3 | 5 |
| Reckless Homicide | 5 | 5 |
| Residential Burglary | 61 | 86 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary | 3 | 3 |
| Retail Theft | 15 | 18 |
| Robbery | 2 | 2 |
| Sexual Abuse of Child by Family Member | 3 | 3 |
| Syndicated Gambling | 1 | 2 |
| Theft | 76 | 107 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 2 | 2 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 9 | 9 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 5 | 5 |
| Voluntary Manslaughter | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 592 | 721 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Three received 31 indictments on 38 defendants during 1983. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1983

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

| Not Convicted | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed | | | | | | Tried But Not Convicted | | | Total Not Convicted |
| Transferred For Trial, Etc.* | Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear | Nolle Prosequi | Reduced To Misdemeanor | Other** Discharge | Total | Acquitted[b] By Court | Acquitted By Jury | Total | |
| 54 | 31 / 27 | 37 | 46 | 11 | 206 | 32 | 2 | 34 | 240 |

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another District or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction, etc.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants.

[b] Includes 4 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

| Convicted | | | | Convicted But Mentally Ill | | | | Found Unfit to[b] Stand Trial or Adjudged to be Sexually Dangerous | Total Defendants |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea Of Guilty* | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | Plea Of Guilty | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | | |
| 586 | 31 | 17 | 634 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | — | 876 |

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants.

[b] Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED*

| Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | *** With Conditions | Total | ** Other | Unfit To To Be Sentenced | Total |
| 0 | 1 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 104 | 172 | 361 | 19 | 46 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 636 |

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1983

### GUILTY PLEAS* (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS**

| | Sentences[c] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[b] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[a] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[a] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[a] | Sub-Total | *** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class X | | 0 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Class One | | | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Class Two | | | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 26 | 71 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| Class Three | | | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 44 | 110 | 201 | 8 | 22 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 301 |
| Class Four | | | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 30 | 63 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| Total Pleas | 0 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 97 | 167 | 343 | 17 | 45 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 588 |

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

**Not necessarily different defendants.

***Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

[b] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[c] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

### CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class X | | 0 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class One | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Class Two | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Class Three | | | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Class Four | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Bench Trials | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 31 |

*Not nessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class X | | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Class One | | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Class Two | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Three | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Class Four | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Jury Trials | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |

*Not nessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION* DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cases | Defendants |
| Aggravated Battery | 49 | 52 |
| Armed Robbery | 31 | 33 |
| Attempt Armed Robbery | 1 | 1 |
| Arson | 2 | 2 |
| Burglary | 60 | 70 |
| Attempt Burglary | 1 | 1 |
| Concealing and Aiding a Fugitive | 1 | 1 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 3 | 4 |
| Deceptive Practices | 2 | 2 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance | 2 | 3 |
| Endangering the Life of a Child | 1 | 1 |
| Forgery | 32 | 33 |
| Home Invasion | 1 | 2 |
| Incest | 1 | 1 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 6 | 6 |
| Intimidation | 1 | 1 |
| Murder | 4 | 4 |
| Attempt Murder | 4 | 4 |
| Perjury | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Cannabis | 8 | 9 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance | 48 | 50 |
| Possession of Mechanical Device/Violation of Illinois Racing Act | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 9 | 10 |
| Rape | 5 | 7 |
| Attempt Rape | 1 | 1 |
| Residential Burglary | 35 | 41 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary | 2 | 2 |
| Retail Theft | 11 | 13 |
| Reckless Homicide | 1 | 1 |
| Robbery | 30 | 35 |
| Attempt Robbery | 1 | 1 |
| Theft | 102 | 112 |
| Theft by Deception | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 2 | 2 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 3 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 464 | 512 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Four received 348 indictments on 443 defendants during 1983. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1983

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

| Not Convicted | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed | | | | | | Tried But Not Convicted | | | |
| Transferred For Trial, Etc.* | Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear | Nolle Prosequi | Reduced To Misdemeanor | Other** Discharge | Total | Acquitted[b] By Court | Acquitted By Jury | Total | Total Not Convicted |
| 23 | 87 / 86 | 33 | 64 | 7 | 300 | 43 | 3 | 46 | 346 |

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Four to another District or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction, etc.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants.

[b] Includes 7 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

| Convicted | | | | Convicted But Mentally Ill | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea Of Guilty* | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | Plea Of Guilty | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | Found Unfit to[b] Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous | Total Defendants |
| 662 | 47 | 18 | 727 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | — | 1,074 |

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants.

[b] Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED*

| Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | State Imprisonment[a] | | | Local Imprisonment | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | With Jail Time | *** With Conditions | Total | Only | *** With Conditions | Total | ** Other | Unfit To To Be Sentenced | Total |
| 0 | 0 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 260 | 64 | 60 | 384 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 728 |

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1983

### GUILTY PLEAS* (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS**

| | Sentences[c] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[b] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other[a] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other[a] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[a] | Sub-Total | *** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class X | | 0 | 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Class One | | | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| Class Two | | | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 17 | 7 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 171 |
| Class Three | | | 101 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 24 | 24 | 163 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 270 |
| Class Four | | | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 10 | 12 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| Total Pleas | 0 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 243 | 55 | 55 | 353 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 663 |

*Procedures within this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

**Not necessarily different defendants.

***Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

[b] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[c] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

### CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other[b] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other[b] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With[b] Conditions | Sub-Total | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class X | | 0 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Class One | | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Class Two | | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Class Three | | | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Class Four | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total Bench Trials | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 47 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other[b] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other[b] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Class X | | 0 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Class One | | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Two | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Three | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Four | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Jury Trials | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION* DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses | Number of Cases | Number of Defendants |
|---|---|---|
| Aggravated Arson | 1 | 1 |
| Aggravated Battery | 20 | 21 |
| Aggravated Kidnaping | 1 | 1 |
| Armed Robbery | 10 | 12 |
| Arson | 1 | 1 |
| Attempt Murder | 2 | 2 |
| Attempt Rape | 1 | 1 |
| Burglary | 55 | 76 |
| Attempt Burglary | 6 | 6 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 4 | 4 |
| Cruelty to Children | 1 | 1 |
| Deceptive Practices | 7 | 7 |
| Delivery of Cannabis | 5 | 7 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance | 3 | 4 |
| Forgery | 23 | 23 |
| Harassment of Witness | 1 | 1 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 6 | 7 |
| Intimidation | 1 | 1 |
| Leaving Scene of an Accident Resulting in Death or Personal Injury | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Altered Counterfeit Card/Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Burglary Tools | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Cannabis | 16 | 17 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance | 65 | 72 |
| Possession of Hypodermic Needle/Syringe | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 14 | 16 |
| Reckless Homicide | 3 | 3 |
| Residential Burglary | 20 | 24 |
| Retail Theft | 65 | 76 |
| Robbery | 10 | 17 |
| Theft | 90 | 124 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 1 | 3 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 5 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 442 | 538 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Five received 153 indictments on 186 defendants during 1983. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1983

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS(a) CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

| Not Convicted — Transferred, Reduced, Or Dismissed | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Transferred For Trial, Etc.* | Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/ Judgment Or Warrant Issued For Failure To Appear | Nolle Prosequi | Reduced To Misdemeanor | Other** Discharge | Total |
| 477 | 4<br>31 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 526 |

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Five to another District or the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction, etc.

(a)Not necessarily different defendants.

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS(a) CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION — CONTINUED

| Convicted | | | Convicted But Mentally Ill | | | Found Unfit To(b) Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous | Total Defendants |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea Of Guilty* | Convicted By Court** | Total Convicted | Plea Of Guilty | Convicted By Court** | Total Convicted | | |
| 230 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | 756 |

*Includes pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage.

**Commencing in 1984, a felony courtroom will be opened to handle bench and jury trials for those defendants released on bond. Previously, only a minimal number of bench trials resulting in convictions were conducted in District Five.

(a)Not necessarily different defendants.

(b)Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED*

| Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | State Imprisonment | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | *** With Conditions | Total | ** Other | Unfit To Be Sentenced | Total |
| 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 59 | 39 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 |

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service, work, etc.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1983

## GUILTY PLEAS[a] (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| Type of Felony | Sentences*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Death | State Imprisonment[b] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | ** Other | Unfit To Be Sentenced | Total |
| | | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other[a] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other[a] Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[a] | Sub-Total | | | |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class X | | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Class One | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Two | | | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 7 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Class Three | | | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 34 | 22 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| Class Four | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Total Pleas | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 59 | 39 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes sentences imposed upon pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage. Commencing in 1984, a felony courtroom will be opened to handle bench and jury trials for those defendants released on bond. Previously only a minimal number of bench trials resulting in convictions were conducted in District Five.

[a] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, etc.

[b] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION* DURING 1983

| Charged Offenses | Number of | |
|---|---|---|
| | Cases | Defendants |
| Aggravated Arson | 3 | 3 |
| Aggravated Battery | 28 | 31 |
| Aggravated Battery of a Child | 3 | 3 |
| Aggravated Kidnaping | 2 | 3 |
| Armed Robbery | 22 | 27 |
| Armed Violence | 28 | 29 |
| Arson | 3 | 4 |
| Bribery | 3 | 3 |
| Burglary | 108 | 147 |
| Attempt Burglary | 15 | 23 |
| Communicating with a Witness | 2 | 2 |
| Criminal Damage to Property | 1 | 2 |
| Attempt Criminal Damage to Property | 1 | 2 |
| Deceptive Practices | 9 | 10 |
| Delivery of Cannabis | 4 | 4 |
| Delivery of Controlled Substance | 5 | 5 |
| Deviate Sexual Assault | 5 | 5 |
| Escape | 1 | 1 |
| Forgery | 36 | 36 |
| Home Invasion | 3 | 3 |
| Indecent Liberties with Child | 6 | 6 |
| Intimidation | 2 | 2 |
| Jumping Bail Bond | 11 | 11 |
| Leaving Scene of an Accident Resulting in Death or Personal Injury | 1 | 1 |
| Murder | 13 | 23 |
| Attempt Murder | 7 | 7 |
| Obstrucing Justice | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Cannabis | 5 | 6 |
| Possession of Cannabis with Intent to Deliver | 4 | 4 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance | 77 | 83 |
| Possession of Controlled Substance with intent to Deliver | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Counterfeit Firearms Owner's Identification Card | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Hypodermic Needle/Syringe | 2 | 3 |
| Possession of Stolen Auto | 84 | 88 |
| Production of Cannabis | 1 | 1 |
| Rape | 9 | 11 |
| Reckless Homicide | 1 | 1 |
| Residential Burglary | 67 | 81 |
| Attempt Residential Burglary | 2 | 2 |
| Residential Picketing | 1 | 1 |
| Retail Theft | 29 | 33 |
| Robbery | 23 | 33 |
| Attempt Robbery | 2 | 2 |
| Sexual Abuse of Child by Family Member | 1 | 1 |
| Theft | 112 | 125 |
| Attempt Theft | 1 | 1 |
| Theft by Deception | 1 | 2 |
| Theft from Person | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Restraint | 2 | 2 |
| Unlawful Use of Credit Card | 1 | 1 |
| Unlawful Use of Weapons | 10 | 13 |
| Voluntary Manslaughter | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 762 | 892 |

*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Six received 211 indictments on 290 defendants during 1983. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
## CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY,
## DISTRICT SIX
## DURING 1983

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

| Not Convicted | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed | | | | | | Tried But Not Convicted | | | |
| Transferred For Trial, Etc.* | Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear | Nolle Prosequi | Reduced To Misdemeanor | Other** Discharge | Total | Acquitted[b] By Court | Acquitted By Jury | Total | Total Not Convicted |
| 26 | 10 / 102 | 61 | 30 | 9 | 238 | 99 | 17 | 116 | 354 |

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Six to another District or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction, etc.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants.

[b] Includes 11 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

| Convicted | | | | Convicted But Mentally Ill | | | | Found Unfit to[b] Stand Trial or Adjudged to be Sexually Dangerous | Total Defendants |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plea Of Guilty* | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | Plea Of Guilty | Convicted By Court | Convicted By Jury | Total Convicted | | |
| 880 | 92 | 37 | 1,009 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | — | 1,368 |

*Includes pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants.

[b] Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED*

| Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | *** With Other Conditions | Total | Only | *** With Conditions | Total | ** Other | Unfit To To Be Sentenced | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 479 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 110 | 320 | 55 | 485 | 29 | 16 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1,014 |

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

# FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX DURING 1983

### GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | |
| Type of Felony | State Imprisonment[a] | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With** Other Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With** Other Conditions | Sub-Total | Only | With** Conditions | Sub-Total | Total |
| Class X | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Class One | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Two | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 64 | 2 | 69 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 76 |
| Class Three | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 120 | 0 | 142 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 174 |
| Class Four | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 17 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 |
| Total Pleas | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 205 | 2 | 254 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 303 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

### GUILTY PLEAS (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences[b] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Class X | | 0 | 56 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Class One | | | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| Class Two | | | 80 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 36 | 20 | 69 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| Class Three | | | 140 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 35 | 25 | 82 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 231 |
| Class Four | | | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 23 | 5 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| Total Pleas | 0 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 49 | 99 | 50 | 198 | 22 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 580 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

[c] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences[b] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[c] | Sub-Total | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 1 | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Class X | | 1 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Class One | | | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Class Two | | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Class Three | | | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Class Four | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total Bench Trials | 1 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 94 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with conditions to pay a fine.

[b] Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

[c] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

| | Sentences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | State Imprisonment[a] | | Local Imprisonment | | | | Probation | | | | Conditional Discharge | | | | | |
| Type of Felony | Death | Life Sentence | Other | Only | Periodic Imprisonment Only | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Some Jail Time | With Other Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | Only | With Conditions[b] | Sub-Total | ** Other | Unfit to Be Sentenced | Total |
| Murder | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Class X | | 0 | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Class One | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Class Two | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Class Three | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Class Four | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Jury Trials | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

# MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1983

### COMPARISON OF NEW CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED WITH NEW CHARGES FILED

| District | Complaint (Long Form) Numbers Issued (Cases Filed) | New Charges Filed | | | Ratio of New Charges To New "Cases" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Felony (Preliminary Hearing) | Misdemeanor Ordinance & Conservation Violations | Total | |
| District One | 278,664 | 30,261 | 352,296 | 382,557 | 1.4 |
| District Two | 5,350 | 1,406 | 6,299 | 7,705 | 1.4 |
| District Three | 8,059 | 1,794 | 10,351 | 12,145 | 1.5 |
| District Four | 7,445 | 1,414 | 8,016 | 9,430 | 1.3 |
| District Five | 7,220 | 1,374 | 9,370 | 10,744 | 1.5 |
| District Six | 12,079 | 1,655 | 14,831 | 16,486 | 1.4 |
| TOTAL | 318,817 | 37,904 | 401,163 | 439,067 | 1.4 |

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1983

### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS*

| District | Method of Disposition** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Not Convicted**** | | | | | | | | | | Convicted**** | | | | | | | |
| | Bond Forfeiture With Or Without Warrant | Dismissed For Want Of Prosecution | Nolle Prosequi | Non-Suit | Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate | Leave To File Denied | Other Dismissal | Discharge/ Speedy Trial Statute | Found Not Guilty | Sub-Total | Imprisonment/ Periodic Imprisonment | | Probation | Conditional Discharge | Supervision | Fine Only And Ordered To Pay | Sub-Total | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | State | Local | | | | | | |
| District One | 41,248 | 6,113 | 5,671 | 96,251 | 82,184 | ***<br>37,835 | 10,501 | 1 | 5,096 | 284,900 | 118 | 14,913 | 4,540 | 806 | 23,739 | 15,895 | 60,011 | [a]<br>344,911 |
| District Two | 824 | 6 | 7 | 147 | 2,307 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 359 | 3,668 | 9 | 224 | 261 | 135 | 1,443 | 1,243 | 3,315 | 6,983 |
| District Three | 1,177 | 32 | 58 | 66 | 2,202 | 3 | 237 | 0 | 157 | 3,932 | 4 | 416 | 269 | 151 | 1,923 | 5,562 | 8,325 | 12,257 |
| District Four | 1,346 | 95 | 179 | 255 | 2,183 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 681 | 4,762 | 3 | 324 | 294 | 120 | 1,505 | 1,316 | 3,562 | 8,324 |
| District Five | 1,071 | 19 | 344 | 415 | 2,864 | 56 | 27 | 0 | 535 | 5,331 | 6 | 333 | 411 | 111 | 2,713 | 2,127 | 5,701 | 11,032 |
| District Six | 1,626 | 21 | 136 | 827 | 4,878 | 4 | 137 | 0 | 561 | 8,190 | 2 | 593 | 284 | 831 | 4,071 | 1,555 | 7,336 | 15,526 |
| TOTAL | 47,292 | 6,286 | 6,395 | 97,961 | 96,618 | 37,908 | 10,933 | 1 | 7,389 | 310,783 | 142 | 16,803 | 6,059 | 2,154 | 35,394 | 27,698 | 88,250 | 399,033 |

*Indicates the disposition of misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violation charges and not cases.

**Does not include the charges on those defendants found unfit to stand trial. During 1983, there were 23 defendants found unfit to stand trial in Districts One through Six.

***Indicates a procedural change during 1983 in District One only.

****Includes the actions on charges for defendants whose cases were disposed of as a result of a jury trial. During 1983, 145 jury verdicts were entered on misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violations in Districts One through Six.

[a] Includes the work of 1 Downstate judge assigned to District One during 1983.

# TRAFFIC

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
## CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
## DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX
## DURING 1983

## NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF TRAFFIC VIOLATION CASES*

| | | Method of Disposition | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Not Convicted**** | | | | | | | Convicted**** | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Fine Only and Ordered to Pay | | | | |
| DISTRICT | | Dismissed For Want of Prosecution | Nolle Prosequi | Non-Suit | Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate | Leave To File Denied | Found Not Guilty** | Sub-Total | Local Imprisonment/ Periodic Imprisonment | Probation and Conditional Discharge | Pre-Paid | Paid In Court | Suspended | Sub-Total | Total |
| District One*** | Personal Service | 20,009 | 1,362 | 17,269 | 52,081 | 515 | 596,050 | 687,286 | 2,498 | 782 | 124,630 | 38,658 | 14,558 | 181,126 | 868,412 |
| | Hang-On | 2,218 | 0 | 205,541 | 107,371 | 0 | 78,614 | 393,744 | 0 | 0 | 1,464,112 | 4,942 | 0 | 1,469,054 | 1,862,798 |
| District Two(b) | Personal Service | 39 | 20 | 4,774 | 25,269 | 851 | 20,679 | 51,632 | 174 | 116 | 14,248 | 52,142 | 3,011 | 69,691 | 121,323 |
| | Hang-On | 8 | 0 | 15,542 | 1,110 | 6 | 1,425 | 18,091 | 0 | 2 | 4,559 | 1,785 | 25 | 6,371 | 24,462 |
| Sub-Total(a) | | 47 | 20 | 20,316 | 26,379 | 857 | 22,104 | 69,723 | 174 | 118 | 18,807 | 53,927 | 3,036 | 76,062 | 145,785 |
| District Three | Personal Service | 896 | 120 | 4,867 | 34,913 | 1,195 | 10,651 | 52,642 | 223 | 143 | 20,535 | 73,799 | 3,862 | 98,562 | 151,204 |
| | Hang-On | 20 | 0 | 5,167 | 3,412 | 88 | 996 | 9,683 | 1 | 0 | 6,676 | 1,765 | 28 | 8,470 | 18,153 |
| Sub-Total(a) | | 916 | 120 | 10,034 | 38,325 | 1,283 | 11,647 | 62,325 | 224 | 143 | 27,211 | 75,564 | 3,890 | 107,032 | 169,357 |
| District Four(c) | Personal Service | 1,026 | 47 | 6,593 | 14,095 | 334 | 17,312 | 39,407 | 112 | 89 | 8,840 | 37,068 | 2,651 | 48,760 | 88,167 |
| | Hang-On | 9 | 27 | 36,726[d] | 393 | 0 | 827 | 37,982 | 4 | 1 | 6,630 | 2,747 | 152 | 9,534 | 47,516 |
| Sub-Total(a) | | 1,035 | 74 | 43,319 | 14,488 | 334 | 18,139 | 77,389 | 116 | 90 | 15,470 | 39,815 | 2,803 | 58,294 | 135,683 |
| District Five | Personal Service | 134 | 60 | 2,900 | 23,116 | 731 | 27,219 | 54,160 | 166 | 273 | 11,614 | 56,076 | 2,772 | 70,901 | 125,061 |
| | Hang-On | 7 | 0 | 14,190 | 3,135 | 1,072 | 2,605 | 21,009 | 2 | 0 | 4,003 | 2,396 | 16 | 6,417 | 27,426 |
| Sub-Total(a) | | 141 | 60 | 17,090 | 26,251 | 1,803 | 29,824 | 75,169 | 168 | 273 | 15,617 | 58,472 | 2,788 | 77,318 | 152,487 |
| District Six | Personal Service | 85 | 214 | 13,802 | 11,001 | 291 | 24,579 | 49,972 | 723 | 347 | 14,124 | 44,133 | 2,687 | 62,014 | 111,986 |
| | Hang-On | 5 | 0 | 18,435 | 31 | 0 | 3,218 | 21,689 | 13 | 0 | 4,597 | 2,375 | 12 | 6,997 | 28,686 |
| Sub-Total(a) | | 90 | 214 | 32,237 | 11,032 | 291 | 27,797 | 71,661 | 736 | 347 | 18,721 | 46,508 | 2,699 | 69,011 | 140,672 |
| Total | | 24,456 | 1,850 | 345,806 | 275,927 | 5,083 | 784,175 | 1,437,297 | 3,916 | 1,753 | 1,684,568 | 317,886 | 29,774 | 2,037,897 | 3,475,194 |

*Does not include the "placement on supervision" (case is still pending) as a final order but does include the dispositions on all DWI/DUI cases. DWI referring to driving while intoxicated and DUI to driving under the influence.

**Includes viewing a "movie" on traffic safety as a not guilty finding.

***Includes the work of 69 Downstate judges assigned to District One during 1983.

****Includes the actions on cases for defendants where a jury trial decided the outcome. During 1983, 175 jury verdicts were entered on traffic cases in Districts One through Six.

(a) Indicates the separation of personal service and hang-on violations in Districts Two thru Six is done by estimation. Efforts are being made to verify these figures.

(b) Includes the work of 1 Downstate judge assigned to District Two during 1983.

(c) Includes the work of 2 Downstate judges assigned to District Four during 1983.

(d) **Includes results of special calls held during the year.**

NOTE: "PERSONAL SERVICE" REFERS TO ALL MOVING VIOLATIONS.
"HANG-ON" REFERS TO ALL PARKING VIOLATIONS.

# APPENDIX A

# CONSTITUTION OF 1970
# ARTICLE VI — THE JUDICIARY

### Section 1. Courts

The judicial power is vested in a Supreme Court, an Appellate Court and Circuit Courts.

### Section 2. Judicial Districts

The State is divided into five Judicial Districts for the selection of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges. The First Judicial District consists of Cook County. The remainder of the State shall be divided by law into four Judicial Districts of substantially equal population, each of which shall be compact and composed of contiguous counties.

### Section 3. Supreme Court—Organization

The Supreme Court shall consist of seven Judges. Three shall be selected from the First Judicial District and one from each of the other Judicial Districts. Four Judges constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. Supreme Court Judges shall select a Chief Justice from their number to serve for a term of three years.

### Section 4. Supreme Court—Jurisdiction

(a) The Supreme Court may exercise original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus and as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review.

(b) Appeals from judgments of Circuit Courts imposing a sentence of death shall be directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for direct appeal in other cases.

(c) Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are a matter of right if a question under the Constitution of the United States or of this State arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court, or if a division of the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that the case should be decided by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals from the Appellate Court in other cases.

### Section 5. Appellate Court—Organization

The number of Appellate Judges to be selected from each Judicial District shall be provided by law. The Supreme Court shall prescribe by rule the number of Appellate divisions in each Judicial District. Each Appellate division shall have at least three judges. Assignments to divisions shall be made by the Supreme Court. A majority of a division constitutes a quorum and the concurrence of a majority of the division is necessary for a decision. There shall be at least one division in each Judicial District and each division shall sit at times and places prescribed by rules of the Supreme Court.

### Section 6. Appellate Court—Jurisdiction

Appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court are a matter of right to the Appellate Court in the Judicial District in which the Circuit Court is located except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court and except that after a trial on the merits in a criminal case, there shall be no appeal from a judgment of acquittal. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals to the Appellate Court from other than final judgments of Circuit Courts. The Appellate Court may exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. The Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law.

### Section 7. Judicial Circuits

(a) The State shall be divided into Judicial Circuits consisting of one or more counties. The First Judicial District shall constitute a Judicial Circuit. The Judicial Circuits within the other Judicial Districts shall be as provided by law. Circuits composed of more than one county shall be compact and of contiguous counties. The General Assembly by law may provide for the division of a circuit for the purpose of selection of Circuit Judges and for the selection of Circuit Judges from the circuit at large.

(b) Each Judicial Circuit shall have one Circuit Court with such number of Circuit Judges as provided by law. Unless otherwise provided by law, there shall be at least one Circuit Judge from each county. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, Cook County, Chicago, and the area outside of Chicago shall be separate units for the selection of Circuit Judges, with at least twelve chosen at large from the area outside Chicago and at least thirty-six chosen at large from Chicago.

(c) Circuit Judges in each circuit shall select by secret ballot a Chief Judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the Chief Judge shall have general administrative authority over his court, including authority to provide for divisions, general or specialized, and for appropriate times and places of holding court.

### Section 8. Associate Judges

Each Circuit Court shall have such number of Associate Judges as provided by law. Associate Judges shall be appointed by the Circuit Judges in each circuit as the Supreme Court shall provide by rule. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, at least one-fourth of the Associate Judges shall be appointed from, and reside, outside Chicago. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges.

### Section 9. Circuit Courts—Jurisdiction

Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters except when the Supreme Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office. Circuit Courts shall have such power to review administrative action as provided by law.

### Section 10. Terms of Office

The terms of office of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges shall be ten years; of Circuit Judges, six years; and of Associate Judges, four years.

### Section 11. Eligibility For Office

No person shall be eligible to be a Judge or Associate Judge unless he is a United States citizen, a licensed attorney-at-law of this State, and a resident of the unit which selects him. No change in the boundaries of a unit shall affect the tenure in office of a Judge or Associate Judge incumbent at the time of such change.

### Section 12. Election And Retention

(a) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated at primary elections or by petition. Judges shall be elected at general or judicial elections as the General Assembly shall provide by law. A person eligible for the office of Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the primary and at the general or judicial elections by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions.

(b) The office of a Judge shall be vacant upon his death, resignation, retirement, removal, or upon the conclusion of his term without retention in office. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling a vacancy in that office.

(c) A vacancy occurring in the office of Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of a law, vacancies may be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. A person appointed to fill a vacancy 60 or more days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next general or judicial election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than 60 days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second general or judicial election following such appointment.

(d) Not less than six months before the general election preceding the expiration of his term of office, a Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge who has been elected to that office may file in the office of the Secretary of State a declaration of candidacy to succeed himself. The Secretary of State, not less than 63 days before the election, shall certify the Judge's candidacy to the proper election officials. The names of Judges seeking retention shall be submitted to the electors, separately and without party designation, on the sole question whether each Judge shall be retained in office for another term. The retention elections shall be conducted at general elections in the appropriate Judicial District, for Supreme and Appellate Judges, and in the circuit for Circuit Judges. The affirmative vote of three-fifths of the electors voting on the question shall elect the Judge to the office for a term commencing on the first Monday in December following his election.

(e) A law reducing the number of Appellate or Circuit Judges shall be without prejudice to the right of the Judges affected to seek retention in office. A reduction shall become effective when a vacancy occurs in the affected unit.

### Section 13. Prohibited Activities

(a) The Supreme Court shall adopt rules of conduct for Judges and Associate Judges.

(b) Judges and Associate Judges shall devote full time to judicial duties. They shall not practice law, hold a position of profit, hold office under the United States or this State or unit of local government or school district or in a political party. Service in the State militia or armed forces of the United States for periods of time permitted by rule of the Supreme Court shall not disqualify a person from serving as a Judge or Associate Judge.

### Section 14. Judicial Salaries And Expenses — Fee Officers Eliminated

Judges shall receive salaries provided by law which shall not be diminished to take effect during their terms of office. All salaries and such expenses as may be provided by law shall be paid by the State, except that Appellate, Circuit and Associate Judges shall receive such additional compensation from counties within their district or circuit as may be provided by law. There shall be no fee officers in the judicial system.

### Section 15. Retirement — Discipline

(a) The General Assembly may provide by law for the retirement of Judges and Associate Judges at a prescribed age. Any retired Judge or Associate Judge, with his consent, may be assigned by the Supreme Court to judicial service for which he shall receive the applicable compensation in lieu of retirement benefits. A retired Associate Judge may be assigned only as an Associate Judge.

(b) A Judicial Inquiry Board is created. The Supreme Court shall select two Circuit Judges as members and the Governor shall appoint four persons who are not lawyers and three lawyers as members of the Board. No more than two of the lawyers and two of the non-lawyers appointed by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The terms of Board members shall be four years. A vacancy on the Board shall be filled for a full term in the manner the original appointment was made. No member may serve on the Board more than eight years.

(c) The Board shall be convened permanently, with authority to conduct investigations, receive or initiate complaints concerning a Judge or Associate Judge, and file complaints with the Courts Commission. The Board shall not file a complaint unless five members believe that a reasonable basis exists (1) to charge the Judge or Associate Judge with willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to charge that the Judge or Associate Judge is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties. All proceedings of the Board shall be confidential except the filing of a complaint with the Courts Commission. The Board shall prosecute the complaint.

(d) The Board shall adopt rules governing its procedures. It shall have subpoena power and authority to appoint and direct its staff. Members of the Board who are not Judges shall receive per diem compensation and necessary expenses; members who are Judges shall receive necessary expenses only. The General Assembly by law shall appropriate funds for the operation of the Board.

(e) A Courts Commission is created consisting of one Supreme Court Judge selected by that Court, who shall be its chairman, two Appellate Court Judges selected by that Court, and two Circuit Judges selected by the Supreme Court. The Commission shall be convened permanently to hear complaints filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board. The Commission shall have authority after notice and public hearing, (1) to remove from office, suspend without pay, censure or reprimand a judge or Associate Judge for willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to suspend, with or without pay, or retire a Judge or Associate Judge who is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties.

(f) The concurrence of three members of the Commission shall be necessary for a decision. The decision of the Commission shall be final.

(g) The Commission shall adopt rules governing its procedures and shall have power to issue subpoenas. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the expenses of the Commission.

### Section 16. Administration

General administrative and supervisory authority over all courts is vested in the Supreme Court and shall be exercised by the Chief Justice in accordance with its rules. The Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. The Supreme Court may assign a Judge temporarily to any court and an Associate Judge to serve temporarily as an Associate Judge on any Circuit Court. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for expeditious and inexpensive appeals.

### Section 17. Judicial Conference

The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31.

### Section 18. Clerks Of Courts

(a) The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District.

(b) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the election, or for the appointment by Circuit Judges, of clerks and other non-judicial officers of the Circuit Courts and for their terms of office and removal for cause.

(c) The salaries of clerks and other non-judicial officers shall be as provided by law.

### Section 19. State's Attorneys— Selection, Salary

A State's Attorney shall be elected in each county in 1972 and every fourth year thereafter for a four year term. One State's Attorney may be elected to serve two or more counties if the governing boards of such counties so provide and a majority of the electors of each county voting on the issue approve. A person shall not be eligible for the office of State's Attorney unless he is a United States citizen and a licensed attorney-at-law of this State. His salary shall be provided by law.

# APPENDIX B
# ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
## Historical Development

The predecessor of the present Administrative Office of the Illinois courts was a statutory creature into which the General Assembly breathed life in 1959. The entity was known as the Court Administrators Office, and it so existed until 1964. The office in those past years was chiefly concerned with studying caseloads to determine the needs of particular courts for assistance and to provide a statistical background for further studies.

The 1964 Judicial Article directed that the "Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his administrative duties." That provision was retained, virtually intact, by Section 16, Article VI of the 1970 Constitution. Thus, the fledgling administrator's office of 1959 was continued and conferred with constitutional dignity in 1964 and 1970. Two Illinois constitutional commentators, Messrs. Braden and Cohn, in analyzing this section have stated that "only five (states) have a constitutional office similar to the administrative director provided by Illinois . . .", and the authors noted that the constitutional grant of administrative power to the Supreme Court as exercised by the Chief Justice through the Administrative Director is an excellent "mechanism for a coordinated and efficient administration of the judicial system." Braden and Cohn. *The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis*, on page 333.

During the years that it has been in existence, the Administrative Office has matured from infancy to adulthood, and correspondingly it has taken on and has been assigned, by the Supreme Court, greater duties and responsibilities. The growth of the office has been carefully nurtured by a succession of highly qualified and distinguished lawyers: Henry P. Chandler, former administrator of the federal court system; Albert J. Harno, former dean of the University of Illinois College of Law; Hon. John C. Fitzgerald, a retired Circuit Judge and former dean of the School of Law of Loyola University, Chicago; John W. Freels, former general counsel of the Illinois Central Railroad. The present Director is Roy O. Gulley, former Chief Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit.

Today, the Administrative Office has more than 40 employees who serve the Supreme Court and supervise the activities of all the courts in the State and court-related personnel. In addition to the Director, the office employs six persons (four of whom are lawyers) on a managerial or supervisory level, with the balance of employees serving in various supporting capacities.

# APPENDIX C
# JUDICIAL SALARY STRUCTURE

Supreme Court Justices—$75,000
Appellate Court Judges—$70,000
Circuit Court Judges—$65,500
Associate Judges—$60,500

# APPENDIX D

## GENEALOGY OF
## JUDGES OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT


CONSTITUTION OF 1818
Joseph Phillips 1818-1822
Thomas Reynolds 1822-1825
Theophilus W. Smith 1825-1842
Richard M. Young 1843-1847
Jesse B. Thomas 1847-1848
Thomas C. Browne 1818-1848
William P. Foster ~~1818-1819~~
William Wilson 1819-1848
John Reynolds 1818-1825
Samuel D. Lockwood 1825-1848
David M. Woodson 1848
Samuel H. Treat 1841-1855
Thomas Ford 1841-1842
John D. Caton 1842-1843
John M. Robinson 1843
John D. Caton 1843-1864
Sidney Breese 1841-1843
James Semple 1843
James Shields 1843-1845
Gustavas P. Koerner 1845-1848
Walter B. Scates 1841-1847
William Denning 1847-1848
Stephen A. Douglas 1841-1843
Jesse B. Thomas 1843-1845
Norman H. Purple 1845-1848
CONSTITUTION OF 1848
Corydon Beckwith 1864
Charles B. Lawrence 1864-1873
Lyman Trumbull 1848-1853
William B. Scates 1853-1857
Sidney Breese 1857-1878
Onias C. Skinner 1855-1858
Pinckney H. Walker 1858-1885
CONSTITUTION OF 1870
Alfred M. Craig 1873-1900
John P. Hand 1900-1913
Charles C. Craig 1913-1918
Clyde E. Stone 1918-1948
Joseph E. Daily 1948-1965
David J. Baker 1878-1879
John H. Mulkey 1879-1888
David J. Baker 1888-1897
Carroll C. Boggs 1897-1906
Alonzo K. Vickers 1906-1915
Albert Watson 1915
Warren W. Duncan 1915-1933
Paul Farthing 1933-1942
Charles H. Thompson 1942-1951
Ralph L. Maxwell 1951-1956
Byron O. House 1957-1969
Damon G. Tunnicliff 1885
Simeon P. Shope 1885-1894
Joseph N. Carter 1894-1903
Guy C. Scott 1903-1909
George A. Cooke 1909-1919
Floyd E. Thompson 1919-1928
Cyrus Dietz 1928-1929
Paul Samuell 1929-1930
Warren H. Orr 1930-1939
Loren E. Murphy 1939-1948
Albert M. Crampton 1948-1953
Ray I. Klingbiel 1953-1969
Anthony Thornton 1870-1873
John Schofield 1873-1893
Jesse J. Phillips 1893-1901
James B. Ricks 1901-1906
William M. Farmer 1906-1931
Norman L. Jones 1931-1940
June C. Smith 1941-1947
Jesse L. Simpson 1947-1951
Harry B. Hershey 1951-1966
John M. Scott 1870-1888
Jacob W. Wilkin 1888-1907
Frank K. Dunn 1907-1933
Lott R. Herrick 1933-1937
Walter T. Gunn 1938-1951
George W. Bristow 1951-1961
Robert C. Underwood 1962 -
Benjamin R. Sheldon 1870-1888
Joseph M. Bailey 1888-1895
James H. Cartwright 1895-1924
Oscar E. Heard 1924-1933
Elwyn R. Shaw 1933-1942
William J. Fulton 1942-1954
Charles H. Davis 1955-1960
Roy J. Solfisburg, Jr. 1960-1969
W. K. McAllister 1870-1875
T. Lyle Dickey 1875-1885
B. D. Magruder 1885-1906
Orrin N. Carter 1906-1924
Frederic R. DeYoung 1924-1934
Francis S. Wilson 1935-1951
Walter V. Schaefer 1951-1976
JUDICIAL ARTICLE AMENDMENT OF 1962
Daniel P. Ward 1966 -
Caswell J. Crebs 1969-1970
Joseph H. Goldenhersh 1970 -
John T. Culbertson, Jr. 1969-1970
Howard C. Ryan 1970 -
Thomas E. Kluczynski 1966-1976
Marvin F. Burt 1969-1970
Charles H. Davis 1970-1975
CONSTITUTION OF 1970
Caswell J. Crebs 1975-1976
Thomas J. Moran 1976 -
William G. Clark 1976 -
James A. Dooley 1976 - 1978
Thomas E. Kluczynski 1978 - 1980
Seymour Simon 1980 -

(44520 - 2,500 - 1-85)
(Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois)